

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

FILED
Superior Court of California
County of Los Angeles

JUN 23 2009

John A. Clarke, Executive Officer/ Clerk
by Alfredo Morales, Deputy
ALFREDO MORALES

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

PHYSICIANS COMMITTEE FOR
RESPONSIBLE MEDICINE, a nonprofit
organization,

Plaintiff,

v.

McDONALD'S CORPORATION, a corporation;
BURGER KING CORPORATION, a
corporation; TGI FRIDAY'S, INC., a corporation;
CARLSON RESTAURANTS WORLDWIDE,
INC., a corporation; APPLEBEE'S
INTERNATIONAL, INC., a corporation;
CHICK-FIL-A, INC., a corporation; BRINKER
INTERNATIONAL, INC., a corporation; and OSI
RESTAURANT PARTNERS, INC., a
corporation,

Defendants.

McDONALD'S CORPORATION, a corporation;
TGI FRIDAY'S, INC., a corporation; CARLSON
RESTAURANTS WORLDWIDE, INC., a
corporation; APPLEBEE'S INTERNATIONAL,
INC., a corporation; CHICK-FIL-A, INC., a
corporation; BRINKER INTERNATIONAL,
INC., a corporation; and OSI RESTAURANT
PARTNERS, INC., a corporation,

Cross-Complainants,

v.

PHYSICIANS COMMITTEE FOR
RESPONSIBLE MEDICINE, a nonprofit
organization,

Cross-Defendant.

No. BC 383722

~~PROPOSED~~ JUDGMENT

Action Filed: January 16, 2008

Hon. Emilie H. Elias


1 This action came on regularly before the Court, the Honcrable Emilie H. Elias, Judge,
2 presiding, on November 17, 2008 for hearing on Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment, or in
3 the Alternative, Summary Adjudication, and on May 5, 2009 for hearing on Defendants' Motion for
4 Summary Judgment (collectively, the "Motions"). With respect to the Motions, Defendants
5 McDonald's Corporation, TGI Friday's, Inc., Carlson Restaurants Worldwide, Inc., Applebee's
6 International, Inc., Chick-fil-A, Inc., Brinker International, Inc., and OSI Restaurant Partners, Inc.
7 (collectively, "Defendants") appeared by Forrest A. Hainline III of Goodwin Procter LLP and
8 Trenton H. Norris of Arnold & Porter LLP; Plaintiff Physicians Committee for Responsible
9 Medicine ("PCRM") appeared by Daniel Kinburn (pro hac vice) and Norman A. Dupont of
10 Richards, Watson & Gershon. Having fully considered the moving and opposition papers, the
11 pleadings and other documents on file with the Court, the arguments of counsel, and having duly
12 rendered decisions, as detailed in the Court's Order Granting Defendants' Motion for Summary
13 Judgment and Order Re: Summary Judgment, for good cause shown the Court granted Defendants'
14 Motions.

15 Accordingly, for good cause shown, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND
16 DECREED that:


- 17 1. Defendants are the prevailing parties within the meaning of Code of Civil Procedure
18 Section 1032.
- 19 2. PCRM takes nothing by this action.
- 20 3. Judgment be entered in favor of Defendants on their Cross-Complaint for Declaratory
21 Relief and on PCRM's Complaint.
- 22 4. Defendants are entitled to recover those costs allowable pursuant to Code of Civil
23 Procedure section 1033.5.

24 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

25 DATED: JUN 23 2009



Judge of the Superior Court


EMILIE H. ELIAS
1 JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT