LEXINGTON LAW GROUP, LLP Mark N. Todzo, State Bar No. 168389 Eric S. Somers, State Bar No. 139050 2 Howard J. Hirsch, State Bar No. 213209 FILED
San Francisco County Superior Court 1627 Irving Street 3 San Francisco, CA 94122 Telephone: (415) 759-4111 MAR 2 7 2007 4 Facsimile: (415) 759-4112 5 GORDON PARK-LI, Clerk Attorneys for Plaintiff BY: MARJORIESCHWARTZ-SCOTT CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH Deputy Glark 8 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 9 COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO 10 11 12 13 CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH,) Case No. CGC-06-448559 a non-profit corporation, 14 Plaintiff, 15 [PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT ٧. RE DEFENDANT BABYAGE.COM, 16 INC. 17 BABY UNIVERSE, INC.; BUY BUY BABY, INC.; and Defendant DOES 1 through 200, 18 inclusive. 19 Defendants. 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

1. INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 On January 17, 2006, plaintiff the Center for Environmental Health ("CEH"), a non-profit corporation acting in the public interest, filed a complaint in San Francisco County Superior Court, entitled *Center for Environmental Health v. Baby Universe, Inc., et al.*, San Francisco County Superior Court Case Number CGC-06-448559 (the "CEH Action"), for civil penalties and injunctive relief pursuant to the provisions of California Health & Safety Code §25249.5 et seq. ("Proposition 65").
- 1.2 On July 18, 2006, CEH filed an amendment to the CEH Action to add BabyAge.com, Inc. ("Defendant") as a defendant. Defendant is alleged in the Complaint to be a corporation that employs 10 or more persons and to have manufactured, distributed and/or sold soft food and beverage containers manufactured by J.L. Childress, Inc. made of materials containing lead and/or lead compounds (the "Products") in the State of California. CEH and Defendant are together referred to herein as the "Parties."
- public enforcement agencies with the requisite 60-day notice that Defendant was in violation of Proposition 65. CEH's notice and the Complaint in this Action allege that Defendant exposes individuals who use or otherwise handle the Products to lead and/or lead compounds (referred to interchangeably herein as "Lead"), chemicals known to the State of California to cause cancer, birth defects and other reproductive harm, without first providing clear and reasonable warning to such persons regarding the carcinogenicity and reproductive toxicity of Lead. The notice and Complaint allege that Defendant's conduct violates Health & Safety Code §25249.6, the warning provision of Proposition 65.
- 1.4 For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of the violations alleged in CEH's Complaint and personal jurisdiction over Defendant as to the acts alleged in CEH's Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of San Francisco, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment as a full and final resolution of all claims which were or could have been raised in the Complaint based on the facts alleged therein.

certain disputed claims between the Parties as alleged in the Complaint. By executing this Consent Judgment, the Parties do not admit any facts or conclusions of law. It is the parties' intent that nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law or violation of law, nor shall compliance with the Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive or impair any right, remedy, argument or defense the Parties may have in this or any other or future legal proceedings.

2. COMPLIANCE

- 2.1 Level. Within ninety days of entry of this Consent Judgment (the "Compliance Date"), Defendant shall not manufacture, distribute, ship, or sell, or cause to be manufactured, distributed, or sold, any Product that contains Lead concentrations exceeding 200 parts per million ("ppm") in or on the interior lining of the Products or in any polyvinyl chloride ("PVC") used on the exterior of the Products; and that the exterior surface coatings of the Products may not contain Lead concentrations exceeding 600 ppm Lead.
- 2.2 Issuance of Product Specifications to Supplier. In order to help ensure compliance with section 2.1, Defendant shall, within 30 days of entry of this Consent Judgment, issue specifications to its supplier of the Products stating that the interior lining and any PVC used on the exterior of the Products may not contain Lead concentrations exceeding 200 ppm; and that the exterior surface coatings of the Products may not contain Lead concentrations exceeding 600 ppm.
- 2.3 Certification of Level By Supplier. Defendant shall obtain written certification from their supplier of the Products that such Products are in compliance with the Product specifications for all orders of the Products received sixty days or more following entry of the Consent Judgment.
- 2.4 Testing. In order to help ensure compliance with the requirements of Section 2.1, for any single order of an individual Product that exceeds 5000 units purchased by

2.5

26

27

28

Defendant after the Compliance Date, Defendant shall conduct testing on randomly selected units of the Products in an amount equal to 0.1% (but not to exceed a total of 4 units). All of the testing pursuant to this section shall be conducted at an independent laboratory in accordance with the test protocol attached hereto as Exhibit A (the "Test Protocol").

testing required pursuant to section 2.3 shows levels of lead exceeding 200 ppm for the interior lining or any PVC used on the exterior of a Product, or 600 ppm for the exterior surface coating of a Product, Defendant shall return all of the Products that were purchased under the particular purchase order to the supplier with a letter explaining that such Products do not comply with the supplier's certification. Should the testing of Products purchased from a particular supplier demonstrate Lead levels exceeding those set forth in Section 2.1 more than twice in a twelve month period, Defendant shall stop purchasing Products from such supplier.

Confirmatory testing by CEH. CEH intends to conduct periodic testing 2.5 of the Products. Any such testing will be conducted pursuant to the Test Protocol at an independent laboratory. In the event that CEH's testing demonstrates Lead levels in excess of 200 ppm for the interior lining or exterior PVC of one or more Products, or 600 ppm for the exterior surface coating of one or more of the Products, CEH shall inform Defendant of the violation(s), including information sufficient to permit Defendant to identify the Product(s). Defendant shall, within 10 days following such notice, demonstrate compliance with sections 2.2 and 2.3 of this Consent Judgment by providing CEH, at the address listed in section 12, with a copies of the specification issued to, and certification received from its supplier of the Product that tested above the level(s) set in this Consent Judgment. If the Defendant fails to provide CEH with the information demonstrating its compliance with sections 2.2 and 2.3 for the Product(s) purchased from the same supplier as those with tests showing Lead levels exceeding the levels set forth in this Consent Judgment, the Defendant shall be liable for stipulated penalties. The stipulated penalty shall be as follows for each unit of Product for which CEH produces a test result with Lead levels exceeding the levels set in this Consent Judgment for which Defendant has not complied with sections 2.2 - 2.4:

1	First Occurrence: \$1,250
2	Second Occurrence: \$1,500
3	Third Occurrence: \$1,750
4	Thereafter: \$2,500
5	2.6 Inapplicability To Settling Suppliers. This section 2 of the Consent
6	Judgment shall not apply to Products sold by Defendant that are manufactured, distributed and/or
7	sold by any other entity that has signed or signs a Consent Judgment with CEH regarding the
8	Products.
9	3. INTERIM RELIEF
10	3.1 Defendant represents that it immediately stopped selling the Products upon
11	receipt of CEH's 60-day notice. Defendant shall not resume sale of the Products until such
12	Products comply with the requirements of Section 2 of this Consent Judgment.
13	4. SETTLEMENT PAYMENTS
14	4.1 Monetary Payment in Lieu of Penalty: \$3,500 shall be made payable to
15	CEH in lieu of any penalty pursuant to Health and Safety Code § 25249.7(b). CEH shall use
16	such funds to continue its work protecting people from exposures to toxic chemicals. As part of
17	this work, CEH intends to conduct periodic testing of the Products as set forth in section 2.4.
18	4.2 Attorneys' Fees and Costs: \$10,000 shall be made payable to Lexington
19	Law Group, LLP ("LLG") and will be used to reimburse CEH and its attorneys for their
20	reasonable investigation fees and costs, attorneys' fees, and any other costs incurred as a result of
21	investigating, bringing this matter to Defendant's attention, litigating and negotiating a settlement
22	in the public interest.
23	4.3 Timing of payments. The payments required under this section shall be
24	made in two installments. The first installment of \$6,750 is due on or before March 1, 2007.
25	The first installment will include the entire payment due under section 4.1 made payable to CEH,
26	and \$3,250 of the payment required under section 4.2 made payable to LLG. The second
27	payment of \$6,750 is due on or before May 1, 2007 and shall be made payable to LLG. All
28	payments required herein shall be delivered to the address set forth in section 13 below. Any
.	

failure by Defendant to comply with the payment terms herein shall be subject to a stipulated late fee in the amount of \$50 for each day after the delivery date the payment is received. The late fees required under this section shall be recoverable, together with reasonable attorneys' fees, in an enforcement proceeding brought pursuant to section 6 of this Consent Judgment.

5. MODIFICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

- 5.1 This Consent Judgment may be modified by written agreement of CEH and Defendant, or upon motion of CEH or Defendant as provided by law.
- 5.2 CEH intends to enter into agreements with other entities that distribute and/or sell Products. Should Defendant determine that the provisions of any such Consent Judgment with a similarly situated distributor or seller of Products are less stringent, Defendant may request a modification of this Consent Judgment to conform with the terms of the later entered Consent Judgment. Upon 30 days prior written notice of Defendant's request for a modification, CEH shall inform Defendant whether it will agree to such modification. If CEH does not agree, Defendant may move the Court for a modification pursuant to this section.

