1 2 3 4	WILLIAM VERICK, SBN 140972 FREDRIC EVENSON, SBN 198059 KLAMATH ENVIRONMENTAL LAW CEN 424 First Street Eureka, CA 95501 Telephone: (707) 268-8900 Facsimile: (707) 268-8901 Email: wverick@igc.org	ENDORSED FILED San Francisco County Superior Court	
5 6 7 8 9 10	Email: wverick@igc.org Email: ecorights@earthlink.net DAVID WILLIAMS, SBN 144479 BRIAN ACREE, SBN 202505 370 Grand Avenue, Suite 5 Oakland, CA 94610 Telephone: (510) 271-0826 Facsimile: (510) 271-0829 Email: davidhwilliams@earthlink.net Email: brianacree@earthlink.net Attorneys for Plaintiff, MATEEL ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE FOUNDA	AUG 1 5 2007 GORDON PARK-LI, Clerk BY: PHILOMENA DIAS Deputy Clerk	
12 13	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
13	FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO		
15 16 17 18 19 20	MATEEL ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE FOUNDATION,, Plaintiff, v. ENERCO GROUP, INC., ET AL Defendants.	CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO DEFENDANTS FIESTA GAS GRILLS, LLC; MANCHESTER TANK & EQUIPMENT CO.; WOLFDALE ENGINEERING LIMITED; and WORTHINGTON CYLINDER CORPORATION	
 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 	1. INTRODUCTION 1.1 On November 3, 2006, the MATEEL ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE FOUNDATION ("Mateel") acting on behalf of itself and the general public, filed a complaint for civil penalties and injunctive relief in San Francisco County Superior Court, Case No.CGC-06-456753. The complaint, as amended, (hereafter referenced as "First Amended Complaint") names, inter alia, defendants Fiesta Gas Grills, LLC,; Manchester Tank & Equipment Co.; Wolfedale Engineering Limited, and Worthington Cylinder Mateel v. Enerco Group et al., Case No 456753		

Corporation (collectively, "Settling Defendants"). The First Amended Complaint alleges, among other things, that Settling Defendants violated provisions of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, Health and Safety Code Sections 25249.5, et seq. ("Proposition 65"). In particular, Mateel alleges that Settling Defendants have knowingly and intentionally exposed persons to the valve Hand Wheels (defined as the handle or other device that is intended to be gripped and manipulated so as to open or close the valve of a propane cylinder) of propane cylinders made of brass containing lead and/or lead compounds (hereinafter "Leaded Brass"), which are chemicals known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harm, without first providing a clear and reasonable warning to such individuals.

- 1.2 On July 27, 2006, a 60-Day Notice letter ("Notice Letter") was sent by Mateel to Settling Defendants, the California Attorney General, all California District Attorneys, and all City Attorneys of every California City with populations exceeding 750,000.
- 1.3 Each Settling Defendant is a business that employs ten or more persons and manufactures, distributes, and/or markets propane tanks within the State of California. Some of those products are alleged to contain lead and/or lead compounds. Lead and lead compounds are chemicals known to the State of California to cause cancer, and lead is a chemical known to the State of California to cause reproductive toxicity pursuant to Health and Safety Code Section 25249.9. Under specified circumstances, products containing lead and/or lead compounds that are sold or distributed in the State of California are subject to the Proposition 65 warning requirement set forth in Health and Safety Code Section 25249.6. Plaintiff Mateel alleges that Leaded Brass valve Hand Wheels manufactured, distributed, sold and/or marketed by each Settling Defendant for use in California require a warning under Proposition 65.
- 1.4 For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term "Covered Products" shall be defined as 4 to 40 lb. propane cylinders equipped with overfill protection devices (OPDs), including any valves and valve Hand Wheels that are incorporated with such Mateel v. Enerco Group et al. Case No 456753

cylinders, to the extent such products are distributed and sold within the state of California, that are manufactured, distributed, marketed and/or sold by a Settling Defendant, regardless of whether they bear the label of a Settling Defendant.

- 1.5 For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the First Amended Complaint and personal jurisdiction over each Settling Defendant as to the acts alleged in the First Amended Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of San Francisco and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment as a full settlement and resolution of the allegations contained in the First Amended Complaint and of all claims that were or could have been raised by any person or entity based in whole or in part, directly or indirectly, on the facts alleged therein or arising therefrom or related thereto.
- 1.6 This Consent Judgment resolves claims that are denied and disputed. The parties enter into this Consent Judgment pursuant to a full and final settlement of any and all claims between the parties for the purpose of avoiding prolonged litigation. This Consent Judgment shall not constitute an admission with respect to any material allegation of the First Amended Complaint, each and every allegation of which each Settling Defendant denies, nor may this Consent Judgment or compliance with it be used as evidence of any wrongdoing, misconduct, culpability or liability on the part of each Settling Defendant or any other Defendant.

