	· ·	
1	Themper reroganism (2RM 1838)	
2	Ben Yeroushalmi (SBN 232540)	ORIGINAL FILED
3	1137 1116	14N 07 208
4	Los Angeles, CA 90010	LOS ANGELES
5	Telephone: 213-382-3183 Facsimile: 213-382-3430	SUPERIOR COURT
6	Email: lawfirm@yerousha Attorneys for Plaintiff,	lmi.com
7	Consumer Advocacy Group, Inc.	
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
9		
10	COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES	
11	CONSUMER ADVOCACY	) CASE NO. BC371829
12	GROUP, INC., in the interest of the Public,	) [PPOPOSED] ORDER ARREST
13		) [PROPOSED] ORDER APPROVING ) SETTLEMENT BETWEEN CONSUMER
14	Plaintiff,	) ADVOCACY GROUP, INC. AND ) BUILT-RITE CONSTRUCTION INC.
15	v.	)
16	BUILT-RITE CONSTRUCTION	) Judge: Hon. Ralph W. Dau ) Place: Dept. 57
17	INC., et al.,	) Date: January 7, 2008 ) Time: 8:30 AM
18	Defendants.	)
19		) Action Filed: May 29, 2007 ) Trial date: Not set
20		
21	On January 7, 2008, at 9-20	AND the TT
22	On January 7, 2008, at 8:30 AM, the Honorable Ralph W. Dau, in Department 57 of this	
23	Court, heard the motion for judicial approval of settlement of action between plaintiff,	
24	Consumer Advocacy Group, Inc. ("CAG"), on one hand, and, defendant, Built-Rite	
25	Construction Inc. ("Built-Rite") on the other. Appearances are in the record. The Court, having	
26	considered the documents filed in connection with this matter and the arguments of counsel, has arrived at the following conclusions and SO ORDERS:	
27		
28		
		1
	[PROPOSED] ORDER APPROVING SETTLEMENT BETWEEN CONSUMER ADVOCACY GROUP, INC. AND BUILT-RITE CONSTRUCTION INC.	

28

- A. CAG and Built-Rite have executed a Settlement Agreement ("Proposed Settlement"), fully executed as of October 26, 2007, which CAG submitted to this Court for approval pursuant to Proposition 65 (Health & Saf. Code, §§ 25249.5 et seq.).
- B. This Court has considered the Proposed Settlement and determined that it represents a fair, reasonable, and adequate settlement between CAG and Built-Rite.
  - 1. The Proposed Settlement provides that Built-Rite will:
  - Change its business practices to reduce exposures of Proposition 65-listed chemicals to its employees and the public;
  - Provide warnings to its employees that satisfy the "clear and reasonable" warning requirement under Proposition 65;
  - Pay CAG \$14,000 for its attorney fees and costs; and
  - Pay \$1,000 to an entity, CAG, in lieu of a civil penalty.
- 2. The court grants the Motion for Judicial Approval of Settlement between CAG and Built-Rite in its entirety pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7, subdivision (f)(4) after making the following findings.
  - a. CAG followed all procedural rules in seeking approval of the Proposed Settlement;
  - The Proposed Settlement properly requires Proposition 65 compliant warnings for extant exposures to Proposition 65-listed chemicals;
  - c. The award of \$14,000 in attorney fees and costs as set forth in the Proposed Settlement is appropriate and reasonable under California law given the total fees and costs incurred by CAG and its counsel of record in prosecuting this action;

- d. The Proposed Settlement provides that Built-Rite will pay \$1,000 to an entity, CAG, in lieu of a civil penalty that is proper in light of the criteria set forth in California Code of Regulations, title 11, section 3203, subdivision (b);
- e. The terms of the Proposed Settlement are in the public interest consistent with Health and Safety Code section 25249.7, subdivision (d); and
- f. CAG adequately represented the public interest in entering into the Proposed Settlement.

Dated: 1/2/08, 2007

Ralph W. Dan

JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT