N.		. !
2	WILLIAM VERICK, SBN 140972 FREDRIC EVENSON, SBN 198059 KLAMATH ENVIRONMENTAL LAW CENTI 424 First Street Eureka, CA 95501 Telephone: (707) 268-8900 Facsimile: (707) 268-8901 Email: wverick@igc.org Email: ecorights@earthlink.net DAVID WILLIAMS, SBN 144479 BRIAN ACREE, SBN 202505 370 Grand Avenue, Suite 5 Oakland, CA 94610	ENDORSED FILED San Francisco County Superior Court OCT 0 9 2008 GORDON PARK-LI, Clerk JUGELYN C. ROQUE Deputy Clerk
8 9	Telephone: (510) 271-0826 Facsimile: (510) 271-0829 Email: davidhwilliams@earthlink.net Email: brianacree@earthlink.net	
10	a District MATEEL	TION
11		HE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
12	SUPERIOR COURT OF I	OUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
13	FOR THE CITY AND CC	JUNI I OF BAR 1122
14		Case No. CGC 07-464890
15	MATEEL ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE FOUNDATION,	TONORNE TUDOMENT AS TO
16	Plaintiff,	DEFENDANT GLOBAL AMICI, INC.
17		
18	VS.	
19	GLOBAL AMICI, INC., Defendant.	
20		
21		
22	2	
23	3	* ·
2	4	
2		
	6	
	7	
	28	
•	Mateel v. Global Amici, Inc. et al.,	WENTER (CLORAL AMICLING.)
	CONSENT JUDG	GMENT (GLOBAL AMICI, INC.)

1. INTRODUCTION

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

On or about July 5, 2007, the Mateel Environmental Justice Foundation 1.1 ("Mateel") and its attorneys, the Klamath Environmental Law Center ("KELC"), acting on behalf of themselves and the general public, filed a complaint for civil penalties and injunctive relief in the Superior Court for the City and County of San Francisco in the action entitled Mateel Environmental Justice Foundation v. Global Amici, Inc., Case No. CGC 07-464890 against Global Amici, Inc. ("Global Amici"). The complaint in that action alleges, among other things, that Global Amici violated provisions of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, Health and Safety Code Section 25249.5, et seq. ("Proposition 65"). In particular, Mateel alleges that Global Amici has knowingly and intentionally exposed persons to products which use beverage dispensing jars or vessels that incorporate leaded brass spigots through which the beverages are dispensed ("Covered Beverage Jar Products") which contain lead and/or lead compounds, which are chemicals known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harm, without first providing clear and reasonable warnings to such individuals. This action was filed more than 60 days after Mateel had sent a 60 Day Notice Letter to Global Amici, the California Attorney General, all California District Attorneys, and all City Attorneys of each California city with a population exceeding 750,000, providing Notice of these alleged violations. A copy of that 60 Day Notice letter is attached to the complaint in this action.

of themselves and the general public, filed a complaint for civil penalties and injunctive relief in the Superior Court for the City and County of San Francisco in the action entitled Mateel Environmental Justice Foundation v. Home Essentials, Inc., Case No. 469721, against several defendants, including Sur La Table, Inc. ("Sur La Table"). The complaint in that action alleges, among other things, that Sur La Table violated provisions of Proposition 65. In particular, Mateel alleges that Sur La Table has knowingly and intentionally exposed persons to products which use beverage dispensing jars or vessels

Matcel v. Global Amici, Inc., Case No 464890

Mateet v. Global Amici, Inc.,

Case No 464890

- f. The term Complaints shall refer to the complaints filed in the Actions.
- 1.5 Global Amici is a business that employs ten or more persons and manufactures, distributes, supplies and/or otherwise markets within the State of California Covered Beverage Jar Products, which are alleged to contain lead and/or lead compounds.
- 1.6 Sur La Table is a business that employs ten or more persons and manufactures, distributes, supplies and/or otherwise markets within the State of California Covered Beverage Jar Products and Covered Brass Peppermill Products which are alleged to contain lead and/or lead compounds.
- 1.7 Lead and lead compounds are chemicals known to the State of California to cause cancer, and lead is a chemical known to the State of California to cause reproductive toxicity pursuant to Health and Safety Code Section 25249.9. Under certain circumstances, products containing lead and/or lead compounds that are sold or distributed in the State of California are subject to Proposition 65's warning requirement. Mateel alleges that the Covered Products manufactured, distributed, sold and/or marketed by the Settling Defendant for use in California require Proposition 65 warnings.
- has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the 60 Day Notice Letters and the Complaints, as well as personal jurisdiction over the Settling Defendants as to the acts alleged in the 60 Day Notice Letters and Complaints; that venue is proper in the City and County of San Francisco and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment as a full and final settlement and resolution of the allegations made against the Settling Defendants contained in the 60 Day Notice Letters and Complaints and of all claims that were or could have been raised against the Settling Defendants based on the facts alleged therein or arising therefrom.
- 1.9 This Consent Judgment resolves claims that are denied and disputed. The Parties enter into this Consent Judgment pursuant to a full and final settlement of any and all claims between the Parties for the purpose of avoiding prolonged litigation. This

 Mateel v. Global Amici, Inc.,

 Case No 464890

Consent Judgment shall not constitute an admission with respect to any allegation made in the 60 Day Notice Letters or Complaints, each and every allegation of which the Settling Defendants deny, nor may this Consent Judgment or compliance with it be used as an admission or evidence of any fact, wrongdoing, misconduct, culpability or liability on the part of any Settling Defendant.

2. <u>SETTLEMENT PAYMENT</u>

- 2.1 Within five (5) calendar days of the entry of this Consent Judgment, the Settling Defendants shall pay \$5,000 in civil penalties, allocated as directed by statute, as well as an offset payment of \$12,500 to the Ecological Rights Foundation and an offset payment of \$12,500 to the Californians for Alternatives to Toxics for work informing California consumers about the hazards of and exposures to toxic chemicals and for work to reduce exposures to and pollution from toxic chemicals. Ecological Rights Foundation and Californians for Alternatives to Toxics are California non-profit environmental organizations that advocate for consumers' safety, and for awareness and reduction of toxic exposures.
- 2.2 Within five (5) calendar days of entry of this Consent Judgment, the Settling Defendants shall pay \$50,000 to KELC to cover a portion of Mateel's attorneys' fees and costs.
- 2.3 All payments referenced in Sections 2.1 and 2.2 shall be made by check, payable to the above specified recipient and mailed, or sent by other overnight delivery, to William Verick, Klamath Environmental Justice Foundation, 424 First Street, Eureka, CA 95501, to be distributed within a commercially reasonable time by Mr. Verick to the ultimate recipients.
- 2.4 The Parties acknowledge and agree that, except as provided in Section 2.2 of this Consent Judgment, each party shall bear its own costs, expenses, consultant and expert fees, and attorneys' fees.

3.1 The Parties hereby request that the Court promptly enter this Consent
Judgment. Upon entry of this Consent Judgment, the Parties waive their respective rights
to a hearing or trial on the allegations of the Complaint.

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

4. MATTERS COVERED BY THIS CONSENT JUDGMENT

This Consent Judgment is a final and binding resolution between Mateel, acting on behalf of itself and, as to those matters raised in the 60 Day Notice Letters, the general public, and the Settling Defendants of any alleged violation of Proposition 65 or the regulations promulgated thereunder to the fullest extent that were asserted or could have been asserted against the Settling Defendants based upon, arising out of or relating to the Settling Defendants' compliance with Proposition 65 or regulations promulgated thereunder with respect to the Covered Products sold at retail in California, whether based on actions committed by the Settling Defendants or by any other entity involved in the manufacture, distribution and/or retail sale of the Covered Products, including but not limited to parents, subsidiaries or affiliates, predecessors, officers, directors, employees of the Settling Defendants and all customers, vendors, suppliers, manufacturers, distributors, wholesalers, retailers or any other person in the course of doing business that manufactured, distributed or sold the Covered Products. As to alleged exposures from Covered Products sold at retail by the Settling Defendants to chemicals listed in the 60 Day Notice Letters pertaining to the Covered Products, compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment resolves any issue, now and in the future, concerning compliance with Proposition 65 by the Settling Defendants and their parents, subsidiaries or affiliates, predecessors, officers, directors, employees, and all customers, vendors, suppliers, manufacturers distributors, wholesalers, retailers or any other person in the course of doing business involving the Covered Products, and the successors and assigns of any of these. Notwithstanding any other provision in this Consent Judgment, the release of claims by the general public is limited to those claims made and chemicals identified in Mateel v. Global Amici, Inc., Case No 464890

the 60 Day Notice Letters. Also, notwithstanding any other provision in this Consent Judgment, the release of claims shall not apply to any retail seller that in the future sells Covered Beverage Jar Products in California without a warning consistent with section 7.1.1. Further, upon entry of this Consent Judgment, Mateel agrees to dismiss the complaint in Case No. 469721, as against Sur La Table, with prejudice.

4.2 As to alleged exposures to chemicals identified in the 60 Day Notice Letters pertaining to the Covered Products sold by the Settling Defendants, Mateel, acting on behalf of itself and, as to the matters in the 60 Day Notice Letters, on behalf of the general public, and its agents, successors and assigns, waives all rights to institute any form of legal action and releases all claims which were or could have been brought against the Settling Defendants and their parents, subsidiaries or affiliates, predecessors, officers, directors, employees, and all customers, vendors, suppliers, manufacturers, distributors, wholesalers, retailers or any other person in the course of doing business involving the Covered Products and the successors and assigns of any of them. This release of claims by the general public is not understood to extend beyond the claims included in the 60 Day Notice Letters. In furtherance of the foregoing, Mateel, acting on behalf of itself and the general public, hereby waives any and all rights and benefits which it now has, or in the future may have, conferred upon it with respect to the Covered Products by virtue of the provisions of Section 1542 of the California Civil Code, which provides as follows:

"A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF KNOWN BY HIM MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR."

Mateel understands and acknowledges that the significance and consequence of this waiver of California Civil Code Section 1542 is that even if Mateel or any member of the general public suffers future damages arising out of or resulting from, or related directly or indirectly to, in whole or in part, the Covered Products, it will not be able to make any claim for those damages against the Settling Defendants, their parents, subsidiaries or Mateel v. Global Amici, Inc.,

-7
Case No 464890

affiliates, predecessors, officers, directors, employees, and all customers, vendors, suppliers, manufacturers distributors, wholesalers, retailers or any other person in the course of business involving the Covered Products (including but not limited to Global Amici), and the successors and assigns of any of them, who may manufacture, use, maintain, distribute or sell the Covered Products. Furthermore, Mateel acknowledges that it intends these consequences for any such claims which may exist as of the date of this release but which Mateel does not know exist, and which, if known, would materially affect its decision to enter into this Consent Judgment, regardless of whether its lack of knowledge is the result of ignorance, oversight, error, negligence, or any other cause.

5. ENFORCEMENT OF JUDGMENT

- 5.1 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be enforced exclusively by the Parties hereto. Enforcement of the injunctive relief provided in Paragraph 7 shall be exclusively pursuant to the terms of Paragraph 5.
- 5.2 At any time more than thirty (30) days after the Effective Date, Mateel, and/or its attorneys, agents, assigns, or any other person acting in the public interest under Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(d), may provide Sur La Table with a Notice of Violation, alleging that a Covered Brass Peppermill Product is alleged to contain lead in excess of the reformulation standard in this Consent Judgment and/or does not comply with the applicable warnings requirement of this Consent Judgment ("Noncompliant Covered Product").
- 5.2.1 A Notice of Violation may be based on a "swipe" testing which Mateel believes establishes that lead is present on the surface of the Noncompliant Covered Product. The Notice of Violation shall identify the Noncompliant Covered Product by name, description, SKU, UPC, and any other identifying information available to Mateel shall provide with the Notice of Violation copies of all available purchase receipts, product tags, and labels, picture(s) of the Noncompliant Covered

Product and any test results showing lead level in excess of the applicable reformulation standard, if any.

Violation, Sur La Table shall provide notice to Mateel of its election to contest or not to contest the Notice of Violation. If Sur La Table elects not to contest the Notice of Violation, it shall, within fifteen (15) business days after providing its notice of election, either (a) stop sale of the Noncompliant Covered Product in California, or (b) provide the Noncompliant Covered Product a warning that complies with this Consent Judgment. If Sur La Table complies with this Section 5.2.2, it shall be deemed to be in compliance with this Consent Judgment, there shall be no further actions taken related to the Noncompliant Covered Product and Notice of Violation, and Sur La Table shall not be liable for any remedies associated with the Noncompliant Covered Product or the Notice of Violation.

5.2.3 If Sur La Table wishes to contest the allegations contained in the Notice of Violation, Sur La Table may provide with its notice of election any evidence to Mateel that in Sur La Table's judgment supports its position. In the event that, upon a good faith review of the evidence, Mateel agrees with Sur La Table's position, it shall notify Sur La Table and no further action shall be taken. If Mateel disagrees with Sur La Table's position, Mateel shall, within thirty (30) days, notify Sur La Table of such in writing and provide Sur La Table, in writing, with the reasons for its disagreement. Thereafter, Mateel and Sur La Table shall meet and confer to attempt to resolve their dispute on mutually acceptable terms.

5.2.4 If either (a) there is no resolution of the meet and confer process required under Section 5.2.3 within forty-five (45) days, (b) Sur La Table fails to provide written notice of its election to correct or contest the violations identified in a Notice of Violation within the fifteen (15 days) provided under Section 5.2.2, or (c) Sur La Table fails to correct any uncontested violations identified in a Notice of Violation within the thirty (30) days provided under Section 5.2.2, then Mateel may seek to enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment in the Superior Court of the State of Mateel v. Global Amici, Inc.,

Case No 464890

б

4

7 8

9

10

11

12 13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26

27 28

California, County of San Francisco, or may initiate an enforcement action for new violations pursuant to Health and Safety Code Section 25249.7(d)

MODIFICATION OF JUDGMENT 6.

This Consent Judgment may be modified only upon written agreement of 6.1 the Parties and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court, or upon motion of any party as provided by law and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court.

INJUNCTIVE RELIEF 7.

Covered Beverage Jar Products

- Global Amici agrees that it has discontinued and will no longer offer for 7.1 sale into California any of the Covered Beverage Jar Products that use leaded brass spigots, including those specifically identified in the 60 Day Notice letter attached to the Complaint in this action. Global Amici further represents that it is not aware of any other beverage dispenser which it continues to sell into California that also uses a brass spigot for dispensing drinking liquids.
- 7.1.1 Global Amici further agrees that in the future if it sells any Covered Beverage Jar Products outside of California, including any distributor located outside of California, it will inform the buyer of the exposure to lead caused by the Covered Beverage Jar Products and inform the buyer that if the Covered Beverage Jar Product is sold in California, the consumer must be provided with a warning that the product exposes the consumer to lead, a chemical known by the State of California to cause birth defects or other reproductive harm.
- Sur La Table agrees that it has discontinued and will no longer offer for sale 7.2 in California any of the Covered Beverage Jar Products that use leaded brass spigots, including those specifically identified in the 60 Day Notice letter attached to the complaint in the Mateel v. Home Essentials action identified in Section 1.2. Sur La Table Mateel v. Global Amici, Inc., Case No 464890

further represents that it is not aware of any other beverage dispenser which it sells in California that uses a brass spigot for dispensing drinking liquids.

- 7.3 Sur La Table shall use the following efforts to contact and inform

 Californians who have earlier purchased Covered Beverage Jar Products from Sur La

 Table:
- 7.3.1 For those prior purchasers for which Sur La Table has direct address information, Sur La Table shall send a letter, via U.S. mail, in the form and content set forth in Exhibit A hereto, to the prior purchaser's last known address. That letter will give the prior purchasers: (1) a Proposition 65 warning about the Covered Beverage Jar Product and exposure to lead resulting therefrom; and (2) an opportunity to have sent to them plastic replacement spigots of a type which Mateel has tested and confirmed is not made of leaded PVC or other lead leaching plastic or to return the Covered Beverage Jar Product for a merchandise credit.
- 7.3.2 For those prior purchasers for which Sur La Table has possible, indirect access to address information, such as purchasers who paid for the Covered Beverage Jar Product by credit-card, Sur La Table shall use all legal means available to obtain the address information. If Sur La Table is able to obtain the address information for the prior purchaser, Sur La Table shall provide the same information and options for that consumer as described in 7.3.1, above.

Covered Brass Peppermill Products

7.4 Sur La Table agrees that for any Covered Brass Peppermill Products which are made of a brass alloy containing lead at a concentration of 300 parts per million (.03%) or higher, and which are sold at retail in California anytime 90 days after entry of this Consent Judgment, a Proposition 65 Warning, as described below, shall be provided:

PROP 65 WARNING: This product contains lead and lead compounds, known to the State of California to cause [cancer, and] birth defects or other reproductive harm. Wash your hands after handling this product.

WARNING: This product contains a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer, and birth defects or other reproductive harm. Wash your hands after handling. WARNING: This product contains lead, a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer, and birth defects or other reproductive harm. Wash your hands after handling. 6 PROP 65 WARNING: Handling the brass material on this product exposes you to lead, a chemical known to the State of California to cause [cancer, and] birth defects and other reproductive harm. Wash hands after use. 8 The phrase "PROP 65" may be excluded at Sur La Table's discretion. If included, the 9 phrase "PROP 65" shall be in capitals. The word "WARNING" shall be in capitals. The 10 words "Wash hands after handling this product" or "Wash hands after use," shall be 11 italicized or underlined if used. Inclusion of the bracketed words "cancer, and" in the 12 above warning shall be at Sur La Table's option. The foregoing does not preclude Sur La 13 Table from adding a warning for additional Proposition 65 listed chemicals unless the 14 Attorney General takes the position that such a warning would be misleading or an over-15 warning. 16 At the sole option of Sur La Table, warnings required by Section 7.4 may be 7.5 17 provided through either: (1) product labeling pursuant to Section 7.5.1 or (2) point of sale 18 warnings at any retail store as set forth in Section 7.5.2. 19 7.5.1 Product Labeling: Sur La Table may provide a warning by 20 prominently affixing to or printing on each Covered Brass Peppermill Product, its label, or 21 package and contained in the same section of the label or package that contains other 22 safety warnings, if any, concerning the use of the Covered Brass Peppermill Product or 23 near its displayed price and/or UPC code, and with such conspicuousness, as compared 24 with other words, statements, designs, or devices on the Covered Brass Peppermill 25 Product, its label, package or display as to render it likely to be read and understood by an 26 ordinary individual. 27 28 Mateel v. Global Amici, Inc.,

- 12 -

CONSENT JUDGMENT (GLOBAL AMICI, INC.)

1

2

3

4

5

7

Case No 464890_

posting signs at every retail outlet it owns or principally operates in the State of California at which Covered Brass Peppermill Products are sold. The warning signs may be displayed: (1) at each location in the store where the products are displayed and visible when the products are being viewed without the products being moved; or (2) for stores with less than 7,500 square feet, adjacent to each check out counter, sales register, cash stand, cash wrap or similar check out location in the store. All warning signs must be displayed in such a manner that any potential purchaser would reasonably be expected to see the warning and adequately distinguish between Peppermills which are Brass Covered Peppermill Products for which warnings are required and Peppermills which are not Brass Covered Peppermill Products and do not cause a lead exposure.,

8. NOTICE

- 8.1 When any party is entitled to receive any notice or report under this Consent Judgment, the notice report shall be made in writing and sent via U.S. Mail or other manner of overnight delivery to the following:
- (a) for Mateel: William Verick, Klamath Environmental Justice Foundation, 424 First Street, Eureka, CA 95501;
- (b) for Sur La Table, Inc.: Debbie Brownfield, Chief Financial Officer, Sur La Table, Inc, Seattle Design Center, 5601 6th Avenue South, Suite 486, Seattle, WA 98108, with a copy to John E. Dittoe, Esq., Reed Smith, LLP, 1999 Harrison St., Suite 2400, Oakland, CA 94612-3572; and
- (c) for Global Amici: Jeff Sachs, Global Amici, Inc., 8400 Miramar Rd., Suite 130, San Diego, CA 92126, with a copy to James Holtz, Esq., Stutz Artiano Shinoff & Holtz, 2488 Historic Decatur Rd., #200, San Diego, CA 92106.

i		
1	9. <u>AUTHORITY TO STIPULATE</u>	
2	9.1 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully	
3	authorized by the party he or she represents to enter into this Consent Judgment and to	
4	execute it on behalf of the party represented and legally to bind that party.	
5		
6	10. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION	
7	10.1 This Court shall retain jurisdiction to implement the Consent Judgment.	
8		
9	11. ENTIRE AGREEMENT	
10	11.1 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and	
11	understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and	
12	all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments and understandings related hereto. No	
13	representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those contained herein	
14	have been made by any party hereto. No other agreements not specifically referred to	
15	herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties.	
16		
17	12. GOVERNING LAW	
18	12.1 The validity, construction and performance of this Consent Judgment shall	
19	be governed by the laws of the State of California, without reference to any conflicts of	
20	law provisions of California law.	
21		
22	13. COMPLIANCE WITH HEALTH & SAFETY CODE SECTION 25249.7(F)	
23	13.1 Mateel agrees to comply with Health & Safety Code §25249.7(f)'s reporting	
24	form and approval requirements and as implemented by various regulations.	
25		

facsimile, which taken together shall be deemed to constitute one original document. Mateel v. Global Amici, Inc., Case No 464890

14.

26

27

28

EXECUTION IN COUNTERPARTS

- 14 -

14.1 This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and/or by

15. COURT APPROVAL 1 15.1 If this Consent Judgment is not approved by the Court, it shall be of no force 2 or effect, and cannot be used in any proceeding for any purpose. 3 IT IS SO STIPULATED: 4 5 MATEEL ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE Dated: Syt 3, 2008 **FOUNDATION** 6 7 8 CEO Mateel Environmental Justice Foundation. 9 Klamath Environmental Law Center 10 11 GLOBAL AMICI, INC., Dated: 12 13 By: 14 Dated: SUR LA TABLE, INC. 15 16 Debbie Brownfield 17 Its: Chief Financial Officer 18 IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED: 19 20 21 Dated: JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 Matcel v. Global Amici, Inc., - 15 -

CONSENT JUDGMENT (GLOBAL AMICI, INC.)

Case No 464890

1 15. COURT APPROVAL 15.1 If this Consent Judgment is not approved by the Court, it shall be of no force 2 or effect, and cannot be used in any proceeding for any purpose. 3 4 IT IS SO STIPULATED: 5 Dated: MATEEL ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE 2pt 3, 2008 б 7 8 Mateel Environmental Justice Foundation, 9 Klamath Environmental Law Center 10 11 Dated: AMICI, INC., 12 13 14 Dated: SUR LA TABLE, INC. 15 16 17 Debbie Brownfield Its: Chief Financial Officer 18 19 IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED: 20 PATRICK J. MAHONEY OCI U 9 2008 21 Dated: JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 Mattel v. Global Amici, Inc.,

- 15 -

CONSENT ADDREST (GLOBAL AMICI, INC.)

Casa No 464390

1	, 2008		
2	•		
3			
4	<u>,</u>		
5	Re: Your Purchase of Glass Hexagon Jar with a Brass Spigot – California Proposition 65 (Health & Safety Code Section 25249.6)		
6	• (• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
7	Dear Customer:		
8	Our records indicate that you purchased from one of our California stores a glass hexagon jar with a brass spigot manufactured by Global Amici, Inc. A picture of the Global Amici Jar is displayed below. We have learned that the brass spigot on the Global Amici Jar leaches lead into liquids dispensed through the spigot, with lead being a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harm.		
9			
10			
11	Enclosed, free of charge, is a plastic, lead-free replacement spigot. Please replace the brass spigo		
12	or alternatively, you can bring this letter and your Global Amici Jar to any of our California store for a merchandise credit.		
13	We regret any inconvenience this may have caused and look forward to serving you in the future.		
14			
15	Sincerely,		
16	SUR LA TABLE, INC.,		
17	W02-WEST:1RCV1\400740670.1		
18			
19			
20 21			
22			
23			
24			
25	·		
26			
27	•		
28			
	Mateel v. Global Amici, Inc., Case No 464890 - 16 -		

CONSENT JUDGMENT (GLOBAL AMICI, INC.)