1			
1	WILLIAM VERICK, SBN 140972 FREDRIC EVENSON, SBN 198059	NACO	
2	KLAMATH ENVIRONMENTAL LAW CE 424 First Street	NIEK	
3	Eureka, CA 95501 Telephone: (707) 268-8900	END	
4	Facsimile: (707) 268-8901 Email: wverick@igc.org Email: ecorights@earthlink.net	ENDORSED FILED San Francisco County Superior Court	
5	Email: ecorights@earthlink.net	LAN 1 0	
6	DAVID WILLIAMS, SBN 144479 BRIAN ACREE, SBN 202505	JAN 13 2009	
7	370 Grand Avenue, Suite 5 Oakland, CA 94610	GORDON PARK-LI, Clerk BY: <u>JOCELYN C ROQUE</u>	
8	Telephone: (510) 271-0826 Facsimile: (510) 271-0829	Deputy Clork	
9	Email: davidhwilliams@earthlink.net Email: brianacree@earthlink.net		
10	-		
11	Attorneys for Plaintiff, MATEEL ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE FOUNDATION		
12	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
13	FOR THE CITY AND C	OUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO	
14			
15	MATEEL ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE FOUNDATION,	Case No. CGC 07-469271	
16	Plaintiff,	CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO DEFENDANTS HOME ESSENTIALS &	
17	vs.	BEYOND, INC., and BED BATH & BEYOND INC.	
18		BETOND INC.	
19	HOME ESSENTIALS & BEYOND, INC., et al.,		
20	Defendants.		
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28	Mateel v. Home Essentials & Beyond, Inc. et al.,		

CONSENT JUDGMENT (HOME ESSENTIALS & BEYOND, INC.; BED, BATH & BEYOND, INC.)

1

222324

26

25

2728

1.1 On or about November 16, 2007, the Mateel Environmental Justice Foundation ("Mateel") and its attorneys, the Klamath Environmental Law Center ("KELC"), acting on behalf of themselves and the general public, filed a complaint for civil penalties and injunctive relief in the Superior Court for the City and County of San Francisco in the action entitled Mateel Environmental Justice Foundation v. Home Essentials & Beyond, Inc., Case No. CGC 07-469271 against, among others, Home Essentials & Beyond, Inc., ("Home Essentials") and Bed Bath and Beyond Inc. ("Bed Bath") (collectively Home Essentials and Bed Bath are referred to as "Settling Defendants"). The complaint in the action alleges, among other things, that Settling Defendants violated provisions of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, Health and Safety Code Section 25249.5, et seq. ("Proposition 65"). In particular, Mateel alleges that Settling Defendants knowingly and intentionally exposed persons to products which use beverage dispensing jars or vessels that incorporate leaded brass spigots through which the beverages are dispensed ("Covered Products") which contain lead and/or lead compounds, which are chemicals known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harm, without first providing clear and reasonable warnings to such individuals. This action was filed more than 60 days after Mateel had sent a 60-Day Notice Letter to Settling Defendants, the California Attorney General, all California District Attorneys, and all City Attorneys of each California city with a population exceeding 750,000, providing notice of these alleged violations. A copy of that 60-Day Notice letter is attached to the complaint in this action.

1.2 Home Essentials is a business that employs ten or more persons and manufactures, distributes, supplies and/or otherwise markets within the State of California Covered Products, which are alleged to contain lead and/or lead compounds.

1.3 Bed Bath is a business that employs ten or more persons and distributes, supplies and/or otherwise markets within the State of California Covered Products, which are alleged to contain lead and/or lead compounds.

- 1.4 Lead and lead compounds are chemicals known to the State of California to cause cancer, and lead is a chemical known to the State of California to cause reproductive toxicity pursuant to Health and Safety Code Section 25249.9. Under certain circumstances, products containing lead and/or lead compounds that are sold or distributed in the State of California are subject to Proposition 65's warning requirement. Mateel alleges that the Covered Products manufactured, distributed, sold and/or marketed by the Settling Defendant for use in California require Proposition 65 warnings.
- 1.5 For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the 60-Day Notice Letters and the Complaints, as well as personal jurisdiction over the Settling Defendants as to the acts alleged in the 60-Day Notice Letters and Complaints; that venue is proper in the City and County of San Francisco and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment as a full and final settlement and resolution of the allegations made against the Settling Defendants contained in the 60-Day Notice Letters and Complaints and of all claims that were or could have been raised against the Settling Defendants based on the facts alleged therein or arising therefrom.
- Parties enter into this Consent Judgment pursuant to a full and final settlement of any and all claims between the Parties for the purpose of avoiding prolonged litigation. This Consent Judgment shall not constitute an admission with respect to any allegation made in the 60-Day Notice Letters or Complaints, each and every allegation of which the Settling Defendants deny, nor may this Consent Judgment or compliance with it be used as an admission or evidence of any fact, wrongdoing, misconduct, culpability or liability on the part of any Settling Defendant.

2.1 Home Essentials shall pay \$5,000 in civil penalties, allocated as directed by statute, as well as two offset payments, one of \$15,000 and one of \$10,000, both paid to Center for Environmental Health for work informing California consumers about the hazards of and exposures to toxic chemicals and for work to reduce exposures to and pollution from toxic chemicals. Center for Environmental Health is a California non-profit environmental organization that advocates for consumers' safety, and for awareness and reduction of toxic exposures. The deadline for these payments shall be made as follows: The first payment to Center for Environmental Health shall be made on or before 90 days of the entry of this Consent Judgment. The second payment to Center for Environmental Health shall be made on or before 180 days of the entry of this Consent Judgment. The payment of civil penalties shall be made on or before 270 days of the entry of this Consent Judgment. The payment of civil penalties shall be made on or before 270 days of the entry of this Consent Judgment.

- 2.2 Home Essentials shall pay \$40,000 to KELC to cover a portion of Mateel's attorneys' fees and costs. This payment shall be made on or before 10 days of the entry of this Consent Judgment.
- 2.3 All payments referenced in Sections 2.1 and 2.2 shall be made by check, payable to the above specified recipient and sent so as to reasonably guarantee timely delivery to William Verick, Klamath Environmental Justice Foundation, 424 First Street, Eureka, CA 95501, to be distributed within a commercially reasonable time by Mr. Verick to the ultimate recipients.
- 2.4 The Parties acknowledge and agree that, except as provided in Section 2.2 of this Consent Judgment, each party shall bear its own costs, expenses, consultant and expert fees, and attorneys' fees.

2 3.1 3 4

1

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

The Parties hereby request that the Court promptly enter this Consent Judgment. Upon entry of this Consent Judgment, the Parties waive their respective rights to a hearing or trial on the allegations of the Complaint.

4. MATTERS COVERED BY THIS CONSENT JUDGMENT

This Consent Judgment is a final and binding resolution between Mateel, 4.1 acting on behalf of itself and, as to those matters raised in the 60-Day Notice Letters, the general public, and the Settling Defendants of any alleged violation of Proposition 65 or the regulations promulgated thereunder to the fullest extent that were asserted or could have been asserted against the Settling Defendants based upon, arising out of or relating to the Settling Defendants' compliance with Proposition 65 or regulations promulgated thereunder with respect to the Covered Products sold at retail in California, whether based on actions committed by the Settling Defendants or by any other entity involved in the manufacture, distribution and/or retail sale of the Covered Products, including but not limited to parents, subsidiaries or affiliates, predecessors, officers, directors, employees of the Settling Defendants and all customers, vendors, suppliers, manufacturers, distributors, wholesalers, retailers or any other person in the course of doing business that manufactured, distributed or sold the Covered Products. As to alleged exposures from Covered Products sold at retail by the Settling Defendants to chemicals listed in the 60-Day Notice Letters pertaining to the Covered Products, compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment resolves any issue, now and in the future, concerning compliance with Proposition 65 by the Settling Defendants and their parents, subsidiaries or affiliates. predecessors, officers, directors, employees, and all customers, vendors, suppliers, manufacturers distributors, wholesalers, retailers or any other person in the course of doing business involving the Covered Products, and the successors and assigns of any of these. Notwithstanding any other provision in this Consent Judgment, the release of claims by the general public is limited to those claims made and chemicals identified in the 60-Day Notice Letters. Also, notwithstanding any other provision in this Consent Mateel v. Home Essentials & Beyond, Inc., et al., - 5 -Case No 469271

