1 2	WILLIAM VERICK CSB#140972 FREDRIC EVENSON CSB#198059 KLAMATH ENVIRONMENTAL LAW CENTE	ER
	424 First Street	ENDORSED
3	Eureka, California 95501 (707) 268-8900	San Francisco County Superior Court
5	DAVID H. WILLIAMS CSB#144479 BRIAN ACREE CSB#202505	1781 o 1 2007
	370 Grand Avenue, Suite 5	GORDUN PARIN-LI, Clerk
6	Oakland, CA 94610 (510) 271-0826	BY: MARJORIE SCHWAH, Z-SCOTT Deputy Clerk
7	,	DE, talk Clerk
8	Attorneys for Plaintiff MATEEL ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE FOUR	NDATION
9		
10	SUPERIOR COURT OF T	HE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
11	FOR THE COUNTY	Y OF SAN FRANCISCO
12		
13	MATEEL ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE FOUNDATION,) No. 454917
14	·) [PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT AS
15	Plaintiff,) TO DEFENDANTS ROCKY MOUNTAIN) BICYCLE AND GROUPE PROCYCLE,
1.6	vs.) INC.
16	BELL SPORTS, INC., et al.)
17	Defendants.	}
18)
19		
20	1. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>	
21	1.1 On or about October 17, 2005, the	e Mateel Environmental Justice Foundation
22	("MEJF") and its attorneys, Klamath Environme	ental Law Center ("KELC") sent 60-day notice
23	letters to the Office of the California Attorney G	eneral of the State of California ("California
24	Attorney General"), all California counties' Dist	rict Attorneys and all City Attorneys of California
25	cities with populations exceeding 750,000, ("No	tice Letters"), charging defendant Rocky Mountair
26	Bicycles and Groupe Procycle, Inc. (hereinafter	referred to collectively as "Rocky Mountain" or
27	"Defendant") with violating the Safe Drinking, V	Vater and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986,
28	California Health and Safety Code Section 2524	9.5 et seq. ("Proposition 65"), in its manufacture,

- distribution and/or sale of bicycles and tricycles (collectively, "Bicycles"). MEJF charged, inter
- 2 alia, that persons handling plastic handlebar grips ("Grips") and/or brake or derailleur cables that are
- 3 housed in thermoplastic (collectively, "Cables") on Bicycles were exposed to lead and lead
- 4 compounds, which are chemicals listed under Proposition 65.
- 5 1.2 On August 8, 2006, MEJF ("Plaintiff"), acting on behalf of itself, the public interest,
- 6 and the general public for the matters described in the Notice Letters, filed a Complaint for civil
- 7 penalties and injunctive relief ("Complaint") in the San Francisco Superior Court fashioned
- 8 Mateel v. Bell Sports, Inc. et al., Case No. CGC-06-454917, based on the Notice Letter. The
- 9 Complaint alleged, among other things, that Defendant violated Proposition 65 by manufacturing,
- 10 marketing and/or distributing to California residents Covered Products (as defined in Paragraph 1.4
- below) and failing to provide clear and reasonable warnings to California residents who handle and
- use such products that the handling and use of those products in their normally intended manner will
- cause those persons to be exposed to Proposition 65 Chemicals.
- 1.3 For purposes of this Consent Judgment, unless otherwise set forth herein, the terms
- described in this Paragraph 1.3 shall have the following meanings. Plaintiff and Defendant are
- 16 collectively referred to as the "Parties," with each of them a "Party." The term "Covered Products"
- 17 means Bicycles and/or Bicycle Accessory Products manufactured, distributed, marketed or sold by
- 18 Defendant. The term "Covered Products" includes products which are or were manufactured,
- distributed, marketed and/or sold by Defendant either under its own name or brand or under the
- 20 name or brand of another (e.g., privately labeled products). The term "PVC Components" refers to
- 21 Grips and Cables that are composed of or housed in thermoplastic and that are (i) on the Bicycle at
- 22 the time it is sold to consumers or (ii) sold separately as replacement parts for those components.
- 23 MEJF has alleged that (a) Defendant is a business that employs more than ten persons and
- 24 manufactures, distributes and/or sells or makes available for sale Covered Products into the State of
- 25 California, and (b) the Covered Products offered for sale in California by Defendant contain one or
- more Proposition 65 Chemicals. Defendant denies these and all of MEJF's other allegations.
- 27 1.4 For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has
- 28 jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Complaint and personal jurisdiction

- over Defendant as to the acts alleged in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of San
- 2 Francisco and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment as a full settlement and
- 3 final resolution of the allegations contained in the Complaint and Notice Letters and of all claims
- 4 which were or could have been raised by any person or entity based in whole or in part, directly or
- 5 indirectly, on the facts alleged therein, arising therefrom or related thereto.
- 6 1.5 The Parties enter into this Consent Judgment pursuant to a full and final settlement of
- 7 any and all claims between the Parties for the purpose of avoiding prolonged litigation. This
- 8 Consent Judgment shall not constitute an admission with respect to any material allegation in the
- 9 Complaint, each and every allegation of which Defendant denies; nor may this Consent Judgment or
- 10 compliance with it be used as evidence of any wrongdoing, misconduct, culpability or liability on
- 11 the part of Defendant.

12 2. <u>SETTLEMENT PAYMENT</u>

- In settlement of all of the claims that are alleged, or could have been alleged, in the Complaint
- 14 concerning Defendant, within 10 days following the Court's entry of a judgment, Defendant shall pay
- 15 \$20,000 to the Klamath Environmental Law Center ("KELC") to cover Plaintiff's attorneys' fees.
- Additionally, within 10 days following the Court's entry of a judgment, Defendant shall pay \$10,000
- 17 to the Ecological Rights Foundation for use toward reducing exposures to toxic chemicals and other
- pollutants, and toward increasing consumer, worker and community awareness of health hazards
- 19 posed by lead and other toxic chemicals. Defendant shall not be required to pay a civil penalty
- 20 pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(b).

3. ENTRY OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

- The Parties request that the Court promptly enter this Consent Judgment and waive their
- 23 respective rights to a hearing or trial on the allegations of the Complaint.

24 4. MATTERS COVERED BY THIS CONSENT JUDGMENT

- 25 4.1 For purposes of Section 4 of this Consent Judgment, the term Defendant shall include
- 26 Rocky Mountain, and its past, present and future parents, divisions, subdivisions, subsidiaries and
- 27 affiliates and the predecessors, successors and assigns of any of them, as well as their past, present
- and future officers, directors, employees, agents, attorneys, representatives, shareholders and

- 1 assigns. For purposes of this Section 4, the term Defendant shall also be deemed to include
- 2 Defendant's direct and indirect suppliers of Covered Products, but only with respect to those
- 3 Covered Products that such direct or indirect supplier manufactures for Defendant. In addition, for
- 4 purposes of this Section 4, the term Defendant also includes, with respect to Defendant's Covered
- 5 Products only, Defendant's chain of distribution, including, but not limited to, customers, wholesale
- 6 or retail sellers or distributors and any other person in the course of doing business.
- 7 4.2 As to all matters addressed in the Notice Letters and Complaint, this Consent
- 8 Judgment constitutes a final and binding resolution and release of liability between Plaintiff acting
- 9 on behalf of itself and in the public interest pursuant to Health and Safety Code Section 25249.7(d)
- and Defendant or its parents, subsidiaries or affiliates, and all of their customers, distributors,
- wholesalers, retailers, and the successors and assigns of any of them, of any violation of
- 12 Proposition 65 with respect to exposures to the Proposition 65 Chemicals associated with the use or
- 13 handling of Covered Products.
- 14 4.3 This Consent Judgment also constitutes a final and binding resolution and release of
- 15 liability between Plaintiff and Defendant with respect to any other statutory or common law claim
- that could have been asserted against Defendant or its parents, subsidiaries or affiliates, and all of
- their customers, distributors, wholesalers, retailers, and the successors and assigns of any of them,
- based on its or their exposure of persons to chemicals contained in or otherwise associated with the
- use of Covered Products manufactured, sold or distributed by, for or on behalf of Defendant and/or
- 20 its alleged failure to provide a clear and reasonable warning of such exposure to such individuals.
- 21 4.4 As to all matters addressed in the Notice Letters and Complaint, any claims,
- violations (except violations of this Consent Judgment), actions, damages, costs, penalties, or causes
- 23 of action which may arise or have arisen after the original date of entry of this Consent Judgment,
- 24 compliance by Defendant with the terms of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed to constitute its
- 25 full and complete compliance with Proposition 65 and shall bar such claims or the re-litigation of
- 26 issues underlying such claims under the doctrines of res judicata and collateral estoppel.
- 27 4.5 As to the alleged exposures to Covered Products, compliance with the terms of this
- 28 Consent Judgment resolves any issue, now and in the future, concerning compliance by Defendant or

- 1 its parents, subsidiaries or affiliates, and all of their customers, distributors, wholesalers, retailers,
- 2 and the successors and assigns of any of them with the requirements of Proposition 65 with respect
- 3 to Covered Products and any alleged resulting exposure. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be
- 4 deemed to release, from past liability under Proposition 65, any entity which incorporates
- 5 Components obtained from Defendant into a Covered Product.

7

8

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 4.6 Defendant waives all rights to institute any form of legal action against Plaintiff, its officers, directors, attorneys, consultants and representatives for all actions undertaken or statements made in the course of this Action as of the Effective Date of this Consent Judgment.
- 9 4.7 MEJF, by and on behalf of itself and its respective agents, successors and assigns, 10 waives any and all rights to institute any form of legal action, and releases all claims against Defendant, its parents, subsidiaries or affiliates, and all of their customers, distributors, wholesalers, 11 12 retailers, and the successors and assigns of any of them, who may use, maintain, distribute or sell the 13 Covered Products, whether under Proposition 65 or otherwise, arising out of or resulting from, or 14 related directly or indirectly to, in whole or in part, the Covered Products, including by not limited to 15 an exposure to, or failure to warn with respect to, the Covered Products (referred collectively in the 16 paragraph as the "Claims"). In furtherance of the foregoing, MEJF hereby waives any and all rights 17 and benefits which it now has, or in the future may have, conferred upon it with respect to the 18 Claims by virtue of the provisions of Section 1542 of the California Civil Code, which provides as 19 follows:

20
A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE CREDITOR
DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS FAVOR AT THE TIME OF
EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF KNOWN BY HIM MUST HAVE
MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR.

MEJF understands and acknowledges that the significance and consequence of this waiver of California Civil Code Section 1542 is that even if MEJF suffers future damages arising out of or resulting from, or related directly or indirectly to, in whole or in part, the Covered Products, including but not limited to any exposure to, or failure to warn with respect to exposure, the Covered Products, MEJF will not be able to make any Claim for those damages against Defendant or its parents,

1	subsidiaries or affiliates, and all of their customers, distributors, wholesalers, retailers, and the
2	successors and assigns of any of them. Furthermore, MEJF acknowledges that it intends these
3	consequences for any such Claims as may exist as of the date of this release but which MEJF does
4	not know exist, and which, if known would materially affect their decision to enter into this Consent
5	Judgment, regardless of whether its lack of knowledge is the result of ignorance, oversight, error,
6 7	negligence, or any other cause.
8	
	5. <u>ENFORCEMENT OF JUDGMENT</u>
9	5.1 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be enforced exclusively by the Parties
10	hereto by means of noticed motion or order to show cause before the Superior Court of San
11	Francisco County.
12	6. MODIFICATION OF JUDGMENT
13	This Consent Judgment may be modified only upon written agreement of the Parties and
14	upon entry of a modified amended Consent Judgment by the Court, or upon motion of any Party as
15	provided by law and upon entry of a modified amended Consent Judgment by the Court; any such
16	stipulation shall be served on the California Attorney General no less than 15 days prior to its filing
17	with the Court and any such motion shall be served on the California Attorney General when it is
18	filed with the Court.
19	7. <u>INJUNCTIVE RELIEF</u>
20	On and after July 1, 2007, if Defendant sells any Covered Products for resale or use in
21	California these Covered Products will meet the following criteria:
22	(a) the Surface Contact Layers of PVC Components shall have no lead as an
23	intentionally added constituent; and
24	(b) the Surface Contact Layer of PVC Components shall have lead content by weight
25	as follows:
26	(i) for PVC Components on Bicycles having wheels that measure over 20 inches
27	in diameter, and for all other PVC Components, no more than 0.03% (300 parts per million);

1 2		(ii)	•	ents on Bicycles having wheels that measure 20 inches or o more than 0.003% (30 parts per million).
3	7.0	Defe		ith the oritorie get forth in Dorgarouh 7.1 above
	7.2			ith the criteria set forth in Paragraph 7.1 above
4	("Reformu	lation Le	evels") by relying on i	information obtained from its suppliers regarding the
5	content of	the Surfa	nce Contact Layer of t	he PVC Components and Painted Components, provided
6	such relian	ce is in g	good faith. Obtaining	test results showing that the lead content does not exceed
7	the Reform	nulation l	Levels using a method	d of sufficient sensitivity to establish a limit of
8	quantificat	ion (as d	istinguished from det	ection) of less than the Reformulation Level required for
9	each Cove	red Produ	uct, shall be deemed t	o establish good faith reliance. Nothing in the preceding
10	two senten	ces shall	preclude Defendant	from establishing good faith reliance by an alternative
11	means			
12	8. <u>AP</u>	PLICA'	<u>FION OF JUDGME</u>	<u>NT</u>
13	As	to all ma	ntters addressed in the	Notice Letters and the Complaint, the obligations of this
14	Consent Ju	dgment	shall apply to and be	binding upon all plaintiffs acting in the public interest
15	pursuant to	Health:	and Safety Code sect	ion 25249.7(d), and Defendant and its successors or assigns.
16	9. <u>Al</u>	THORI	TY TO STIPULAT	<u>E</u>
17	Eac	ch signat	ory to this Consent Ju	adgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the
18	Party he or	she repi	resents to enter into th	his Consent Judgment and to execute it on behalf of the Party
19	represente	d and leg	gally to bind that Party	y.
20	10. <u>NC</u>	<u> TICES</u>		
21	An	y notices	s under this Consent J	Judgment shall be by personal delivery of First Class Mail.
22		Ift	o MEJF:	William Verick, Esq.
23			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Klamath Environmental Law Center 424 First Street
24				Eureka, CA 95501
25		If t	o Rocky Mountain:	Daniel Maheux
26				Rocky Mountain Bicycles 1322 Cliveden Avenue
27				Annacis Park, Delta, BC Canada V3M-6G4
28				Cuiuda 1311 00 1

