

JUN 12 2009 CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA

UNLIMITED JURISDICTION

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, ex). Case No. RG 04-162075 rel. BILL LOCKYER, Attorney General, et al.,

Plaintiffs.

VS.

BURLINGTON COAT FACTORY WAREHOUSE CORPORATION, et al,

Defendants.

AND RELATED CONSOLIDATED CASES.

(Consolidated with RG 04-162037, RG 04-169511)

[PROPOSED] AMENDED CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO PLANET BEAUTY, INC. AND BEENA BEAUTY HOLDING, INC.

INTRODUCTION 1.

- This Amended Consent Judgment is entered into by the Center For Environmental 1.1 Health, a California non-profit corporation ("CEH"), and Planet Beauty, Inc. and Beena Beauty Holding, Inc. (collectively, the "Settling Defendants"), to settle certain claims asserted by CEH against Settling Defendants as set forth in the operative complaint in the matter entitled Center for Environmental Health v. Nadri, Inc., et al., Alameda County Superior Court Case No. RG 06-269531 (the "Nadri Action").
 - 1.2 On May 12, 2006, CEH filed the original complaint in the Nadri Action, which was

- 1 -AMENDED CONSENT JUDGMENT - PLANET BEAUTY, INC. AND BEENA BEAUTY HOLDING, INC.

2

3

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14 15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27

28

DOCUMENT PREPARED

later consolidated with three other actions including the lead case entitled *People v. Burlington Coat Factory et al.* (Alameda Superior Court Case No. RG 04-162075).

- 1.3 On February 21, 2006, upon noticed motion, the Court entered a Consent Judgment against a group of other defendants in the consolidated actions (the "Master Consent Judgment").
- Judgment by entering an Amended Consent Judgment in the consolidated actions (the "Amended Master Consent Judgment").
- On June 28, 2007, CEH provided a "Notice of Violation of Proposition 65" to the California Attorney General, the District Attorneys of every county in California, the City Attorneys of every California city with a population greater than 750,000, and to Planet Beauty, Inc. and Beena Beauty Holding, Inc., regarding the presence of lead in jewelry manufactured, distributed or sold by Settling Defendants.
- 1.6 On November 8, 2007, the complaint in the *Nadri* Action was amended to name additional defendants, including Planet Beauty, Inc. and Beena Beauty Holding, Inc. (the Fourth Amended Complaint in the *Nadri* Action is herein referred to as the "Complaint").
- 1.7 Settling Defendants are corporations that employ 10 or more persons, and which manufacture, distribute and/or sell Covered Products in the State of California.
- 1.8 For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, CEH and Settling Defendants (the "Parties") stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Complaint and personal jurisdiction over Settling Defendants as to the acts alleged in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of Alameda, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment as a full and final resolution of all claims which were or could have been raised in the Complaint based on the facts alleged therein with respect to Covered Products manufactured, distributed, and/or sold by Settling Defendants.
- 1.9 CEH and Settling Defendants enter into this Consent Judgment as a full and final settlement of all claims that were raised in the Complaint, or which could have been raised in the Complaint, arising out of the facts or conduct related to Settling Defendants alleged therein. By execution of this Consent Judgment and agreeing to comply with its terms, the Parties do not

customers in California, and Settling Defendants shall withdraw the Recall Products from the market in California, and, at a minimum, send instructions to any of their stores and/or customers that offer the Recall Products for sale in California to cease offering such Recall Products for sale in California and to either return all the Recall Products to Settling Defendants for destruction, or to directly destroy the Recall Products. Any destruction of such Recall Products shall be in compliance with all applicable laws. Settling Defendants shall keep and make available to CEH for inspection and copying records and correspondence regarding the market withdrawal and destruction of such Recall Products. If there is a dispute over the corrective action, the Parties shall meet and confer before seeking any remedy in court.

4. ENFORCEMENT

4.1 CEH may, by motion or application for an order to show cause before the Superior Court of the County of Alameda, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment. Should CEH prevail on any motion or application under this section, CEH shall be entitled to recover its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs associated with such motion or application.

5. PAYMENTS

- 5.1 Payments From Settling Defendants. Within five days of the entry of this Consent Judgment, Settling Defendants shall pay the total sum of \$35,000 as a settlement payment.
- 5.2 Allocation of Payments. The total settlement amount for Settling Defendants shall be paid in three separate checks delivered to the offices of the Lexington Law Group, LLP (Attn: Eric Somers), 1627 Irving Street, San Francisco, California 94122 and made payable and allocated as follows:
- 5.2.1 Settling Defendants shall pay the sum of \$1,000 as a penalty pursuant to Health & Safety Code §25249.7(b),
- 5.2.2 Settling Defendants shall pay the sum of \$11,200 as payment to CEH in lieu of penalty pursuant to Health & Safety Code §25249.7(b), and California Code of Regulations, title 11, §3202(b). CEH will use such funds to continue its work educating and

ON RECYCLED PAPER

POCUMENT PREPARES

protecting people from exposures to toxic chemicals, including heavy metals. In addition, CEH may use a portion of such funds to monitor and enforce compliance with the reformulation requirements of this and other similar Consent Judgments. The payment in lieu of penalty check shall be made payable to the Center For Environmental Health.

5.2.3 Settling Defendants shall pay the sum of \$22,800 as reimbursement of reasonable attorneys' fees and costs. The attorneys' fees and cost reimbursement check shall be made payable to the Lexington Law Group, LLP.

6. MODIFICATION AND DISPUTE RESOLUTION

- 6.1 Modification. This Consent Judgment may be modified from time to time by express written agreement of the Parties, with the approval of the Court, or by an order of this Court upon motion and in accordance with law.
- Notice; Meet and Confer. Any Party seeking to modify this Consent Judgment shall attempt in good faith to meet and confer with all affected Parties prior to filing a motion to modify the Consent Judgment.

7. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASE

- Settling Defendants and their parents, shareholders, divisions, subdivisions, subsidiaries, partners, sister companies and their successors and assigns ("Defendant Releasees"), and all entities other than those listed on Exhibit B of this Consent Judgment to whom they distribute or sell Covered Products, including but not limited to distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, franchisees, cooperative members, and licensees ("Downstream Defendant Releasees"), of any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law claims that have been or could have been asserted in the public interest against Settling Defendants, Defendant Releasees, and Downstream Defendant Releasees, regarding the failure to warn about exposure to lead arising in connection with Covered Products manufactured, distributed, or sold by Settling Defendants prior to the Effective Date.
- 7.2 CEH, for itself and acting on behalf of the public interest pursuant to Health and Safety Code §25249.7(d), releases, waives, and forever discharges any and all claims against

1	Settling Defendants, Defendant Releasees, and Downstream Defendant Releasees arising from any
2	violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law claims that have been or could
3	have been asserted in the public interest regarding the failure to warn about exposure to lead
4	arising in connection with Covered Products manufactured, distributed or sold by Settling
5	Defendants prior to the Effective Date.
6	7.3 Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment by Settling Defendants and
7	their Defendant Releasees shall constitute compliance with Proposition 65 by the Settling
8	Defendants, their Defendant Releasees and their Downstream Defendant Releasees with respect to
9	any alleged failure to warn about Lead in Covered Products manufactured, distributed or sold by
10	Settling Defendants after the Effective Date.
11	8. PROVISION OF NOTICE
12	When any party is entitled to receive any notice under this Consent Judgment, the
13	notice shall be sent by certified mail and electronic mail as follows:
14	8.1.1 Notices to Settling Defendants. The person for Settling Defendants to
15	receive Notices pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be:
· 16	Houman Fakhimi
17	Fakhimi & Associates 3 Hutton Centre Drive, Suite 620
18	Santa Ana, California 92707 Tel: (714) 542-2188 / Fax: (714) 542-3119
19	hfakhimi@lawhf.com
20	8.1.2 Notices to Plaintiff. The person for CEH to receive Notices pursuant to
21	this Consent Judgment shall be:
22	Eric S. Somers
23	Lexington Law Group, L.L.P. 1627 Irving Street
24	San Francisco, California 94122 Tel: (415) 759-4111 / Fax: (415) 759-4112
25	esomers@lexlawgroup.com
26	8.2 Any party may modify the person and address to whom the notice is to be sent by
27	sending each other party notice by certified mail and/or other verifiable form of written
28	
DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER	

AMENDED CONSENT JUDGMENT - PLANET BEAUTY, INC. AND BEENA BEAUTY HOLDING, INC.

DOCUMENT PREPARED

9. COURT APPROVAL

- 9.1 This Consent Judgment shall become effective on the Effective Date, provided however, that CEH shall prepare and file a Motion for Approval of this Consent Judgment and Settling Defendants shall support approval of such Motion.
- 9.2 If this Consent Judgment is not entered by the Court, it shall be of no force or effect and shall not be introduced into evidence or otherwise used in any proceeding for any purpose.

10. GOVERNING LAW AND CONSTRUCTION

- 10.1 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California.
- 10.2 The Parties, including their counsel, have participated in the preparation of this

 Consent Judgment and this Consent Judgment is the result of the joint efforts of the Parties. This

 Consent Judgment has been accepted and approved as to its final form by all Parties and their

 counsel. Accordingly, any uncertainty or ambiguity existing in this Consent Judgment shall not be
 interpreted against any Party as a result of the manner of the preparation of this Consent Judgment.

 Each Party to this Consent Judgment agrees that any statute or rule of construction providing that
 ambiguities are to be resolved against the drafting Party should not be employed in the
 interpretation of this Consent Judgment and, in this regard, the Parties hereby waive California

 Civil Code §1654.

11. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments, or understandings related thereto, if any, are hereby merged herein and therein. There are no warranties, representations, or other agreements between the Parties except as expressly set forth herein. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those specifically referred to in this Consent Judgment have been made by any Party hereto. No other agreements not specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto. No supplementation, modification,

1	waiver, or termination of this Consent Judgment shall be binding unless executed in writing by the
2	Party to be bound thereby. No waiver of any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment shall be
3	deemed or shall constitute a waiver of any of the other provisions hereof whether or not similar,
4	nor shall such waiver constitute a continuing waiver.
5	12. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION
6	12.1 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement or modify the
7	Consent Judgment.
8	13. AUTHORITY TO STIPULATE TO CONSENT JUDGMENT
9	13.1 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized
10	by the party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment and to enter into and
11	execute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the party represented and legally to bind that party.
12	14. NO EFFECT ON OTHER SETTLEMENTS
13	14.1 Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall preclude CEH from resolving any claim
14	against an entity that is not Settling Defendants on terms that are different than those contained in
15	this Consent Judgment.
16	15. EXECUTION IN COUNTERPARTS
17	15.1 The stipulations to this Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by
18	means of facsimile, which taken together shall be deemed to constitute one document.
. 19	
. 20	IT IS SO STIPULATED:
21	
22	
23	
24	
. 25	
26	
27	
28	
DOCUMENT PREPAR ON RECYCLEO PAPE	
	,

2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 Dated: November 2008 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 Dated: March, 2009 20 21 22			
Printed Name Printed Name Title Dated: November 2008 HANET BEAUTY, INC. PLANET BEAUTY, INC. Printed Name	11	Dated: November, 2008	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH
Printed Name Title Dated: November	. 4		
Title Title	_		Printed Name
Dated: November 2008 PLANET BEAUTY, INC. Planet BEAUTY, INC. Printed Name Printed Name Printed Name Printed Name Dated: March 2009 PLANET BEAUTY, INC. Printed Name Printed Name Planet BEAUTY, INC. Printed Name Printed Name Printed Name Planet BEAUTY, INC. Printed Name	!		Title
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 Dated: March, 2009 20 4 - 27 21 22	10	Dated: November, 2008	PLANET BEAUTY, INC.
Bahman Fakhim Printed Name Pri		4-27 2001	The state of the s
16 17 18 19 Dated: March, 2009 20 4 _ 27 21 22	l l		Bahman Fakhimi
17 18 19 Dated: March, 2009 20 4 - 27 21 22			
20 Y - 27 21 22			Title
21 22		I 4	BEENA BEAUTY HOLDING, INC.
	- 21		1 Shi
Bahman Fakhim			Rahman Fakhimi
Printed Name			Dodinio
26 Title			
27			
DOCUMENT PREPARET - 9 - ON RECYCLED PAPER AMENDED CONSENT JUDGMENT - PLANET BEAUTY, INC. AND BEENA BEAUTY HOLDING, INC.	DOCUMENT PREFAREI		

IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED

Dated: June 12, 2009

l

Honorable Robert B. Freedman

Judge of the Superior Court of the State of California

- 10 -

DOCUMENT PREPAREE ON RECYCLED PAPER

EXHIBIT A (TESTING PROTOCOLS)

The following test methods must be used to determine compliance with the lead standards set forth in this Consent Judgment. Laboratory sample preparation protocols specific for testing the lead content of jewelry components are not readily available. The sample preparation method used in USEPA Method 3050B or Method 3051 shall be followed, as modified in the following table for use with jewelry samples. The laboratory should make every effort to assure that samples removed from jewelry pieces are representative of the component to be tested, and are free of contamination from extraneous dirt and material not related to the jewelry component to be tested. All jewelry component samples shall be washed prior to testing using standard laboratory detergent, rinsed with laboratory reagent grade deionized water, and dried in a clean ambient environment. If components must be cut or scraped to obtain a sample, then metal snips, scissors, or other cutting tools used must be made of stainless steel and washed and rinsed before each use and between samples.

Samples should be digested in containers that are known to be free of lead using acids that are not contaminated by lead. Analytical Reagent grade digestion acids and reagent grade deionized water are required. Method Blanks, consisting of all reagents used in sample preparation handled, digested and made to volume in the same exact manner and in the same container type as samples, shall be tested with each group of 20 or fewer samples tested. The results for the Method Blank shall be reported with each group of sample results, and shall be below the stated reporting limit for sample results to be considered valid.

All jewelry components samples shall be prepared for testing in accordance with USEPA Method 3050B or 3051, with the following additional notes and exceptions:

- 2' -

COMPONENT	NOTES AND EXCEPTIONS
Metals plated with suitable undercoats and finish coats	Digestion using hot concentrated nitric acid with optional hydrochloric acid and optional hydrogen peroxide. Sample size should be 0.050 g to 1 g. Digested samples may require dilution prior to analysis. Digestion and analysis should achieve a reported detection limit no greater than 0.1% for samples. Any necessary dilutions shall be made to assure that measurements are made within the calibrated range of the analytical instrument.
Unplated metal and metal substrates not defined as Class 1 Components.	Digestion using hot concentrated nitric acid with optional hydrochloric acid and optional hydrogen peroxide. Sample size should be 0.050 g to 1 g. Digested samples may require dilution prior to analysis. Digestion and analysis should achieve a reported detection limit no greater than 0.01% for samples. Any necessary dilutions shall be made to assure that measurements are made within the calibrated range of the analytical instrument
Polyvinyl chloride (PVC)	Digestion using hot concentrated nitric acid with optional hydrochloric acid and optional hydrogen peroxide. Sample size should be a minimum of 0.05 g if using microwave digestion or 0.5 if using hot plate digestion, and should be chopped or comminuted prior to digestion. Digested samples may require dilution prior to analysis. Digestion and analysis should achieve a reported detection limit no greater than 0.001% (10 ppm) for samples. Any necessary dilutions shall be made to assure that measurements are made within the calibrated range of the analytical instrument.
Non-PVC Plastic/Rubber (e.g., acrylic, polystyrene, plastic beads/stones).	Digestion using hot concentrated nitric acid with optional hydrochloric acid and optional hydrogen peroxide. Sample size should be a minimum of 0.05 g if using microwave digestion or 0.5 if using hot plate digestion and should be chopped or comminuted prior to digestion. Plastic beads or stones should be crushed prior to digestion. Digested samples may require dilution prior to analysis. Digestion and analysis should achieve a reported detection limit no greater than 0.001% (10 ppm) for samples. Any necessary dilutions shall be made to assure that measurements are made within the calibrated range of the analytical instrument.
Coatings on Glass and Plastic Pearls.	The coating of glass or plastic beads should be scraped onto surface free of dust, such as a clean weighing paper or pan, usin a clean stainless steel razor blade or other clean sharp instrume that will not contaminate the sample with lead. The razor blade or sharp instrument should be rinsed with deionized water, wipe to remove particulate matter, rinsed again, and dried between samples. Weigh the scrapings. A minimum of 50 mg of scrapings.

DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER

EXHIBIT A (TESTING PROTOCOLS)

))		•
1		coating should be used for analysis. If less than 50 mg of scraped
2		coating is obtained from an individual pearl, then multiple pearls from that sample must be scraped and composited to obtain a
3		sufficient sample amount. The number of pearls used to make the
1	ų.	composite must be noted. Avoid inclusion of the substrate pearl material in the scrapings. Digest the scrapings according to
4		USEPA Method 3050B or 3051 or equivalent procedure for hot
5		acid digestion in preparation for trace lead analysis. Dilute the digestate in the minimum volume practical for analysis. Analyze
7		the digested sample according to specification of Exhibit A (approved, validated methodology for inductively-coupled
j		plasma mass spectrometry). A reporting limit of 0.001% (10 ppm) in the coating must be obtained for the analysis. The
8		sample result must be reported within the calibrated range of the
9		instrument. If the initial test of the sample is above the highest calibration standard, then the sample must be diluted and re-
10		analyzed within the calibrated range of the instrument.
11	D	Digestion using hot concentrated nitric acid with optional
12	Dyes, paints, coatings, varnish, printing inks,	hydrochloric acid and optional hydrogen peroxide. Sample size
1	ceramic glazes, glass,	should be a minimum of 0.050 g, and should be chopped or
13	crystal	comminuted prior to digestion.
14		Digested samples may require dilution prior to analysis.
15		Digestion and analysis should achieve a reported detection limit no greater than 0.001% (10 ppm) for samples. Any necessary
16		dilutions shall be made to assure that measurements are made
		within the calibrated range of the analytical instrument. The components should be free of any extraneous material such
17	Glass and crystal used in Children's Products (for	as adhesive before they are weighed. The scale used to weigh
18	weight)	these components should be calibrated using NIST certified (S-
19	·	class) weights of 1 and 2 grams immediately before the components are weighed. The calibration should be accurate to
20	,	within 0.01 gram.
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		- 4 -

DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER

EXHIBIT B

	(LIST OF ENTITIES NOT SUBJECT TO DOWNSTREAM DEFENDANT RELEASE)
	10 DO WINDING MEDICAL COLLEGE
1.	Albertson's LLC; Albertson's, Inc.; New Albertson's, Inc.
2.	A-List, Inc. dba Kitson
3.	Amiee Lynn, Inc.
4.	AZ3, Inc.
5.	BCBG Max Azria Group, Inc.
6.	Big A Drug Stores, Inc.
7.	Busch Entertainment Corporation
8.	Conair Corporation
9,	Cousin Corporation of America
10.	Creative Visions, Inc. dba Country Clutter
11.	Elite Distributing Company dba Edco
12.	Furla (U.S.A.) Incorporated
13.	Georgiou Studio, Inc.
14.	Goody Products, Inc.
15.	Hand & Mind, Inc.
16.	Hayun Fashion Investments Corporation dba Planet Funk
· 17.	I Love Bracelets, Inc.
18.	Ivorette-Texas, Inc. dba Upstart Crow Trading Company
19.	Jacadi USA, Inc.
20.	La-Kontra
21.	Legoland California LLC
22.	Lisa Kline, Inc.
23.	Long Rap, Inc.
24.	Mango
25.	Marin Beauty Company
26.	Max Rave, LLC
27.	Peter David, Inc.
	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21. 22. 23. 24. 25. 26.

DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER ····

EXHIBIT B (TESTING PROTOCOLS)

28. Raley's 29. Rite Aid Corporation 30. Safeway Inc. 31. Scünci International, Inc. 32. Sea World, Inc. 33. Shoe Pavilion Corporation; Shoe Pavilion, Inc. 34. Six Flags Theme Parks, Inc. 35. Urban Outfitters West LLC; Urban Outfitters, Inc.	
30. Safeway Inc. 31. Scünci International, Inc. 32. Sea World, Inc. 33. Shoe Pavilion Corporation; Shoe Pavilion, Inc. 34. Six Flags Theme Parks, Inc.	
31. Scünci International, Inc. 32. Sea World, Inc. 33. Shoe Pavilion Corporation; Shoe Pavilion, Inc. 34. Six Flags Theme Parks, Inc.	
32. Sea World, Inc. 33. Shoe Pavilion Corporation; Shoe Pavilion, Inc. 34. Six Flags Theme Parks, Inc.	
33. Shoe Pavilion Corporation; Shoe Pavilion, Inc. 34. Six Flags Theme Parks, Inc.	
34. Six Flags Theme Parks, Inc.	
7 Urban Outfitters West LLC; Urban Outfitters, Inc.	
8 36. Venus Fashion Jewelry	
9 37. Whole Foods Market California, Inc.; Whole Foods Market, Inc.	
10	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	•
22	
23	
24	
24	
25	
25 26	
25 26 27	
25 26	