g

Judgment, the release of claims shall not apply to any retail seller that in the future sells Covered Products in California without a warning consistent with section 7.

4.2 As to alleged exposures to chemicals identified in the 60 Day Notice Letters pertaining to the Covered Products sold by the Settling Defendants, Mateel, acting on behalf of itself and, as to the matters in the 60-Day Notice Letters, on behalf of the general public, and its agents, successors and assigns, waives all rights to institute any form of legal action and releases all claims which were or could have been brought against the Settling Defendants and their parents, subsidiaries or affiliates, predecessors, officers, directors, employees, and all customers, vendors, suppliers, manufacturers, distributors, wholesalers, retailers or any other person in the course of doing business involving the Covered Products and the successors and assigns of any of them. This release of claims by the general public is not understood to extend beyond the claims included in the 60-Day Notice Letters. In furtherance of the foregoing, Mateel, acting on behalf of itself and the general public, hereby waives any and all rights and benefits which it now has, or in the future may have, conferred upon it with respect to the Covered Products by virtue of the provisions of Section 1542 of the California Civil Code, which provides as follows:

"A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF KNOWN BY HIM MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR."

Mateel understands and acknowledges that the significance and consequence of this waiver of California Civil Code Section 1542 is that even if Mateel or any member of the general public has future claims arising out of or resulting from, or related directly or indirectly to, in whole or in part, the Covered Products, it will not be able to pursue that claim against the Settling Defendants, their parents, subsidiaries or affiliates, predecessors, officers, directors, employees, and all customers, vendors, suppliers, manufacturers distributors, wholesalers, retailers or any other person in the course of business involving the Covered Products. Furthermore, Mateel acknowledges that it

intends these consequences for any such claims which may exist as of the date of this release but which Mateel does not know exist, and which, if known, would materially affect its decision to enter into this Consent Judgment, regardless of whether its lack of knowledge is the result of ignorance, oversight, error, negligence, or any other cause.

5. ENFORCEMENT OF JUDGMENT

5.1 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be enforced exclusively by the Parties hereto.

6. MODIFICATION OF JUDGMENT

6.1 This Consent Judgment may be modified only upon written agreement of the Parties and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court, or upon motion of any party as provided by law and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court.

7. <u>INJUNCTIVE RELIEF</u>

- 7.1 Settling Defendants agree that they have discontinued and will no longer offer for sale into California any Covered Products that use leaded brass spigots, including those specifically identified in the 60-Day Notice letter attached to the Complaint in this action. Settling Defendants further represent that they are not aware of any other beverage dispenser, which they continue to sell in California that also uses a brass spigot for dispensing drinking liquids.
- 7.2 Home Essentials further agrees that in the future if it sells any Covered Products that use brass spigots outside of California, including to any distributor located outside of California, it will inform the buyer of the exposure to lead caused by the Covered Products and inform the buyer that if the Covered Product is sold in California, the consumer must be provided with a warning that the product exposes the consumer to lead, a chemical known by the State of California to cause birth defects or other reproductive harm.

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

7.3 Settling Defendants agree to use the following efforts to contact and inform Californians who have previously purchased Covered Products which used or included brass spigots:

7.3.1. For those prior purchasers for which a Settling Defendant has direct address information, one of the Settling Defendants shall send a letter, via U.S. mail, in the form and content set forth in Exhibit A hereto, to the prior purchaser's last known address. That letter will provide: (1) a Proposition 65 warning about the Covered Product and exposure to lead resulting therefrom, (2) a reminder of the plastic spigot which was provided as an alternative, (3) an opportunity to have a second lead free plastic replacement spigot sent at no charge, and (4) an opportunity to return the Covered Product for a merchandise credit.

7.3.2. For those prior purchasers for which Settling Defendant has possible. indirect access to address information, such as purchasers who paid for the Covered Beverage Jar Product by credit-card, Setting Defendants shall use all legal means available to obtain the address information. If Settling Defendants are able to obtain the address information for the prior purchaser, Settling Defendants shall provide the same information and options for that consumer as described in 7.3.1, above.

8. **NOTICE**

- 8.1 When any party is entitled to receive any notice or report under this Consent Judgment, the notice report shall be made in writing and sent via U.S. Mail or other manner of overnight delivery to the following:
- (a) for Mateel: William Verick, Klamath Environmental Justice Foundation. 424 First Street, Eureka, CA 95501;
- (b) for Home Essentials & Beyond, Inc.: Izidore Godinger, Home Essentials & Beyond, Inc. 3001 Woodbridge Avenue, Edison, NJ 08837; with a copy to Donald Burris, Burris, Schoenberg & Walden, LLP, 12121 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 800, Los Angeles, CA 90025.

Mateel v. Home Essentials & Beyond, Inc., et al.,

1	(c) for Bed Bath & Beyond, Inc.: Kenneth Bradley, Bed Bath &		
2	Beyond Inc., 650 Liberty Avenue, Union, NJ 07083; with a copy to John Dittoe, Reed		
3	Smith LLP, 1999 Harrison St., Ste 2400, Oakland, CA 94612-3572.		
4	9. <u>AUTHORITY TO STIPULATE</u>		
5	9.1 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully		
6	authorized by the party he or she represents to enter into this Consent Judgment and to		
7	execute it on behalf of the party represented and legally to bind that party.		
8			
9	10. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION		
10	10.1 This Court shall retain jurisdiction to implement the Consent Judgment.		
11			
12	11. <u>ENTIRE AGREEMENT</u>		
13	11.1 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and		
14	understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and		
15	all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments and understandings related hereto. No		
16	representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those contained herein		
17	have been made by any party hereto. No other agreements not specifically referred to		
18	herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties.		
19			
20	12. <u>GOVERNING LAW</u>		
21	12.1 The validity, construction and performance of this Consent Judgment shall		
22	be governed by the laws of the State of California, without reference to any conflicts of		
23	law provisions of California law.		
24			
25	13. COMPLIANCE WITH HEALTH & SAFETY CODE SECTION 25249.7(F)		
26	13.1 Mateel agrees to comply with Health & Safety Code §25249.7(f)'s reporting		
27	form and approval requirements and as implemented by various regulations.		
28			
	Mateel v. Home Essentials & Beyond, Inc., et al.,		

CONSENT JUDGMENT (HOME ESSENTIALS & BEYOND, INC. AND BED, BATH & BEYOND, INC.)

1	14. <u>EXECUTION IN COUN</u>	<u>TERPARTS</u>
2	14.1 This Consent Judgn	nent may be executed in counterparts and/or by
3	facsimile, which taken together sh	nall be deemed to constitute one original document.
4		
5	15. <u>COURT APPROVAL</u>	
6	15.1 If this Consent Judg	ment is not approved by the Court, it shall be of no force
7	or effect, and cannot be used in ar	ny proceeding for any purpose.
8	IT IS SO STIPULATED:	
9	Dated: Dec. 5, 2008	MATEEL ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE FOUNDATION
10 11		an Wohn buell
2		William Verick
13		CEO Mateel Environmental Justice Foundation, Klamath Environmental Law Center
ا 4		
15	Dated: December 3, 2008	HOME ESSENTIALS & BEYOND, INC.,
16		
17		By: Izidore Godinger Its: President and CEO
18	Dated: December 3, 2008	BED, BATH & BEYOND INC.
19		
20		By: Kenneth Bradley Its: Chief Litigation Counsel
21		its: Chief Litigation Counsel
22	IT IS SO ORDERED, AD.	JUDGED AND DECREED:
23		
24	Dated:	JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
25		JODGE OF THE BUI ERIOR COURT
26		
27		
28		

