1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	FOR THE COUNT MATEEL ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE FOUNDATION,, Plaintiff, v. ENERCO GROUP, INC., ET AL Defendants.	DEC 1 4 2007 GORDON PARK-LI, Clerk BY: JOCELYN C. ROQUE Deputy Clerk	
21	1. INTRODUCTION		
22	1.1 On November 3, 2006, the MATEEL ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE		
23	FOUNDATION ("Mateel") acting on behalf of itself and the general public, filed a complaint for		
24	civil penalties and injunctive relief in San Francisco County Superior Court, Case No.CGC-06-		
25	456753. The complaint, as amended, (hereafter referenced as "First Amended Complaint")		
26	names, inter alia, defendant Enerco Group, Inc. ("Settling Defendant"). For purposes of this		
27	Consent Judgment, Settling Defendant shall include Enerco Group, Inc., and its parents,		
28	subsidiaries or affiliates. The First Amended Complaint alleges, among other things, that Settling		
	CONSENT JUDGMENT		

9

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

20

19

21 22

23 24

25 26

27

brass components of hoses designed to be used with portable propane or natural gas devices such as barbeque grills, camp stoves, camp lanterns, and portable heaters, that these components are made of brass containing lead and/or lead compounds without first providing a clear and reasonable warning to such individuals. Lead and lead compounds are chemicals known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harm. On July 27, 2006, a 60-Day Notice letter ("Notice Letter") was sent by Mateel to 1.2

Defendant violated provisions of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Entercement Act of 1986.

alleges that Settling Defendant has knowingly and intentionally exposed persons to the leaded

Health and Safety Code Sections 25249 5, et seq. ("Proposition 65"). In particular, Mateel

- Settling Defendant, the California Attorney General, all California District Attorneys, and all City Attorneys of every California City with populations exceeding 750,000.
- The Settling Defendant is a business that employs ten or more persons and 1.3 manufactures, distributes, and/or markets products within the State of California. Some of those products are alleged to contain lead and/or lead compounds. Lead and lead compounds are chemicals known to the State of California to cause cancer, and lead is a chemical known to the State of California to cause reproductive toxicity pursuant to Health and Safety Code Section 25249.9. Under specified circumstances, products containing lead and/or lead compounds that are sold or distributed in the State of California are subject to the Proposition 65 warning requirement set forth in Health and Safety Code Section 25249.6. Plaintiff Mateel alleges that the Leaded Brass valve hose ends of the adaptor hoses manufactured, distributed, sold and/or marketed by Settling Defendant for use in California require a warning under Proposition 65.
- For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term "Covered Products" shall be 1.4 defined as hoses, and their component parts, designed to be used with portable propane or natural gas operated devices, such as barbeque grills, heaters, stoves, and lamps, to the extent such products are distributed and sold within the state of California, which are manufactured, distributed, marketed and/or sold by the Settling Defendant, regardless of whether they bear the label of the Settling Defendant.

28

Mateel v. Enerco Group et al. Case No 456753

- 3

CONSENT JUDGMENT

jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the First Amended Complaint and personal jurisdiction over Settling Defendant as to the acts alleged in the First Amended Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of San Francisco and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment as a full settlement and resolution of the allegations contained in the First Amended Complaint and of all claims that were or could have been raised by any person or entity based in whole or in part, directly or indirectly, on the facts alleged therein or arising therefrom or related thereto.

1.6 This Consent Judgment resolves claims that are denied and disputed. The parties enter into this Consent Judgment pursuant to a full and final settlement of any and all claims between the parties for the purpose of avoiding prolonged litigation. This Consent Judgment shall not constitute an admission with respect to any material allegation of the First Amended Complaint, each and every allegation of which Settling Defendant denies, nor may this Consent Judgment or compliance with it be used as evidence of any wrongdoing, misconduct, culpability or liability on the part of Settling Defendant or any other Defendant.

2. <u>SETTLEMENT PAYMENT</u>

- 2.1 In settlement of all of the claims referred to in this Consent Judgment against the Settling Defendant, within ten (10) calendar days of entry of this Consent Judgment by the Court. Settling Defendant shall pay \$ 20,000 to the Klamath Environmental Law Center ("KELC") to cover a portion of Mateel's attorneys' fees and costs.
- 2.2 Within ten (10) calendar days of entry of this Consent Judgment by the Court,
 Settling Defendant shall pay \$ 5,000 to the Ecological Rights Foundation and \$ 5,000 to
 Californians for Alternatives to Toxics for work informing the California Consumers about the
 hazards of and exposures to toxic chemicals and for work to reduce exposures to and pollution
 from toxic chemicals. Both are California non-profit environmental organizations that advocate
 for workers' and consumers' safety, and for awareness and reduction of toxic exposures.

1

5

12

13

11

14 15

16

17 18

19

20

2122

2324

25

2627

28

3. ENTRY OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

3.1 The parties hereby request that the Court promptly enter this Consent Judgment.

Upon entry of the Consent Judgment, Settling Defendant and Mateel waive their respective rights to a hearing or trial on the allegations of the First Amended Complaint.

4. MATTERS COVERED BY THIS CONSENT JUDGMENT

- This Consent Judgment is a final and binding resolution between Mateel, acting on 4.1 behalf of itself and, as to those matter raised in the 60 Day Notice Letter, the general public, and Settling Defendant, of: (i) any violation of Proposition 65 with respect to the Covered Products, and (ii) any other statutory or common law claim, to the fullest extent that any of the foregoing described in (i) or (ii) were or could have been asserted by any person or entity against the Settling Defendant based upon, arising out of or relating to Settling Defendant's compliance with Proposition 65, or regulations promulgated thereunder, with respect to the Covered Products, and any other claim based in whole or part on the facts alleged in the First Amended Complaint, whether based on actions committed by Settling Defendant, or by (a) any other entity within the chain of distribution of the Covered Products, including, but not limited to, manufacturers, wholesale or retail sellers or distributors and any other person in the course of doing business, or (b) any entity that manufactured, sold, or distributed the Covered Products. As to alleged exposures to the chemicals identified in the 60 Day Notice Letter from Covered Products, compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment resolves any issue, now and in the future, concerning compliance by Settling Defendant and its parents, subsidiaries or affiliates, predecessors, officers, directors, employees, and all manufacturers, customers, distributors, wholesalers, retailers or any other person in the course of doing business involving the Covered Products, and the successors and assigns of any of these who may manufacture, use, maintain, distribute, market or sell Covered Products, with the requirements of Proposition 65.
 - 4.2 As to alleged exposures to Covered Products, Mateel, acting on behalf of itself and, as to the matters in the 60 Day Notice Letter, the general public, and its agents, successors and assigns, waives all rights to institute any form of legal action, and releases all claims against Settling Defendant and its parents, subsidiaries or affiliates, predecessors, officers, directors, Mateel v. Enerco Group et al. Case No 456753

employees, and all customers, manufacturers, distributors, wholesalers, retailers or any other person in the course of doing business involving the Covered Products, and the successors and assigns of any of them, who may manufacture, use, maintain, distribute or sell the Covered Products, whether under Proposition 65 or otherwise, arising out of or resulting from, or related directly or indirectly to, in whole or in part, the Covered Products and claims identified in Mateel's Notice Letter. In furtherance of the foregoing, Mateel, acting on behalf of itself and the general public, hereby waives any and all rights and benefits which it now has, or in the future may have, conferred upon it with respect to the Covered Products by virtue of the provisions of Section 1542 of the California Civil Code, which provides as follows:

"A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS
WHICH THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO
EXIST IN HIS FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE
RELEASE, WHICH IF KNOWN BY HIM MUST HAVE
MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS SETTLEMENT WITH THE
DEBTOR."

Mateel understands and acknowledges that the significance and consequence of this waiver of California Civil Code Section 1542 is that even if Mateel or any member of the general public suffers future damages arising out of or resulting from, or related directly or indirectly to, in whole or in part, the claims raised in the 60 Day Notice regarding the Covered Products, it will not be able to make any claim for those damages against the Settling Defendant, its parents, subsidiaries or affiliates, predecessors, officers, directors, employees, and all customers, manufacturers, distributors, wholesalers, retailers or any other person in the course of doing business involving the Covered Products, and the successors and assigns of any of them, who may manufacture, use, maintain, distribute or sell the Covered Products. Furthermore, Mateel acknowledges that it intends these consequences for any such claims which may exist as of the date of this release but which Mateel does not know exist, and which, if known, would materially affect its decision to enter into this Consent Judgment, regardless of whether its lack of knowledge is the result of ignorance, oversight, error, negligence, or any other cause.

