1 LEXINGTON LAW GROUP, LLC Mark N. Todzo, State Bar No. 168389 Eric S. Somers, State Bar No. 139050 ENDORSED 2 **FILED** Howard Hirsch, State Bar No. 213209 ALAMEDA COUNTY 3 1627 Irving Street AUG 20 2008 San Francisco, CA 94122 4 Telephone: (415) 759-4111 (415) 759-4112 CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT Facsimile: 5 By STACY OXSEN Attorneys for Plaintiff Deputy 6 CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH 7 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 8 **COUNTY OF ALAMEDA** 9 10 CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH, Case No. RG08388923 a non-profit corporation, 11 [PROPOSED] CONSENT Plaintiff. JUDGMENT RE: PERFECT 12 **EQUIPMENT, INC. AND HENNESSY** INDUSTRIES, INC. 13 PERFECT EQUIPMENT, INC.; HENNESSY 14 INDUSTRIES, INC.; PLOMBCO INC.; CHRYSLER, LLC and Defendant DOES 1 15 through 200, inclusive, 16 Defendants. 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 [PROP] CONSENT JUDGMENT RE: PERFECT EQUIPMENT AND HENNESSY - Case No. RG08388923

5 6

7

8

10 11

12

13

14 15

16 17

18

19

20

2122

23

24

25

2627

28

1.1 On May 22, 2008, plaintiff the Center for Environmental Health ("CEH"), a non-profit corporation acting in the public interest, initiated this action, entitled *Center for Environmental Health v. Perfect Equipment, Inc., et al.*, Alameda County Superior Court, Case Number RG08388923 (the "CEH Action"), by filing a complaint naming Perfect Equipment, Inc. and Hennessy Industries, Inc. (together "Defendants"), and others, as defendants. In the CEH Action, CEH seeks civil penalties and injunctive relief pursuant to the provisions of Cal. Health & Safety Code §25249.5 *et seq.* ("Proposition 65"). CEH and Defendants may sometimes be referred to collectively as the "Parties" or individually as a "Party."

- 1.2 Each Defendant is a corporation that employs 10 or more persons, and manufactures and/or distributes wheel balancing weights for sale in the State of California.
- Beginning or about August 23, 2007, CEH served Defendants and the 1.3 appropriate public enforcement agencies with the requisite 60-day notice (the "Notice"), alleging that Defendants were in violation of Proposition 65. CEH's Notice and the Complaint in this Action allege that Defendants discharge and release lead and lead compounds (collectively, "Lead") into sources of drinking water, and onto land where the Lead passes or probably will pass into sources of drinking water, throughout the State of California. CEH also alleges that such discharges have occurred, and continue to occur, as a result of the manufacture, distribution, sale and/or use of Defendants' wheel balancing weights made with Lead. For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, "Defendants' Lead Wheel Weights" are any wheel balancing weight manufactured, distributed and/or sold by either Defendant, and which contain at least 0.1% Lead by weight. Lead has been listed by the State of California as a reproductive toxin, and lead and lead compounds have been listed by the State of California as carcinogenic. The Notice and Complaint allege that Defendants' conduct violates Health & Safety Code §25249.5, the discharge prohibition of Proposition 65. Defendants dispute all such allegations, deny all material allegations contained in the Notice and in the Complaint, and assert that all of their products are safe and comply with all applicable federal, state and local laws and regulations.
 - 1.4 For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this

Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of the violations alleged in CEH's Complaint and personal jurisdiction over Defendants as to the acts alleged in CEH's Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of Alameda, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter and enforce this Consent Judgment as a full and final resolution of all claims which have or could have been asserted or raised in the Complaint based on the facts alleged therein.

disputed claims. Nothing in this Consent Judgment (including its execution by the Parties) shall be construed as an admission by any Party of any allegation, fact, finding, issue of law, violation of law, or conclusion of law, nor shall compliance with the Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as such an admission by any Party. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive, impair or affect any right, remedy, argument, claim or defense the Parties may have against any other person or entity in this or any other or future legal proceedings (including, without limitation, any claim CEH may have against Plombco Inc. and/or Chrysler LLC).

