LEXINGTON LAW GROUP, LLP Mark N. Todzo, State Bar No. 168389 Eric S. Somers, State Bar No. 139050 Howard Hirsch, State Bar No. 213209 OCT - 9 2009 1627 Irving Street 3 San Francisco, CA 94122 KIM TURNER, Court Executive Officer MARIN COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT Telephone: (415) 759-4111 Facsimile: (415) 759-4112 4 By: J. Dale, Deputy 5 Attorneys for Plaintiff CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL 6 **HEALTH** 7 8 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 9 COUNTY OF MARIN 10 11 CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH,) Case No. CV-081722 12 Plaintiff, [PROPOSED] AMENDED CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO DEFENDANT 13 MINKA LIGHTING, INC. v. 14 AMERICAN-DE ROSA LAMPARTS, INC., et 15 Defendants. 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

- 1.1 On April 9, 2008, plaintiff Center for Environmental Health ("Plaintiff"), acting in the public interest, filed a complaint in Marin County Superior Court, entitled *Center for Environmental Health v. Minka Lighting, Inc., et al.*, Marin County Superior Court Case Number CV081722 (the "Action"), for civil penalties and injunctive relief pursuant to the provisions of California Health & Safety Code §25249.5 *et seq.* ("Proposition 65").
- 1.2 Minka Lighting, Inc. (identified herein as "Minka") is a corporation that employs 10 or more persons and that manufactured, distributed and/or sold light fixtures in the State of California. Plaintiff and Minka are referred to collectively herein as the "Parties."
- Product" shall mean any lighting fixture that contains lead solder or other lead-containing materials in a manner such that the lead would be touched during ordinary installation, cleaning, maintenance, or use. For purposes of this definition, a "fixture" is any piece of lighting equipment (including all component parts thereof) that has been attached to the inside or outside of a building or otherwise attached to real estate.
- 1.4 On or about January 8, 2008, Plaintiff served Minka and the appropriate public enforcement agencies, including the California Attorney General, the District Attorneys of every county in California, and the City Attorneys of every California city with a population greater than 750,000, with the requisite 60-day notice that Minka was in violation of Proposition 65. Plaintiff's notice and the Complaint in this Action allege that Minka exposes individuals who use or otherwise handle the Covered Products to lead and/or lead compounds (referred to interchangeably herein as "Lead"), chemicals known to the State of California to cause cancer, birth defects and other reproductive harm, without first providing clear and reasonable warning to such persons regarding the carcinogenicity and reproductive toxicity of Lead. The notice and Complaint allege that Minka's conduct violates Health & Safety Code §25249.6, the warning provision of Proposition 65.
- 1.5 On October 29, 2008, the Court approved a Consent Judgment between Plaintiff and Minka that resolved Plaintiff's claims against Minka in the Action. Section 4.1 of

 the Consent Judgment provides, "This Consent Judgment may be modified by written agreement of Plaintiff and Minka, or upon motion of Plaintiff or Minka as provided by law." The parties have agreed to a modification of the Consent Judgment, as reflected in this Amended Consent Judgment, that will: (a) provide Minka with the option of providing a clear and reasonable warning regarding the presence of Lead in the Products instead of reformulating the Products; and (b) require Minka to pay an additional \$12,500 to CEH.

- 1.6 For purposes of this Amended Consent Judgment only, the parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of the violations alleged in the Complaint and personal jurisdiction over Minka as to the acts alleged in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of Marin, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Amended Consent Judgment as a full and final resolution of all claims which were or could have been raised in the Complaint against Minka based on the facts alleged therein.
- settlement of certain disputed claims between the Parties as alleged in the Complaint. By executing this Amended Consent Judgment, the Parties do not admit any facts or conclusions of law. It is the Parties' intent that nothing in this Amended Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law or violation of law, nor shall compliance with the Amended Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law. Nothing in this Amended Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive or impair any right, remedy, argument or defense the Parties may have in this or any other or future legal proceedings.

2. COMPLIANCE

2.1 For purposes of this Amended Consent Judgment only, the Reformulation Standard means that: (a) the solder used on the Covered Products contains no more than 200 parts per million ("ppm") Lead; and (b) any other component of the Covered Products that is likely to be touched, contacted or handled by a Covered Product user during ordinary installation, cleaning, maintenance, or use of the Covered Products, including but not limited to the glass plates and metal frames of the Covered Products, contain no more than 600 ppm Lead.

