

COPY

1 REUBEN YEROUSHALMI (SBN 193981)  
2 DANIEL D. CHO (SBN 105409)  
3 BEN YEROUSHALMI (SBN 232540)  
4 YEROUSHALMI & ASSOCIATES  
5 3700 WILSHIRE BLVD., SUITE 480  
6 LOS ANGELES, CA 90010  
7 Telephone: 213-382-3183  
8 Facsimile: 213-382-3430  
9 Email: lawfirm@yeroushalmi.com

**FILED**  
San Francisco County Superior Court  
SEP 20 2007  
GORDON PARK-LI, Clerk  
BY: [Signature] Deputy Clerk

7 Attorneys for Plaintiff,  
8 Consumer Advocacy Group, Inc.

9 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
10 COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

12 CONSUMER ADVOCACY )  
13 GROUP, INC., in the interest of the )  
14 Public, )  
15 Plaintiff, )  
16 )  
17 v. )  
18 )  
19 GORDON MOTT ROOFING )  
20 COMPANY, INC., et al., )  
21 Defendants. )

CASE NO. CGC-06-459064  
~~PROPOSED~~ JUDGMENT BETWEEN  
PLAINTIFF CONSUMER ADVOCACY  
GROUP, INC. AND DEFENDANT RUSH  
ROOFING CO., INC.  
Telephone Appearance  
Judge: Honorable Patrick J. Mahoney  
Place: Department 302  
Date: September 20, 2007  
Time: 9:30 a.m.  
Action Filed: December 26, 2006

22 The Court finds that plaintiff, Consumer Advocacy Group, Inc., on one hand, and  
23 defendant, Rush Roofing Co., Inc., on the other, have stipulated to the entry of this Judgment.

24 The Court, having considered the matter, the pleadings, and good cause appearing therefore, IT  
25 IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED as follows:

- 26  
27 1. The Court approves the Settlement Agreement between Consumer Advocacy Group, Inc.  
28 and Rush Roofing Co., Inc., an executed copy of which is attached as Exhibit A, as the

~~PROPOSED~~ JUDGMENT BETWEEN PLAINTIFF CONSUMER ADVOCACY GROUP, INC. AND  
DEFENDANT RUSH ROOFING CO., INC.

1 Judgment of this Court resolving this action between plaintiff, Consumer Advocacy  
2 Group, Inc., on one hand, and defendant, Rush Roofing Co., Inc., on the other.

- 3  
4 2. The Court Clerk is to enter this Judgment as the Judgment of the Court as to defendant,  
5 Rush Roofing Co., Inc.  
6  
7 3. Rush Roofing Co., Inc. is dismissed with prejudice from this action.  
8  
9 4. Except as otherwise set forth in the Settlement Agreement between Consumer Advocacy  
10 Group, Inc. and Rush Roofing Co., Inc., all parties shall bear their own attorney fees and  
11 costs.  
12

13 REVIEWED AND APPROVED AS A JUDGMENT OF THE SUPERIOR COURT. IT IS SO  
14 ORDERED.  
15

16  
17 Dated: April 20, 2007

18   
19 JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT  
20 PATRICK J. MAHONEY  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

# **EXHIBIT A**

---

SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT  
BETWEEN  
CONSUMER ADVOCACY GROUP, INC.  
AND  
RUSH ROOFING CO., INC.

Consumer Advocacy Group, Inc., on behalf of itself and suing in the public interest pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7, subdivision (d), ("CAG"), and Rush Roofing Co. (collectively referred to herein as the "Parties") enter into this agreement ("Settlement Agreement") to settle the case entitled *Consumer Advocacy Group, Inc. v. Gordon Mott Roofing Company, Inc., et al.* filed on December 26, 2006, and pending in the Superior Court of California for the County of San Francisco Case No. CGC-06-459064 ("Lawsuit") as to CAG, on one hand, and Rush Roofing Co., on the other, as follows:

**1.0 Introduction**

1.1 CAG alleges that it is an entity based in Los Angeles, California that seeks to promote awareness of exposures to toxic chemicals and improve human health by reducing or eliminating hazardous substances found in consumer and industrial products. Rush Roofing Co. disputes this.

1.2 CAG alleges that Rush Roofing Co. is a company that employs ten or more persons and acts primarily as a roofing contractor. Rush Roofing Co. disputes this.

1.3 CAG alleges that Rush Roofing Co. uses roofing products, some of which contain asphalt or coal tar, the use of which is alleged to expose persons to acetaldehyde; arsenic (inorganic arsenic compounds); benz[a]anthracene; benzene; benzo[a]pyrene; benzo[b]flouranthene; benzo[k]flouranthene; beryllium and beryllium compounds; 1,3-butadiene; cadmium and cadmium compounds; carbazole; chromium (hexavalent compounds); chrysene; dibenz[a,j]acridine; dibenz[a,h]anthracene; dibenzo[a,e]pyrene; dibenzo[a,h]pyrene; dibenzo[a,i]pyrene; dibenzo[a,l]pyrene; dichloromethane (methylene chloride); formaldehyde (gas); indeno[1,2,3-cd]-pyrene; lead and lead compounds; 5-methylchrysene; nickel and nickel compounds; silica, crystalline; tetrachloroethylene (perchloroethylene); toluene diisocyanate; trichloroethylene; carbon disulfide; mercury and mercury compounds; and toluene (collectively, "Covered Chemicals"). Rush Roofing Co. disputes this. The roofing products that consist of, contain, or result in exposure to either asphalt or coal tar, and which are covered by the Settlement Agreement are binders, felts, base sheets, cap sheets, surfacing materials, membrane systems, insulation materials, substrates, shingles, roll roofing, felt underlayments, flashings, coatings, mastics, cements, adhesives, caulking compounds, roofing kettles, tank trucks, vehicles

used to tow roofing kettles to, from, and within job sites, torches, hot-air welders, other heating equipment, spreaders, felt-laying machines, roof removal equipment, including but not limited to roof cutters, and hand tools (the "Materials").

1.4 CAG alleges that the Covered Chemicals are substances listed in the regulations promulgated under the California Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act, Health and Safety Code sections 25249.5 et seq. ("Proposition 65") as chemicals known to the State of California to cause cancer and/or reproductive harm. Rush Roofing Co. disputes this.

1.5 CAG alleges that on April 14, 2006, it served public enforcement agencies and Rush Roofing Co. with a document entitled "60-Day Notice of Violation" ("Notice"). The Notice alleged that Rush Roofing Co. had violated Proposition 65 by failing to warn its employees and other persons in California that the Materials used by Rush Roofing Co. expose those persons to Covered Chemicals. Rush Roofing Co. disputes this.

1.6 On December 26, 2006, CAG filed the Lawsuit alleging Rush Roofing Co. violated Proposition 65 by exposing employees and other persons to Covered Chemicals contained in the Materials without providing "clear and reasonable" warnings. Such alleged exposures constitute "consumer product," "occupational" and "environmental" exposures within the meaning of the Proposition 65 implementing regulations set forth at California Code of Regulations, title 22, section 12601, subdivisions (b), (c) and (d), respectively. Rush Roofing Co. denies the material allegations of the Lawsuit, and denies liability for the cause of action alleged in the complaint.

1.7 For purposes of this Settlement Agreement only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction: (i) over the allegations of violations contained in the CAG Complaint and Notice, (ii) over Rush Roofing Co. as to the acts alleged, and (iii) to enter judgment based on this Settlement Agreement.

1.8 The Parties enter into this Settlement Agreement pursuant to a settlement of certain disputed claims between the Parties as alleged in the CAG Complaint and Notice for the purpose of avoiding prolonged and costly litigation between the Parties hereto and as a full and final settlement of all disputed claims in the Lawsuit and between the Parties.

1.9 By execution of this Settlement Agreement, the Parties do not admit any facts or conclusions of law, including, but not limited to, facts or conclusions of law regarding any violation of Proposition 65, or any other statutory, regulatory, common law, or equitable doctrine. Nothing in this Settlement Agreement shall be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, including, but not limited to,

any admission concerning the meaning of the terms "knowingly and intentionally expose" or "clear and reasonable warning" as used in Health and Safety Code section 25249.6. Nothing in this Settlement Agreement, nor compliance with the terms of this Settlement Agreement, shall constitute or be construed as evidence of an admission or evidence of fault, wrongdoing, or liability by Rush Roofing Co., its officers, directors, employees, or parent, subsidiary or affiliated corporations, in any administrative or judicial proceeding or litigation in any forum.

## 2.0 Release

2.1 Upon judicial approval of the settlement between the Parties and the expiration of time in which to appeal the same, CAG, for itself and its affiliates, subsidiary and parent corporations, and/or their affiliated companies, and each of their officers, directors, agents, servants, partners, stockholders, attorneys, employees, representatives, and each of their respective successors and assigns, releases and discharges Rush Roofing Co., its affiliates, subsidiary and parent corporations, and/or their affiliated companies, and each of their officers, directors, agents, servants, partners, stockholders, attorneys, employees, representatives, and each of their respective successors and assigns (collectively, the "Released Parties") from all known and unknown rights, claims, causes of action, damages, suits, penalties, liabilities, injunctive relief, declaratory relief, and attorneys' fees, costs and expenses related to or arising out of the facts and claims alleged in the Lawsuit. (However, this Settlement Agreement pertains only to roofing operations conducted under the name Rush Roofing Co., or names similar to Rush Roofing Co., and it does not extend to roofing operations conducted under names wholly different from those identified in this sentence.) Without limiting the foregoing, the Parties intend the scope of this release to cover all Claims Covered (as defined in Section 3.1 below) as to the Released Parties put in controversy by the Lawsuit.

2.2 CAG is aware of the contents of Section 1542 of the Civil Code of California. CAG acknowledges that the claims released in section 2.1 above may include unknown claims and waives Section 1542 as to any such unknown claims. Section 1542 reads as follows:

**A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR.**

CAG acknowledges and understands the significance and consequences of this specific waiver of Civil Code Section 1542.

### 3.0 Claims Covered

3.1 This Settlement Agreement is a final and binding resolution between the CAG and the Released Parties of the following claims and causes of action:

All claims, known or unknown, that were or could have been asserted in the Lawsuit arising out of allegations that the Released Parties violated Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law because of Released Parties' operations.

### 4.0 Defendant's Duties

4.1 Rush Roofing Co. agrees to provide Proposition 65 warnings and to take actions intended to prevent, reduce, or mitigate exposure to the Covered Chemicals arising from using the Materials, in the manner prescribed below. Within ninety days after entering into this Settlement Agreement, Rush Roofing Co. shall provide Proposition 65 warnings to its California employees who use the Materials by incorporating such warnings into the chemical hazard warnings and training provided in its hazard communication training plans, as part of compliance with the California Hazard Communication Standard under California Code of Regulations, title 8, section 5194.

4.2 Within ninety days after entering into this Settlement Agreement, Rush Roofing Co. shall post a Proposition 65 warning sign conspicuously at each of its places of business where employees, who are likely to handle, use, or store the Materials or prepare the Materials for application, are likely to see and read the warning sign, such as a locker room where employees store their gear or near a time clock that employees use regularly. For the purposes of this Settlement Agreement, such places of business shall not include job sites at which Rush Roofing Co. performs services, but which are not owned and operated by Rush Roofing Co. The Proposition 65 warning sign shall set forth the following warning statement:

**WARNING:** This area contains chemicals known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harm.

Asphalt, coal tar, and other roofing or waterproofing materials contain chemicals known to the State of California to cause cancer and/or reproductive hazards. Exposure to these chemicals occurs during the installation, repair or removal of roofing and waterproofing materials containing asphalt, coal tar, or other bituminous binders and other types of roofing or waterproofing materials. Exposures may occur not only from the roofing or waterproofing materials you are working with but also from the solvents, mastics, cements, sealants, caulking compounds and other products and equipment that

may be used in the operation. Always familiarize yourself with the hazards of the materials and equipment you are using and follow the precautions indicated on product labels, Material Safety Data Sheets and your health and safety training program.

The Parties agree that this warning shall be deemed "clear and reasonable" for purposes of Proposition 65 for any chemical contained in the Materials or to which exposure occurs from use of the Materials, to the extent that such chemical presently or in the future may become listed under Proposition 65.

4.3 CAG maintains that environmental and consumer product exposures occur because of the presence of Covered Chemicals in the Materials, and that warnings for such exposures are required. Rush Roofing Co. disputes this. In recognition of the measures adopted below and the effect those measures will have, the Parties agree that warnings for consumer product exposures and environmental exposures are not required.

4.4 The Parties agree that Rush Roofing Co. shall institute certain measures to reduce or mitigate occupational, environmental, or consumer exposures to the Covered Chemicals arising from the use of the Materials. The Parties agree to these measures with the mutual understanding and expectation that such measures will reduce and mitigate exposure to the Covered Chemicals arising from the use of the Materials to or within the levels such that warnings for "consumer product" or "environmental" exposures would not be required. Beginning within ninety days after entering into this Settlement Agreement, Rush Roofing Co. shall do the following:

4.4.1 Ensure that tank trucks and kettles with a capacity greater than 200 gallons will have operational thermostatic heating controls.

4.4.2 Incorporate the following instructions in its chemical hazard training plan for employees, as part of its compliance with the California Hazard Communication Standard, set forth at California Code of Regulations, title 8, section 5194.

4.4.2.1 Employees shall restrict access to tank trucks and kettles in which Materials are heated for application to those employees whose job responsibilities require them to be present.

4.4.2.2 Employees shall not heat any Material to a temperature that is higher than the manufacturer's specifications for that material.

4.4.2.3 Employees shall verify the temperature of heated Materials with a thermometer on a regular basis, to

ensure that the Materials are not heated higher than the applicable manufacturer's specifications.

4.4.2.4 Employees will work upwind from tank trucks and kettles whenever it is practical to do so.

4.4.2.5 Employees will keep kettle lids closed except when necessary to: (i) add or remove Materials from the kettles, (ii) check the temperature of the Materials in the kettles; (iii) check the volume or quality of the Material in the kettles; or (iv) perform similar activities.

4.4.2.6 Employees shall position tank trucks and kettles as close to the point of application as practical in order to minimize heat loss.

Rush Roofing Co. shall train its employees in the health hazards of the Materials in their work area(s), and the measures that they can take to protect themselves from these hazards, including specific procedures that the employer has implemented to protect employees from exposure to hazardous substances, such as appropriate work practices, emergency procedures, and use of personal protective equipment. Rush Roofing Co. shall periodically monitor its employees' conduct to promote full compliance with all the requirements of this paragraph.

## 5.0 Payments

5.1 Defendants' Payment in Lieu of Civil Penalties. Within thirty days following entry of judgment in the Lawsuit as based on this Settlement Agreement, Rush Roofing Co. shall pay to CAG, a corporation commenced for the purpose of furthering environmental causes, the sum of \$500.00. Payment shall be made to "Consumer Advocacy Group, Inc." CAG will use the payment for such projects and purposes related to environmental protection, worker health and safety, or reduction of human exposure to hazardous substances (including administrative and litigation costs arising from such projects), as CAG may choose. CAG agrees to provide its address and federal tax identification number to Rush Roofing Co. prior to such payment.

5.2 Payment to Yeroushalmi & Associates. Within thirty days following entry of judgment in the Lawsuit as based on this Settlement Agreement, Rush Roofing Co. will pay \$9,500.00 to CAG's attorneys, for CAG's attorney fees and costs. The check shall be made payable to "Yeroushalmi & Associates." CAG represents and warrants that CAG has authorized the payment of attorney fees and costs, and that the payment and any application and/or distribution of such payment will not violate any agreement between CAG and its attorneys or with any other person or entity. CAG releases and agrees to hold harmless the

Released Parties with regard to any issue concerning the allocation and/or distribution of the amount paid under this section. Yeroushalmi & Associates agrees to provide its address and federal tax identification number to Rush Roofing Co. prior to such payment.

