



1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Clifford A. Chanler, State Bar No. 135534
Daniel Bornstein, State Bar No. 181711
HIRST & CHANLER LLP
2560 Ninth Street
Parker Plaza, Suite 214
Berkeley, CA 94710-2565
Telephone: (510) 848-8880
Facsimile: (510) 848-8118

Attorneys for Plaintiff
JAMIE TE'O

FILED
ALAMEDA COUNTY

NOV 26 2008

CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
By A. Joanne Ingell Deputy

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA
UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION

JAMIE TE'O,

Plaintiff,

v.

CP TECHNOLOGIES; and DOES 1 through
150, inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No. RG 07360984
Assigned for All Purposes to
Judge Winifred Y. Smith - Department 23

**[PROPOSED] JUDGMENT PURSUANT
TO TERMS OF CONSENT JUDGMENT**

Date: November 26, 2008
Time: 9:00 a.m.
Reservation No.: R875748

Action Filed: December 12, 2007
Trial Date: Not Set

[PROPOSED] JUDGMENT
[Signature]

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

In the above-entitled action, Plaintiff JAMIE TE'O and Defendant CP TECHNOLOGIES, having agreed through their respective counsel that judgment be entered pursuant to the terms of the Proposition 65 settlement agreement in the form of a ~~Proposed~~⁹⁷ Consent Judgment entered into by the parties, and following issuance of an order approving this Proposition 65 settlement agreement and entry of the Consent Judgment on November 26, 2008,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure §664.5, judgment is entered in accordance with the terms of the Consent Judgment attached hereto as Exhibit A to the Order Approving Consent Judgment.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: NOV 26 2008

Alfred G. Smith
JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT