1	LEXINGTON LAW GROUP, LLP	
2	Mark N. Todzo, State Bar No. 168389 Eric S. Somers, State Bar No. 139050	<b></b>
3	Ryan D. Cabinte, State Bar No. 230792 1627 Irving Street	ENDORSED FILED San Francisco County Superior Court
4	San Francisco, CA 94122 Telephone: (415) 759-4111 Facsimile: (415) 759-4112	AUG 11 2006
. 5	Attorneys for Plaintiff	GORDON PARK-LI, Clerk
6.	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH	BY: PHILOMENA DIAS Deputy Clerk
7		•
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
9		
10	COUNTY OF SAI	NFRANCISCO
11		
12	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH, ) a non-profit corporation,	Lead Case No. CGC-05-444522
13	j	
14	Plaintiff, )	
15	v. ;	[P <del>ROPOSED] C</del> ONSENT JUDGMENT RE: FASHION ACCESSORY BAZAAR
16	)	
17	ROSS STORES, INC., et al; and DEFENDANT ) DOES 1 through 200, inclusive,	Case No. CGC-05-447915
18	Defendants. )	
19		
20	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH, ) a non-profit corporation,	
21	Plaintiff	
22	<b>)</b>	
23	) )	
24	LISA FRANK, INC.; and DEFENDANT DOES	
25	1 through 200, inclusive,	
26.	Defendants. )	
27	And consolidated actions.	
28.	)	

RINTED ON

RECYCLED PAPER

### 1. INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 On May 11, 2006, plaintiff the Center for Environmental Health ("CEH"), a non-profit corporation acting in the public interest, added Fashion Accessory Bazaar, LLC ("Defendant") as a defendant to the case titled *Center for Environmental Health v. Lisa Frank. Inc.*. et al., San Francisco County Superior Court Case Number CGC-447915 (the "CEH Action"), requesting civil penalties and injunctive relief pursuant to the provisions of Cal. Health & Safety Code §25249.5 et seq. ("Proposition 65").
- distributed and/or sold soft food and beverage containers such as lunch boxes, water bottles, coolers and similar products (the "Products") in the State of California. The term "Products" encompasses only products designated for sale or distribution within the United States.
- agencies with the requisite 60-day notice alleging that Defendant was in violation of Proposition 65 ("Notice"). CEH's Notice and the Complaint in this Action allege that Defendant exposes individuals who use or otherwise handle the Products to lead and/or lead compounds (referred to interchangeably herein as "Lead"), chemicals known to the State of California to cause cancer, birth defects and other reproductive harm, without first providing clear and reasonable warning to such persons regarding the carcinogenicity and reproductive toxicity of Lead. The Notice and Complaint allege that Defendant's conduct violates Proposition 65, including Cal. Health & Safety Code §25249.6. Defendant disputes such allegations and asserts that all its products are safe and comply with all applicable laws, including Consumer Product Safety Commission and Federal Food and Drug Administration standards.
- 1.4 Upon receipt of CEH's Notice, Defendant took immediate measures to address the allegations set forth therein and to investigate the substance of CEH's allegations.
- 1.5 For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of the violations alleged in CEH's Complaint and personal jurisdiction over Defendant as to the acts alleged in CEH's Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of San Francisco, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment as a

.18

PRINTED ON
RECYCLED PAPER

full and final resolution of all claims which were or could have been raised in the Complaint and Notice based on the facts alleged therein.

disputed claims between the Parties as alleged in the Notice and/or Complaint. By executing this Consent Judgment, the Parties do not admit any facts or conclusions of law. It is the Parties' intent that nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law or violation of law, nor shall compliance with the Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law.

## 2. COMPLIANCE - REFORMULATION

- 2.1 [RESERVED.]
- Products. Defendant intends to use PEVA or a similar material which is PVC free and has a Lead concentration which does not exceed 200 parts per million ("ppm"). Within one-hundred eighty (180) days of entry of this Consent Judgment (the "Compliance Date") Defendant shall not manufacture, distribute, ship, or sell or cause to be manufactured, distributed, shipped, or sold, any Product in which the interior lining of the Product or exterior surface coating contains Lead concentrations exceeding 200 ppm or 600 ppm, respectively, or with PVC material used for the exterior of the Product with Lead concentrations exceeding 200 ppm.
- 2.3 Product specifications and certifications. Defendant shall issue specifications to its suppliers requiring that the interior lining of the Products and exterior surface coating of the Products do not contain materials which contain Lead concentrations exceeding 200 ppm and 600 ppm, respectively, and that the exterior of the Products must not contain PVC material with Lead concentrations exceeding 200 ppm. Defendant shall obtain written certification from its suppliers of the Products certifying that the interior lining does not contain Lead concentrations exceeding 200 ppm, that the exterior surface-coating does not contain Lead exceeding 600 ppm, and that the exterior of the Product does not contain PVC material with Lead concentrations exceeding 200 ppm.

RECYCLED PAPER

2.4 Testing. In order to ensure compliance with the requirements of Section 2.2. Defendant shall conduct (or cause to be conducted) testing to confirm that the interior lining of the Products contains less than 200 ppm Lead, that the exterior surface-coating of the Products contains less than 600 ppm Lead, and that the exterior of the Products do not contain PVC material with Lead concentrations exceeding 200 ppm. At all times that Defendant uses PVC for the interior lining of its Products, testing shall be conducted in compliance with Section 2.4.1. At any time that Defendant uses a material other than PVC for the interior lining of its Products, testing shall be conducted in accordance with Section 2.4.2. All testing pursuant to this section shall be performed by an independent laboratory in accordance with the test protocol set forth in Exhibits A and B. attached. The results of all testing performed pursuant to this section 2 shall be made available to both Parties on a confidential basis.

2.4.1 Testing Where Interior Lining Contains PVC. For each of the first two orders of Products purchased from Defendant's suppliers after the Compliance Date, Defendant shall randomly select and test the greater of 0.1% (one-tenth of one percent) or two, but in no case more than four, of the total Products purchased from each supplier of the Products intended for sale in California. Following the first two orders, Defendant shall test the Products in accordance with 2.4.2.

- 2.4.2 Random Testing. Testing pursuant to this section 2 shall be performed on randomly selected units by an independent laboratory in accordance with the test protocol set forth in Exhibits A and B, attached, and in accordance with Defendant's usual testing practices.

  Defendant's usual testing practices include testing as required by its various retailers. At a minimum, during each calendar year, Defendant shall randomly select and test the greater of 0.1% (one-tenth of one percent) or two, but in no case more than four, of the total Products purchased from each supplier of the Products intended for sale in California. At the request of CEH, the results of all testing performed pursuant to this section shall be made available to CEH on a confidential basis.
- 2.5 Products that exceed stipulated levels pursuant to Defendant's Testing. If the results of the testing required pursuant to section 2.4 show levels of lead exceeding 200 ppm for the

11

12

10

13 14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

2223

2425

26

2728

2 PRINTED ON

RECYCLED PAPER

interior lining of a Product. 600 ppm for the exterior surface-coating of a Product, or 200 ppm for PVC material used for the exterior of a Product, Defendant shall: (1) refuse to accept all of the Products that were purchased under the particular purchase order; (2) send a notice to the supplier explaining that such Products do not comply with the supplier's certification; and (3) apply the testing frequency set forth in 2.4 as though the next shipment from the supplier were the first one following the Compliance Date.

2.6 Confirmatory testing by CEH. CEH intends to conduct periodic testing of the Products. Any such testing will be conducted by CEH at an independent laboratory, in accordance with the test protocol set forth in Exhibits A and B, attached. In the event that CEH's testing demonstrates Lead levels in excess of 200 ppm for the interior lining, 600 ppm for the exterior surface-coating, or 200 ppm for exterior PVC material of two or more Products, CEH shall inform Defendant of the test results, including information sufficient to permit Defendant to identify the Product(s). Defendant shall, within 20 days following such notice, provide CEH, at the address listed in section 12, with its supplier certification and testing information demonstrating its compliance with sections 2.3 and 2.4 of this Consent Judgment. If Defendant fails to provide CEH with information demonstrating that it complied with sections 2.3 or 2.4 (as the case may be), Defendant shall be liable for stipulated payments in lieu of penalties for Products for which CEH produces tests demonstrating Lead levels exceeding 200 ppm for the interior lining, 600 ppm for exterior surface-coating, or 200 ppm for exterior PVC material, as set forth below. In addition, Defendant shall then apply the testing frequency set forth in 2.4 as though the next shipment from the supplier were the first one following the Compliance Date. The payments shall be made to CEH and used for the purposes described in section 3.1.

2.6.1 Stipulated Payments In Lieu of Penalties. If stipulated payments in lieu of penalties are warranted under section 2.6, the stipulated payment amount shall be as follows:

1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	ļ	•
8		
9		į
10		(
11		1
12		•
13		
14		
15	İ	t
16		(
17		F
18		2
19		
20		L
21		i
22		b
23		iı
24		
25		S
	1	

First Occurrence:	\$1.250
Second Occurrence:	\$1,500
Third Occurrence:	\$1,750
Thereafter:	\$2,500

Notwithstanding the foregoing, the maximum stipulated payment amount in a calendar year, regardless of the number of units of Defendant's Products tested by CEH with exceedances of the Lead levels set forth in this Consent Judgment, shall be \$3,500.

2.6.2 Products in the stream of commerce. Defendant's Products that have been manufactured, shipped, sold, or that otherwise are in the stream of commerce prior to the Compliance Date shall be released from any claims that were brought or that could have been brought by CEH in its Complaint, as though they were Covered Claims within the meaning of Section 7, below.

#### 3. SETTLEMENT PAYMENTS

- 3.1 Monetary Payment in Lieu of Penalty. Defendant shall pay to CEH fifteen thousand dollars (\$15,000) in lieu of any penalty pursuant to Health and Safety Code §25249.7(b). CEH shall use such funds to continue its work protecting people from exposures to toxic chemicals. As part of this work, CEH intends to conduct periodic testing of the Products as set forth in section 2.6.
- 3.2 Attorneys' Fees and Costs. Thirty thousand dollars (\$30,000) shall be paid to The Lexington Law Group to reimburse The Lexington Law Group and its attorneys for their reasonable investigation fees and costs, attorneys' fees, and any other costs incurred as a result of investigating, bringing this matter to Defendant' attention, litigating and negotiating a settlement in the public interest.
- 3.3 Timing of payments. All payments required under Sections 3.1 and 3.2, above, shall be paid into a Lexington Law Group, LLP interest bearing Client Funds Account within five (5) days after execution of this proposed Consent Judgment by the Parties. The payments deposited, including interest, may not be withdrawn from the Client Funds Account or otherwise utilized until after this Consent Judgment is final, including all appeals, if any.

26

27

28

9

10

12

13<sub>.</sub>

15

16

17

18

1,9 20

22

21

2324

25

26

27 28

PRINTED ON RECYCLED PAPER

## 4. MODIFICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

- 4.1 This Consent Judgment may be modified by written agreement of CEH and Defendant, or upon motion of CEH or Defendant as provided by law.
- 4.2 CEH intends to enter into agreements with other entities that manufacture, distribute and/or sell Products. Should Defendant determine that the provisions of any such Consent Judgment with a similarly situated manufacturer or distributor of products are less stringent. Defendant may request a modification of this Consent Judgment to conform with the terms of the later entered Consent Judgment. Upon 30 days prior written notice of Defendant's request for a modification, CEH shall inform Defendant whether it will agree to such modification. If CEH does not agree, Defendant may move the Court for a modification pursuant to this section.

## 5. ENFORCEMENT OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

5.1 Either Party may, by motion or application for an order to show cause, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment. The prevailing Party on any motion or application shall be entitled to its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs associated with such motion or application.

## 6. APPLICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

6.1 This Consent Judgment shall apply to and be binding upon the Parties hereto, their divisions, subdivisions and subsidiaries, and the successors or assigns of any of them.

#### 7. CLAIMS COVERED

Defendant of any violation of Proposition 65 that was or could have been asserted in the Notice or Complaint against Defendant (including any claims that could be asserted in connection with any of the Products covered by this Consent Judgment) or its parents, subsidiaries, affiliates, directors, officers, employees, agents, attorneys, distributors, or customers (collectively, "Defendant Releasees") based on failure to warn about alleged Proposition 65 exposures with respect to any Products manufactured, distributed or sold by Defendant ("Covered Claims") on or prior to the date of entry of this Consent Judgment. Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment constitutes compliance with Proposition 65 for purposes of Lead exposures from the Products.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

2324

25

26

27

28

4009556v1

PRINTED ON RECYCLED PAPER

7.2 Further. CEH hereby releases all retailers, distributors, and licensors of Defendant's products from any claims related to the Notice, the Complaint and this Judgment and CEH agrees to dismiss any such claims that it has asserted or could assert against any retailers, distributors, or licensors of Defendant's Products. If CEH has filed a complaint against a retailer, distributor, or licensor of Defendant's Products, then CEH shall within 10 days of entry of this Consent Judgment file a dismissal, with prejudice, as to those claims relating to Defendant's Products. CEH shall provide Defendant with a conformed copy of the dismissal. CEH further agrees to provide Defendant with a letter substantially similar to the one attached hereto as Exhibit C, stating that it has released all of Defendant's distributors, retailers, and licensors from any liability relating to sales of Products manufactured and/or sold by Defendant. As to each of Defendant's retailers, distributors, or licensors which have not been served with a 60-day notice letter or a complaint by CEH. CEH agrees not to serve a 60-day notice on said retailer, distributor, or licensor which includes within its scope, whether directly or indirectly, any of Defendant's products. For purposes of the release provided in this Section, the term "retailer, distributor, or licensor" shall include their respective related entities, predecessors, successors, assigns, parents, subsidiaries, affiliates, officers, partners, directors, stockholders, shareholders, attorneys, representatives, agents and employees, past, present and future.

## 8. [RESERVED.]

#### 9. SPECIFIC PERFORMANCE

9.1 The Parties expressly recognize that Defendant's obligations under this Consent Judgment are unique. In the event that Defendant is found to be in breach of this Consent Judgment for failure to comply with the provisions of Section 2 hereof, the Parties agree that it would be extremely impracticable to measure the resulting damages and that such breach would cause irreparable damage. Accordingly, CEH, in addition to any other available rights or remedies, may sue in equity for specific performance, and Defendant expressly waives the defense that a remedy in damages will be adequate.

I	10. GOVERNING LAW			
2	10.1 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of			
3	California.			
4	11. CONSENT JUDGMENT TERMINATION AND RETENTION OF JURISDICTION			
5	11.1 This Consent Judgment will automatically terminate and be of no further force.			
6	validity or affect as of July 1, 2011.			
7	11.2 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement and enforce the terms			
8	this Consent Judgment while it is in effect.			
9	12. PROVISION OF NOTICE			
10	12.1 All notices required pursuant to this Consent Judgment and correspondence shall be			
11	. i			
12	For CEH:			
13	Mark N. Todzo			
14	Lexington Law Group, LLP			
15	1627 Irving Street			
16	San Francisco, CA 94122			
17	For Defendant:			
18	Malcolm Weiss, Esq.			
19	Jeffer, Mangels, Butler Marmaro, LLP			
20	1900 Avenue of the Stars, 7th Floor			
21	Los Angeles, CA 90067			
22	13. COURT APPROVAL			
23	13.1 CEH will comply with the settlement notice provisions of Health and Safety Code			
24	§ 25249.7(f) and Title 11 of the California Code of Regulations § 3003.			
25	13.2 If this Consent Judgment is not approved by the Court, it shall be of no further force			
6	or effect. If this Consent Judgment is appealed, with the exception of the injunctive relief			
7	provisions in Section 2, above, which remain in effect during any appeal, it does not become			
8	effective and has no force or effect until all issues on appeal are resolved.			
- 1				