2. <u>SETTLEMENT PAYMENT</u>

- 2.1 In settlement of all of the claims referred to in this Consent Judgment against the Settling Defendants, within ten (10) calendar days of entry of this Consent Judgment by the Court, Settling Defendants shall pay \$ 72,000 to the Klamath Environmental Law Center ("KELC") to cover a portion of Mateel's attorneys' fees and costs.
- 2.2 Within ten (10) calendar days of entry of this Consent Judgment by the Court, Settling Defendants shall pay \$24,000 to the Ecological Rights Foundation and \$24,000 to Californians for Alternatives to Toxics for work informing the California Mateel v. Enerco Group et al. Case No 456753

5 6

7 8

9 10

11

12 13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25 26

27

28

Consumers about the hazards of and exposures to toxic chemicals and for work to reduce exposures to and pollution from toxic chemicals. Both are California non-profit environmental organizations that advocate for workers' and consumers' safety, and for awareness and reduction of toxic exposures.

3. ENTRY OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

3.1 The parties hereby request that the Court promptly enter this Consent Judgment. Upon entry of the Consent Judgment, Settling Defendants and Mateel waive their respective rights to a hearing or trial on the allegations of the First Amended Complaint.

4. MATTERS COVERED BY THIS CONSENT JUDGMENT

4.1 This Consent Judgment is a final and binding resolution between Mateel, acting on behalf of itself and the general public, and Settling Defendants, of: (i) any violation of Proposition 65 with respect to the Covered Products, and (ii) any other statutory or common law claim, to the fullest extent that any of the foregoing described in (i) or (ii) were or could have been asserted by any person or entity against a Settling Defendant based upon, arising out of or relating to a Settling Defendant's compliance with Proposition 65, or regulations promulgated thereunder, with respect to the Covered Products, and any other claim based in whole or part on the facts alleged in the First Amended Complaint, whether based on actions committed by a Settling Defendant, or by (a) any other entity within the chain of distribution of the Covered Products, including, but not limited to, manufacturers, wholesale or retail sellers or distributors and any other person in the course of doing business, or (b) any entity that manufactured, sold, or distributed the valves and/or valve Hand Wheels included with the Covered Products. As to alleged exposures to Covered Products, compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment resolves any issue, now and in the future, concerning compliance by Settling Defendant and its parents, subsidiaries or affiliates, predecessors, officers, directors, employees, and all manufacturers, customers, distributors, wholesalers, retailers or any other person in the course of doing business involving the Covered Products, and the Mateel v. Enerco Group et al. Case No 456753

4 5

6 7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24 25

26

27

28

successors and assigns of any of these who may manufacture, use, maintain, distribute, market or sell Covered Products, with the requirements of Proposition 65.

As to alleged exposures to Covered Products, Mateel, acting on behalf of 4.2 itself and the general public, and its agents, successors and assigns, waives all rights to institute any form of legal action, and releases all claims against each Settling Defendant and its parents, subsidiaries or affiliates, predecessors, officers, directors, employees, and all customers, manufacturers, distributors, wholesalers, retailers or any other person in the course of doing business involving the Covered Products, and the successors and assigns of any of them, who may manufacture, use, maintain, distribute or sell the Covered Products, whether under Proposition 65 or otherwise, arising out of or resulting from, or related directly or indirectly to, in whole or in part, the Covered Products and claims identified in Mateel's Notice Letter. In furtherance of the foregoing, Mateel, acting on behalf of itself and the general public, hereby waives any and all rights and benefits which it now has, or in the future may have, conferred upon it with respect to the Covered Products by virtue of the provisions of Section 1542 of the California Civil Code, which provides as follows:

> "A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF KNOWN BY HIM MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR."