1	11. <u>RETENTION OF JURISDICTION</u>
2	This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement the terms of injunctive relief
3	provided for in this Consent Judgment and hear any motion or application properly made by a party
4	hereto.
5	12. <u>ENTIRE AGREEMENT</u>
6	This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the
7	Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations,
8	commitments and understandings related hereto. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or
9	implied, other than those contained herein have been made by any Party hereto. No other agreements
10	not specifically referred to herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the
11	Parties.
12	13. GOVERNING LAW
13	The validity, construction and performance of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the
14	laws of the State of California, without reference to any conflicts of law provisions of California law.
15	14. COURT APPROVAL
16	If this Consent Judgment is not approved and entered by the Court, or if the entry of this
17	Consent Judgment is successfully challenged, this Consent Judgment shall be of no force or effect,
18	and cannot be used in any proceeding for any purpose.
19	15. COUNTERPARTS
20	This Consent Judgment may be executed in any number of counterparts, each of which shall
21	be deemed to be an original and all of which taken together shall be deemed to be one and the same
22	instrument.
23	
24	
25	

1	IT IS SO STIPULATED:	
2	DATED: 3/14/07	
3	<i>(</i>	MATEEL ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE FOUNDATION,
4		Brillanilbrol
5		William Verick Attorney for Plaintiff
6	DATED:	According for Francisco
7		
8		ROCKY MOUNTAIN
9		By:
10		Бу
11	IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED AN	D DECREED:
12	MAY 0 1 2007	PETER J. BUSCH
13		
14		JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
15		PETER J. BUSCH
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	WILLIAM VERICK, CSB #140972 Klamath Environmental Law Center FREDRIC EVENSON, CSB #198059 Law Offices of Fredric Evenson 424 First Street Eureka, CA 95501 Telephone: (707) 268-8900 Facsimile: (707) 268-8901 DAVID H. WILLIAMS, CSB #144479 BRIAN ACREE, CSB #202505 370 Grand Avenue, Suite 5 Oakland, CA 94610 Telephone: (510) 271-0826 Facsimile: (510) 271-0829 Attorneys for Plaintiff MATEEL ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE FOUN	ENDORSED FILED San Francisco County Superior Court I'A' A 1 2007 GORDON PARIL-LI, Clerk BY: MARJORIESCHWARTZ-SCOTT Deputy Clerk
11		
12	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE	E STATE OF CALIFORNIA
13	COUNTY OF SA	N FRANCISCO
14		
15 16	MATEEL ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE FOUNDATION,	CASE NO. 454917
17 18	Plaintiff, vs.	[proposed] ORDER APPROVING SETTLEMENT AS TO ROCKY MOUNTAIN BICYCLES AND GROUPE PROCYCLE, INC.
19	BELL SPORTS, INC., et al.,	
20	Defendants.	Date: May 1, 2007 Time: 9:30 a.m. Dept. No.: 301
21		
22		ent and entry of Consent Judgment was heard on
23	noticed motion on May 1, 2007. The court finds	
24	•	f the Consent Judgment comply with the
25	requirements of Proposition 65;	
26		Ity specified in the Consent Judgment are
27	reasonable based on the criteria in	Cal Health & Safety Code §25249.7(b)(2); and
28		
	Order Approving Settlement Mateel v. Bell Sports, Inc., et al., Case No. 454917	

under California law.	
Based upon these findings, the set	tlement and Consent Judgment are approved
IT IS SO ORDERED.	
Dated: MAY 0 1 2007	PETER J. BUSCH
	Judge of the Superior Court
	PETER J. BUSCH
	•