Mateel v. Home Essentials & Beyond, Inc., et al., Case No 469271

EXECUTION IN COUNTERPARTS 14. This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and/or by 2 facsimile, which taken together shall be deemed to constitute one original document. 4 COURT APPROVAL 15. 15.1 If this Consent Judgment is not approved by the Court, it shall be of no force 6 or effect, and cannot be used in any proceeding for any purpose. 7 IT IS SO STIPULATED: 8 MATEEL ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE 9 Dated: FOUNDATION 10 11 William Verick CEO Mateel Environmental Justice Foundation, 12 Klamath Environmental Law Center 13 14 HOME ESSENTLALS & BEYOND, INC., Dated: December 3, 2008 15 16 dy: Ididore Gedinee Its: President and CEO 17 BED, BATH & BEYOND INC. Dated: December 3, 2008 18 19 By: Kenneth Bradley 20 Its: Chief Litigation Counsel 21 IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED: 22 23 24 Dated: JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT 25 26

27

28

2 This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and/or by 14.1 facsimile, which taken together shall be deemed to constitute one original document. 3 4 5 15. COURT APPROVAL 6 15.1 If this Consent Judgment is not approved by the Court, it shall be of no force or effect, and cannot be used in any proceeding for any purpose. 7 8 IT IS SO STIPULATED: 9 Dated: MATEEL ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE FOUNDATION 10 William Verick 12 CEO Mateel Environmental Justice Foundation. Klamath Environmental Law Center 13 14 Dated: December 3, 2008 HOME ESSENTIALS & BEYOND, INC., 15 16 By: Izidore Godinger 17 Its: President and ČEO 18 Dated: December 3, 2008 BED, BATH & BEYOND INC. 19 20 By: Kenneth Bradley Its: Chief Litigation Counsel 21 IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED: 22 23 JAN 1 3 2009 PETER J. BUSCH 24 Dated: JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT 25 26 27

EXECUTION IN COUNTERPARTS

28

Maleel : Trome Essentials & Beyond Inc., et al.,

l	, 2009	
2		
3		
4		
5	Re: Your Possible Purchase of Lemonade Jar with a Brass Spigot – California Proposition 65 (Health & Safety Code Section 25249.6)	
6	Camornia Proposition 05 (Ficanti & Safety Code Section 25249.0)	
7	Dear Customer:	
8	jar with brass and plastic spigots manufactured by Home Essentials & Beyond Inc. A picture of the Home Essentials Lemonade Jar with brass and plastic spigots is displayed below. We have	
9		
10		
11	defects or other reproductive harm.	
12	It is also possible that the Home Essentials Lemonade Jar you purchased was sold with only	
13	plastic spigots, and did not include any brass spigots. You can tell by examining the spigots that we sold to you with your Jar. If this is the case, you need not take any action.	
14	If you believe the Home Essentials Jar that you purchased from Bed Bath & Beyond did contain a brass spigot, please contact Home Essentials' customer service at (732-590-3600) and it will gladly send you, free of charge, a second plastic, lead-free replacement spigot. Alternatively, you can bring this letter and your Home Essentials Lemonade Jar to any of our California stores for a merchandise credit.	
15		
16 17		
18	We regret any inconvenience this may have caused and look forward to serving you in the future.	
19	Sincerely,	
20		
21	BED BATH & BEYOND INC.	
22	W02-WEST:1RCV1\400740670.1	
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	Mateel v. Home Essentials & Beyond, Inc., et al., Case No. 469271	

CONSENT JUDGMENT (HOME ESSENTIALS & BEYOND, INC. AND BED, BATH & BEYOND, INC.)

Case No 469271_