- 2.2 As of the date of entry of this Amended Consent Judgment (the "Compliance Date"), Minka shall use its best efforts to ensure that any Covered Products it manufactures, distributes, ships, or sells, or causes to be manufactured, distributed, shipped or sold, do not contain Lead in concentrations that exceed the Reformulation Standard. However, Minka shall not be under any obligation to meet the Reformulation Standard with respect to the Covered Products that comply with the requirements of Section 2.3 below.
- 2.3 As of the Compliance Date, Minka shall not manufacture, distribute, ship, or sell, or cause to be manufactured, distributed, shipped or sold, any Covered Product that contains Lead in concentrations that exceed the Reformulation Standard unless:
- (A) Such Covered Product bears a label containing the following warning language:
 - "WARNING! This lighting fixture contains lead, a chemical known to cause cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harm. Wash hands with soap and water after installing, handling, cleaning or otherwise touching this light fixture."
- (B) Minka has provided written notification to the person or entity to whom the Covered Product is sold or distributed for sale that such person or entity must not remove, deface or obscure the warning required by this section; and
- (C) The warning on the Covered Product states that the person or entity to whom the Covered Product is sold or distributed for sale shall not remove, deface or obscure the Warning Statement.
- 2.4 The warning statement required by section 2.3 shall be prominently displayed on the front of the outside of the packaging, and shall be displayed in a separate outlined box set apart from any other print and that contains no other language. The warning must be displayed with such conspicuousness, as compared with other words, statements, or designs as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual. The warning statement shall not be preceded, followed, or surrounded by words, symbols, or other matter that reduces its conspicuousness or that introduces, modifies, qualifies, or explains the required text,

such as "legal notice required by law."

- 2.5 Certification of level from suppliers. For any Covered Products for which Minka is not providing the warning statement required by section 2.3, Minka shall obtain written certification with corresponding test results from its suppliers of the Covered Products certifying that the Covered Products meet the Reformulation Standard.
- 2.6 Plaintiff's Confirmatory Testing. Plaintiff may, at its discretion, conduct periodic testing of the Covered Products. Any such testing will be conducted pursuant to the Test Protocol attached hereto as Exhibit A at an independent laboratory. In the event that Plaintiff's testing demonstrates Lead levels in excess of the Reformulation Standard for one or more Covered Products that do not contain the warning statement required by section 2.3, Plaintiff shall inform Minka of the violation(s), including information sufficient to permit Minka to identify the Covered Product(s). Plaintiff and Minka shall then meet and confer in an attempt to informally resolve the alleged violation. Should the parties be unable to informally resolve the alleged violation within 30 days, Plaintiff may thereafter file a motion to enforce this Amended Consent Judgment pursuant to Section 5.
- 2.7 Stipulated Penalties. In addition to any other remedies provided by law, Minka shall be liable for stipulated penalties if it fails to provide a warning statement for a Covered Product that exceeds the Reformulation Standard. The stipulated penalty shall be as follows for each sale of Covered Product for which Plaintiff produces a test result with Lead levels exceeding the Reformulation Standard and evidence that the Covered Product did not include a warning statement:

First Occurrence:

\$1000

Second Occurrence:

\$1500

Third Occurrence:

\$2000

25 Thereafter:

\$2,500

For purposes of this section 2.7, a "sale" and an "occurrence" shall mean a sales transaction by Minka with a specific customer after the Compliance Date regardless of the number of units that were sold in such particular sales transaction. Submission of multiple test results for the same

style of product sold pursuant to a single purchase order shall be considered a single sale and a single occurrence. Minka shall not be in violation of this Amended Consent Judgment if the product for which Plaintiff may produce a noncompliant test result was manufactured, distributed, shipped or sold by Minka before the date of service of the 60-day notice described in paragraph 1.4.

3. SETTLEMENT PAYMENTS

- Judgment, within 20 days of the Court's entry of this Amended Consent Judgment, Minka shall pay the sum of \$12,500 as a settlement payment. This total shall be paid in two separate checks delivered to the offices of the Lexington Law Group, LLP at the address set forth in section 12 below and made payable and allocated as follows. Any failure by Minka to comply with the payment terms herein shall be subject to a stipulated late fee in the amount of \$100 for each day after the delivery date the payment is received. The late fees required under this section shall be recoverable, together with reasonable attorneys' fees, in an enforcement proceeding brought pursuant to section 5 of this Amended Consent Judgment.
- 3.1.1 Monetary Payment in Lieu of Penalty: \$4,150 shall be paid to CEH in lieu of any penalty pursuant to Health and Safety Code § 25249.7(b). This payment shall be made by check payable to Center for Environmental Health. CEH shall use such funds to continue its work protecting people from exposures to toxic chemicals. As part of this work, CEH intends to conduct periodic testing of the Products as set forth in section 2.6.
- 3.1.2 Attorneys' Fees and Costs: \$8,350 shall be used to reimburse CEH and its attorneys for their reasonable investigation fees and costs, attorneys' fees, and any other costs incurred as a result of investigating, bringing this matter to Minka's attention, litigating and negotiating a settlement in the public interest. This payment shall be made by check payable to Lexington Law Group, LLP.

4. MODIFICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

4.1 This Amended Consent Judgment may be modified by written agreement of Plaintiff and Minka, or upon motion of Plaintiff or Minka as provided by law.

5. ENFORCEMENT OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

5.1 Plaintiff may, by motion or application for an order to show cause before the Superior Court of the County of Marin, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Amended Consent Judgment. Should Plaintiff prevail on any motion or application under this section, Plaintiff shall be entitled to its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs associated with such motion or application.

6. APPLICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

6.1 This Amended Consent Judgment shall apply to and be binding upon the parties hereto, their divisions, subdivisions and subsidiaries, and the successors or assigns of any of them.

7. CLAIMS COVERED

7.1 This Amended Consent Judgment is a full, final and binding resolution between Plaintiff and Minka of any violation of Proposition 65 that could have been asserted against Minka in the Complaint based on Minka's failure to warn about exposure to Lead contained in the Covered Products, with respect to any Covered Products manufactured, distributed or sold by Minka on or prior to the date of entry of this Amended Consent Judgment. This release does not limit or effect the obligations of any party created under this Amended Consent Judgment.

8. SEVERABILITY

8.1 In the event that any of the provisions of this Amended Consent Judgment are held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the enforceable provisions shall not be adversely affected.

9. SPECIFIC PERFORMANCE

9.1 The parties expressly recognize that Minka's obligations under this

Amended Consent Judgment are unique. In the event that Minka is found to be in breach of this

Amended Consent Judgment for failure to comply with the provisions of Section 2 hereof, the

parties agree that it would be extremely impracticable to measure the resulting damages and that

1	such breach w	ould cause irreparable damage. Accordingly, Plaintiff, in addition to any other
2	available right	s or remedies, may sue in equity for specific performance, and Minka expressly
3	waives the de	ense that a remedy in damages will be adequate.
4	10.	GOVERNING LAW
5		10.1 The terms of this Amended Consent Judgment shall be governed by the
6	laws of the St	ate of California.
7	11.	RETENTION OF JURISDICTION
8		11.1 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement and enforce
9	the terms this	Amended Consent Judgment.
10	12.	PROVISION OF NOTICE
11		12.1 All notices required pursuant to this Amended Consent Judgment and
12	corresponden	ce shall be sent to the following:
13	For Plaintiff:	
14		Howard Hirsch
15		Lexington Law Group, LLP 1627 Irving Street San Francisco, CA 94122
16		San Francisco, CA 94122
17	For Minka:	
18		Minka Lighting, Inc.
19		Attn: Peter Decsy 1151 Bradford Court
20	XXX*.1	Corona, CA 92882
21	With a copy	
22		Gregory P. Goonan The Affinity Law Group APC
23		600 West Broadway, Suite 400 San Diego, CA 92101-3352
24	13.	COURT APPROVAL
25		13.1 If this Amended Consent Judgment is not approved by the Court, it shall
26	be of no furth	ner force or effect.
27	14.	EXECUTION AND COUNTERPARTS
28		14.1 The stimulations to this Amended Consent Judgment may be executed in

1	counterparts and by means of facsimile, which taken together shall be deemed to constitute one			
2	document			
3	15. AUTHORIZATION			
4	15.1 Each signatory to this Amended Consent Judgment certifies that he or she			
5	is fully authorized by the party he or she represents to stipulate to this Amended Consent			
6	Judgment and to enter into and execute the Amended Consent Judgment on behalf of the party			
7	represented and legally bind that party. The undersigned have read, understand and agree to all			
8	of the terms and conditions of this Amended Consent Judgment. Except as explicitly provided			
9	herein, each party is to bear its own fees and costs.			
10	AGREED TO:			
11	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH			
12	Market Dated: 10/5/09			
13 14	Michael Green, Executive Director Center for Environmental Health			
15 16	MINKA LIGHTING, INC.			
17				
18				
19	Printed Name			
20				
21	Title Dated:			
22				
23	,,			
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				

1	counterparts and by means of facsimile, which taken together shall be deemed to constitute one
2	document.
3	15. AUTHORIZATION
4	15.1 Each signatory to this Amended Consent Judgment certifies that he or she
5	is fully authorized by the party he or she represents to stipulate to this Amended Consent
6	Judgment and to enter into and execute the Amended Consent Judgment on behalf of the party
7	represented and legally bind that party. The undersigned have read, understand and agree to all
8	of the terms and conditions of this Amended Consent Judgment. Except as explicitly provided
9	herein, each party is to bear its own fees and costs.
10	AGREED TO:
11	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH
12	
13	Dated:
14	Michael Green, Executive Director Center for Environmental Health
15	MINKA LIGHTING, INC.
16	MINKA LIGHTING, INC.
17	\mathcal{Q}
18	Paren Neces
19	Printed Name
20	VPGM Dated: 91809
21	Title
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

ORDER AND JUDGMENT Based upon the stipulated Amended Consent Judgment between Plaintiff and Minka, the settlement is approved and judgment is hereby entered according to the terms herein. OCT - 9 2009 Dated: _ VERNA ADAMS Judge, Superior Court of the State of California