Mateel understands and acknowledges that the significance and consequence of this waiver of California Civil Code Section 1542 is that even if Mateel or any member of the general public suffers future damages arising out of or resulting from, or related directly or indirectly to, in whole or in part, the Covered Products, it will not be able to make any claim for those damages against each Settling Defendant, its parents, subsidiaries or affiliates, predecessors, officers, directors, employees, and all customers, manufacturers, Mateel v. Enerco Group et al. Case No 456753

distributors, wholesalers, retailers or any other person in the course of doing business involving the Covered Products, and the successors and assigns of any of them, who may manufacture, use, maintain, distribute or sell the Covered Products. Furthermore, Mateel acknowledges that it intends these consequences for any such claims which may exist as of the date of this release but which Mateel does not know exist, and which, if known, would materially affect its decision to enter into this Consent Judgment, regardless of whether its lack of knowledge is the result of ignorance, oversight, error, negligence, or any other cause.

5. <u>ENFORCEMENT OF JUDGMENT</u>

5.1 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be enforced exclusively by the parties hereto. The parties may, by noticed motion or order to show cause before the Superior Court of San Francisco County, giving the notice required by law, enforce the terms and conditions contained herein.

6. MODIFICATION OF JUDGMENT

Except as provided for in Paragraph 7.2, this Consent Judgment may be modified only upon written agreement of the parties and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court thereon, or upon motion of any party as provided by law and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court.

7. **INJUNCTIVE RELIEF**

- 7.1 The reformulation requirements set forth in paragraph 7.2 shall apply only to newly manufactured Covered Products that each Settling Defendant ships for distribution after 90 days from the date of entry of this Consent Judgment ("the Effective Date") and which are products manufactured, distributed, marketed, sold or shipped for sale or use inside the State of California.
- 7.2 Covered Products newly manufactured and shipped after the Effective Date shall use a Hand Wheel which is made of a lead free material. Mateel acknowledges that other components of the valves, including but not limited to the valve bodies, are made of Leaded Brass and that Settling Defendants are not required to make the valve components Mateel v. Enerco Group et al. Case No 456753

4

5 6

7

8

9 10

11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

24

23

25 26

27

28

other than the Hand Wheels from lead free materials. In addition, no warning will be required on the Covered Products manufactured in compliance with this Consent Judgment.

8. **AUTHORITY TO STIPULATE**

Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the party he or she represents to enter into this Consent Judgment and to execute it on behalf of the party represented and legally to bind that party.

9. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement the Consent Judgment.

10. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments and understandings related hereto. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those contained herein have been made by any party hereto. No other agreements not specifically referred to herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the parties.

11. **GOVERNING LAW**

The validity, construction and performance of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California, without reference to any conflicts of law provisions of California law.

12. FEES AND EXPENSES

The parties acknowledge and agree that, except as set provided in Section 2.1 of this Consent Judgment, each party shall bear its own costs, expenses, consultant and expert fees, and attorneys' fees arising out of and/or in connection with the litigation, the negotiation, drafting and execution of this Consent Judgment, and all matters arising out of and/or connected therewith, except that, in the event any action or proceeding is brought to enforce this Consent Judgment, the prevailing party shall be entitled to Mateel v. Enerco Group et al. Case No 456753

1	reasonable and necessary attorneys' fees, expenses, and costs in addition to all other relief to		
2	which that party may be entitled.		
3	13. COMPLIANCE WITH HEALTH & SAFETY CODE SECTION		
4	<u>25249.7(F)</u>		
5	Mateel agrees to comply with the reporting form requirements referenced in Health &		
6	Safety Code Section 25249.7(f). Pursuant to regulations promulgated under that section, Mateel		
7			
8	shall present this Consent Judgment to the California Attorney General's Office within five (5)		
9	days after receiving all of the necessary signatures. A noticed motion to enter the Consent		
10	Judgment will then be served on the Attorney General's Office at least forty-five (45) days prior		
11	to the date a hearing is scheduled on such motion in the Superior Court for the City & County of		
12	San Francisco unless the Court allows a shorter period of time.		
13	14 COURT ARROWAY		
14	14. <u>COURT APPROVAL</u>		
15	If this Consent Judgment is not approved by the Court, it shall be of no force or		
16	effect, and cannot be used in any proceeding for any purpose.		
17	IT IS SO STIPULATED:		
18	DATED: MATEEL ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE FOUNDATION		
19			
20	William Verick		
21	CEO Mateel Environmental Justice Foundation, Klamath Environmental Law Center		
22			
23			
24	DATED: FIESTA GAS GRILLS, LLC		
25			
26	By:		
27	Its:		
28			
ı	Mateel v. Enerco Group et al. Case No 456753		

