ENDORSED 1 FILED ALAMEDA COUNTY 2 AUG 2 8 2009 3 CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT 4 DEPUTY 5 6 7 8 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA 10 UNLIMITED JURISDICTION 11 PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, ex Case No. RG 04-162075 rel. BILL LOCKYER, Attorney General, et al., 12 (Consolidated with RG 04-162037, RG Plaintiffs, 04-169511) 13 vs. [PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT 14 AS TO ELITÉ DISTRIBUTING CO. BURLINGTON COAT FACTORY DBA EDCO 15 WAREHOUSE CORPORATION, et al. 16 Defendant. 17 18 AND RELATED CONSOLIDATED CASES. 19 20 1. INTRODUCTION 21 1.1 This Consent Judgment is entered into by the Center For Environmental Health, a 22 California non-profit corporation ("CEH"), and Elite Distributing Company, dba Edco ("Edco" or 23 "Defendant"), to settle certain claims asserted by CEH against Defendant as set forth in the 24 complaint in the matter entitled Center for Environmental Health v. Nadri, Inc., et al., Alameda 25 County Superior Court Case No. RG 06-269531 (the "Nadri Action"). 26 1.2 On May 12, 2006, CEH filed the original complaint in the *Nadri* Action 27 ("Complaint"), which was later consolidated with three other actions including the lead case 28 DOCUMENT PREPARED - 1 -

CONSENT JUDGMENT - ELITE DISTRIBUTING CO.

entitled *People v. Burlington Coat Factory et al.* (Alameda Superior Court Case No. RG 04-162075).

- 1.3 On February 21, 2006, upon noticed motion, the Court entered a Consent Judgment against a group of other defendants in the consolidated actions (the "Master Consent Judgment").
- 1.4 On June 15, 2006, upon noticed motion, the Court amended the Master Consent Judgment by entering an Amended Consent Judgment in the consolidated actions (the "Amended Master Consent Judgment").
- 1.5 On November 12, 2007, CEH provided a "Notice of Violation of Proposition 65" to the California Attorney General, the District Attorneys of every county in California, the City Attorneys of every California city with a population greater than 750,000, and to Edco regarding the presence of lead in jewelry manufactured, distributed or sold by Edco
- 1.6 On June 4, 2008, the Complaint was amended pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure §474 to name additional defendants, including Edco.
- 1.7 Defendant is a corporation that employs ten or more persons, and which manufactures, distributes and/or sells Covered Products (as defined below) in the State of California.
- 1.8 For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, CEH and Edco (the "Parties") stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Complaint and personal jurisdiction over Defendant as to the acts alleged in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of Alameda, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment as a full and final resolution of all claims that were or could have been raised in the Complaint based on the facts alleged therein with respect to Covered Products manufactured, distributed, and/or sold by Defendant.
- 1.9 CEH and Defendant enter into this Consent Judgment as a full and final settlement of all claims that were raised in the Complaint, or that could have been raised in the Complaint, arising out of the facts or conduct related to Defendant alleged therein. By execution of this Consent Judgment and agreeing to comply with its terms, the Parties do not admit any facts or conclusions of law, including, but not limited to, any facts or conclusions of law suggesting or

demonstrating any violations of Proposition 65 or any other statutory, common law or equitable requirements relating to lead in jewelry. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law or violation of law, nor shall compliance with the Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive or impair any right, remedy, argument or defense the Parties may have in this or any other or future legal proceedings. This Consent Judgment is the product of negotiation and compromise and is accepted by the Parties for purposes of settling, compromising, and resolving issues disputed in this action.

1.10 CEH and Defendant intend, and the Court finds, that the injunctive terms contained in Sections 2, 3.1 through 3.4, and 4 of this Consent Judgment are "substantially identical terms as provided in Sections 2, 3 and 4 of the amended consent judgment," as those terms are used in California Health & Safety Code §25214.3(d).

2. **DEFINITIONS**

- 2.1 The term "Person" shall have the same meaning as that term is defined in California Health & Safety Code §25249.11(a).
- 2.2 The term "Covered Products" means (a) the following ornaments worn by a person: an anklet, arm cuff, bracelet, brooch, chain, crown, cuff link, decorated hair accessories, earring, necklace, pin, ring and Body Piercing Jewelry, or (b) any bead, chain, link, pendant, or other component of such an ornament.
- 2.3 The term "Body Piercing Jewelry" means any part of a Covered Product that is manufactured or sold for placement in new piercings and/or mucous membranes, and does not include those parts of Covered Products not placed within new piercings and/or mucous membranes.
- 2.4 The term "Children's Products" means Covered Products that are made for, marketed for use by, or marketed to, Children.
- 2.4.1 For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term "Children" means children aged 6 and younger.

CONSENT JUDGMENT - ELITE DISTRIBUTING CO.

•	3.2.2.1	For Covered Products manufactured more than 90 days after the
Effective Date,	, plated and u	nplated metal that is not defined as a Class 1 Component containing
less than 0.06 p	percent (600 p	opm) lead.

- 3.2.2.2 For Covered Products manufactured within 90 days after the Effective Date metal alloys with less than 6 percent lead by weight that are electroplated with suitable under and finish coats and that are plated utilizing the Best Management Practices described in Exhibit A.
- 3.2.2.3 Plastic or rubber (e.g., acrylic, polystyrene, plastic beads/stones, and polyvinyl chloride (PVC)) containing less than 0.02 percent (200 ppm) lead by weight.
- parts per million) lead, and for Covered Products subject to 16 C.F.R. § 1303, commencing
 August 14, 2009 less than 0.009 percent (90 ppm) lead. For purposes of this Consent Judgment,
 "Surface Coating" shall carry the same meaning as "Paint or other similar surface coating" under
 16 CFR §1303.2(b)(1) ("Paint and other similar surface-coating materials means a fluid, semi-fluid, or other material, with or without a suspension of finely divided coloring matter, which changes to a solid film when a thin layer is applied to a metal, wood, stone, paper, leather, cloth, plastic, or other surface. This term does not include printing inks or those materials which actually become a part of the substrate, such as the pigment in a plastic article, or those materials which are actually bonded to the substrate, such as by electroplating or ceramic glazing.").
- 3.2.3 A "Class 3 Component" is any part of a Covered Product that is not a Class 1 or Class 2 Component. Class 3 Components must contain less than 0.06 percent (600 parts per million) lead.
 - 3.3 Children's Products. Children's Products shall be made entirely from:
 - 3.3.1 Class 1 Components other than glass or crystal decorative components;
 - 3.3.2 Non-metallic Class 2 Components;
- 3.3.3 Non-Class 1 metallic components that contain less than 0.03 percent (300 parts per million) lead;

2.2

produce copies of all testing documents for any Covered Products identified in a Notice of Violation served pursuant to Section 4.2. below.

3.7 Market Recall. Edco asserts that the non-exclusive exemplary product identified in the 60-Day Notice to Edco as the Skull Ring was last sold by Edco with lead content exceeding Health & Safety Code § 25214.1 et seq. in 2004, and Edco is informed and believes that its California customers have either sold out of their inventory of such products and/or removed such products from sale as of December 10, 2007, in response to one or more notices from CEH. Accordingly, Edco is not required under this Consent Judgment to recall such product from its customers as those customers do not have the any of the Skull Rings in their inventory.

4. ENFORCEMENT

- 4.1 General Enforcement Provisions. The Attorney General or CEH may, by motion or application for an order to show cause before this Court, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment, subject to the following:
- 4.1.1 Any action to enforce the terms of Sections 3.1 through 3.4 of this Consent Judgment shall be brought exclusively pursuant to Section 4.2.
- 4.1.2 For purposes of this Section 4 only, the term "Defendant" includes a Person that was a party to the Amended Master Consent Judgment or to a consent judgment that contained "identical or substantially identical terms as provided in Sections 2, 3 and 4 of the amended consent judgment," as those terms are used in California Health & Safety Code §25214.3(d).

4.2 Enforcement of Materials Violation.

- 4.2.1 **Notice of Violation.** In the event that, at any time following the Effective Date, the Attorney General or CEH ("Notifying Person") identifies one or more Covered Products that the Notifying Person believes in good faith do not comply with Section 3.1 through 3.4 of this Consent Judgment, the Notifying Person may issue a Notice of Violation pursuant to this Section 4.
 - 4.2.2 Service of Notice of Violation and Supporting Documentation.

~0

4.2.2.1 The Notice of Violation shall be sent to the persons identified in Section 8 to receive notices for Defendant, and must be served within 45 days of the date the alleged violation(s) was or were observed.

4.2.2.2 The Notice of Violation shall, at a minimum, set forth for each Covered Product: (a) the date(s) the alleged violation(s) was observed, (b) the location at which the Covered Product was offered for sale, (c) a description of the Covered Product giving rise to the alleged violation, and (d) all test data obtained by the Notifying Person regarding the Covered Product and supporting documentation sufficient for validation of the test results, including all laboratory reports, quality assurance reports and quality control reports associated with testing of the Covered Products. Such Notice of Violation shall be based upon test data that meets the criteria of Exhibit B. Wipe, swipe, and swab testing are not sufficient to support a Notice of Violation.

4.2.2.3 The Notifying Person shall promptly make available for inspection and/or copying upon request all supporting documentation related to the testing of the Covered Products and associated quality control samples, including chain of custody records, all laboratory logbook entries for laboratory receiving, sample preparation, and instrumental analysis, and all printouts from all analytical instruments relating to the testing of Covered Product samples and any and all calibration, quality assurance, and quality control tests performed or relied upon in conjunction with the testing of the Covered Products, obtained by or available to the Notifying Person that pertains to the Covered Product's alleged noncompliance with Section 3.1 through 3.4 and, if available, any exemplars of Covered Products tested.

- 4.2.3 **Notice of Election of Response.** No more than 30 days after receiving a Notice of Violation, Defendant shall provide written notice to the Notifying Person whether it elects to contest the allegations contained in a Notice of Violation ("Notice of Election").
- 4.2.3.1 If a Notice of Violation is contested the Notice of Election shall include all then-available documentary evidence regarding the alleged violation, including all test data, if any, including but not limited to test data for the Covered Product generated pursuant to the requirements of Section 3.6, above. If Defendant or the Notifying Person later acquires

· 16

DOCUMENT PREPARED
ON RECYCLED PAPER

additional test or other data regarding the alleged violation, it shall notify the other party and promptly provide all such data or information to the party. Any test data used to rebut a Notice of Violation shall meet the criteria of Exhibit B.

- 4.2.3.2 If a Notice of Violation is not contested, the Notice of Election shall include a description of Defendant's corrective action pursuant to Section 4.2.6, and upon request by the Notifying Person, any test data for the Covered Product generated pursuant to the requirements of Section 3.6, above. The Notice of Election shall include the name, address, telephone number, and other contact information, of Defendant' Supplier(s) of each Covered Product identified in the Notice of Violation.
- 4.2.4 Meet and Confer. If a Notice of Violation is contested, the Notifying Person, the Attorney General, and the Defendant shall meet and confer to attempt to resolve their dispute. Within 30 days of serving a Notice of Election contesting a Notice of Violation, and if no enforcement action has been filed, Defendant may withdraw the original Notice of Election contesting the violation and serve a new Notice of Election conceding the violation. If no informal resolution of a Notice of Violation results, the Notifying Person may by motion or order to show cause before the Superior Court of Alameda, seek to enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment. In any such proceeding, the Attorney General and CEH may seek whatever fines, costs, penalties, or remedies are provided by law for failure to comply with the Consent Judgment.
- 4.2.5 **Non-Contested Matters.** If Defendant elects not to contest the allegations in a Notice of Violation, it shall undertake corrective action pursuant to Section 4.2.6 and shall make any contributions required by Section 4.2.7.
- 4.2.6 Corrective Action in Non-Contested Matters. If Defendant elects not to contest the allegation, it shall include in its Notice of Election a detailed description of corrective action that it has undertaken or proposes to undertake to remove the Covered Product(s) identified in the Notice of Violation for sale in California. Corrective action must include instructions to Defendant' customers to cease offering the Covered Product(s) identified in the Notice of Violation for sale in California as soon as practicable. Defendant shall make available to the

regardless of the number of retailers to whom the Covered Product is distributed.

- 4.2.7.5.2 If Defendant has manufactured, sold, or distributed a Covered Product identified in a Notice of Violation, only one required contribution may be assessed against the Defendant potentially liable therefore in any 30-day period, in the following order of priority: (1) Manufacturers; (2) Importers; (3) Distributors, and (4) Retailers.
- Defendant's monetary liability to make required 4.2.7.5.3 contributions under Section 4.2.7.2 shall be limited to \$5,000 for each 30-day period. Defendant's monetary liability to make required contributions under Section 4.2.7.3 shall be limited to \$15,000 for each 30-day period.
- If Defendant has paid either of the payments set forth in Sections 4.2.7.6 4.2.7.2 and 4.2.7.3 more than six times in any 18-month period, or more than three times in any 12-month period for Covered Products sold to Defendant from the same Supplier then, at the Notifying Person's option, the Notifying Person may seek whatever fines, costs, penalties, or remedies are provided by law for failure to comply with the Consent Judgment.
- Limitation on Liability. Defendant's liability when electing not to 4.2.8 contest a Notice of Violation shall be limited to the contributions required by Section 4.2.7.

PAYMENTS 5.

- Payments From Defendant. Defendant shall pay the total sum of \$57,000 in two 5.1 settlement payments of \$28,500. The first payment of shall be made within five days of the entry of this Consent Judgment, and the second payment shall be made within 35 days of the entry of this Consent Judgment.
- 5.2 Allocation of Payments. The settlement amounts shall be delivered to the offices of the Lexington Law Group (Attn: Eric Somers), 1627 Irving Street, San Francisco, California 94122. Each payment shall be made payable and allocated as follows:

- 5.2.1 Defendant shall pay the total sum of \$1,000 in two equal checks of \$500 each as a civil penalty pursuant to California Health & Safety Code §25249.7(b), such money to be apportioned by CEH in accordance with California Health & Safety Code §25249.12. The two \$500 penalty checks shall both be made payable to the Center For Environmental Health.
- 5.2.2 Defendant shall pay the total sum of \$18,500 in two equal checks of \$9,250 each as payment to CEH in lieu of penalty pursuant to California Health & Safety Code section 25249.7(b), and California Code of Regulations, title 11, §3202(b). CEH will use such funds to continue its work educating and protecting people from exposures to toxic chemicals, including heavy metals. In addition, CEH may use a portion of such funds to monitor compliance with the reformulation requirements of this and other similar Consent Judgments, to purchase and test jewelry, and to prepare and compile the information and documentation necessary to support a Notice of Violation. The payment in lieu of penalty checks shall be made payable to the Center For Environmental Health.
- 5.2.3 Defendant shall pay the total sum of \$37,500 in two equal checks of \$18,750 each as reimbursement of reasonable attorneys' fees and costs. The attorneys' fees and cost reimbursement checks shall be made payable to the Lexington Law Group.

6. MODIFICATION AND DISPUTE RESOLUTION

- 6.1 **Modification.** This Consent Judgment may be modified from time to time by express written agreement of the Parties, with the approval of the Court, or by an order of this Court upon motion and in accordance with law.
- 6.2 Subsequent Legislation. If, subsequent to the Effective Date, legislation is adopted that addresses the lead content of Covered Products sold in California, any party shall be entitled to request that the Court modify this Consent Judgment for good cause shown.
- 6.3 Modification of Amended Master Consent Judgment. Upon the entry of any order amending Sections 2, 3 or 4 of the Amended Master Consent Judgment, the corresponding terms of Sections 2, 3.1 through 3.4, or 4 of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed amended, so that the injunctive terms contained in Sections 2, 3.1 through 3.4, or 4 of this Consent Judgment

remain "substantially identical terms as provided in Sections 2, 3 and 4 of the amended consent judgment," as those terms are used in California Health & Safety Code §25214.3(d).

- 6.4 **Reopeners.** The Parties may seek to reopen the requirements of Section 3.1 through 3.4 as to Covered Products other than Children's Products as follows:
- Components. The Parties acknowledge that the materials described in Sections 3.2.1.8 and 3.2.1.9 are not generally known to contain or expose users to lead and, as such, have been designated as Class 1 Components. CEH, with the written non-opposition of the Attorney General, may seek to modify this Consent Judgment by seeking the re-designation of any material described in Sections 3.2.1.8 and 3.2.1.9 from Class 1 Component to a Class 2 Component with a lead standard for such material, if, subsequent to the Effective Date, CEH obtains information that demonstrates that such material contains lead and that the use of the material in any Covered Product exposes users of the Covered Product to lead in an amount greater than 0.5 micrograms per day.
- 6.4.2 Reopener for Class 3 Components. Any party may seek to modify this Consent Judgment by seeking to designate a Class 3 Component as a Class 1 Component or as a Class 2 Component with a lead specification standard.
- 6.4.3 Required Showing to Obtain Reopeners. A reopener pursuant to Sections 6.4.1 or 6.4.2 shall be granted if the court finds the following:
- 6.4.3.1 A Class 3 Component shall be redesignated as a Class 1 Component if the moving party demonstrates that such material does not contain lead, or that the use of the material in any Covered Product does not expose users of the Covered Product to lead in an amount greater than 0.5 micrograms per day.
- 6.4.3.2 A Class 3 Component, and the materials described in Sections
 3.2.1.8 and 3.2.1.9, shall be redesignated as a Class 2 Component with a lead specification
 standard if the moving party demonstrates that use of such material at or below the standard does
 not expose average users of the Covered Product to lead in an amount greater than 0.5 micrograms
 per day.

6.5 **Notice; Meet and Confer.** Any party seeking to modify this Consent Judgment shall attempt in good faith to meet and confer with all affected Parties prior to filing a motion to modify the Consent Judgment.

7. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASE

- 7.1 This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between CEH and Defendant and its parents, shareholders, divisions, subdivisions, subsidiaries, partners, sister companies and their successors and assigns ("Defendant Releasees"), and all entities other than those listed on Exhibit B of this Consent Judgment to whom they distribute or sell Covered Products, including but not limited to distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, franchisees, cooperative members, and licensees ("Downstream Defendant Releasees"), of any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law claims that have been or could have been asserted in the public interest against Defendant, Defendant Releasees, and Downstream Defendant Releasees, regarding the failure to warn about exposure to lead arising in connection with Covered Products manufactured, distributed, or sold by Defendant prior to the Effective Date.
- 7.2 CEH, for itself and acting on behalf of the public interest pursuant to Health and Safety Code §25249.7(d), releases, waives, and forever discharges any and all claims against Defendant, Defendant Releasees, and Downstream Defendant Releasees arising from any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law claims that have been or could have been asserted in the public interest regarding the failure to warn about exposure to lead arising in connection with Covered Products manufactured, distributed or sold by Defendant prior to the Effective Date.
- 7.3 Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment by Defendant and its

 Defendant Releasees shall constitute compliance with Proposition 65 by that Defendant, its

 Defendant Releasees and their Downstream Defendant Releasees with respect to any alleged failure to warn about lead in Covered Products manufactured, distributed or sold by Defendant after the Effective Date.
- 7.4 Nothing in this Section 7 shall apply to any Supplier that is not Defendant unless such Supplier is a parent, subsidiary, or sister company of Defendant.

PROVISION OF NOTICE 8.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

When any party is entitled to receive any notice under this Consent Judgment, the 8.1 notice shall be sent by certified mail and electronic mail to the party(ies) identified below. Any party may modify the person and address to whom the notice is to be sent by sending each other party notice by certified mail and/or other verifiable form of written communication.

Notice to Defendant. The person for Defendant to receive Notices pursuant to this 8.2 Consent Judgment, until and unless modified pursuant to Section 8, shall be:

> Riley Stoops President Edco. Inc. The Ring House 3401 Fujita Street Torrance, CA 90505 edco@edco.net

With a copy to:

Jeffrey B. Margulies Fulbright & Jaworski LLP 555 South Flower Street, 41st Floor Los Angeles, California 90071 Tel: (213) 892-9286 / Fax: (310) 995-6218 imargulies@fulbright.com

Notice to Plaintiff. The person for CEH to receive Notices pursuant to this 8.3 Consent Judgment, until and unless modified pursuant to Section 8, shall be:

> Eric S. Somers Lexington Law Group 1627 Irving Street San Francisco, California 94122 Tel: (415) 759-4111 / Fax: (415) 759-4112 esomers@lexlawgroup.com

COURT APPROVAL 9.

This Consent Judgment shall become effective on the Effective Date, provided 9.1 however, that CEH shall prepare and file a Motion for Approval of this Consent Judgment and Defendant shall support approval of such Motion.

28

DOCUMENT PREPARE

9.2 If this Consent Judgment is not entered by the Court, it shall be of no force or effect and shall not be introduced into evidence or otherwise used in any proceeding for any purpose.

10. GOVERNING LAW AND CONSTRUCTION

- 10.1 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California.
- 10.2 The Parties, including their counsel, have participated in the preparation of this Consent Judgment and this Consent Judgment is the result of the joint efforts of the Parties. This Consent Judgment has been accepted and approved as to its final form by all Parties and their counsel. Accordingly, any uncertainty or ambiguity existing in this Consent Judgment shall not be interpreted against any party as a result of the manner of the preparation of this Consent Judgment. Each party to this Consent Judgment agrees that any statute or rule of construction providing that ambiguities are to be resolved against the drafting party should not be employed in the interpretation of this Consent Judgment and, in this regard, the Parties hereby waive California Civil Code §1654.

11. ATTORNEYS' FEES

- Judgment shall be required to pay the prevailing party's reasonable attorneys' fees and costs unless the unsuccessful party has acted with substantial justification. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term substantial justification shall carry the same meaning as used in the Civil Discovery Act of 1986, Code of Civil Procedure §§2016.010, et seq.
- 11.2 Notwithstanding Section 11.1, a party who prevails in a contested enforcement action brought pursuant to Section 4 may seek an award of attorneys' fees pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure §1021.5 against a party that acted with substantial justification. The party seeking such an award shall bear the burden of meeting all of the elements of §1021.5, and this provision shall not be construed as altering any procedural or substantive requirements for obtaining such an award.
- 11.3 Nothing in this Section 11 shall preclude a party from seeking an award of sanctions pursuant to law.

12.1 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments, or understandings related thereto, if any, are hereby merged herein and therein. There are no warranties, representations, or other agreements between the Parties except as expressly set forth herein. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those specifically referred to in this Consent Judgment have been made by any party hereto. No other agreements not specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto. No supplementation, modification, waiver, or termination of this Consent Judgment shall be binding unless executed in writing by the party to be bound thereby. No waiver of any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed or shall constitute a waiver of any of the other provisions hereof whether or not similar, nor shall such waiver constitute a continuing waiver.

13. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

13.1 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement or modify the Consent Judgment.

14. AUTHORITY TO STIPULATE TO CONSENT JUDGMENT

14.1 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment and to enter into and execute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the party represented and legally to bind that party.

15. NO EFFECT ON OTHER SETTLEMENTS

15.1 Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall preclude CEH from resolving any claim against an entity that is not Defendant on terms that are different than those contained in this Consent Judgment.

16. EXECUTION IN COUNTERPARTS

16.1 The stipulations to this Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by means of facsimile or portable document format (pdf), which taken together shall be deemed to constitute one document.

2	Dated: June 15, 2009	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH
3		
4		By I refuse
5		Printed Name Michael Green
7		By I refuse (Trees Printed Name Michael Green Title Executive Director
8	,	
9 10	Dated: June, 2009	ELITE DISTRIBUTING CO. DBA EDCO
11		
12		Ву
13		Printed Name
4		Title
5		
6		
7		
18		
19		
20	IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED	
21	Dated:	
22		Honorable Robert B. Freedman Judge of the Superior Court of the State of California
23		and the superior court of the state of Camonna
24		
25		
26		
27 28		

ı ris so st	IPULATED:	
2 Dated: June	, 2009	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH
5		Ву
6		Printed Name
7		Title
8		
9		
Dated: June	2 <i>9</i> , 2009	ELITE DISTRIBUTING CO. DBA EDCO
11		
12		By Marsha & Vifgurie
13		Printed Name MARSHA VIFOUAIN
I4		Printed Name MARSHA VITQUAIN Title VICE PRESIDENT, EDCO, INC.
15	, a	
16		
17		
18		
19		
	DERED, ADJUDGED),
AND DECR		Robert B. Freedman
Dated: F	AUG 28 2009	Honorable Robert B. Freedman
23		Judge of the Superior Court of the State of California
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
DOCUMENT PREPARED ON KROYCLED PAPER		- 19

EXHIBIT A (BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES FOR PLATING FACILITIES)

PRE-PLATING PROCEDURE

The pieces must be cleaned. Any polishing compound must be removed before plating by cleaning with aqueous cleaning solution or solvent and rinsed with water.

The pieces must be activated.

The pieces must be rinsed in clean water before plating.

PLATING BATH MAINTENANCE

The temperature of each plating bath must be controlled to the appropriate temperature in accordance with the recommendations of the equipment and plating chemical suppliers.

The nickel and nickel-substitute tanks must be agitated or aerated in accordance with the chemical suppliers' recommendations.

All baths must be filtered continuously during plating and filters changed at least than monthly.

pH must be measured each day of plating and adjusted within the chemical supplier's recommendations.

All plating employees must be trained on the use of the equipment in accordance with recommendation of equipment manufacturer and plating chemical suppliers.

The plating baths must be maintained in accordance with the plating chemical suppliers recommendations.

Plating tanks must be swept at least weekly.

Anodes must be inspected monthly in accordance with the anode supplier's recommendations.

Racks must be stripped at least annually.

The electrical equipment must be sized appropriately for each tank in accordance with equipment manufacturer's recommendations and calibrated annually.

28

PLATING PROCEDURES

Substantial pieces such as pendants, drops, and rings without prongs or other such feature
shall be plated with at least 15 minutes combined plating with copper (copper strike and/or acid
copper), nickel or nickel substitute, and/or finish coat. The pieces will also be rinsed between
plating tanks. Finish decorative coatings include brass, bronze, copper, gold, gun metal, hematite,
imitation rhodium, matt finish, palladium, platinum, rhodium, or silver. If desired, plated pieces
can be treated to produce other finishes such as matt, oxidized, or smut black finishes.

Mechanical, functional (e.g., lobster claws, spacers, mechanical closures, connectors), or fine pieces such as prongs and fine chains may be plated to cover the exposed surface consistent with good manufacturing practices for appearance and function. Components that articulate closely together such as snake chain and tight hinges or that need to be manipulated into position will be plated to prevent binding, stiffness, and cracking of plating.

- 2 -

2

3 4 5

6 7

9

8

10 11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26

27

EXHIBIT B

(TESTING PROTOCOLS)

The following test methods must be used to determine compliance with the lead standards set forth in this Consent Judgment. A material shall not meet the applicable lead standard if the mean lead level of: (1) one or two samples exceeds 300% of the component specification limit; (2) three samples exceeds 200% of the component specification limit; or (3) four or more samples exceeds the component specification limit.

Laboratory sample preparation protocols specific for testing the lead content of jewelry components are not readily available. The sample preparation method used in USEPA Method 3050B or Method 3051 shall be followed, as modified in the following table for use with jewelry samples. The laboratory should make every effort to assure that samples removed from jewelry pieces are representative of the component to be tested, and are free of contamination from extraneous dirt and material not related to the jewelry component to be tested. All jewelry component samples shall be washed prior to testing using standard laboratory detergent, rinsed with laboratory reagent grade deionized water, and dried in a clean ambient environment. If components must be cut or scraped to obtain a sample, then metal snips, scissors, or other cutting tools used must be made of stainless steel and washed and rinsed before each use and between samples.

Samples should be digested in containers that are known to be free of lead using acids that are not contaminated by lead. Analytical Reagent grade digestion acids and reagent grade deionized water are required. Method Blanks, consisting of all reagents used in sample preparation handled, digested and made to volume in the same exact manner and in the same container type as samples, shall be tested with each group of 20 or fewer samples tested. The results for the Method Blank shall be reported with each group of sample results, and shall be below the stated reporting limit for sample results to be considered valid.

All jewelry components samples shall be prepared for testing in accordance with USEPA Method 3050B or 3051, with the following additional notes and exceptions:

DOCUMENT PREPARED

,		
1	COMPONENT	NOTES AND EXCEPTIONS
2	Metals plated with suitable undercoats and finish coats	Digestion using hot concentrated nitric acid with optional hydrochloric acid and optional hydrogen peroxide. Sample size
3	undercoats and ministroats	should be 0.050 g to 1 g. Digested samples may require dilution prior to analysis. Digestion and analysis should achieve a
5		reported detection limit no greater than 0.1% for samples. Any necessary dilutions shall be made to assure that measurements
6		are made within the calibrated range of the analytical instrument.
7	Unplated metal and metal substrates not defined as	Digestion using hot concentrated nitric acid with optional hydrochloric acid and optional hydrogen peroxide. Sample size
8	Class I Components.	should be 0.050 g to 1 g. Digested samples may require dilution prior to analysis. Digestion and analysis should achieve a
9	:	reported detection limit no greater than 0.01% for samples. Any
10		necessary dilutions shall be made to assure that measurements are made within the calibrated range of the analytical instrument.
11	Polyvinyl chloride (PVC)	Digestion using hot concentrated nitric acid with optional
12		hydrochloric acid and optional hydrogen peroxide. Sample size should be a minimum of 0.05 g if using microwave digestion or
13		0.5 if using hot plate digestion, and should be chopped or comminuted prior to digestion. Digested samples may require
14 15		dilution prior to analysis. Digestion and analysis should achieve a reported detection limit no greater than 0.001% (10 ppm) for
16		samples. Any necessary dilutions shall be made to assure that measurements are made within the calibrated range of the
17		analytical instrument.
18	Non-PVC Plastic/Rubber (e.g., acrylic, polystyrene,	Digestion using hot concentrated nitric acid with optional hydrochloric acid and optional hydrogen peroxide. Sample size
19	plastic beads/stones).	should be a minimum of 0.05 g if using microwave digestion or 0.5 if using hot plate digestion and should be chopped or
20		comminuted prior to digestion. Plastic beads or stones should be crushed prior to digestion. Digested samples may require
21		dilution prior to analysis. Digestion and analysis should achieve
22		a reported detection limit no greater than 0.001% (10 ppm) for samples. Any necessary dilutions shall be made to assure that
23		measurements are made within the calibrated range of the analytical instrument.
24		
25		
26	•	
27		
28		- 2 -
PARED PAPER		ЕХНІВІТ В

2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Dyes, paints, coatings, varnish, printing inks, ceramic glazes, glass, crystal Glass and crystal used in Children's Products (for weight)	The coating of glass or plastic beads should be scraped onto a surface free of dust, such as a clean weighing paper or pan, using a clean stainless steel razor blade or other clean sharp instrument that will not contaminate the sample with lead. The razor blade or sharp instrument should be rinsed with deionized water, wiped to remove particulate matter, rinsed again, and dried between samples. Weigh the scrapings. A minimum of 50 mg of scraped coating should be used for analysis. If less than 50 mg of scraped coating is obtained from an individual pearl, then multiple pearls from that sample must be scraped and composited to obtain a sufficient sample amount. The number of pearls used to make the composite must be noted. Avoid inclusion of the substrate pearl material in the scrapings. Digest the scrapings according to USEPA Method 3050B or 3051 or equivalent procedure for hot acid digestion in preparation for trace lead analysis. Dilute the digestate in the minimum volume practical for analysis. Analyze the digested sample according to specification of Exhibit B (approved, validated methodology for inductively-coupled plasma mass spectrometry). A reporting limit of 0.001% (10 ppm) in the coating must be obtained for the analysis. The sample result must be reported within the calibrated range of the instrument. If the initial test of the sample must be diluted and reanalyzed within the calibrated range of the instrument. Digestion using hot concentrated nitric acid with optional hydrochloric acid and optional hydrogen peroxide. Sample size should be a minimum of 0.050 g, and should be chopped or comminuted prior to digestion. Digested samples may require dilution prior to analysis. Digestion and analysis should achieve a reported detection limit no greater than 0.001% (10 ppm) for samples. Any necessary dilutions shall be made to assure that measurements are made within the calibrated range of the analytical instrument. The components should be free of any extraneous material such as adhesive before they a
24 25		components are weighed. The calibration should be accurate to
26 27	,	

- 3 -

1 **EXHIBIT C** (LIST OF ENTITIES NOT SUBJECT 2 TO DOWNSTREAM DEFENDANT RELEASE) 3 4 1. Albertson's LLC; Albertson's, Inc.; New Albertson's, Inc. 2. 5 AZ3, Inc. 3. Barnes & Noble, Inc. 6 4. BCBG Max Azria Group, Inc. 7 5. Big A Drug Stores, Inc. 8 6. Conair Corporation 9 7. Cousin Corporation of America 10 8. Forum Novelties, Inc. 11 9. Georgiou Studio, Inc. 12 10. Hayun Fashion Investments Corporation dba Planet Funk 13 11. H.E.R. Accessories, LLC 14 12. ICU Eyewear 13. I Love Bracelets, Inc. 15 14. Ivorette-Texas, Inc. dba Upstart Crow Trading Company 16 15. Jacadi USA, Inc. 17 16. JOIA Accessories, Inc. 18 17. Lisa Kline, Inc. 19 18. Long Rap, Inc.. 20 19. Marin Beauty Company 21 20. Max Rave, LLC 22 21. Peninsula Beauty Supply, Inc. 23 22. Raley's 24 23. Rite Aid Corporation 24. Ruby's Costume Company, Inc. 25 25. Safeway, Inc. 26 26. Scünci International, Inc. 27 27. Shoe Pavilion Corporation; Shoe Pavilion, Inc. 28 DOCUMENT PREPARED

EXHIBIT C

(LIST OF ENTITIES NOT SUBJECT TO DOWNSTREAM DEFENDANT RELEASE)

1	28.	Urban Outfitters West LLC; Urban Outfitters, Inc.
2	29.	Volume Distributors, Inc.
3	30.	Whole Foods Market California, Inc.
4	31.	Zoom Eyeworks, Inc.
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		·
22		
23		
24		
25		
25 26		
20 27		
28		
∠ŏ		<i>-</i> 2-