1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	IN AND FOR THE CO	Endorsed filed Superior Court MR 1 4 2007 MAR 1 4 2007 GORDON PARK-LI, CLERK Email M Bura Deputy Clerk The state of California Cunty of San Francisco Civil JURISDICTION
14	RUSSELL BRIMER	CASE NO. CGC-06-453355
15	Plaintiff,	[PROPOSED] JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO TERMS OF CONSENT JUDGMENT
16	v .	LEKINS OF CONSENT JUDGMENT
17	BACARDI U.S.A., INC.; and DOES 1 through 150, inclusive,	March 14 Date: Pebruary 7 , 2007
		$- \pi^{*} - 0.20 - \cdots$
18	Defendants	Time: 9:30 a.m. Dept.: 301
19	Defendants.	
19 20	Defendants.	Dept.: 301
19 20 21	Defendants.	Dept.: 301
19 20 21 22	Defendants.	Dept.: 301
19 20 21 22 23	Defendants.	Dept.: 301
19 20 21 22	Defendants.	Dept.: 301
19 20 21 22 23 24	Defendants.	Dept.: 301
 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 	Defendants.	Dept.: 301
 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 	Defendants.	Dept.: 301
 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 		Dept.: 301

1	In the above-entitled action, Plaintiff RUSSELL BRIMER and Defendant, BACARDI		
2	U.S.A., INC, having agreed through their respective counsel that judgment be entered pursuant to		
3	the terms of the Consent Judgment entered into by the parties, and after issuing an Order		
4	approving the Proposition 65 settlement agreement, captioned Stipulation and [Proposed] Order		
5	Re: Consent Judgment on February 7, 2007.		
6	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that pursuant to Code of		
7	Civil Procedure section 664.5, judgment is entered in accordance with the terms of the Stipulation		
8	and [Proposed] Order Re: Consent Judgment.		
9	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
10			
11	1 Daled: repl-uary 7, 2007	eter J. Busch	
12	2 Hon. Pete JUDGE C	F THE SUPERIOR COURT	
13	3		
]4	4		
15	5		
16	6		
17	7		
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28	o		
	[PROPOSED] JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO TE	RMS OF CONSENT JUDGMENT	