1	DATED:	MANCHESTER TANK & EQUPMENT CO.
2		D.,,
3		By: Its:
4		
5	DATED:	WOLFDALE ENGINEERING LIMITED,
6		By:
7		Its:
8	DATED:	WORTHINGTON CYLINDER CORPORATION
9	DATED.	WORTHINGTON CILINDER CORD ORATION .
10		Rv
11		By: Its:
12		
13	IT IS SO ORDERED, AD	JUDGED AND DECREED:
14	DATED:	
15	DATED.	
16		JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
17		JODGE OF THE BOT ENGOR COORT
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24	·	
25		
26		
27		
28		
	Mateel v. Enerco Group et al. Ca	ase No 456753 9 CONSENT JUDGMENT
	ĬĬ	COLODITI ACDOMINDITI

reasonable and necessary attorneys' fees, expenses, and costs in addition to all other relief to which that party may be entitled.

13. COMPLIANCE WITH HEALTH & SAFETY CODE SECTION 25249.7(F)

Mateel agrees to comply with the reporting form requirements referenced in Health & Safety Code Section 25249.7(f). Pursuant to regulations promulgated under that section, Mateel shall present this Consent Judgment to the California Attorney General's Office within five (5) days after receiving all of the necessary signatures. A noticed motion to enter the Consent Judgment will then be served on the Attorney General's Office at least forty-five (45) days prior to the date a hearing is scheduled on such motion in the Superior Court for the City & County of San Francisco unless the Court allows a shorter period of time.

14. <u>COURT APPROVAL</u>

If this Consent Judgment is not approved by the Court, it shall be of no force or effect, and cannot be used in any proceeding for any purpose.

IT IS SO STIPULATED:

DATED: 6/28/07	MATEEL ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE FOUNDATION
7-3707	William Verick
	CEO Mateel Environmental Justice Foundation,
	Klamath Environmental Law Center

DATED: FIESTA GAS GRILLS, LLC

By: Its:

Mateel v. Enerco Group et al. Case No 456753

reasonable and necessary attorneys= fees, expenses, and costs in addition to all other relief to which that party may be entitled.

13. COMPLIANCE WITH HEALTH & SAFETY CODE SECTION 25249.7(F)

Mateel agrees to comply with the reporting form requirements referenced in Health & Safety Code Section 25249.7(f). Pursuant to regulations promulgated under that section, Mateel shall present this Consent Judgment to the California Attorney General's Office within five (5) days after receiving all of the necessary signatures. A noticed motion to enter the Consent Judgment will then be served on the Attorney General's Office at least forty-five (45) days prior to the date a hearing is scheduled on such motion in the Superior Court for the City & County of San Francisco unless the Court allows a shorter period of time.

14. **COURT APPROVAL**

If this Consent Judgment is not approved by the Court, it shall be of no force or effect, and cannot be used in any proceeding for any purpose.

IT IS SO STIPULATED:

DATED:	MATEEL ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE FOUNDATION
	William Verick CEO Mateel Environmental Justice Foundation, Klamath Environmental Law Center

DATED: 6 28 07 FIESTA GAS GRILLS, LLC

DATED: June 25, 2007	MANCHESTER TANK & EQUPMENT CO.
	Harrison whitehead
	By: HARRISON WHITEHEAD Its: VICE PRESIDENT
DATED:	WOLFDALE ENGINEERING LIMITED,
	
	By: Its:
	,
DATED:	WORTHINGTON CYLINDER CORPORATION
	
	By: Its:
IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJ	TUDGED AND DECREED:
DATED.	
DATED:	
	TUDGE OF THE GUDENION COLUMN
	JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
_	
Mateel v. Enerco Group et al. Cas	e No 456753 9 CONSENT JUDGMENT
	DATED:

1	DATED:	MANCHESTER TANK & EQUPMENT CO.			
2		Dv			
3		By: Its:			
4					
5	DATED: 6(28/07	WOLFDALE ENGINEERING LIMITED,			
6	v * /	Bush and Shall			
7		By: Snawn Minshall Its: Vice President			
8 .	DATED:	WORTHINGTON CYLINDER CORPORATION			
9		Westerming for other part of the startion.			
10		By:			
11		Its:			
12					
13	IT IS SO ORDERED, A	DJUDGED AND DECREED:			
14	DATED:				
15					
16	-	JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT			
17					
18					
1920					
20					
22		·			
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					
	Mateel v. Enerco Group et al.				
	CONSENT JUDGMENT				