6. ENFORCEMENT OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

6.1 CEH may, by motion or application for an order to show cause before the Superior Court of the County of San Francisco, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment. Should CEH prevail on any motion or application under this section, CEH shall be entitled to its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs associated with such motion or application.

7. APPLICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

7.1 This Consent Judgment shall apply to and be binding upon the parties hereto, their divisions, subdivisions and subsidiaries, and the successors or assigns of any of them.

8. CLAIMS COVERED

8.1 This Consent Judgment is a full, final and binding resolution between CEH and Defendant of any violation of Proposition 65 that was or could have been asserted in the Complaint against Defendant (including any claims that could be asserted in

connection with any of the Products covered by this Consent Judgment) or its parents, subsidiaries, affiliates, directors, officers, employees, agents, attorneys, distributors, or customers (collectively, "Defendant Releasees") based on failure to warn about alleged exposure to Lead contained in the Products, with respect to any Products manufactured, distributed or sold by Defendant on or prior to the date of entry of this Consent Judgment. Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment constitutes compliance with Proposition 65 for purposes of lead exposures resulting from the Products.

9. SEVERABILITY

9.1 In the event that any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment are held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the enforceable provisions shall not be adversely affected.

10. SPECIFIC PERFORMANCE

10.1 The parties expressly recognize that Defendant's obligations under this Consent Judgment are unique. In the event that any Settling Defendant is found to be in breach of this Consent Judgment for failure to comply with the provisions of Section 2 hereof, the parties agree that it would be extremely impracticable to measure the resulting damages and that such breach would cause irreparable damage. Accordingly, CEH, in addition to any other available rights or remedies, may sue in equity for specific performance, and Defendant expressly waives the defense that a remedy in damages will be adequate.

11. GOVERNING LAW

11.1 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California.

12. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

12.1 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement and enforce the terms this Consent Judgment.

13. PROVISION OF NOTICE

13.1 All notices required pursuant to this Consent Judgment and correspondence shall be sent to the following:

1	For CEH:
2	Mark N. Todzo, Esq.
3	Lexington Law Group, LLP
4	1627 Irving Street
5	San Francisco, CA 94122
6	For Defendant:
7	James J. Scanlon, Esq.
8	Rosenn, Jenkins & Greenwald, LLP
9	15 South Franklin Street
10	Wilkes-Barre, PA 18711
11	14. COURT APPROVAL
12	14.1 If this Consent Judgment is not approved by the Court, it shall be of no
13	further force or effect.
14	15. EXECUTION AND COUNTERPARTS
15	15.1 The stipulations to this Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts
16	and by means of facsimile, which taken together shall be deemed to constitute one document.
17	16. AUTHORIZATION
18	16.1 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully
19	authorized by the party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment and to enter
20	into and execute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the party represented and legally bind that
21	party. The undersigned have read, understand and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this
22	Consent Judgment. Except as explicitly provided herein, each party is to bear its own fees and
23	costs.
24	
25	
26	
27	
2.8	

1	AGREED TO:
2	
· 3	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH
4	
5 '	Dated: 1/4/07
6	Michael Green, Executive Director Center for Environmental Health
7	
8	DADWACE COM DAG
. 9	BABYAGE.COM, INC.
10	Dated:
11	
12	Printed Name
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

28

1	AGREED TO:	
2	2	
3	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH	
4		
5	Dutad	
6	wichaci Green, Executive Director	
7		
8		
9	BABYAGE.COM, INC.	
10	Dated: <u>z/s/2007</u>	-
11	TACK KIETEN	***************************************
12	Printed Name	
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		

Exhibit A

(Test Methodology)

The following protocol shall be applied separately to the interior and exterior material of a finished Product.

- Cut 5 small, discreet portions of the material to be analyzed. 1.
- 2. Combine the portions into a composite sample.
- Prepare the sample for analysis using microwave digestion. Microwave digestion 3. protocols from the following two methods may be used provided that the samples are completely digested:
 - AOAC Official Method 999.10 (Lead, Cadmium, Zinc, Copper, and Iron a. in Foods)
 - NIOSH 7082 (Lead by Flame AAS) Appendix Microwave Digestion for b. Lead in Paint Chips (and other matrices)
- 4. Analyze the sample for total Lead (Pb) content using Graphite Furnace Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometry (GFAAS) or Inductively Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometry (ICP-MS) using standard operating procedures.
- Lead content shall be expressed in parts per million (ppm). 5.

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

ORDER AND JUDGMENT

Based upon the stipulated Consent Judgment between CEH and Baby Universe, Inc., the settlement is approved and judgment is hereby entered according to the terms herein.

Dated: MAR 2 7 2007

Judge, Superior Court of the State of California

Peter J. Busch