PRINTED ON
RECYCLED PAPER

4009556v1

1	14.	EXE	CUTION AND COUNTERPA	ARTS	٠	•	•		
2		14.1	The stipulations to this Conse	ent Judgmer	ıt ma	y be exe	ecuted in cou	nterparts and	i by
3	mean	s of fac	simile, which taken together sha	all be deeme	ed to	constitu	ite one docun	nent.	
4	15.	AUT	HORIZATION				·		
5		15.1	Each signatory to this Conser	ıt Judgment	certi	fies that	t he or she is	fully authori	zed
6	by the	e Party l	ne or she represents to stipulate	to this Cons	sent .	Judgmer	nt and to ente	r into and ex	recute
7	the C	onsent J	udgment on behalf of the Party	represented	i and	legally	bind that Par	ty. The	
8	under	signed l	nave read, understand and agree	to all of the	e terr	ns and c	conditions of	this Consent	ţ
9	Judgn	nent. E	xcept as explicitly provided her	ein, each Pa	uty is	s to bear	its own fees	and costs.	
10	AGR	EED T	0:						
11	CENT	ER FO	R ENVIRONMENTAL HEAL	TH					
12									
13-		A/	111	·			1		
14	<u> </u>	1/1	chal C	Dated	<b>d</b> :	5/:	25/06		
15	<del>-</del> .	Micha	el Green, Executive Director				,		
16		Center	for Environmental Health						
17			•		-	ě			
18							,		
19	FASH	ION A	CCESSORY BAZAAR, LLC						
20						• .		÷	
21				Dated	1:			•	
22		Steve 1	Russo, President						
23	•	Fashio	n Accessory Bazaar, LLC	•	•				
4									
.5									
6					1				
7	•							•	
8									
	-			- 10 -	<del></del>	POSEDT	CONSENT JUI	DGMENT RE:	
					_				

ED ON

I	14. EXECUTION AND COUNTERPARTS			
2	14.1 The stipulations to this Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by			
3	means of facsimile, which taken together shall be deemed to constitute one document.			
4	15. AUTHORIZATION			
5	15.1 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized			
6	by the Party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment and to enter into and execute			
7.	the Consent Judgment on behalf of the Party represented and legally bind that Party. The			
8	undersigned have read, understand and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this Consent			
9	Judgment. Except as explicitly provided herein, each Party is to bear its own fees and costs.			
10	AGREED TO:			
11	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH			
12				
13				
14.	Dated:			
15	Michael Green. Executive Director			
16	Center for Environmental Health			
17				
18	$oldsymbol{\wedge}$			
19	FASHION ACCESSORY BAZAAR, LLC			
20				
21	Dated: /Une 12, 2006			
22	Steve Russo, President			
23	Fashion Accessory Bazaar, LLC			
24				
25				
26				
27				

PRINTED ON RECYCLED PAPER

28

# (Test Methodology for Interior Lining and Exterior Material Containing PVC)

The following protocol, implementing EPA Method 3050B, shall be applied to the interior lining material of a finished Product.

- 1. Cut 5 small, discreet portions of the material to be analyzed.
- 2. Combine the portions into a composite sample weighing one (1) gram.
- 3. Prepare the sample for analysis using acid digestion. Fully digest the sample using repeated additions of concentrated nitric acid (HNO3) and 30% hydrogen peroxide (H2O2) while heating in a microwave. Reduce the resultant digestate in volume while heating with a microwave and then diluted to a final volume of 100 mL.
- 4. Analyze the sample for total Lead (Pb) content using Graphite Furnace Atomic Absorption Spectrometry (GFAA) or Inductively Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometry (ICP-MS) using standard operating procedures.
  - 5. Lead content shall be expressed in parts per million (ppm).

PRINTED ON

RECYCLED PAPER

7

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

.17

18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28

4009556v1

PRINTED ON RECYCLED PAPER

# (Exterior Surface-Coating Test Methodology)

The following protocol, implementing ASTM F-963, shall be applied to the exterior surface-coating of a finished Product.

- Scrape off coating and grind it through a sieve, obtaining no less than 100 milligrams.
- Mix the test portion prepared with 50 times its mass of aqueous solution of 0.07 mol/L hydrochloric acid at 37+- 2 Deg Celsius. Shake for 1 minute.
  - 3. Check for PH, between 1.0 and 1.5. Adjust as required.
- Without delay, separate the solids from the mixture by filtering with a pore size of 0.45um. If necessary centrifuge at 5000 g for no more than 10 minutes.
- Analytical results as determined shall be adjusted by subtracting the analytical correction factor as is necessary to make statistical correction for interlaboratory error. The analytical correction factor for lead is 30%.

{PROPOSED} CONSENT JUDGMENT RE: FASHION ACCESSORY BAZAAR - CASE NO. CGC-447915

### Exhibit C (Release Letter)

### [Lexington Law Group Letterhead]

Malcolm Weiss, Esq.
Jeffer, Mangels, Butler & Marmaro, LLP
1900 Avenue of the Stars, 7th Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90067

Re: Center for Environmental Health v. Fashion Accessory Bazaar, LLC, et al., San Francisco County Superior Court Case Number CGC-06-[]

Mr. Weiss:

Pursuant to Section 7.2 of the consent judgment ("Consent Judgment") entered into in the above-referenced matter between the Center for Environmental Health ("CEH") and Fashion Accessory Bazaar, LLC ("FAB"), CEH hereby confirms that it has released all of FAB's distributors, retailers, and licensors from any liability relating to sales of soft food and beverage containers such as lunch boxes, water bottles, coolers, and similar products manufactured and/or sold by FAB ("Products") and from any claims related to or referenced in the 60-day Notice or the Consent Judgment (collectively, "Claims") and any 60-day notices issued to FAB or any of its retailers, distributors of licensors. For purposes of the release contained in the Consent Judgment and this letter, the term "retailers, distributors, and licensors" shall include their respective related entities, predecessors, successors, assigns, parents, subsidiaries, affiliates, officers, partners, directors, stockholders, shareholders, attorneys, representatives, agents and employees, past, present and future.

This letter acts as a retraction and rescission of all 60-day notices related to FAB's products in lieu of sending separate letters to each enforcement agency. If CEH has filed a complaint against any retailer, distributor, or licensor of FAB's Products, then CEH shall within 10 days of the entry of the Consent Judgment file a dismissal, with prejudice, as to those Claims relating to FAB's Products.

Mark N. Todzo, Esq. for Center for Environmental Health

PRINTED ON RECYCLED PAPER

## ORDER AND JUDGMENT

Based upon the stipulated Consent Judgment between CEH and Fashion Accessory Bazaar, LLC, the settlement is approved and judgment is hereby entered according to the terms herein.

Dated: AUG 1 1 2006

PAUL H. ALVARADO

Judge, Superior Court of the State of California

PRINTED ON RECYCLED PAPER

- 14 -

[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT RE: FASHION ACCESSORY BAZAAR – CASE NO. CGC-447915