Mateel y, Enerco Group et al. Case No 456753

MODIFICATION OF JUDGMENT

5.1

contained herein.

6.

Mateel v. Enerco Group et al. Case No 456753

CONSENT JUDGMENT

This Consent Judgment may be modified only upon written agreement of the parties and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court thereon, or upon motion of any party as provided by law and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court.

hereto. The parties may, by noticed motion or order to show cause before the Superior Court of

San Francisco County, giving the notice required by law, enforce the terms and conditions

7. <u>INJUNCTIVE RELIEF</u>

(a) If after 90 days after the entry of this consent judgment, the Covered Products continue to use leaded brass ends or fittings with the adaptor hoses such that a leaded brass component continues to be designed to be hand tightened or hand manipulated on either the gas or propane source end of the hose or the gas operated device end of the hose, a warning that complies with this section shall be provided.

The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be enforced exclusively by the parties

(b) WARNING:

If a warning is required, Settling Defendant shall provide a Proposition 65 warning for Covered Products as described below, or according to any warning agreed to by the California Attorney General:

Settling Defendant shall provide the following warning statement for all units of Covered Products that are manufactured for sale in California any time 90 days following entry of this Consent Judgment.

1	
,	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	İ
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
21 22 23	
23	
47	
25 26	
26	
27	
28	

PROP 65 WARNING: This product contains chemicals, including lead and lead compounds, known to the State of California to cause [cancer, and] birth defects or other reproductive harm. Wash your hands after handling this product.

or

PROP 65 WARNING: Handling the brass material on this product exposes you to lead, a chemical known to the State of California to cause [cancer, and] birth defects and other reproductive harm. Wash hands after use.

or

PROP 65 WARNING: Handling the brass material of this product exposes you to lead, a chemical known to the State of California to cause [cancer, and] birth defects or other reproductive harm. Wash hands after use.

The word "WARNING" shall be in bold. The words "Wash hands after handling" or "Wash hands after use" shall be italicized. The warning shall be provided with the unit package of the Covered Products. Such warning shall be prominently affixed to or printed on each Covered Product's label or package. The warning shall be at least the same size as the largest of any other warnings, if any, on the product container. If printed on the label itself, the warning shall be contained in the same section that states other safety warnings, if any, concerning the use of the product.

8. AUTHORITY TO STIPULATE

Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the party he or she represents to enter into this Consent Judgment and to execute it on behalf of the party represented and legally to bind that party.

Mateel v. Enerco Group et al. Case No 456753

_,

9. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement the Consent Judgment.

10. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments and understandings related hereto. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those contained herein have been made by any party hereto. No other agreements not specifically referred to herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the parties.

11. GOVERNING LAW

The validity, construction and performance of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California, without reference to any conflicts of law provisions of California law.

12. FEES AND EXPENSES

The parties acknowledge and agree that, except as set provided in Section 2.1 of this Consent Judgment, each party shall bear its own costs, expenses, consultant and expert fees, and attorneys fees arising out of and/or in connection with the litigation, the negotiation, drafting and execution of this Consent Judgment, and all matters arising out of and/or connected therewith, except that, in the event any action or proceeding is brought to enforce this Consent Judgment, the prevailing party shall be entitled to reasonable and necessary attorneys fees, expenses, and costs in addition to all other relief to which that party may be entitled.

13. <u>COMPLIANCE WITH HEALTH & SAFETY CODE SECTION</u> 25249.7(F)

Mateel agrees to comply with the reporting form and approval requirements referenced in Health & Safety Code Section 25249.7(f) and as implemented by various regulations.

Mateel v. Enerco Group et al. Case No 456753

1 14. COURT APPROVAL 2 If this Consent Judgment is not approved by the Court, it shall be of no force or effect, and cannot be used in any proceeding for any purpose. 3 4 5 6 7 IT IS SO STIPULATED: 8 ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE FOUNDATION DATED: 9 1/14/07 10 11 CEO Mateel Environmental Justice Foundation, Klamath Environmental Law Center 12 erco droup, inc., 13 DATED: 14 15 16 17 18 IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED: 19 DATED: DEC 1 4 2007 PAUL H. ALVARADO 20 21 JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT 22 23 24 25 26 27 28