		CIIED
1 2 3 4 5	Christopher M. Martin, State Bar No. 186021 D. Joshua Voorhees, State Bar No. 241436 Aparna L. Reddy, State Bar No. 242895 HIRST & CHANLER LLP 566 W. Adams Street, Suite 450 Chicago, IL 60661 Telephone: (312) 376-1801 Facsimile: (312) 376-1804 Attorneys for Plaintiff RUSSELL BRIMER	NOV 1 9 2007 GORDON PARK-LI, Clark By: Deputy Clerk
7 8 9 10	Stuart I. Block, State Bar No. 160688 Peter M. Morrisette, State Bar No. 209190 COX, CASTLE & NICHOLSON 555 California Street, Floor 10 San Francisco, CA 94104 Telephone: (415) 262-5145 Facsimile: (415) 392-4250	
12 13 14	Attorneys for Defendants DOLE PLANTATION; CASTLE & COOKE, INC DOLE FOOD COMPANY, INC. SUPERIOR COURT OF THE	
15 16 17 18	FOR THE CITY AND COUN' UNLIMITED CIVIL	
19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	Plaintiff, v. DOLE PLANTATION; CASTLE & COOKE, INC.; DOLE FOOD COMPANY, INC.; and DOES 1 through 150, inclusive, Defendants.	Case No. CGC-06-449070 Compared CGC-06-449070 Compared CGC-06-449070 CGC-06-449070 CGC-06-449070 CGC-06-4490
27 28		

[PROPOSED] ORDER PURSUANT TO TERMS OF STIPULATION AND ORDER RE CONSENT JUDGMENT

14 15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26 27

28

In the above-entitled action, Plaintiff RUSSELL BRIMER and Defendants CASTLE & COOKE PROPERTIES, INC., D/B/A DOLE PLANTATION; CASTLE & COOKE, INC.; DOLE FOOD COMPANY, INC. have agreed through their respective counsel that judgment be entered pursuant to the terms of the Consent Judgment entered into by the above-referenced parties and attached hereto as Exhibit A; and after consideration of the papers submitted and the arguments presented, the Court finds that the settlement agreement set out in the attached Consent Judgment meets the criteria established by Senate Bill 471, in that:

- The health hazard warning that is required by the Consent Judgment complies with Health & Safety Code section 25249.7 (as amended by Senate Bill 471);
- The reimbursement of fees and costs to be paid pursuant to the parties' Consent Judgment is reasonable under California law; and
- The civil penalty amount to be paid pursuant to the parties' Consent Judgment is reasonable.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that judgment be entered in this case, in accordance with the terms of the Consent Judgment, attached hereto as Exhibit A.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated:

NOV 1 6 2007

JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT

Case # 449070 Brimer us Dole

1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Christopher M. Martin, State Bar No. 186021 D. Joshua Voorhees, State Bar No. 241436 Aparna L. Reddy, State Bar No. 242895 HIRST & CHANLER LLP Hinsdale Square 23 N. Lincoln, Suite 204 Chicago, IL. 60521 Telephone: (630) 789-6998 Facsimile: (630) 214-0979 Attorneys for Plaintiff RUSSELL BRIMER Peter M. Morrisette, State Bar No. 209190	
8	Stuart I. Block, State Bar No. 160688 COX, CASTLE & NICHOLSON	
9	555 Montgomery Street Suite 1500	
10	San Francisco, CA 94111 Telephone: (415) 392-4200	
11	Facsimile: (415) 392-4250	
12	Attorneys for Defendants DOLE PLANTATION; CASTLE & COOKE, IN	JC - DOLE FOOD COMPANY INC
13	DOLE I LATON TON, CHOICE & COCIE, I	10,, 2011 1002 00, 11 11, 110,
14	SUPERIOR COURT OF TH	IE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
15	·	INTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
16		IL JURISDICTION
17	ON DAMAGE CEL	
18		I
19	RUSSELL BRIMER	Case No. CGC-06-449070
20	Plaintiff, v.	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE: CONSENT JUDGMENT
21	DOLE PLANTATION; CASTLE & COOKE,	,
22	INC.; DOLE FOOD COMPANY, INC.; and DOES 1 through 150,	
23	Defendants.	
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE: CONS	ENT JUDGMENT CASE NO. CGC-06-449070

I. INTRODUCTION

1.1

Russell Brimer And Dole

2

4

This Consent Judgment is entered into by and between plaintiff Russell Brimer (hereinafter Brimer or plaintiff) and defendant Castle & Cooke Properties, Inc., d/b/a Dole Plantation; Castle & Cooke, Inc.; Dole Food Company, Inc., (hereinafter Dole or defendant), with Brimer and Dole collectively referred to as the "parties."

5

7

8

1.2 Plaintiff

9 10

Brimer is an individual residing in California who seeks to promote awareness of exposures to toxic chemicals and improve human health by reducing or eliminating hazardous substances contained in consumer products.

11

1.3 Defendant

12 13 Dole employs ten or more persons and is a person in the course of doing business for purposes of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health & Safety Code §§25249.5 et seq. (Proposition 65).

15 16

14

1.4 General Allegations

17 18 Brimer alleges that Dole has distributed and/or sold in the State of California certain shot glasses and other glassware and mugs and other ceramic ware intended for the consumption of food or beverages with colored artwork or designs containing lead on the exterior. Lead is listed pursuant to Proposition 65 as a chemical known to the State of California to cause birth defects and other reproductive harm. Lead shall be referred to herein as the "listed chemical."

20 21

19

1.5 Product Description

22 23

The products that are covered by this Consent Judgment are defined as follows: certain glass and ceramic beverageware identified in Exhibit A to this Consent Judgment. All such glass and ceramic beverageware shall be referred to herein as the "products."

24

1.6 Notices of Violation

26

25

On November 23, 2005, Brimer served Dole and various public enforcement agencies with a document entitled "60-Day Notice of Violation" (Notice) that provided Dole and such public enforcers with notice that alleged that Dole was in violation of California Health & Safety Code

27 28

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE: CONSENT JUDGMENT CASE NO. CGC-06-449070

///

§25249.6 for failing to warn consumers and customers that the products that Dole sold exposed users in California to the listed chemical.

1.7 Complaint

On July 7, 2006, Brimer, who is acting in the interest of the general public in California, filed a complaint (Complaint or Action) in the Superior Court in and for the City and County of San Francisco against Dole Plantation; Castle & Cooke, Inc.; Dole Food Company, Inc.; and Does 1 through 150, (Brimer v. Dole Plantation; Castle & Cooke, Inc.; Dole Food Company, Inc.; and Does 1 through 150, San Francisco Superior Court Case No. CGC- 06-449070) alleging violations of Health & Safety Code §25249.6 based on the alleged exposures to the listed chemical contained in the products Dole sold.

1.8 No Admission

Dole denies the material, factual and legal allegations contained in Brimer's Notice and Complaint and maintains that all products that it has sold and distributed in California, including the products defined in Section 1.5 above, have been and are in compliance with all laws. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by Dole of any fact, finding, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with this Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by Dole of any fact, finding, conclusion, issue of law or violation of law, such being specifically denied by Dole. However, this Section shall not diminish or otherwise affect the obligations, responsibilities and duties of Dole under this Consent Judgment.

1.9 Consent to Jurisdiction

For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over Dole as to the allegations contained in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of San Francisco and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter and enforce the provisions of this Consent Judgment.

1.10 Effective Date

For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term "effective date" shall mean December 29, 2006.

2. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF: WARNINGS AND REFORMULATION

2.1 Product Warnings

After the effective date, Dole shall not sell, ship, or offer to be shipped for sale in California products containing the listed chemical unless such products are sold or shipped with the clear and reasonable warning set out in this Section 2.1, comply with the reformulation standards set forth in Section 2.3 or are otherwise exempt pursuant to Section 2.2.

Any warning issued for products pursuant to this Section 2.1 shall be prominently placed with such conspicuousness as compared with other words, statements, designs, or devices as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual under customary conditions before purchase or, for products shipped directly to an individual in California or used in the workplace, before use. Any warning issued pursuant to this Section 2.1 shall be provided in a manner such that the consumer or user understands to which specific product the warning applies, so as to minimize if not eliminate the chance that an overwarning situation will arise.

