

1 LEXINGTON LAW GROUP, LLP  
Mark N. Todzo, State Bar No. 168389  
2 Eric S. Somers, State Bar No. 139050  
Howard Hirsch, State Bar No. 213209  
3 1627 Irving Street  
San Francisco, CA 94122  
4 Telephone: (415) 759-4111  
Facsimile: (415) 759-4112

5 Attorneys for Plaintiff  
6 CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH

ENDORSED  
FILED  
San Francisco County Superior Court

APR 28 2009

GORDON PARK-LI, Clerk

BY: \_\_\_\_\_ Deputy Clerk

7  
8  
9 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
10 COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO  
11

12  
13  
14 CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH, a  
15 non-profit corporation,

16 Plaintiff,

17 v.

18 A.D. SUTTON & SONS, INC.; ACCESSORY  
19 NETWORK GROUP, INC.; E.S. ORIGINALS,  
20 INC.; GLOBAL DESIGNS CONCEPTS, INC.;  
LEGENT INTERNATIONAL LTD.; and  
Defendant DOES 1 through 200, inclusive,

21 Defendants.

Case No. CGC-08-476552

~~PROPOSED~~ CONSENT JUDGMENT RE:  
L'EGENT INTERNATIONAL LTD.

1                   **1. INTRODUCTION**

2                   **1.1**     On June 19, 2008, plaintiff the Center for Environmental Health (“CEH”), a  
3 non-profit corporation acting in the public interest, filed a complaint in San Francisco County  
4 Superior Court, entitled *Center for Environmental Health v. A.D. Sutton & Sons, Inc., et al.*, San  
5 Francisco County Superior Court Case Number CGC-08-476552 (the “CEH Action”), for civil  
6 penalties and injunctive relief pursuant to the provisions of California Health & Safety Code  
7 §25249.5 et seq. (“Proposition 65”).

8                   **1.2**     Defendant L’egent International Ltd. (“Defendant”) is an entity that employs  
9 10 or more persons and manufactured, distributed and/or sold (directly or indirectly) backpacks (the  
10 “Products”) in the State of California.

11                   **1.3**     On or about December 6, 2007, CEH served Defendant and public  
12 enforcement agencies with the requisite 60-day notices alleging that Defendant was in violation of  
13 Proposition 65 (the “Notices”). CEH’s Notices and the Complaint in this Action allege that  
14 Defendant exposes people who use or otherwise handle the Products to lead and/or lead compounds  
15 (referred to interchangeably herein as “Lead”), chemicals known to the State of California to cause  
16 cancer, birth defects and other reproductive harm, without first providing clear and reasonable  
17 warning to such persons regarding the carcinogenicity and reproductive toxicity of Lead. The  
18 Notices and Complaint allege that Defendant’s conduct violates Proposition 65, including Cal.  
19 Health & Safety Code §25249.6. Defendant disputes such allegations and asserts that all of its  
20 Products are safe and comply with all applicable laws, including Consumer Product Safety  
21 Commission and Federal Food and Drug Administration standards.

22                   **1.4**     Upon receipt of CEH’s Notices, Defendant took immediate measures to  
23 address the allegations set forth therein and to investigate the substance of CEH’s allegations.

24                   **1.5**     For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this  
25 Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of the violations alleged in CEH’s Complaint and  
26 personal jurisdiction over Settling Defendant as to the acts alleged in CEH’s Complaint, that venue  
27 is proper in the County of San Francisco, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent  
28 Judgment as a full and final resolution of all claims which were or could have been raised in the

1 Complaint and Notices based on the facts alleged therein.

2           **1.6**     The Parties enter into this Consent Judgment pursuant to a settlement of  
3 certain disputed claims between the Parties as alleged in the Notices and/or Complaint. By  
4 executing this Consent Judgment, the Parties do not admit any facts or conclusions of law. Nothing  
5 in this Consent Judgment is or shall be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact,  
6 conclusion of law, issue of law or violation of law, nor shall any act or omission related to this  
7 Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission of any fact, conclusion of law, issue  
8 of law, or violation of law.

9           **2. COMPLIANCE – REFORMULATION**

10           **2.1 Level.** After one hundred eighty (180) days of entry of this Consent  
11 Judgment (the “Compliance Date”), Defendant shall not manufacture, distribute, ship, or sell, or  
12 cause to be manufactured, distributed, or sold:

13           A) any Product that is comprised of any fabric with Lead concentrations exceeding 200 parts  
14 per million (“ppm”) or for which the exterior surface coating contains Lead  
15 concentrations exceeding 600 ppm, and

16           B) in addition to A above, for any Children’s Product as defined in the Consumer Products  
17 Safety Improvement Act of 2008 (the “Act”) with lead concentrations exceeding any  
18 more stringent levels required by the Act, as may from time to time be modified.

19 These standards are individually and collectively referred to herein as the “Reformulation  
20 Standard”. The Reformulation Standard shall only apply to materials that are accessible  
21 (“Materials”) and not to those materials that are not accessible through reasonably foreseeable use  
22 and abuse (“Non-Accessible Materials”). Consequently, the Reformulation Standard excludes Non-  
23 Accessible Materials.

24           **2.2 Certification of level from suppliers.** As of the Compliance Date,  
25 Defendant shall obtain written certification from its suppliers certifying that the Products do not  
26 contain Lead concentrations exceeding the Reformulation Standard. Such supplier certifications  
27 shall be obtained annually for three successive years. If Defendant begins purchasing Products  
28 from a new supplier, certifications shall be obtained annually from that supplier for three

1 consecutive years after the Settling Defendant's initial purchase order from that supplier.

2           **2.3 Testing.** In order to ensure compliance with the requirements of Section 2.1,  
3 each Settling Defendant shall conduct (or cause to be conducted) testing to confirm that the  
4 Products do not contain Lead concentrations exceeding the Reformulation Standard. All testing  
5 pursuant to this Section shall be performed by an independent laboratory in accordance with either  
6 EPA Method 3050B for Defendantric or ASTM F963 for exterior surface coatings (the "Test  
7 Protocol"). The results of all testing performed pursuant to this Section 2 shall be made available to  
8 CEH on a confidential basis, upon request.

9           **2.3.1 Random Testing.** Testing pursuant to this Section 2 shall be  
10 performed on randomly selected units in accordance with Defendant's usual testing practices.  
11 Defendant's usual testing practices include testing as required by their various retailers. At a  
12 minimum, during each calendar year, Defendant shall randomly select and test the greater of 0.1%  
13 (one-tenth of one percent) or two, but in no case more than four, of the total Products purchased  
14 from each supplier of the Products intended for sale in California.

15           **2.3.2 Products that exceed stipulated levels pursuant to Defendant's**  
16 **Testing.** If the results of the testing required pursuant to Section 2.3 show levels of lead exceeding  
17 the Reformulation Standard, the Settling Defendant shall: (1) refuse to accept all of the Products  
18 that were purchased under the particular purchase order; (2) send a notice to the supplier explaining  
19 that such Products do not comply with the supplier's certification; and (3) for the next two orders of  
20 Products intended for sale in California that are purchased from that supplier, randomly select and  
21 test the greater of 0.1% (one-tenth of one percent) or two, but in no case more than four, of the total  
22 Products purchased in each purchase order. Following those two orders, the Settling Defendant  
23 shall apply the testing frequency set forth in Section 2.3.1.

24           **2.4 Confirmatory testing by CEH.** CEH intends to conduct periodic testing of  
25 the Products. Any such testing will be conducted by CEH at an independent laboratory, in  
26 accordance with the Test Protocol. In the event that CEH's testing in accord with the Test Protocol  
27 demonstrates Lead levels in excess of the Reformulation Standard for two or more Products, CEH  
28 shall inform the Settling Defendant at issue of the test results, including information sufficient to

1 permit the Settling Defendant to identify the Product(s). Within thirty (30) days following such  
2 notice, the Settling Defendant shall provide CEH, at the address listed in Section 12, with the  
3 certification and testing information demonstrating its compliance with Sections 2.2 and 2.3 of this  
4 Consent Judgment. If the Settling Defendant fails to provide CEH with certification and testing  
5 information demonstrating that it complied with Sections 2.2 and/or 2.3, the Settling Defendant  
6 shall be liable for stipulated payments in lieu of penalties for Products for which CEH produces  
7 tests demonstrating Lead levels exceeding the Reformulation Standard, as set forth below. In  
8 addition, the Settling Defendant shall then apply the testing frequency set forth in Section 2.3.2 for  
9 the next two orders of Products from the supplier(s) of the Products at issue. The payments shall be  
10 made to CEH and used for the purposes described in Section 4.1.

11 **2.4.1 Stipulated Payments In Lieu of Penalties.** If stipulated payments in  
12 lieu of penalties are warranted under Section 2.4, the stipulated payment amount shall be as follows:

13	First Occurrence:	\$1,250
14	Second Occurrence:	\$1,500
15	Third Occurrence:	\$1,750
16	Thereafter:	\$2,500

17 Notwithstanding the foregoing, the maximum stipulated payment amount in a calendar year,  
18 regardless of the number of units of Defendant's Products tested by CEH with exceedances of the  
19 Lead levels set forth in this Consent Judgment, shall be \$3,500.

20 **2.4.2 Products in the stream of commerce.** Defendant's Products that  
21 have been manufactured, shipped, sold, or that are otherwise in the stream of commerce prior to the  
22 Compliance Date shall be released from any claims that were brought or that could have been  
23 brought by CEH in its Complaint, as though they were Covered Claims within the meaning of  
24 Section 8, below.

### 25 **3. SETTLEMENT PAYMENTS**

26 **3.1. Monetary Payment in Lieu of Penalty:** Defendant shall pay to CEH \$2,500  
27 in lieu of any penalty pursuant to Health and Safety Code §25249.7(b). CEH shall use such funds  
28 to continue its work protecting people from exposures to toxic chemicals. As part of this work,

1 CEH intends to conduct periodic testing of the Products as set forth in section 2.4.

2           **3.2 Attorneys' Fees and Costs:** Defendant shall pay \$7,500 to reimburse CEH  
3 and its attorneys for their reasonable investigation fees and costs, attorneys' fees, and any other  
4 costs incurred as a result of investigating, bringing this matter to Defendant' attention, litigating and  
5 negotiating a settlement in the public interest. The payment required under this section shall be  
6 made payable to the Lexington Law Group, LLP.

7           **3.3 Timing of payments.** All payments required under Sections 3.1 and 3.2  
8 above, shall be delivered to the Lexington Law Group at the address set forth in section 12 below  
9 within ten (10) days after this Consent Judgment is entered by the Court.

10           **4. MODIFICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT**

11           **4.1** This Consent Judgment may be modified by written agreement of CEH and  
12 Settling Defendant, or upon motion of CEH or Settling Defendant as provided by law.

13           **4.2** CEH intends to enter into agreements with other entities that manufacture,  
14 distribute and/or sell Products. Should Settling Defendant determine that the provisions of any such  
15 Consent Judgment with a similarly situated manufacturer or distributor of Products are less  
16 stringent, Settling Defendant may request a modification of this Consent Judgment to conform with  
17 the terms of the later entered Consent Judgment. Upon 30 days prior written notice of a Settling  
18 Defendant's request for a modification, CEH shall inform the Settling Defendant whether it will  
19 agree to such modification. If CEH does not agree, the Settling Defendant may move the Court for  
20 a modification pursuant to this Section.

21           **4.3** In the event that new legislation relating to lead content of the Products is  
22 enacted on either the federal or California state level, either party may seek a modification to  
23 conform the requirements of this Consent Judgment to such new requirements, provided that such  
24 requirements are either: (a) at least as restrictive as those set forth herein; or (b) completely  
25 preemptive of Proposition 65 as adjudged by final order of an appellate court. Should either party  
26 reasonably determine that a modification pursuant to this section is warranted, such party shall  
27 request a modification from the non-requesting party. Upon 30 days prior written notice of a  
28 request for a modification, the non-requesting party shall inform the requesting party whether it will

1 agree to such modification. If the non-requesting party does not agree, the requesting party may  
2 move the Court for a modification pursuant to this Section.

3 **5. ENFORCEMENT OF CONSENT JUDGMENT**

4 **5.1** CEH or Defendant may, by motion or application for an order to show cause,  
5 enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment. The prevailing Party on any  
6 motion or application shall be entitled to its reasonable investigation fees and costs, attorneys' fees,  
7 and any other costs associated with such motion or application.

8 **6. APPLICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT**

9 **6.1** This Consent Judgment shall apply to and be binding upon the Parties hereto,  
10 their divisions, subdivisions and subsidiaries, and the successors or assigns of any of them.

11 **7. CLAIMS COVERED**

12 **7.1** This Consent Judgment is a full, final and binding resolution between CEH  
13 and Settling Defendant of any violation of Proposition 65 that was or could have been asserted in  
14 the Notices or Complaint against Defendant (including any claims that could be asserted in  
15 connection with any of the Products covered by this Consent Judgment) or its parents, subsidiaries,  
16 affiliates, directors, officers, employees, agents, attorneys, manufacturers, licensors distributors,  
17 retailers, or customers (collectively, "Defendant Releasees") based on failure to warn about alleged  
18 Proposition 65 exposures, with respect to any Products manufactured, distributed or sold by  
19 Defendant ("Covered Claims") on or prior to the Compliance Date. Compliance with the terms of  
20 this Consent Judgment constitutes compliance with Proposition 65 for purposes of Lead exposures  
21 from the Products.

22 **7.2** CEH hereby releases all manufacturers, retailers, distributors, and licensors  
23 of Defendant's Products from any claims related to the Notices, the Complaint and this Judgment  
24 and CEH agrees to dismiss any such claims that it has asserted or could assert against any  
25 manufacturers, retailers, distributors, or licensors of Defendant's Products. As to each of  
26 Defendant's manufacturers, retailers, distributors, or licensors which have not been served with a  
27 60-day Notice letter or a complaint by CEH, CEH agrees not to serve a 60-day notice on said  
28 manufacturers, retailer, distributor, or licensor which includes within its scope, whether directly or

1 indirectly, any of Defendant's Products provided that such Products are in compliance with the  
2 terms of this Consent Judgment. For purposes of the release provided in this Section, the term  
3 "manufacturer, retailer, distributor, or licensor" shall include their respective related entities,  
4 predecessors, successors, assigns, parents, subsidiaries, affiliates, officers, partners, directors,  
5 stockholders, shareholders, attorneys, representatives, agents and employees, past, present and  
6 future.

7 **8. SEVERABILITY**

8 **8.1** In the event that any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment are held by a  
9 court to be unenforceable, the validity of the enforceable provisions shall not be adversely affected.

10 **9. GOVERNING LAW**

11 **9.1** The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of  
12 California.

13 **10. CONSENT JUDGMENT TERMINATION AND RETENTION OF**  
14 **JURISDICTION**

15 **10.1** This Consent Judgment will automatically terminate and be of no further  
16 force, validity or affect as of August 1, 2013.

17 **10.2** This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement and enforce  
18 the terms this Consent Judgment, while it is in effect.

19 **11. PROVISION OF NOTICE**

20 **11.1** All notices required pursuant to this Consent Judgment and correspondence  
21 shall be sent to the following:

22 For CEH:

23 Mark N. Todzo  
24 Lexington Law Group, LLP  
25 1627 Irving Street  
26 San Francisco, CA 94122

27 For Defendant:

28 Richard Drake

1 Drake, Loeb, Heller, Kennedy, Gogerty, Gaba and Rodd PLLC

2 555 Hudson Valley Ave, Suite 100

3 New Windsor, NY 12553

4 **12. COURT APPROVAL**

5 **12.1** CEH will comply with the settlement notice provisions of Health and Safety  
6 Code § 25249.7(f) and Title 11 of the California Code of Regulations § 3003.

7 **12.2** If this Consent Judgment is not approved by the Court, it shall be of no  
8 further force or effect. If this Consent Judgment is appealed, with the exception of the injunctive  
9 relief provisions in Section 2, above, which remain in effect during any appeal, it does not become  
10 effective and has no force or effect until all issues on appeal are resolved.

11 **13. EXECUTION AND COUNTERPARTS**


12 **13.1** The stipulations to this Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts  
13 and by means of facsimile, which taken together shall be deemed to constitute one document.

14 **14. AUTHORIZATION**

15 **14.1** Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully  
16 authorized by the Party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment and to enter into  
17 and execute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the Party represented and legally bind that Party.  
18 The undersigned have read, understand and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this Consent  
19 Judgment. Except as explicitly provided herein, each Party is to bear its own fees and costs.

20  
21 **AGREED TO:**

22 CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH

23  
24   
25 \_\_\_\_\_  
Charlie Pizarro, Assistant Director  
Center for Environmental Health

26  
27 Dated: 02/03/09

28 L'EGENT INTERNATIONAL LTD.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

Sara A. Schoonmaker

Dated: 2/2/09

SARA A. SCHOONMAKER  
Printed Name ASST. SECRETARY

**ORDER AND JUDGMENT**

Based upon the stipulated Consent Judgment between CEH and L'egent International Ltd., the settlement is approved and the clerk is hereby directed to enter the Consent Judgment in accordance with the terms herein.

APR 28 2009

CHARLOTTE WALTER WOOLARD

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
Judge, Superior Court of the State of California

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28