1	David Bush, State Bar No. 154511		
2	Laralei S. Paras, State Bar No. 203319 HIRST & CHANLER LLP	El HOODGED	
3	2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214	ENDORSED FILED ALAMEDA COUNTY	
4	Berkeley, CA 94710-2565 Telephone: (800) 935-8116		
5	Facsimile: (800) 935-8116	APR <b>2 9</b> 2008	
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff WHITNEY R. LEEMAN, Ph.D.	CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT  By	
7	WINITED IN BEEN IN CONTROL	Deputy	
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF T	HE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
9	FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA		
10	UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION		
11			
12	WHITNEY R. LEEMAN, Ph.D.,	CASE NO. RG07305519	
13	Plaintiff,	JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO TERMS OF STIPULATION AND	
14	v.	ORDER RE: CONSENT JUDGMENT	
15	IMPERIAL TOY CORPORATION;	Date: April 29, 2008	
16	IMPERIAL TOY LLC.; LONGS DRUGS STORES CORPORATION and DOES 1	Time: 4:00 p.m. Dept.: 18	
17	through 150, inclusive,	Judge: Hon. Cecilia P. Castellanos	
18	Defendants.	Reservation No.: R-808571	
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
26			
28			
I	1		

JUDGMENT

In the above-entitled action, Plaintiff WHITNEY R. LEEMAN, Ph.D. and Defendants IMPERIAL TOY CORPORATION; and IMPERIAL TOY LLC, having agreed through their respective counsel that judgment be entered pursuant to the terms of the Proposition 65 settlement agreement in the form of a Stipulation and [Proposed] Order Re: Consent Judgment entered into by the parties, and after issuing an order approving this Proposition 65 settlement agreement and entering the Stipulation and Order Re: Consent Judgment on April 29, 2008,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure § 664.5, judgment is entered in accordance with the terms of the Stipulation and Order Re: Consent Judgment attached hereto as **Exhibit 1** and lodged concurrently herewith.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: APR **2** 9 2008

CECILLA CADERLASTICA

JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT

1	David Bush, State Bar No. 154511		
2	HIRST & CHANLER LLP		
	2560 Ninth Street		
3	Parker Plaza, Suite 214		
	Berkeley, CA 94710-2565		
4	Telephone: (510) 848-8880 Facsimile: (510) 848-8118		
5	racsinine. (310) 646-6116		
_	Attorneys for Plaintiff		
6	WHITNEY R. LEEMAN, Ph.D.		
_			
7	Harvey Friedman, State Bar No. 34981		
8	Ricardo Cestero, State Bar No. 203230		
Ū	GREENBERG GLUSKER		
9	1900 Avenue of the Stars		
	21st Floor		
10	Los Angeles, California 90067		
11	Telephone: (310) 553-3610 Facsimile: (310) 553-0687		
11	raesililie. (310) 333-0067		
12	Attorneys for Defendants		
	IMPERIAL TOY CORPORATION and		
13	IMPERIAL TOY LLC		
14			
14			
15	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
16	FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA		
17	UNLIMITED CIV	/IL JURISDICTION	
	CALIMITED CIVIL JORGING HOLY		
18			
19		1	
17	WHITNEY R. LEEMAN, Ph.D.,	Case No. RG 07305519	
20	Plaintiff,	CTIDILI ATION AND INDODOCEDI	
	riaintiii,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE: CONSENT JUDGMENT	
21	v.	ORDER RE. CONSENT JUDGMENT	
22			
~~	IMPERIAL TOY CORPORATION,		
23	IMPERIAL TOY LLC.; LONGS DRUGS		
IJ	STORES CORPORATION and DOES 1 through 150, inclusive,		
24	anough 150, melusive,		
25	Defendants.		
26			
27			
48			

## 1.1 Whitney R. Leeman, Ph.D., Imperial Toy Corporation and Imperial Toy LLC

This Consent Judgment is entered into by and between plaintiff Whitney R. Leeman, Ph.D., ("Dr. Leeman" or "Plaintiff") and defendants Imperial Toy Corporation and Imperial Toy LLC, (collectively "Defendants"), with Dr. Leeman and Defendants referred to as the "parties."

