

1 2 3 4	Christopher M. Martin, State Bar No. 186021 HIRST & CHANLER LLP 566 W. Adams St., Suite 450 Chicago, IL 60661 Telephone: (312) 376-1801 Facsimile: (312) 376-1804	FILED ALAMEDA COUNTY
5	Attorneys for Plaintiff MICHAEL DIPIRRO	MAR 1 9 2009 CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
6 7 8 9 10	Earl L. Jiang, State Bar No. 133768 LAW OFFICES OF EARL L. JIANG Murco Plaza 39111 Pasco Padre Parkway, Suite 223 Fremont, CA 94538 Telephone: (510) 792-8668 Facsimile: (510) 792-8890 Attorneys for Defendant UNIVERSAL ABIT USA CORPORATION	ByDeputy
12 13 14	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION	
15 16		
17	MICHAEL DIPIRRO,	CASE NO. RG-07-342197
18 19	Plaintiff,	ASSIGNED FOR ALL PURPOSES TO JUDGE Lawrence John Appel DEPARTMENT 16
20	UNIVERSAL ABIT USA	
21	CORPORATION; and DOES 1 through 150, inclusive,	AMENDED [PROPOSED] JUDGMENT
22	D. C. J. A.	Date: January 30, 2009 Time: 9:00 a.m.
23	Defendants.	Reservation No. R891089
24		Reservation No. Resides
25		
26		
27		
28		
	11	

have agreed to settle Plaintiff's allegations that Defendant manufactured, distributed, and/or sold motherboards with lead-containing solder and components utilized with motherboards that contain lead solder in California without providing the requisite warnings, an alleged violation of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.6 et seq. (hereinafter "Proposition 65").

The products that are covered by this settlement are defined as follows: motherboards with

In the above-entitled action, Plaintiff MICHAEL DIPIRRO (hereinafter "Plaintiff" or

"DiPirro") and Defendant UNIVERSAL ABIT USA CORPORATION (hereinafter "Defendant")

The products that are covered by this settlement are defined as follows: motherboards with lead-containing solder, such as the ABIT NF8-V2 Motherboard (# 8 41020 00364 6), and components utilized with motherboards that contain lead solder (hereinafter "Products").

After December 19, 2008, Defendant shall not sell, ship, or offer to be shipped for sale in California Products containing the lead unless such Products are sold or shipped with the clear and reasonable warning set out in the settlement, comply with the reformulation standards set forth in the settlement or are otherwise exempt pursuant to the settlement.

Any warning issued for Products pursuant to the settlement shall be prominently placed with such conspicuousness as compared with other words, statements, designs, or devices as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual under customary conditions before purchase or, for Products shipped directly to an individual in California or used in the workplace, before use. Any warning issued pursuant to the settlement shall be provided in a manner such that the consumer or user understands to which specific Product the warning applies, so as to minimize if not eliminate the chance that an overwarning situation will arise.

From December 19, 2008, a warning will be affixed to packaging, labeling, or directly on each non-integrated product sold in retail outlets by Defendant or its agents. Defendant may also perform its warning obligations by ensuring that signs are posted at retail outlets in the State of California where the non-integrated products are sold. In order to avail itself of the point-of-sale option, Defendant shall provide a written notice (via certified mail in the first quarter of each calendar year) to each retailer or distributor to whom Defendant sells or transfers the non-integrated products directly, which informs such retailers or distributors that point-of-sale

warnings are required at each retail location in the State of California. Defendant shall include a copy of the warning signs and posting instructions with such notice. Further, Defendant must receive and make available for DiPirro's inspection, upon request, a written commitment: (a) from each retailer to whom Defendant sells non-integrated products directly that said retailer will post the warning signs; and (b) from each distributor to whom Defendant sells non-integrated products directly that the distributor will transmit the point-of-sale warning notice and instructions to its direct customers. Point-of-sale warnings shall be provided through one or more signs posted in close proximity to the point of display of the non-integrated products.

Defendant shall satisfy its warning obligations for non-integrated products that are sold by mail order catalog or from the Internet to California residents by providing a warning: (i) in the mail order catalog; and/or (ii) on the website. Any warning provided in a mail order catalog must be in the same type size or larger as the non-integrated product description text within the catalog. If Defendant elects to provide warnings in the mail order catalog, then the warnings must be included in all catalogs offering to sell one or more non-integrated products printed after December 19, 2008. A warning may be given in conjunction with the sale of the non-integrated product via the Internet, provided it appears either: (a) on the same web page on which the non-integrated product is displayed; (b) on the same web page as the order form for the non-integrated product; (c) on the same page as the price for any non-integrated product; or (d) on one or more web pages displayed to a purchaser during the checkout process.

Where Defendant sells Products to individuals or entities it knows to be in the business of integrating its Products into computer systems for resale ("integrator(s)"), Defendant shall provide the integrator with written instructions (via certified mail in the first quarter of each calendar year) which instructs such integrators to attach sticker warnings, as specified in this Section, to any item which contains a Product as an integrated component, prior to the resale of the integrated product. Defendant must receive and make available for DiPirro's inspection, upon request, a written commitment from each integrator to whom Defendant sells Products directly that said integrator will post the warning stickers consistent with the requirements of Proposition 65.

On or before December 19, 2008, Defendant shall include a warning in twelve point font or greater on the inside front cover of its product user manuals shipped with any non-reformulated Product and available for viewing on its website(s), if so provided, and where Defendant otherwise warns or informs its customers of the content of its Products.

The warning requirements set forth in the settlement shall not apply to:

- (i) Any Product received in inventory before the Effective Date (pursuant to the settlement);
- (ii) Reformulated Products (as defined in the settlement); or
- (iii) Any Product in which the only possible point of exposure to lead is embedded in a manner that a consumer or worker would not come into contact with the lead under any reasonably anticipated use.

Defendant commits that all desktop products that it offers for sale in California after December 19, 2008, shall qualify as reformulated Products or be exempt from the warning requirements of the settlement. Further, Defendant commits to use commercially reasonable efforts to reformulate all Products in addition to those used for desktop computers (e.g., motherboards used for servers) to eliminate the presence of lead on or before December 31, 2008. Reformulated Products are defined as follows: any Product containing less than or equal to one-tenth of one percent (0.1%) lead by weight in each solder material, including all forms of solder identified in the settlement, unless that material is embedded in a manner that a consumer or worker ordinarily would not come into contact with the lead under any reasonably anticipated use.

Pursuant to Health & Safety Code §25249.7(b), the total civil penalty assessed shall be \$10,000. Defendant shall receive a credit of \$8,250 in light of its prompt cooperation with DiPirro in resolving this matter and its commitment to sell only reformulated Products in California. Defendant shall pay \$1,750.00 in civil penalties on or before December 19, 2008.

Defendant shall pay DiPirro and his counsel \$20,000.00 for all attorneys' fees, expert and investigation fees, litigation and related costs incurred as a result of investigating, bringing this

matter to Defendant's attention, litigating, and negotiating a settlement in the public interest and seeking the Court's approval of the settlement. IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 664.6, judgment is entered in accordance with the terms outlined in the settlement agreement. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: Hon. Lawrence John A Judge of the Alameda County Superior Court MAR 19 2009

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF ALAMEDA

Case Number: RG07342197

Case name: DIPIRRO VS UNIVERSAL ABIT USA

JUDGMENT FILED ON MARCH 19, 2009

DECLARATION OF SERVICE BY MAIL

I certify that I am not a party to this cause and that a true and correct copy of the foregoing document, **JUDGMENT FILED ON MARCH 19, 2009** was mailed first class, postage prepaid, in a sealed envelope, addressed as shown at the bottom of this document, and that the mailing of the foregoing and execution of this certificate occurred at 1221 Oak Street, Oakland, California.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on March 20, 2009.

Executive Officer/Clerk of the Superior Court By Ana Liza Tumonong, Deputy Clerk

Christopher Martin, Esq. Hirst & Chanler, LLP 566 W. Adams, Suite 450 Chicago, IL 60661

Earl Jiang, Esq. Law Offices of Earl L. Jiang 39111 Paseo Padre Parkway, Suite 223 Fremont, Ca 94538