2. COMPLIANCE - REMOVAL OF LEAD WHEEL WEIGHTS

2.1 Deadline for Removal. No later than the close of business (Central Daylight Savings Time) on December 31, 2009 (the "Reformulation Deadline"), each Defendant shall cease shipping Lead Wheel Weights into California.

3. SETTLEMENT PAYMENTS

- Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$300,000) (the "Total Payment"), in accordance with the instructions set forth in sub-sections 3.2, 3.3 and 3.4 below. Defendants reserve the right to allocate this Total Payment between themselves, with such allocation, once jointly communicated to CEH, constituting a binding allocation such that neither Defendant shall be liable in any manner for the other Defendant's failure to make the payments required by this Consent Judgment; provided, however, that the allocation: (a) is communicated to CEH prior to the deadline for filing any opposition to the motion for approval of this Consent Judgment; and (b) reasonably reflects each Defendant's California market share for wheel balancing weights.
 - 3.2 Penalty. Twenty Thousand Dollars (\$20,000) of the Total Payment shall

be paid as a penalty pursuant to Health and Safety Code §25249.7(b). This amount shall be made payable to CEH, which shall divide the payment in accordance with Health and Safety Code §25249.12.

- 3.3 Payment in Lieu of Penalty. One Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$100,000) of the Total Payment shall be paid to CEH in lieu of any additional penalty pursuant to Health and Safety Code §25249.7(b). CEH shall use such funds to continue its work protecting people from alleged exposures to toxic chemicals. As part of this work, CEH may seek through legislation or litigation to eliminate the sale or use of wheel balancing weights that contain Lead. The payment required under this sub-section shall be made payable to CEH.
- 3.4 Attorneys' Fees and Costs. One Hundred Eighty Thousand Dollars (\$180,000) of the Total Payment shall be used to reimburse CEH and its attorneys for their reasonable investigation fees and costs, attorneys' fees, and any other costs incurred as a result of investigating, bringing this matter to Defendants' attention, negotiating a settlement in the public interest, and obtaining Court approval of the settlement. The payment required under this section shall be made payable to Lexington Law Group, LLP.
- 3.5 Timing and Delivery of Payments. The payments required under subsections 3.2, 3.3 and 3.4 above shall be delivered, no later than thirty (30) days after Court approval and entry of this Consent Judgment, to the Lexington Law Group, LLP at the address set forth in section 12.1.

4. MODIFICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

4.1 This Consent Judgment may be modified only by written agreement of CEH and the Defendants, followed by Court approval, or upon noticed motion filed by CEH or either Defendant, as provided by law.

5. ENFORCEMENT OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

5.1 Any Party may, by noticed motion or application for an order to show cause, enforce the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment, but only after that Party has given the other Parties written notice of the alleged breach and a reasonable opportunity to cure, and the Parties have met and conferred in a good faith attempt to resolve the alleged breach. The

11

12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

2021

2223

24

26

25

2728

prevailing party on any motion or application under this section shall be entitled to its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs associated with such motion or application.

6. APPLICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

- 6.1 This Consent Judgment shall apply to and be binding upon the each of the Parties, and each of their respective divisions, subdivisions, subsidiaries, successors and assigns.
- 6.2 The obligations of each Defendant under this Consent Judgment shall be individual and several, such that neither Defendant shall be liable in any manner for the alleged failure of the other Defendant to comply with any of its obligations hereunder.