## 1.2 Dr. Leeman

Dr. Leeman is an individual residing in the State of California who seeks to promote awareness of exposure to toxic chemicals and improve human health by reducing or eliminating hazardous substances contained in consumer products.

### 1.3 Defendants

Defendants each employ ten or more persons and are each a person in the course of doing business for purposes of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health & Safety Code §25249.6 et seq. (Proposition 65).

## 1.4 General Allegations

Dr. Leeman alleges that Defendants have manufactured, distributed and/or sold cosmetic kits containing lead in the State of California without the requisite health hazard warnings. Lead is a substance known to cause birth defects and other reproductive harm and is listed pursuant to Proposition 65. Lead shall be referred to hereinafter as the "listed chemical."

## 1.5 **Product Description**

The products that are covered by this Consent Judgment are defined as follows: cosmetic kits containing a combination of eye shadow, blush and/or lipstick (or lip gloss) containing lead, such as the *Petite Miss Make-Up Set, No. 7017 (#0 76666 07017 6)*. All such cosmetic kits containing lead shall be referred to hereinafter as the "Products".

### 1.6 Notice of Violation

On October 13, 2006, Dr. Leeman served Defendants and various public enforcement agencies with a document entitled "60-Day Notice of Violation" (Notice) that provided Defendants and public enforcers with notice that alleged that Defendants were in violation of California Health

& Safety Code §25249.6 for failing to warn consumers that the Products that Defendants sold exposed users in California to the listed chemical.

## 1.7 <u>Complaint</u>

On January 9, 2007, Dr. Leeman, who is acting in the interest of the general public in California, filed a complaint ("Complaint" or "Action") in the Superior Court in and for the County of Alameda against Imperial Toy Corporation, Imperial Toy LLC, Longs Drugs Stores Corporation and Does 1 through 150, (*Leeman v. Imperial Toy Corp. et al., Case #RG 07305519*) alleging violations of California Health & Safety Code §25249.6 based on the alleged exposures to the listed chemical contained in the Products sold by Defendants.

## 1.8 No Admission

Defendants deny the material factual and legal allegations contained in Dr. Leeman's Notice and Complaint and maintains that all Products that they have sold and distributed in California have been and are in compliance with all laws. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by Defendants of any fact, finding, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with this Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by Defendants of any fact, finding, conclusion, issue of law, or violation of law, such being specifically denied by Defendants. However, this Section shall not diminish or otherwise affect Defendants' obligations, responsibilities, and duties under this Consent Judgment.

## 1.9 Consent to Jurisdiction

For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over Defendants as to the allegations contained in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of Alameda and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter and enforce the provisions of this Consent Judgment.

### 1.10 Effective Date

For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term "effective date" shall mean the date this agreement is fully executed by the parties.

## 2. <u>INJUNCTIVE RELIEF: WARNINGS AND REFORMULATION</u>

## 2.1 Product Warnings

After the effective date, Defendants shall not sell, ship, or offer to be shipped for sale in California Products containing the listed chemical unless such Products are sold or shipped with one of the clear and reasonable warnings set forth in subsections 2.1(a) and (b), are otherwise exempt pursuant to Section 2.2 or comply with the reformulation standards set forth in Section 2.3.

Each warning shall be prominently placed with such conspicuousness as compared with other words, statements, designs, or devices as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual under customary conditions before purchase or use. Each warning shall be provided in a manner such that the consumer or user understands to which *specific* Product the warning applies, so as to minimize if not eliminate the chance that an overwarning situation will arise. The warning requirement shall apply when the Product is sold either to consumers or in a business-to-business transaction.

## (a) Retail Store Sales.

(i) Product Labeling. Defendants may perform their warning obligation by ensuring that a warning is affixed to the packaging, labeling, or directly on each Product sold in retail outlets by Defendants or their agents, that states:

WARNING: The cosmetic components in this product contain lead, a chemical known to the State of California to cause birth defects and other reproductive harm.

obligations by ensuring that signs are posted at retail outlets in the State of California where the Products are sold. In order to avail themselves of the point-of-sale option, Defendants shall provide a written notice (via certified mail in the first quarter of each calendar year) to each retailer or distributor to whom Defendants sell or transfer the Products directly, which informs such

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>If one or more cosmetic components in the Products are exempt pursuant to Section 2.3, then the term "cosmetic components" may be replaced with each of the specific cosmetic component(s) not qualifying as reformulated, e.g. "the eye shadow component(s) in this product contain lead ...."

retailers or distributors that point-of-sale warnings are required at each retail location in the State of California. Defendants shall include a copy of the warning signs and posting instructions with such notice. Further, Defendants must receive and make available for Dr. Leeman's inspection, upon request, a written commitment: (a) from each retailer to whom Defendants sell Products directly that said retailer will post the warning signs; and (b) from each distributor to whom Defendants sell Products directly that the distributor will transmit the point-of-sale warning notice and instructions to their direct customers. Point-of-sale warnings shall be provided through one or more signs posted in close proximity to the point of display of the Products that states:

WARNING: The cosmetic components in this product contain lead, a chemical known to the State of California to cause birth defects and other reproductive harm.<sup>2</sup>

Where more than one Product is sold in proximity to other like items or to those that do not require a warning (e.g. Reformulated Products as defined in Section 2.3), the following statement must be used:<sup>3</sup>

WARNING: The cosmetic components in the following products contain lead, a chemical known to the State of California to cause birth defects and other reproductive harm.<sup>4</sup>

[list products for which warning is required]

(b) Mail Order Catalog and Internet Sales. Defendants shall satisfy their warning obligations for Products sold via mail order catalog or the Internet to California residents by providing a warning: (i) in the mail order catalog or (ii) on the website. Warnings given in the mail order catalog or on the website shall identify the specific Product to which the warning applies as further specified in Sections 2.1(b)(i) and (ii).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>See footnote 1, supra, fully incorporated herein by reference.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>For purposes of the Consent Judgment, "sold in proximity" shall mean that the Product and another product are offered for sale close enough to each other that the consumer under customary conditions, could not reasonably determine which of the two products is subject to the warning sign.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>See footnote 1, supra, fully incorporated herein by reference.

(i) Mail Order Catalog Warning. Any warning provided in a mail order catalog must be in the same type size or larger as the Product description text within the catalog. The following warning shall be provided on the same page and in the same location as the display and/or description of the Product:

WARNING: The cosmetic components in this product contain lead, a chemical known to the State of California to cause birth defects and other reproductive harm.<sup>5</sup>

Where it is impracticable to provide the warning on the same page and in the same location as the display and/or description of the Product, Defendants may utilize a designated symbol to cross reference the applicable warning and shall define the term "designated symbol" with the following language on the inside of the front cover of the catalog or on the same page as any order form for the Product(s):

WARNING: The cosmetic components of certain products identified with this symbol ▼ and offered for sale in this catalog contain lead, a chemical known to the State of California to cause birth defects and other reproductive harm.<sup>6</sup>

The designated symbol must appear on the same page and in close proximity to the display and/or description of the Product. On each page where the designated symbol appears, Defendants must provide a header or footer directing the consumer to the warning language and definition of the designated symbol.

If Defendants elect to provide warnings in the mail order catalog, then the warnings must be included in all catalogs offering to sell one or more Products printed after December 31, 2007.

(ii) Internet Website Warning. A warning may be given in conjunction with the sale of the Product via the Internet, provided it appears either: (a) on the same web page on which the Product is displayed; (b) on the same web page as the order form for the Product; (c) on the same page as the price for any Product; or (d) on one or more web pages

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5, 6</sup>See footnote 1, *supra*, fully incorporated herein by reference.

displayed to a purchaser during the checkout process. The following warning statement shall be used and shall appear in any of the above instances adjacent to or immediately following the display, description, or price of the Product for which it is given in the same type size or larger as the Product description text:

WARNING: The cosmetic components of this product contain lead, a chemical known to the State of California to cause birth defects and other reproductive harm.

