1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	WILLIAM VERICK (SBN 140972) Klamath Environmental Law Center FREDRIC EVENSON (SBN 198059) 424 First Street Eureka, CA 95501 Telephone: (707) 268-8900 Facsimile: (707) 268-8901 wverick@igc.org ecorights@earthlink.net  DAVID H. WILLIAMS (SBN 144479) BRIAN ACREE (SBN 202505) 370 Grand Avenue, Suite 5 Oakland, CA 94610 Telephone: (510) 271-0826 Facsimile: (510) 271-0829 davidwilliams@earthlink.net brianacree@earthlink.net  Attorneys for Plaintiff Mateel Environmental Justice Foundation	nath Environmental Law Center DRIC EVENSON (SBN 198059) First Street ka, CA 95501 phone: (707) 268-8900 imile: (707) 268-8901 rick@igc.org ights@earthlink.net  /ID H. WILLIAMS (SBN 144479) AN ACREE (SBN 202505) Grand Avenue, Suite 5 land, CA 94610 phone: (510) 271-0826 imile: (510) 271-0829 dwilliams@earthlink.net  rneys for Plaintiff Mateel Environmental					
14	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA						
15	COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO						
16							
17	MATEEL ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE Case No.: CGC-08-480590 FOUNDATION,						
18	Plaintiff,	**************************************					
19	vs.	[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT					
. 20	L.D. KICHLER COMPANY,						
21	<b>5</b> .						
22	Defendant.						
23	1 INTRODUCTION						
24	1. INTRODUCTION						
25	1.1 On November 8, 2007, the MATEEL ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE						
26	FOUNDATION ("Plaintiff" or "MEJF") acting on behalf of itself and the general public, filed a						
27	Complaint for civil penalties and injunctive relief ("Complaint") in San Francisco Superior Court,						
28	Case No. CGC-08-480590, against defendant L.D. Kichler Company ("Defendant"). The						
BUCHALTER NEMER	BN 4785724v1 [CONSENT JUDGMENT [PROPOSED]]						
	[CONSENT JODGMENT [TROPOSED]]						

BUCHALTER NEMER

Complaint alleges, among other things, that Defendant violated provisions of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, Health and Safety Code Sections 25249.5, et seq. (Proposition 65) by failing to give clear and reasonable warnings to those residents of California who handle and use stained glass lamps that utilize lead solder, lead came and other leaded parts, (hereinafter "Leaded Stained Glass Lamps"), that handling and use of these products causes those residents to be exposed to lead and/or lead compounds. The Complaint was based upon a 60-Day Notice letter, dated March 12, 2008, sent by MEJF to Defendant, the California Attorney General, all District Attorneys, and all City Attorneys with populations exceeding 750,000 ("Notice Letter").

- ten persons, and manufactures, markets or sells Leaded Stained Glass Lamps that allegedly contain lead and/or lead compounds. Pursuant to Health and Safety Code Section 25249.8, lead and lead compounds are known to the State of California to cause cancer and reproductive toxicity. Plaintiff MEJF alleges that Leaded Stained Glass Lamps that are marketed or sold by Defendant for use in California requires a Proposition 65 warning pursuant to Health and Safety Code Section 25249.6. Defendant denies that a warning is required. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Complaint and personal jurisdiction over Defendant as to the acts alleged in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of San Francisco, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment as a full settlement and resolution of the allegations contained in the Complaint and of all claims which were or could have been raised by any person or entity based in whole or in part, directly or indirectly, on the facts alleged therein or arising there from or related to.
- 1.3 This Consent Judgment resolves claims that are denied and disputed. The parties enter into this Consent Judgment pursuant to a full and final settlement of any and all claims between the parties for the purpose of avoiding prolonged litigation. This Consent Judgment shall not constitute an admission with respect to any material allegation of the Complaint, each and every allegation of which Defendant denies, nor may this Consent Judgment or compliance with BN 4785724v1

it be used as evidence of any wrongdoing, misconduct, culpability or liability on the part of Defendant.

- 1.4 For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term "Covered Products" means stained glass lamps that utilize lead solder, lead came and other leaded parts manufactured, marketed or offered for sale by Defendant.
  - 1.5 The term "Effective Date" means 90 days after entry of this Consent Judgment.

#### 2. SETTLEMENT PAYMENT

- 2.1 Defendant shall pay \$25,000 to the Klamath Environmental Law Center ("KELC") to cover Plaintiff's attorneys' fees and costs. Additionally, Defendant shall pay \$3,750 to the Ecological Rights Foundation, and \$3,750 to Californians for Alternatives to Toxics, for use toward reducing exposures to toxic chemicals and other pollutants, and toward increasing consumer, worker and community awareness of health hazards posed by lead and other toxic chemicals. The above described payments shall be forwarded by Defendant so that they are received at least 5 days prior to the hearing date scheduled for approval of this Consent Judgment. If the Consent Judgment is not approved within 120 days of the date scheduled for approval, the above described payments shall be returned and the provisions of this Consent judgment shall become null and void.
- 2.2 Defendant shall not be required to pay a civil penalty pursuant to Health and Safety Code Section 25249.7(b).

## 3. ENTRY OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

3.1 The parties hereby request that the Court promptly enter this Consent Judgment. Upon entry of the Consent Judgment, Defendant and MEJF waive their respective rights to a hearing or trial on the allegations of the Complaint.

## 4. MATTERS COVERED BY THIS CONSENT JUDGMENT

4.1 This Consent Judgment is a final and binding resolution, as to Covered Products, between MEJF, acting on behalf of itself, its parents, subsidiaries, affiliates, officers, directors, employees and agents\_and (as to those matters raised in the Notice Letter) the general public, and Defendant of: (i) any violation of Proposition 65 (including but not limited to the claims made in BN 4785724v1

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

the Complaint); and (ii) any other statutory or common law claim to the fullest extent that any of the foregoing described in (i) or (ii) were or could have been asserted by any person or entity against Defendant or its parents, subsidiaries or affiliates, and all of their suppliers, customers, distributors, wholesalers, retailers, or any other person in the course of doing business, and the successors and assigns of any of them, who may use, maintain, distribute or sell Covered Products ("Released Entities"), based on its or their exposure of persons to lead or lead compounds from Covered Products or their failure to provide a clear and reasonable warning of exposure to such individuals; and (iii) as to alleged exposures to lead or lead compounds from Covered Products, any other claim based in whole or in part on the facts alleged in the Complaint, whether based on actions committed by the Released Entities or others. As to alleged exposures to lead or lead compounds from Covered Products, compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment resolves any issue, now and in the future, concerning compliance by Defendant and the Released Entities, with the requirements of Proposition 65 with respect to Covered Products, and any alleged resulting exposure. Notwithstanding any other provision in Section 4, no releases on behalf of the general public shall be construed to extend to claims concerning chemicals listed under Proposition 65 other than lead and/or lead compounds or to claims not arising out of allegations in the Notice Letter.

4.2 As to alleged exposures to lead or lead compounds from Covered Products, MEJF, by and on behalf of itself and its respective agents, successors and assigns, waives any and all rights to institute any form of legal action, and releases all claims against Defendant and the Released Entities, and all of their respective parents, subsidiaries or affiliates, and all of their suppliers, customers, distributors, wholesalers, retailers, or any other person in the course of doing business, and the successors and assigns of any of them, who may use, maintain, distribute or sell the Covered Products, whether, under Proposition 65 or otherwise, arising out of or resulting from, or related directly or indirectly to, in whole or in part, the Covered Products, including but not limited to any exposure to, or failure to warn with respect to, the Covered Products that was or could have been alleged by Plaintiff against any of the Released Entities based on the facts alleged in the Complaint, or facts similar to those alleged (referred to BN 4785724v)

collectively in this paragraph as the "Claims"). In furtherance of the foregoing, as to alleged exposures to lead and lead compounds from Covered Products, MEJF hereby waives any and all rights and benefits which it now has, or in the future may have, conferred upon it with respect to the Claims by virtue of the provisions of section 1542 of the California Civil Code, which provides as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF KNOWN BY HIM, MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR.

4.3 MEJF understands and acknowledges that the significance and consequence of this waiver of California Civil Code section 1542 is that even if MEJF suffers future damages arising out of or resulting from, or related directly or indirectly to, in whole or in part, the Covered Products, including but not limited to any exposure to, or failure to warn with respect to exposure to, lead or lead compounds from Covered Products, MEJF will not be able to make any claim for those damages against Defendant or the Released Entities; provided however, Plaintiff cannot and expressly does not release any claims for personal injury that could be brought by any other individual or organization. Furthermore, MEJF acknowledges that it intends these consequences for any such Claims as may exist as of the date of this release but which MEJF does not know exist, and which, if known, would materially affect their decision to enter into this Consent Judgment, regardless of whether their lack of knowledge is the result of ignorance, oversight, error, negligence, or any other cause.

### 5. ENFORCEMENT OF JUDGMENT

5.1 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be enforced exclusively by the parties hereto. The parties may, by noticed motion or order to show cause before the Superior Court of San Francisco County, giving the notice required by law, enforce the terms and conditions contained herein.

## 6. MODIFICATION OF JUDGMENT

6.1 This Consent Judgment may be modified only upon written agreement of the

BN 4785724v1

BN 4785724v1

BUCHALTER NEMER

parties and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court thereon, or upon motion of any party as provided by law and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court.