1	DATED:	MANCHESTER TANK & EQUPMENT CO.	•
2		By:	
3		Its:	
4			
5	DATED:	WOLFDALE ENGINEERING LIMITED,	
6		By:	
7		Its:	
8	DATED: (/a a/a 7	WORTHINGTON CYLINDER CORPORATION	
9	DATED: 6/28/07	WORTHENGTON CTENNEER CORD ORATION	
10		By: Tr. # T. Autor	
11		By: Tim , thy J. Dougy Its: Assitant General Couse	
12			
13	IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJ	TUDGED AND DECREED:	
14	DATED: AUG 1 5 2000		
15	DATED: AUG 1 5 2007	PETER J. BUSCH	·
16			
16		TUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT	
17		JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT	
		JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT	
17		JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT	
17 18 19		JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT	
17 18 19 20		JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT	
17 18 19 20 21		JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT	
17 18 19 20 21 22		JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT	
17 18		JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT	
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24		JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT	
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24		JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT	
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25		JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT	
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	Mateel v. Enerco Group et al. Cas		

CONSENT JUDGMENT

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	WILLIAM VERICK, CSB #140972 Klamath Environmental Law Center FREDRIC EVENSON, CSB #198059 424 First Street Eureka, CA 95501 (707) 268-8900 DAVID H. WILLIAMS, CSB #144479 BRIAN ACREE, CSB #202505 370 Grand Avenue, Suite 5 Oakland, CA 94610 Telephone: (510) 271-0826 Facsimile: (510) 271-0829 Attorneys for Plaintiff MATEEL ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE I	FOUNDATION	ENDORSED FILED San Francisco County Superior Court AUG 1 5 2007 GORDON PARK-LI, Clerk BY: PHILOMENA DIAS Deputy Clerk
10			
11	SUPERIOR COURT OF	THE STATE	OF CALIFORNIA
12	COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO		
13	COUNTIO	T SAN TRAIN	CISCO
14			
15	MATEEL ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE FOUNDATION,	CASE NO. 4	56753
16 17 18 19 20	Plaintiff, vs.	FIESTA GA MANCHES' WOLFEDA and	PRDER APPROVING NT AS TO DEFENDANTS S GRILLS, LLC.; PER TANK & EQUIPMENT CO.; LE ENGINEERING LIMITED GTON CYLINDER FION
21			
22	ENERCO GROUP, INC., et al.,	Date: Time:	August 15, 2007 9:30 a.m.
23	Defendants.	Dept. No.:	301
24	/		
25			
26	Plaintiff's motion for approval of set	tlement and en	try of Consent Judgment as to
27	Defendant Inc. was heard on regular noticed	motion on Aug	gust 15, 2007, at 9:30 a.m. in
28	ORDER Approving Settlement (Fiesta Gas Grills, LLC; M Wolfedale Engineering Limited; and Worthington Cylinder Mateel v. Enerco, Inc, Case No. 456753		quipment Co., Page 1

Department No. 301. Having reviewed the pleadings and the moving papers, having reviewed the terms of the proposed consent judgment and having considered the arguments of counsel, the Court finds as follows:

- 1. The warnings the Consent Judgment requires comply with the requirements of Proposition 65.
- 2. The payments in lieu of civil penalties specified in the Consent Judgment are reasonable and conform to the criteria of Health and Safety Code § 25249.7(b)(2).
- 3. The attorneys fees awarded under the Consent Judgment and the underlying hourly rates, time expended, and costs incurred are reasonable.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

PETER J. BUSCH

Dated: AUG 1 5 2007

Judge of the Superior Court

PROOF OF SERVICE

1 2

3

4

I am over 18 years of age, not a party to the within action, and an active member of the Bar of the State of California, with a business address of 370 Grand Avenue, Suite 5, Oakland, CA 94610. On **June 28, 2007**, I served the documents entitled:

5 NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION TO APPROVE PROPOSITION 65 SETTLEMENT AND FOR ENTRY OF CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO DEFENDANTS:

- 6 FIESTA GAS GRILLS, LLC.; MANCHESTER TANK & EQUIPMENT CO.; WOLFEDALE ENGINEERING LIMITED and WORTHINGTON CYLINDER CORPORATION
- 8 MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO APPROVE SETTLEMENT AND ENTRY OF CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO
- 9 DEFENDANTS: FIESTA GAS GRILLS, LLC.; MANCHESTER TANK & EQUIPMENT CO.; WOLFEDALE ENGINEERING LIMITED and WORTHINGTON CYLINDER CORPORATION
- DECLARATION OF DAVID H. WILLIAMS IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO APPROVE PROPOSITION 65 SETTLEMENT AND FOR ENTRY OF CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO
- 12 DEFENDANTS: FIESTA GAS GRILLS, LLC.; MANCHESTER TANK & EQUIPMENT CO.; WOLFEDALE ENGINEERING LIMITED and WORTHINGTON CYLINDER
 13 CORPORATION
- 14 [proposed] ORDER APPROVING SETTLEMENT AS TO DEFENDANTS:
 FIESTA GAS GRILLS, LLC.; MANCHESTER TANK & EQUIPMENT CO.;
 WOLFEDALE ENGINEERING LIMITED and WORTHINGTON CYLINDER
 CORPORATION

by placing a true and correct copy of the documents in a sealed envelope for express mail next day delivery and depositing the envelope with the United States Post Office in Valparaiso, Indiana addressed as follows:

Proposition 65 Coordinator Office of Attorney General 1515 Clay Street Oakland, CA 94612

I declare under the penalty of perjury of the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct and that this declaration was executed on June 28, 2007 in Valaparaiso, Indiana.

David H. Williams

23

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

2526

27

28

1	PROOF OF SERVICE
2	I am over 18 years of age, not a party to the within action, and an active member of the Bar of the State of California, with a business address of 370 Grand Avenue, Suite 5, Oakland, CA 94610. On July 18, 2007 , I served the documents entitled:
4	NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION TO APPROVE PROPOSITION 65 SETTLEMENT
5	AND FOR ENTRY OF CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO DEFENDANTS: FIESTA GAS GRILLS, LLC.; MANCHESTER TANK & EQUIPMENT CO.; WOLFEDALE ENGINEERING LIMITED and WORTHINGTON CYLINDER
6	CORPORATION
7	MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO APPROVE SETTLEMENT AND ENTRY OF CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO
8	DEFENDANTS: FIESTA GAS GRILLS, LLC.; MANCHESTER TANK & EQUIPMENT CO.; WOLFEDALE ENGINEERING LIMITED and WORTHINGTON CYLINDER CORPORATION
10	DECLARATION OF DAVID H. WILLIAMS IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO APPROVE PROPOSITION 65 SETTLEMENT AND FOR ENTRY OF CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO
11	DEFENDANTS: FIESTA GAS GRILLS, LLC.; MANCHESTER TANK & EQUIPMENT CO.; WOLFEDALE ENGINEERING LIMITED and WORTHINGTON CYLINDER
12	CORPORATION
13 14	[proposed] ORDER APPROVING SETTLEMENT AS TO DEFENDANTS: FIESTA GAS GRILLS, LLC.; MANCHESTER TANK & EQUIPMENT CO.; WOLFEDALE ENGINEERING LIMITED and WORTHINGTON CYLINDER
15	CORPORATION
16	by placing a true and correct copy of the documents in a sealed envelope and depositing the envelope with the United States Post Office in Oakland, California, addressed as follows:
17	See Service List
18	I declare under the penalty of perjury of the laws of the State of California that
19	the foregoing is true and correct and that this declaration was executed on July 18, 2007 in Oakland, California.
20	DA.
21	David H. Williams
22	
23	

SERVICE LIST

1	
2	

.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

26

27

28

Michael Steel, Esq. Pillsbury Winthrop Shaw Pittman, LLP 50 Fremont Street San Francisco, CA 94105

Patrick C. Quinlivan, Esq. Julia A. Mouser, Esq. Quinlivan Wexler, LLP 6 Hutton Centre, Suite 1150 South Coast Metro, CA 92707

Richard Allen Ergo, Esq. Bowles & Verna 2121 N. California Blvd., #875 Walnut Creek, CA 94596

Charles I. Eisner, Esq. Boornazian, Jensen & Garthe 555 12th Street, Suite 1800 Oakland, CA 94607-2925

Sandra Kearney, Esq. Farella Braun & Martel, LLP 235 Montgomery Street, 17th Floor San Francisco, CA 94104

Frederick S. Fields, Esq. Coblentz, Patch, et al., One Ferry Building, Suite 200 San Francisco, CA 94111-4213

Notice of Motion and Motion For Approval of Settlement (Fiesta Gas Grills, LLC; Manchester Tank & Equipment Co., Wolfedale Engineering Limited; and Worthington Cylinder Corporation), *Mateel v. Enerco, Inc..*, Case No. 456753