1 2 3 4 5 6	LEXINGTON LAW GROUP, LLP Mark N. Todzo, State Bar No. 168389 Eric S. Somers, State Bar No. 139050 Howard Hirsch, State Bar No. 213209 1627 Irving Street San Francisco, CA 94122 Telephone: (415) 759-4111 Facsimile: (415) 759-4112 Attorneys for Plaintiff CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH	MAY 18 2009 KIM TURNER COURT Executive Officer MARIN COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT By: K. Main, Deputy			
7 8					
9	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA				
10	COUNTY O	F MARIN			
11					
12	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH,)	Core No. CV 002/70			
13	a non-profit corporation,	Ham			
14 15	Plaintiff,)	[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT RE: BABY BOOM CONSUMER PRODUCTS, INC., BETESH GROUP HOLDING CORPORATION, AND			
16	· · .	WILLIAM CARTER COMPANY			
17	BABY BOOM CONSUMER PRODUCTS,) INC.; BETESH GROUP HOLDING				
18	CORPORATION; DOLLY, INC.;) EASTSPORT, INC.; THE FIRST YEARS,				
19	INC.; INFANTINO, LLC; KALENCOM) CORPORATION; LEARNING CURVE)				
20	BRANDS, INC.; RC2 BRANDS, INC.; STEP2) COMPANY, LLC; WILLIAM CARTER)				
21	COMPANY; and Defendant DOES 1 through) 200, inclusive,				
22					
23	Defendants.)				
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					
- 11					

1. INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 On July 29, 2008, Center for Environmental Health ("CEH"), a non-profit corporation acting in the public interest, filed a complaint in Marin County Superior Court entitled *Center for Environmental Health v. Baby Boom Consumer Products, Inc., et al.*, Marin County Superior Court Case Number CV-08-83678 (the "Action"), for civil penalties and injunctive relief pursuant to the provisions of Cal. Health & Safety Code § 25249.5, *et seq.* ("Proposition 65"). Baby Boom Consumer Products, Inc., Betesh Group Holding Corporation, and William Carter Company are named defendants in the Action.
- 1.2 Baby Boom Consumer Products, Inc., Betesh Group Holding Corporation, and William Carter Company (collectively referred to herein as "Defendant") are corporations that employ 10 or more persons and manufacture, distribute and/or sell vinyl lining and pads in infant accessory bags, including but not limited to bags for breast pumps, baby bottles, and pacifiers, diaper bags, and stroller bags (the "Products"), in California.
- enforcement agencies with a 60-day notice (the "Notice") alleging that Defendant is in violation of Proposition 65. CEH's Notice and the Complaint in this Action allege that Defendant distributes and/or sells the Products made of material that contain lead and/or lead compounds (referred to interchangeably herein as "Lead"), chemicals known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth defects or other reproductive toxicity, without first providing clear and reasonable warning to such persons regarding the carcinogenicity and reproductive toxicity of Lead. The Notice and the Complaint allege that Defendant's conduct violates Health & Safety Code § 25249.6, the warning provision of Proposition 65.
- 1.4 The Parties enter into this Consent Judgment for the purpose of avoiding prolonged and costly litigation regarding Products manufactured, distributed and/or sold by Defendant. By executing this Consent Judgment, the Parties do not admit any facts or conclusions of law. It is the Parties' intent that nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law or violation of law, nor shall compliance with the Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an

admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law.

Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive or impair any right, remedy, argument or defense the Parties may have in this or any other or future legal proceedings.

1.5 For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of the violations alleged in CEH's Complaint and personal jurisdiction over Defendant as to the acts alleged in CEH's Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of Marin, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment as a full and final resolution of all claims which were or could have been raised in the Complaint based on the facts alleged therein.

2. COMPLIANCE - REFORMULATION

- 2.1 Level. Defendant shall not manufacture, distribute, ship, or sell, or cause to be manufactured, distributed, or sold, any Product with Lead concentrations exceeding the following Reformulation Standard: (a) within ninety (90) days of entry of this Consent Judgment (the "Compliance Date"), 200 parts per million ("ppm"); and (b) within three years after the Compliance Date, 100 ppm.
- 2.2 Certification of Level from Suppliers. Defendant shall obtain written certification from its suppliers of the Products certifying that the Products do not contain Lead concentrations exceeding the Reformulation Standard. Such supplier certifications shall be obtained annually for three successive years. If Defendant begins purchasing Products from a new supplier, certifications shall be obtained annually from that supplier for three consecutive years after the Defendant's initial purchase order from that supplier.
- 2.3 Testing. In order to help ensure compliance with the requirements of Section 2.1, Defendant shall conduct or cause to be conducted testing to confirm that the Products do not Lead concentrations exceeding the Reformulation Standard. All testing pursuant to this section shall be performed on randomly selected units by an independent laboratory in accordance with testing protocol EPA 3050B (the Test Protocol"). At the request of CEH, the results of all testing performed pursuant to this section shall be made available to CEH. The frequency and amount of testing required shall be as follows:

13 14 2.4

15 16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25 26

27

28

Testing Frequency. Defendant shall test at least 5 units of Product from each of the first two shipments from each vinyl supplier following the Compliance Date. For the remainder of the shipments following the Compliance Date, Defendant shall test at least 2 units per shipment.

2.3.2 Products that Exceed the Reformulation Standard Pursuant to **Defendant's Accessories's Testing.** If the results of the testing required pursuant to Section 2.3 exceed the Reformulation Standard for a Product, Defendant shall refuse to accept all of the Products that were purchased under the particular purchase order to the supplier with a letter explaining that such Products do not comply with the supplier's certification. Following a Product test exceed the Reformulation Standard, Defendant shall apply the testing frequency pursuant to Section 2.3.1 for the next order purchased from the vinyl supplier as if such purchase were the first shipment following the Compliance Date.

Confirmatory Testing by CEH. CEH intends to conduct periodic testing of the Products. Any such testing will be conducted by CEH at an independent laboratory, in accordance with the Test Protocol. In the event that CEH's testing demonstrates Lead levels exceeding the Reformulation Standard for one or more Products, CEH shall inform Defendant of the test results, including information sufficient to permit Defendant to identify the Product(s). Defendant shall, within 20 days following such notice, provide CEH, at the address listed in Section 12, with its supplier certification and testing information demonstrating its compliance with Sections 2.2 and 2.3 of this Consent Judgment. Defendant shall then have the opportunity to conduct its own independent testing of the Products from the same lot to confirm or deny CEH's tests. If Defendant's independent testing confirms CEH's test results, Defendant shall apply the testing frequency pursuant to either Section 2.3.1 for the next order purchased from the supplier as if such purchase were the first shipment following the Compliance Date in determining the number of units to be tested for the two shipments following the Product test exceed the Reformulation Standard from that supplier. In addition, if Defendant's independent testing verifies CEH's test results and Defendant fails to provide CEH with information demonstrating that it complied with Sections 2.2 and 2.3 for the particular shipment(s) at issue,

such funds to continue its work protecting people from exposures to toxic chemicals. As part of

28

this work, CEH intends to conduct periodic testing of the Products as set forth in Section 2.4.

3.3 Attorneys' Fees and Costs. Defendant shall pay \$10,000 to reimburse CEH and its attorneys for their reasonable investigation fees and costs, attorneys' fees, and any other costs incurred as a result of investigating, bringing this matter to Defendant's attention, litigating and negotiating a settlement in the public interest. This payment shall be made payable to Lexington Law Group, LLP

4. MODIFICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

- 4.1 This Consent Judgment may be modified by written agreement of the Parties, or upon motion of CEH or Defendant as provided by law.
- 4.2 CEH intends to enter into agreements with other entities that manufacture, distribute and/or sell Products. Should Defendant determine that the provisions of any such agreement with a similarly situated manufacturer or distributor of Products are less stringent, Defendant may obtain a modification of this Consent Judgment to conform with the terms of the later signed agreement.

5. ENFORCEMENT OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

Judgment shall be brought in the Superior Court of California in Marin County. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, notwithstanding Section 1.4 above, the Parties agree that the Superior Court of California in Marin County has subject matter jurisdiction over any disputes arising from this Consent Judgment and personal jurisdiction over each of the Parties, and that venue is proper in the County of San Francisco. Should CEH prevail on any action to enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment it shall be entitled to reasonable attorneys' fees and costs associated with such enforcement.

6. APPLICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

6.1 This Consent Judgment shall apply to and be binding upon the Parties hereto, their divisions, subdivisions and subsidiaries, and the successors or assigns of any of them.

7.1 This Consent Judgment is a full, final and binding resolution between CEH and Defendant of any violation of Proposition 65 that was or could have been asserted in the Notice or Complaint against Defendant (including any claims that could be asserted in connection with any of the Products covered by this Consent Judgment) or its parents, subsidiaries, affiliates, directors, officers, employees, agents, attorneys, distributors, or customers (collectively, "Defendant Releasees") based on failure to warn about alleged Proposition 65 exposures with respect to any Products manufactured, distributed or sold by Defendant ("Covered Claims") on or prior to the date of entry of this Consent Judgment. Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment constitutes compliance with Proposition 65 for purposes of Lead exposures from the Products.

8. SEVERABILITY

8.1 In the event that any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment are held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the enforceable provisions shall not be adversely affected.

9. SPECIFIC PERFORMANCE

9.1 The Parties expressly recognize that Defendant's obligations under this Consent Judgment are unique. In the event that any Defendant is found to be in breach of this Consent Judgment for failure to comply with the provisions of Section 2 hereof, the Parties agree that it would be extremely impracticable to measure the resulting damages and that such breach would cause irreparable damage. Accordingly, CEH, in addition to any other available rights or remedies, may sue in equity for specific performance, and Defendant expressly waive the defense that a remedy in damages will be adequate.

10. GOVERNING LAW

10.1 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California.

11. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

11.1 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement and enforce

1	the terms this Consent Judgment.			
2	12. PROVISION OF NOTICE			
3		12.1	All notices required pursuant to this Consent Judgment and	
4	correspondence shall be sent to the following:			
5	For CEH:			
6			Mark N. Todzo	
7			Lexington Law Group, LLP	
8			1627 Irving Street	
9			San Francisco, CA 94122	
10	For Defendant:			
11			Frederick Locker	
12			Locker Greenberg & Brainin PC	
13			Attorneys At Law	
14			420 Fifth Avenue	
15			New York NY 10018	
16	13.	EXE	CUTION AND COUNTERPARTS	
17		13.1	The stipulations to this Consent Judgment may be executed in	
18	counterparts and by means of facsimile, which taken together shall be deemed to constitute one			
19	document.			
20	14. AUTHORIZATION			
21		14.1	Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is	
22	fully authorized by the party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment and to			
23	enter into and execute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the party represented and legally bind			
24	that party. The undersigned have read, understand and agree to all of the terms and conditions of			
25	this Consent Judgment. Except as explicitly provided herein, each party is to bear its own fees			
26	and costs.			
27	1111			
2.8				

1	AGREED TO:	
2	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH	
3 4		Dated: 331 09
5	MAKIZ Piznono	. ,
7	[Name]	
8	Azzacinie D. Naroa	
9	[Title]	
10		•
11	BABY BOOM CONSUMER PRODUCTS, INC., BETESH GROUP HOLDING CORPORATION,	
12	AND WILLIAM CARTER COMPANY	
13		Dated: 3/23/09
14	- (Dated.
15	Heven Belest	
16	[Name]	
17 18	Presisent	
19	[Title]	
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

ORDER AND JUDGMENT Based upon the stipulated Consent Judgment between CEH and Baby Boom Consumer Products, Inc., Betesh Group Holding Corporation, and William Carter Company, the settlement is approved and the clerk is hereby instructed to enter judgment in accordance with its terms.

Dated: MAY 1 8 2009

JOHN A. SUTRO, JR.

Judge, Superior Court of the State of California