### 7. CLEAR AND REASONABLE WARNINGS

- 7.1 The requirements of this Section 7 shall apply only to Covered Products that are offered for sale in California by Defendant after the Effective Date. Defendant shall provide a warning for all Covered Products that do not meet the reformulation standard set forth in Section 8 below, and that are offered for sale in California.
  - 7.2 The warning shall state:

"WARNING: This product contains chemicals, including lead, known to the State of California to cause birth defects and other reproductive harm. Wash hands after handling" or;

WARNING: Handling this product will expose you to lead, a chemical known to the State of California to cause birth defects and other reproductive harm. Wash hands after handling."

The word "WARNING" shall be in bold text, and the phrase "Wash hands after handling" shall be in bold italic text.

7.3 The warning statements shall be affixed to or printed on the instructions which accompany the Covered Product on a going-forward basis, as the instructions for specific products are changed or revised by Defendant from time to time in the normal course of its business. The warning shall be prominently affixed to or printed on the instructions and displayed with such conspicuousness, as compared with other words, statements, designs, or devices on the instructions, as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual under customary conditions of purchase or use.

## 8. REFORMULATION STANDARD

A representative sample of the materials used to manufacture the Covered Products shall have been tested for lead, and must have shown lead content by weight of less than 0.06% (600 parts per million "600 ppm"), using a test method of sufficient sensitivity to establish a limit of quantification (as distinguished from detection) of less than 600 ppm.

# 9. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

9.1 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement and enforce the terms this Consent Judgment.

### 10. AUTHORITY TO STIPULATE

10.1 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the party he or she represents to enter into this Consent Judgment and to execute it on behalf of the party represented and legally to bind that party.

### 11. DUTIES LIMITED TO CALIFORNIA

11.1 This Consent Judgment shall have no effect on Covered Products sold by Defendant outside the State of California.

### 12. SERVICE ON THE ATTORNEY GENERAL

12.1 KELC shall serve a copy of this Consent Judgment, signed by all parties, on the California Attorney General on behalf of the parties so that the Attorney General may review this Consent Judgment prior to its submittal to the Court for approval. No sooner than forty five (45) days after the Attorney General has received the aforementioned copy of this Consent Judgment, and in the absence of any written objection by the Attorney General to the terms of this Consent Judgment, the parties may then submit it to the Court for approval.

### 13. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

13.1 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments and understandings related hereto. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those contained herein have been made by any party hereto. No other agreements not specifically referred to herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the parties.

### 14. GOVERNING LAW

14.1 The validity, construction and performance of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California, without reference to any conflicts of law provisions of California law.

BN 4785724v1

1	15.	5. EXECUTION AND COUNTERPARTS											
2		15.1	This	Consent	Judgment	maybe	executed	in	counterpart	s and	by	means	of
3	facsin	nile, wh	nich tak	en togethe	er shall be o	deemed t	o constitut	e on	e document.				
4	16.	COURT APPROVAL											
5		16.1	If thi	s Consent	Judgment,	in its en	tirety, is n	ot ap	proved by the	ne Cov	ırt, it	shall be	e of
6	no fo	rce or e	ffect, a	nd cannot	be used in	any proc	eeding for	any	purpose.				
7	17.	NOT	NOTICES										
8		17.1 Any notices under this Consent Judgment shall be by personal delivery of First											
9	Class	Mail.											
10			If to	MEJF:			iam Veric						
11	l						nath Envir First Stree		ental Law C	!enter			
12						Eure	ka, CA 95	5501					
13			If to	L.D. Kich	iler Co.:		hael L. Wa hael B. Fis		ell				
14						Buc	halter Nen	ner					
15							0 Wilshire Angeles, (		d. 90017-2457				
16													
17	IT IS	SO ST	IPULA	TED:									
18	Dated	<b>i</b> :							ENVIRON	1ENT.	ALJ	USTIC	E
19		FOUNDATION											
20	Brillem Vlice Lo												
21							<u> </u>	WI	LLIAM VEI	RICK		<u> </u>	<del></del> -
22													
23													
24													
25													
26													
27													
28													

BUCHALTER NEMER
A PEDIESSIONAL COSTORATION
SAN FRANCISCO

BN 4785724vl

8

:	Dated: 1 19 2010	L.D. KICHLER COMPANY  By:  Its:  V. P.			
	IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECRI	EED:			
(	DATED: JAN 22 ZUIU	PAHI H ALVARADO			
7	1	PAUL H. ALVARADO JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT			
{	İ				
9					
10	Í				
12					
13					
14	Î				
15					
16	}				
17					
18					
19					
20					
21	i				
22					
23					
24					
25					
26 27					
28					
BUCHALTER NEMER	BN 4785724vi 9				
SAN FRANCISCO	[CONSENT JUDGMENT [PROPOSED]]				