**6.0 Authority To Enter Into Settlement Agreement**

6.1 CAG represents that its signatory to this Settlement Agreement has the authority to enter into this Settlement Agreement on behalf of CAG and to bind legally CAG. Rush Roofing Co. represents that its signatory to this Settlement Agreement has the authority to enter into this Settlement Agreement on behalf of Rush Roofing Co. and to bind legally Rush Roofing Co.

**7.0 Attorney General Review**

7.1 Consistent with section 3003(a) of Title 11 of the California Code of Regulations, CAG shall submit this Settlement Agreement to the Attorney General's Office for review within five days of the Parties' execution of this Settlement Agreement.

**8.0 Execution in Counterparts and Facsimile**

8.1 This Settlement Agreement may be executed in counterparts, which taken together shall be deemed to constitute the same document. A facsimile signature shall be as valid as the original.

**9.0 Judicial Approval of Settlement Agreement Required**

9.1 CAG shall submit this Settlement Agreement to the Court for consideration as required by Health and Safety Code section 25249.7, subdivision (f)(4). CAG will provide the Court with the necessary information to allow the Court to make the findings required by Health and Safety Code section 25249.7, subdivision (f)(4)(A)-(C).

9.2 This Settlement Agreement shall be void in the event the Court does not approve this settlement as required by Health and Safety Code section 25249.7, subdivision (f)(4)(A)-(C).

**10.0 Entire Agreement**

10.1 This Settlement Agreement contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and all related prior discussions, negotiations, commitments, and understandings. No other agreements, oral or otherwise, exist to bind any of the Parties.

**11.0 Modification of Settlement Agreement**

11.1 Any modification to this Settlement Agreement shall be in writing by all Parties hereto.

**12.0 Application of Settlement Agreement**

12.1 This Settlement Agreement shall apply to, be binding upon, and inure to the benefit of, the CAG and the Released Parties identified above.

**13.0 Severability**

13.1 In the event that a court of competent jurisdiction finds unenforceable any of the provision of this Settlement, such finding shall not affect adversely the validity of the enforceable provisions.

**14.0 Governing Law**

14.1 The laws of the State of California shall govern the terms of this Settlement Agreement.

**15.0 Attorneys' Fees**

15.1 In the event that a dispute arises with respect to any provision(s) of the Settlement Agreement, and such disputes are resolved by the Court or through mediation, arbitration, or other alternative dispute resolution proceeding, the prevailing party in such action or proceeding shall be entitled to recover costs and reasonable attorneys' fees.

**16.0 Notification Requirements**

16.1 Any notice required or permitted hereunder shall be effective only if in writing and delivered in person or sent by telecopy, certified or registered mail return receipt requested, or traceable overnight delivery service, to the following designees:

For CAG:

Reuben Yeroushalmi  
Yeroushalmi & Associates  
3700 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 480  
Los Angeles, CA 90010  
Fax: 213.382.3430

For Rush Roofing Co.:

Stanley Landfair, Esq.  
McKenna Long & Aldridge LLP  
101 California Street, Floor 41  
San Francisco, CA 94111  
Fax: 415.267.4198

Any Party may change its designee(s) for purposes of notification by providing notice of such change pursuant to this section.

Dated: 2/23/07 By: *Ann Marcus, Pres.*  
CONSUMER ADVOCACY GROUP, INC.

Dated: 2-13-07 By: *Douglas E. Sherr*  
RUSH ROOFING CO., INC.

As to form only:

YEROUSHALMI & ASSOCIATES

Dated: 7/23/07 By: *[Signature]*  
Reuben Yeroushalmi

MCKENNA LONG & ALDRIDGE LLP

Dated: 7/20/2007 By: *[Signature]*  
Stanley Landfair, Esq.