7. RELEASE OF CLAIMS AND BINDING EFFECT

- Release of Claims. In further consideration of the promises and 7.1 agreements contained in this Consent Judgment, and for the payments required by Section 3 above, CEH, on behalf of itself, its officers, directors, employees, agents, representatives, attorneys, successors and assigns, and on behalf of the general public, hereby waives, discharges and releases the Defendants, and each of their respective officers, directors, shareholders, partners, joint venturers, parent companies, subsidiaries, affiliates, employees, agents, successors, assigns, and "Downstream Customers" (as defined in sub-section 7.3 below) (hereinafter, collectively, "Defendant Releasees"), from and against any and all claims, causes of action, suits, demands, obligations, liabilities, damages, costs, fines, penalties, losses and expenses (including, without limitation, investigation fees, expert fees, and attorney fees) of any nature whatsoever, in law and in equity, whether known or unknown, fixed or contingent, foreseen or unforeseen (hereinafter, "Claims"), arising under Proposition 65 or any other statute, regulation or common law theory, related to the alleged discharge or release of Lead into water or onto or into land where such Lead passes or probably will pass into any source of drinking water, from Defendants' Lead Wheel Weights shipped into California prior to the Reformulation Deadline.
- 7.2 Binding Effect. This Consent Judgment is a full, final and binding resolution of any alleged violation of Proposition 65, or any other statute, regulation or common law theory, that was or could have been asserted in the Notice or Complaint against Defendant Releasees, based on the alleged discharge or release of Lead into water or onto or into land where

such Lead passes or probably will pass into any source of drinking water, from Defendants' Lead Wheel Weights shipped into California prior to the Reformulation Deadline. Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment shall constitute compliance with Proposition 65 for purposes of discharges or releases of Lead from Defendants' Lead Wheel Weights shipped into California prior to the Reformulation Deadline.

7.1 and 7.2 above, "Downstream Customers" shall mean and include all auto manufacturers, distributors and retailers, auto parts distributors and retailers, tire manufacturers, distributors and retailers, tire installers, auto and tire repair businesses, wheel balancing weight distributors and retailers, and all other persons and entities, who use, install, sell or distribute any of Defendants' Lead Wheel Weights prior to the Reformulation Deadline. The release and resolution of Claims set forth in sub-sections 7.1 and 7.2 above shall not extend or apply to Chrysler LLC and/or any Downstream Customer who, subsequent to the Reformulation Deadline, uses, installs, sells or distributes in California any of Defendants' Lead Wheel Weights, or any wheel balancing weights containing Lead that were manufactured by any other person or entity.

8. SEVERABILITY

8.1 In the event that any provision of this Consent Judgment is held by a court of competent jurisdiction to be unenforceable, the validity of the enforceable provisions shall not be adversely affected.

9. SPECIFIC PERFORMANCE

Judgment for failure to comply with the provisions of Section 2 above, the Parties agree that it would be impracticable to measure the resulting damages and that such breach would cause irreparable injury. Accordingly, CEH, in addition to any other available rights or remedies, may file a motion for specific performance in this action, and Defendants expressly waive the defense that a remedy in damages would be adequate.

10. GOVERNING LAW

10.1 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by and enforced

14				
1	under the laws of the State of California.			
2	11. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION			
3 .	11.1 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement and enforce			
4	the terms of this Consent Judgment.			
5	12. PROVISION OF NOTICE			
6	12.1 All notices required pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be in writing			
7	and sent by first-class, registered, certified, or overnight mail, to the following:			
8	For CEH:			
9	Mark N. Todzo			
10	Lexington Law Group, LLP 1627 Irving Street			
11	San Francisco, CA 94122			
12	For Perfect Equipment:			
13	Thomas M. Donnelly			
14	Jones Day 555 California Street, 26th Floor			
15	San Francisco, CA 94104			
16	For Hennessy:			
17	Trenton H. Norris Arnold & Porter LLP			
18	90 New Montgomery Street, Suite 600 San Francisco, CA 94105			
19	13. COURT APPROVAL			
20	13.1 CEH shall comply with the settlement notice provisions of Health and			
21	Safety Code § 25249.7(f) and Title 11 of the California Code of Regulations, § 3003.			
22	13.2 If this Consent Judgment is not approved and entered by the Court, it shall			
23	have no force or effect.			
24	14. EXECUTION AND COUNTERPARTS			
25	14.1 The stipulations to this Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts			
26	and by means of facsimile, each of which shall be deemed an original, and which taken together			
27	shall constitute one and the same document.			
28				

15. AUTHORIZATION

2	15.1 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully		
3	authorized by the Party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment, to enter into		
4	and execute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the Party represented, and to legally bind that		
5	Party. The undersigned have read, understand and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this		
6	Consent Judgment. Except as explicitly provided herein, each Party shall bear its own fees and		
7	costs.		
8	AGREED TO:		
9	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH		
0	Muchael Green, Executive Director Dated: 5/23/08		
3	Center for Environmental Health		
14	PERFECT EQUIPMENT, INC.		
16 17 18	Mike Astorino, President Perfect Equipment, Inc.		
19 20	HENNESSY INDUSTRIES, INC.		
21 22 23	Dated: Michael J. Schulte, President Hennessy Industries, Inc.		
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

15. AUTHORIZATION 1 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully 2 15.1 authorized by the Party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment, to enter into 3 and execute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the Party represented, and to legally bind that 4 Party. The undersigned have read, understand and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this 5 Consent Judgment. Except as explicitly provided herein, each Party shall bear its own fees and 6. 7 costs. 8 AGREED TO: 9 CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH 10 11 Michael Green, Executive Director 12 Center for Environmental Health 13 14 PERFECT EQUIPMENT, INC. 15 16 Dated: Mike Astorino, President 17 Perfect Equipment, Inc. 18 19 HENNESSY INDUSTRIES, INC. 20 21 Dated: 22 Michael J. Schulte, President Hennessy Industries, Inc. 23 24 25 26 27 28

[PROP] CONSENT JUDGMENT RE: PERFECT EQUIPMENT AND HENNESSY - Case No. RG08388923

AUTHORIZATION

2	15.1 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is	fully	
3	authorized by the Party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment, to enter into		
4	and execute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the Party represented, and to legally bind that		
5	Party. The undersigned have read, understand and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this		
6	Consent Judgment. Except as explicitly provided herein, each Party shall bear its own fees and		
7	costs.		
8	AGREED TO:		
9	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH		
0			
1	Michael Green, Executive Director Dated:		
12	Center for Environmental Health		
13			
14	PERFECT EQUIPMENT, INC.		
15			
16	Mike Astorino, President Dated: May 28 Zoo	X	
17	Perfect Equipment, Inc.		
18			
19	HENNESSY INDUSTRIES, INC.		
20			
21	Dated:		
22	Hennessy Industries, Inc.		
23	•		
24			
25			
26			
27			
28	7		

15. AUTHORIZATION

,这个人,我们就是一个人,我们就是一个人的,我们也不是一个人的,我们也不是一个人的,我们也不是一个人的,我们也不是一个人的,我们也不是一个人的,我们也会会会会, 第一个人的,我们就是一个人的,我们就是一个人的,我们也不是一个人的,我们就是一个人的,我们就是一个人的,我们就是一个人的,我们就是一个人的,我们就是一个人的,也可

71	1			
2	2 15.1 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that	the or she is fully		
3	authorized by the Party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment, to enter into			
4	and execute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the Party represented, and to legally bind that			
5. %	5 Party. The undersigned have read, understand and agree to all of the terms	and conditions of this		
6	Consent Judgment. Except as explicitly provided herein, each Party shall bear its own fees and			
7	7 costs.			
8	8 AGREED TO:	·		
9	9 CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH			
10	FO.			
11	Dated:			
12	Michael Green, Executive Director Center for Environmental Health			
13	13			
14	14 PERFECT EQUIPMENT, INC.	·		
15	15			
16	16 Dated: Mike Astorino, President	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
17	17 Perfect Equipment, Inc.			
18	18			
19	19 HENNESSY INDUSTRIES, INC.			
20	20			
21	21 Mida Q- Multi Dated: 5/29	1.008		
22	22 Michael J. Schulte, President Hennessy Industries, Inc.			
23	23			
24	24 6			
	25	·		
26				
27				
28	28 h	or account distribution of second		
	- ALEXANDER OF DEPLOYED PROPERTY AND HENNESSY	Case No. RG08388923		

ORDER AND JUDGMENT

Based upon the stipulated Consent Judgment between CEH and Perfect Equipment, Inc. and Hennessy Industries, Inc., the settlement is approved and the clerk is hereby directed to enter judgment in accordance with the terms herein.

AUG 2 0 2008

Dated:

Judge Barbara J. Miller

Judge, Superior Court of the State of California