Sections 2.1(a)-(b) describe Dole's options for satisfying its warning obligations depending, in part, on the manner of sale. The following warnings will be applicable when the product is sold either to consumers or in a business-to-business transaction:

(a) Retail Store Sales

(i) Product Labeling. From the effective date, a warning will be affixed to the packaging, labeling or directly on the product by Dole or its agent, that states:

WARNING: The materials used as colored decorations on the exterior of this product contain lead, a chemical known to the State of California to cause birth defects and other reproductive harm.

obligations by ensuring that signs are posted at retail outlets in the State of California where the products are sold. In order to avail itself of the point-of-sale option, Dole shall provide a written notice (via certified mail in the first quarter of each calendar year) to each retailer or distributor to whom Dole sells or transfers the products directly, which informs such retailers or distributors that point-of-sale warnings are required at each retail location in the State of California. Dole shall

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

include a copy of the warning signs and posting instructions with such notice. Further, Dole must receive and make available for Brimer's inspection, upon request, a written commitment: (a) from each retailer to whom Dole sells products directly that said retailer will post the warning signs; and (b) from each distributor to whom Dole sells products directly that the distributor will transmit the point-of-sale warning notice and instructions to its direct customers. Point-of-sale warnings shall be provided through one or more signs posted in close proximity to the point of display of the products that states:

> WARNING: The materials used as colored decorations on the exterior of this product contain lead, a chemical known to the State of California to cause birth defects and other reproductive harm.

Mail Order Catalog and Internet Sales. Defendant shall satisfy its **(b)** warning obligations for products that are sold by mail order catalog or from the Internet to California residents, by providing a warning: (i) in the mail order catalog; (ii) on the website; mail order catalog or on the website shall identify the specific product to which the warning applies as further specified in Sections 2.1(b)(i), (ii) and/or (iii) as applicable:

(i) Mail Order Catalog. Any warning provided in a mail order catalog must be in the same type size or larger as the product description text within the catalog. The following warning shall be provided on the same page and in the same location as the display and/or description of the product:

> WARNING: The materials used as colored decorations on the exterior of this product contain lead, a chemical known to the State of California to cause birth defects and other reproductive

Where it is impracticable to provide the warning on the same page and in the same location as the display and/or description of the product, Dole may utilize a designated symbol to cross reference the applicable warning (designated symbol) and shall define the term "designated symbol" with the following language on the inside of the front cover of the catalog or on the same page as any order form for the product(s):

WARNING: The materials used as colored decorations on the exterior of certain products identified with this symbol ▼ and offered for sale in this catalog contain lead, a chemical known to the State of California to cause birth defects and other reproductive harm.

The designated symbol (shown on Exhibit B attached hereto) must appear on the same page and in close proximity to the display and/or description of the product. On each page where the designated symbol appears, Dole must provide a header or footer directing the consumer to the warning language and definition of the designated symbol.

If defendant elects to provide warnings in the mail order catalog, then the warnings must be included in all catalogs offering to sell one or more products printed after December 29, 2006.

(ii) Internet Web Sites and Pages. A warning may be given in conjunction with the sale of the product via the Internet, provided it appears either: (a) on the same web page on which the product is displayed; (b) on the same web page as the order form for the product; (c) on the same page as the price for any product; or (d) on one or more web pages displayed to a purchaser during the checkout process. The following warning statement shall be used and shall appear in any of the above instances adjacent to or immediately following the display, description, or price of the product for which it is given in the same type size or larger as the product description text:

WARNING: The materials used as colored decorations on the exterior of this product contain lead, a chemical known to the State of California to cause birth defects and other reproductive harm.

Alternatively, the designated symbol may appear adjacent to or immediately following the display, description or price of the product for which a warning is being given, provided that the following warning statement also appears elsewhere on the same web page:

WARNING: Products identified on this page with the following symbol use materials that contain lead as colored decorations on their exterior, a chemical known to the State of California to cause birth defects and other reproductive harm: \(\neg \).

(315) Books on I would be Tought pendents on Indiana.

or that the Internet, a warning may be provided with the product when it is shipped directly to an individual in California, by either: (a) affixing the following warning language to the packaging. labeling or directly to a specific product; (b) inserting a warning card measuring at least 4" x 6" in the shipping carton which contains the following warning language; or (c) by making the following warning statement on the packing slip or customer invoice on the line directly below the description of the product on the packing slip or customer invoice:

WARNING: The traterials used on this product as exterior decorations contain lead, a chemical known to the State of California to cause birth defects and other reproductive harm.

Alternatively, Dole may place the following language on the packing slip or invoice and specifically identifying the product in lettering of the same size or larger as the description of the product:

WARNING: The materials used as colored decorations on the exterior of the following product(s) contain lead, a chemical known to the State of California to cause birth defects or other reproductive harm:

[list products for which warning is given].