Alternatively, the designated symbol may appear adjacent to or immediately following the display, description, or price of the Product for which a warning is being given, provided that the following warning statement also appears elsewhere on the same web page:

WARNING: Products identified on this page with the following symbol contain cosmetic components that contain lead, a chemical known to the State of California to cause birth defects and other reproductive harm: ▼.8

## 2.2 Exceptions To Warning Requirements

The warning requirements set forth in Section 2.1 shall not apply to:

- (i) Any Products shipped before the effective date; or
- (ii) Reformulated Products (as defined in Section 2.3 below).

## 2.3 Reformulation Standards

Reformulated Product components are defined as follows: (1) any lipstick that contains less than or equal to 0.35 parts per million ("ppm") of lead; and (2) any other cosmetic item, including eye shadows and blush that contain less than or equal to 0.50 parts per million ("ppm") of lead. The warnings required pursuant to Section 2.1 above shall not be required for Reformulated Products.

Defendants shall use Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") testing methodology 6020 or 6010 to determine whether the respective levels have been exceeded in their Products.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7,8</sup>See footnote 1, supra, fully incorporated herein by reference.

### 2.4 Reformulation Commitment

Defendants hereby commit that one-hundred percent (100%) of the Products that they offer for sale in California after June 30, 2008, shall qualify as Reformulated Products or shall otherwise be exempt from the warning requirements of Section 2.1.

## 2.5 Recall of Past Products

Defendants hereby agree to recall all *Petite Miss Make-Up Set*, *No.* 7017 (#0 76666 07017 6) sold in California by contacting each of their direct customers via certified mail. Each recall notice shall be mailed within 30 days of the effective date and shall be made available to Dr. Leeman upon written request. Defendants shall post a recall notice for the *Petite Miss Make-Up Set*, *No.* 7017 (#0 76666 07017 6) on their website. The recall notice posted on defendants' website shall be available for viewing on their home page, via a hyperlink available on their homepage, or via a hyperlink available on the product safety page. Said recall notice shall be posted within thirty (30) days of the effective date and shall remain posted for not less than six months.

## 3. MONETARY PAYMENTS

## 3.1 Payments to be Made Pursuant to Health & Safety Code §25249.7(b)

Pursuant to California Health & Safety Code §25249.7(b), the total amount of payments to be made under this Section shall be \$4,000. All payments made pursuant to this Section 3.1 shall be payable to "HIRST & CHANLER LLP in Trust For Whitney R. Leeman, Ph.D." and shall be delivered within fifteen (15) days of the effective date to Dr. Leeman's counsel at the following address:

HIRST & CHANLER LLP Attn: Proposition 65 Controller 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710-2565

## 3.2 Apportionment of Payments Received under §25249.7

All monies received shall be apportioned by Dr. Leeman in accordance with California Health & Safety Code §25192, with seventy-five percent (75%) of these funds remitted by Dr. Leeman to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment and the

5

20 21 22

23

24

25 26

27

28

remaining twenty-five percent (25%) of these monies retained by Dr. Leeman as provided by California Health & Safety Code §25249.12(d). Dr. Leeman shall bear all responsibility for apportioning and paying to the State of California the appropriate amounts of the funds in accordance with this Section.

### 4. REIMBURSEMENT OF FEES AND COSTS

The parties acknowledge that Dr. Leeman and her counsel offered to resolve this dispute without reaching terms on the amount of fees and costs to be reimbursed to them, thereby leaving this fee and cost issue to be resolved after the material terms of the agreement had been settled. Defendants then expressed a desire to resolve the fee and cost issue shortly after the other settlement terms had been finalized. The parties then reached an accord on the compensation due to Dr. Leeman and her counsel under the private attorney general doctrine codified at California Code of Civil Procedure §1021.5 for all work performed in reaching and finalizing this Consent Judgment. Under the private attorney general doctrine, Defendants shall reimburse Dr. Leeman and her counsel for fees and costs incurred as a result of investigating, bringing this matter to Defendants' attention, and negotiating a settlement in the public interest. Defendants shall pay Dr. Leeman and her counsel \$33,500 for all attorneys' fees, expert and investigation fees, litigation, and related costs. The payment shall be made payable to "HIRST & CHANLER LLP" and shall be delivered within fifteen (15) days of the effective date, at the following address:

HIRST & CHANLER LLP Attn: Proposition 65 Controller 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710-2565

#### 5. RELEASE OF ALL CLAIMS

#### 5.1 Dr. Leeman's Release of Defendants

In further consideration of the promises and agreements herein contained, and for the payments to be made pursuant to Sections 3 and 4, Dr. Leeman on behalf of herself, her past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and/or assignees, and in the interest of the general public, hereby waives all rights to institute or participate in, directly or indirectly, any form of legal action and releases all claims, including, without limitation, all actions, and causes of

action, in law or in equity, suits, liabilities, demands, obligations, damages, costs, fines, penalties, losses, or expenses (including, but not limited to, investigation fees, expert fees, and attorneys' fees) of any nature whatsoever, whether known or unknown, fixed or contingent (collectively "claims"), against Defendants and each of their downstream wholesalers, licensors, licensees, auctioneers, retailers, franchisees, dealers, customers, owners, purchasers, users, parent companies, corporate affiliates, subsidiaries, and their respective officers, directors, attorneys, representatives, shareholders, agents, and employees, and sister and parent entities (collectively "releasees"). This release is limited to those claims that arise under Proposition 65, as such claims relate to Defendants' alleged failure to warn about exposures to the listed chemical contained in the Products. This release specifically includes defendant, Longs Drugs Stores Corporation. Plaintiff shall dismiss Longs Drugs Stores Corporation with prejudice within five days of the Court's approval of this Consent Judgment.

The parties further understand and agree that this release shall not extend upstream to any entities that manufactured the Products or any component parts thereof, or any distributors or suppliers who sold the Products or any component parts thereof to Defendants.

## 5.2 Defendants' Release of Dr. Leeman

Defendants waive any and all claims against Dr. Leeman, her attorneys, and other representatives for any and all actions taken or statements made (or those that could have been taken or made) by Dr. Leeman and her attorneys and other representatives, whether in the course of investigating claims or otherwise seeking enforcement of Proposition 65 against them in this matter, and/or with respect to the Products.

### 6. **COURT APPROVAL**

This Consent Judgment is not effective until it is approved and entered by the court and shall be null and void if, for any reason, it is not approved and entered by the court within one year after it has been fully executed by all parties, in which event any monies that have been provided to Plaintiff, or her counsel pursuant to Section 3 and/or Section 4 above, shall be refunded within

6

9

12

13

14

15 16 17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

fifteen (15) days after receiving written notice from Defendants that the one-year period has expired.

#### 7. SEVERABILITY

If, subsequent to the execution of this Consent Judgment, any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment are held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the enforceable provisions remaining shall not be adversely affected.

#### 8. **ATTORNEYS' FEES**

In the event that, after the execution of this Consent Judgment: (1) a dispute arises with respect to any provision of this Consent Judgment; or (2) any party takes reasonable and necessary steps to enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment, the prevailing party shall be entitled to reasonable attorneys' fees and costs.

### 9. **GOVERNING LAW**

The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California and apply within the State of California. In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed or is otherwise rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally, or as to the Products, then Defendants shall provide written notice to Dr. Leeman of any asserted change in the law, and shall have no further obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment with respect to, and to the extent that, the Products are so affected.

#### 10. NOTICES

Unless specified herein, all correspondence and notices required to be provided pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be in writing and personally delivered or sent by: (i) first-class, (registered or certified mail) return receipt requested; or (ii) overnight courier on any party by the other party at the following addresses:

To Defendants:

Harvey Friedman, Esq. GREENBERG GLUSKER 1900 Avenue of the Stars 21st Floor Los Angeles, California 90067

4

5 6

7 8

9

11 12

13

1415

16

17 18

19 20

21

22

23

24

2526

27

28

To Dr. Leeman:

Proposition 65 Coordinator HIRST & CHANLER LLP 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710-2565

Any party, from time to time, may specify in writing to the other party a change of address to which all notices and other communications shall be sent.

## 11. COUNTERPARTS; FACSIMILE SIGNATURES

This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by facsimile, each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute one and the same document.

## 12. COMPLIANCE WITH HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 25249.7(f)

Dr. Leeman agrees to comply with the reporting form requirements referenced in California Health & Safety Code §25249.7(f).

## 13. ADDITIONAL POST EXECUTION ACTIVITIES

Dr. Leeman and Defendants agree to mutually employ their best efforts to support the entry of this agreement as a Consent Judgment and obtain approval of the Consent Judgment by the court in a timely manner. The parties acknowledge that, pursuant to California Health & Safety Code §25249.7, a noticed motion is required to obtain judicial approval of this Consent Judgment. Accordingly, Plaintiff agrees to file a Motion to Approve the Agreement ("Motion"). Defendants and Longs Drugs Stores shall have no additional responsibility to Plaintiff's counsel pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure §1021.5 or otherwise with regard to reimbursement of any fees and costs incurred with respect to the preparation and filing of the Motion or with regard to Plaintiff's counsel appearing for a hearing thereon.

## 14. **MODIFICATION**

This Consent Judgment may be modified only: (1) by written agreement of the parties and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the court thereon; or (2) upon a successful motion of any party and entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the court. The Attorney General shall be served with notice of any proposed modification to this Consent Judgment at least fifteen (15)

# 15. <u>AUTHORIZATION</u>

The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their respective parties and have read, understood, and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment.

AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:
Date: 1/23/08	Date:
By: // ) ( See Control of Plaintiff, WHYINEY R. LEEMAN, Ph.D.	By: Defendant, IMPERIAL TOY CORP.
	AGREED TO:
	Date:
	By:
APPROVED AS TO FORM:	APPROVED AS TO FORM:
Date:	Date:
HIRST & CHANLER LLP	GREENBERG GLUSKER
By:	By: Harvey Friedman Attorneys for Defendants IMPERIAL TOY CORP. and IMPERIAL TOY LLC
IT IS SO ORDERED.	JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
	13
	ORDER RE: CONSENT JUDGMENT

The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their respective parties and have read, understood, and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment.

AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:
Date:	Date:
By: Plaintiff, WHITNEY R. LEEMAN, Ph.D.	By: Defendant, IMPERIAL TOY CORP.
	AGREED TO: Date:
	By: Defendant, IMPERIAL TOY LLC
APPROVED AS TO FORM:  Date: //22/08  FINEST & CHANLER LLP	APPROVED AS TO FORM:  Date:  GREENBERG GLUSKER
By: David Bush Attorneys for Plaintiff WHITNEY R. LEEMAN, Ph.D.	By:  Harvey Friedman Attorneys for Defendants IMPERIAL TOY CORP. and IMPERIAL TOY LLC
IT IS SO ORDERED.	:
Date:	JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
	3 ORDER RE: CONSENT JUDGMENT

## 15. <u>AUTHORIZATION</u>

The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their respective parties and have read, understood, and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment.

AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:
Date:	Date: //25/2008
By: Plaintiff, WHITNEY R. LEEMAN, Ph.D.	By: Defendant, IMPERIAL TOY CORP.
	AGREED TO:  Date:
APPROVED AS TO FORM:	APPROVED AS TO FORM:
Date:	Date:
By:  David Bush Attorneys for Plaintiff WHITNEY R. LEEMAN, Ph.D.	By: Harvey Friedman Attorneys for Defendants IMPERIAL TOY CORP. and IMPERIAL TOY LLC
IT IS SO ORDERED.	
Date:	JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
1: STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] (	