The defendant shall, in any of these instances, in conjunction with providing the warning, also inform the consumer, in a conspicuous manner, that he or she may return the product for full the constant of the product for full the control of the product within the standard of the product of

(20) hayo we have or her tweether of are product.

2.2 Exceptions

The warning requirements set forth in Section 2.1 shall not apply to:

- (i) Any products shipped to a third party before the effective date; or
- (ii) Reformulated products (as defined in Section 2.3 below).

2.3 Reformulation Standards

The following products shall be deemed "reformulated products" and to comply with Proposition 65 and be exempt from any Proposition 65 warning requirements under Sections 2.1: The products must only utilize decorating materials that contain six one-hundredths of one percent (0.06%) or less of lead by weight; and there must be no detectable lead in the lip-and-tim area.

2.4 Reformulation Commitment

Dole hereby commits that all products that it offers for sale in California after September 1, 2007, shall qualify as reformulated products.

3. MONETARY PAYMENTS

3.1 Penalties Pursuant to Health & Safety Code §25249.7(b)

The total settlement amount shall be \$4,000, which shall be paid by Dole as set forth herein. Dole shall receive a credit of \$2,000 against the penalty amount in light of its prompt cooperation with Brimer in resolving this matter. Pursuant to Health & Safety Code §25249.7(b), Dole shall pay the remaining \$2,000 in civil penalties in two installments. The first payment of \$1,000 shall be made on or before December 29, 2006. The second payment of \$1,000 shall be payable October 1, 2007. The second payment shall be waived in the event that Dole certifies in writing under penalty of perjury with supporting facts and documentation, not later than September

[&]quot;Lip-and-Rim Area" is defined as the exterior top 20 millimèters of a hollowware food or beverage product.

1, 2007, that it has complied with the Reformulation Commitment set forth in Section 2.4. Said payments shall be made payable to the "HIRST & CHANLER LLP in Trust For Russell Brimer" and shall be delivered to plaintiff's counsel at the following address:

HIRST & CHANLER LLP Attn: Proposition 65 Controller 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710-2565

3.2 Apportionment of Penalties Received

All penalty monies received shall be apportioned by Brimer in accordance with Health & Safety Code §25192, with 75% of these funds remitted by Brimer to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment and the remaining 25% of these penalty monies retained by Brimer as provided by Health & Safety Code §25249.12(d). Brimer shall bear all responsibility for apportioning and paying to the State of California the appropriate civil penalties paid in accordance with this Section.

4. REIMBURSEMENT OF FEES AND COSTS

The parties acknowledge that Brimer and his counsel offered to resolve this dispute without reaching terms on the amount of fees and costs to be reimbursed to them, thereby leaving this fee issue to be resolved after the material terms of the agreement had been settled. Dole then expressed a desire to resolve the fee and cost issue shortly after the other settlement terms had been finalized. The parties then attempted to (and did) reach an accord on the compensation due to Brimer and his counsel under the private attorney general doctrine codified at California Code of Civil Procedure §1021.5 for all work performed through the Court's approval of this agreement. Under the private attorney general doctrine, Dole shall reimburse Brimer and his counsel for fees and costs incurred as a result of investigating, bringing this matter to Dole's attention, litigating

and negotiating a settlement in the public interest and seeking the Court's approval of the settlement agreement. Dole shall pay Brimer and his counsel \$15,000 for all attorneys' fees, expert and investigation fees, litigation and related costs. The payment shall be made payable to HIRST & CHANLER, LLP and shall be delivered on or before December 29, 2006, at the following address:

HIRST & CHANLER LLP Attn: Proposition 65 Controller 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710-2565

5. RELEASE OF ALL CLAIMS

5.1 Release of Dole and Downstream Customers

In further consideration of the promises and agreements herein contained, and for the payments to be made pursuant to Sections 3 and 4, Brimer, on behalf of himself, his past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors and/or assignees, and in the interest of the general public, hereby waives all rights to institute or participate in, directly or indirectly, any form of legal action and releases all claims, including, without limitation, all actions, and causes of action, in law or in equity, suits, liabilities, demands, obligations, damages, costs, fines, penalties, losses or expenses (including, but not limited to, investigation fees, expert fees and attorneys' fees) of any nature whatsoever, whether known or unknown, fixed or contingent (collectively "claims"), against Dole and each of its downstream distributors, wholesalers, licensors, licensees, auctioneers, retailers, franchisees, dealers, customers, owners, purchasers, users, parent companies, corporate affiliates, subsidiaries, and their respective officers, directors, attorneys, representatives, shareholders, agents, and employees, and sister and parent entities (collectively "releasees"). This release is limited to those claims that arise under Proposition 65, as such claims relate to Dole's

alleged failure to warn about exposures to or identification of the listed chemical contained in the products.

The parties further understand and agree that this release shall not extend upstream to any entities that manufactured the products or any component parts thereof, or any distributors or suppliers who sold the products or any component parts thereof to Dole.

5.2 Dole's Release of Brimer

Dole waives any and all claims against Brimer, his attorneys and other representatives, for any and all actions taken or statements made (or those that could have been taken or made) by Brimer and his attorneys and other representatives, whether in the course of investigating claims or otherwise seeking enforcement of Proposition 65 against it in this matter, and/or with respect to the products.

6. COURT APPROVAL

This Consent Judgment is not effective until it is approved and entered by the Court and shall be null and void if, for any reason, it is not approved and entered by the Court within one year after it has been fully executed by all parties, in which event any monies that have been provided to plaintiff, or his counsel pursuant to Section 3 and/or Section 4 above, shall be refunded within fifteen (15) days after receiving written notice from Dole that the one-year period has expired.

7. SEVERABILITY

If, subsequent to court approval of this Consent Judgment, any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment are held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the enforceable provisions remaining shall not be adversely affected.

ATTORNEYS' FEES 8,

13123761804

In the event that, after Court approval: (1) Dole or any third party seeks modification of this Consent Judgment pursuant to Section 14 below; or (2) Brimer takes reasonable and necessary steps to enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment, Brimer shall be entitled to his reasonable attorneys' fees and costs pursuant to CCP §1021.5.

9. GOVERNING LAW

The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California and apply within the State of California. In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed or is otherwise rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally, or as to the products, then Dole shall provide written notice to Brimer of any asserted change in the law, and shall have no further obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment with respect to, and to the extent that, the products are so affected.

10. NOTICES

Unless specified herein, all correspondence and notices required to be provided pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be in writing and personally delivered or sent by: (i) first-class, (registered or certified mail) return receipt requested; or (ii) overnight courier on any party by the other party at the following addresses:

To Dole:

Peter M. Morrisette Cox, Castle & Nicholson 555 Montgomery Street Suite 1500 San Francisco, CA 94111

///

///

25

26

27

28

3

4 5

6

7 8

9

10 11

12 13

14 15

16

17 18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25 26

27

28

To Brimer:

Proposition 65 Coordinator HIRST & CHANLER LLP 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710-2565

13123761804

Any party, from time to time, may specify in writing to the other party a change of address to which all notices and other communications shall be sent.

COUNTERPARTS: FACSIMILE SIGNATURES 11.

This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by facsimile, each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute one and the same document.

COMPLIANCE WITH HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 25249.7(f) 12.

Brimer agrees to comply with the reporting form requirements referenced in Health & Safety Code §25249.7(f).

ADDITIONAL POST EXECUTION ACTIVITIES 13.

Brimer and Dole agree to mutually employ their best efforts to support the entry of this Agreement as a Consent Judgment and obtain approval of the Consent Judgment by the Court in a timely manner. The parties acknowledge that, pursuant to Health & Safety Code §25249.7, a noticed motion is required to obtain judicial approval of this Consent Judgment. Accordingly, the plaintiff agrees to file a Motion to Approve the Agreement (Motion). Dole shall have no additional responsibility to plaintiffs counsel pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure §1021.5 or otherwise with regard to reimbursement of any fees and costs incurred with respect to the preparation and filing of the Motion or with regard to plaintiff's counsel appearing for a hearing thereon.

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

14. MODIFICATION

131237F1804

This Consent Judgment may be modified only: (1) by written agreement of the parties and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court thereon; or (2) upon a successful motion of any party and entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court. The Attorney General shall be served with notice of any proposed modification to this Consent Judgment at least fifteen (15) days in advance of its consideration by the Court.

///

///

///

///

///

///

///

///

[[[

III

///

///

///

///

///

I

10

11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

26

27 28

//

01/ PZ/ Z80/ 12: 8Z

1

2

3

4

16302140979

13123761804

HIRST & CHANLER LLP

PAGE 02/03

15. AUTHORIZATION

The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their respective parties and have read, understood and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment.

	agreed to:	AGREED TO:
Date:_	12-15-26	Dote:
B	inum Russeli. Brumer	By: Defendant, Dule Food Company, Inc. By: Defendant, Dule Food Company, Inc.
- A.		Defendant Dole Food Company, Inc
		By: Defendant, Castle & Cooke, Inc.
		By: Defendant, Casile & Cooke, Inc.
ļ.		Defendant, Castle & Cooke, Inc.
		By: Defendant, Castle & Cooke Properties, I
		d/b/o Dole Plantation
		By:
		By: Defendant, Castle & Cooke Propentes, I d/b/a Dole Plantation
		CONSENT JUDGMENT CASE NO. COC-96-449070

15. **AUTHORIZATION**

The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their respective parties and have read, understood and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment.

AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:
Date:	Date:
By: Plaintiff, RUSSELL BRIMER	By:
e.	By: Defendant Dole Food Company, Inc.
	Defendant, Castle & Cooke, Inc. By: Affilia
	Defendant, Castle & Cooke, Inc. By: McClface Defendant, Castle & Cooke Properties, Inc. d/b/a Dole Plantation
	Defendant, Castle & Cooke Properties, Inc.

P. 02

LEGAL

ax:8188796513

Jan 92 7 9:51

15. AUTHORIZATION

The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their respective parties and have read, understood and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment.

AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:	
By:	By sika Carter	
Plaintiff, RUSSELL BRIMER	Defendant Dole Food Company Inc. By Live Via President, Joseph Company Inc. Defendant, Dole Food Company Inc. Excurtive Via President, Serveral (and and By: Defendant, Castle & Cooke, Inc.	rateSecreta con porate secretary
	By:	
	By: Defendant, Castle & Cooke Properties, Inc. d/b/a Dole Plantation	
	By: Defendant, Castle & Cooke Properties, Inc. d/b/a Dole Plantation	

STIPULATION AND (PROPOSED) ORDER RE: CONSENT JUNGMENT CASE NO. CQC-06-449076

17/12/2807 12:02 15382148979	HIRST & CHANLER LLP PAGE
12/16/2006. 18:5H 161 ,40979	HIRST & CHANLER PAGE 03
Date: 12/15/06 HIRST & CHANLER U.P By: COM.	Date: COX, CASTLE & NICHOLSON By:
Christopher M. Martin, Esq. Attorneys for Plaintiff RUSSELL BRIMER	Peter M. Moniscie, Esq. Automeys for Defendants CASTLE & COOKE PROPERTIES, INC. Abon DOLE PLANTATION:
9	CASTLE & COOKE, INC.; and DOLE FOOD COMPANY, INC
10	
12	
15	
17 18 IT IS SO ORDERED.	
19 Date:	Hon JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
27 3.3	
24 25	
26 27 28	
	DER RE: CONNENT JUDGMENT CASE NO. CGC-06-449070

APPROVED AS TO FORM:	APPROVED AS TO FORM:
Date:	Date: Dec. 21, Zooc COX, CASTLE & NICHOLSON
Date:HIR\$T & CHANLER LLP	COX, CASTLE & NICHOLSON
_	By: Peter M Munit
By: Christopher M. Martin, Esq.	Peter M. Morrisette, Esq.
Attomeys for Plaintiff RUSSELL BRIMER	Attorneys for Defendants CASTLE & COOKE PROPERTIE
ROSSIEL BRIVIER	INC., d/b/2 DOLE PLANTATION;
	CASTLE & COOKE, INC.; and DOLE FOOD COMPANY, INC
pri	
T IS SO ORDERED.	
Date:	Hon.
	JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT

Exhibit A

The products that are covered by this Consent Judgment include:

- 1. Shot Glass Label Set/4 #2, #363224
- 2. Dole Hawaii Logo Coffee Mug S/B Wht/Dec, #103563
- 3. Dole Hawaii Logo Gold Shot Glass, #190875
- 4. Can Label Mugs, #365711
- 5. Dole Hawaii Logo Souper Mug #260923

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE: CONSENT JUDGMENT CASE NO. CGC-06-449070

131237F1904

Exhibit B

The designated symbol that Dole will use to identify products containing the listed chemical which are sold through its catalogs or on its website is:

