

[PROPOSED] JUDGMENT

In the above-entitled action, Plaintiff ANTHONY E. HELD, Ph.D., P.E. and Defendant ROYAL BRUSH MANUFACTURING, INC., having agreed through their respective counsel that judgment be entered pursuant to the terms of the Proposition 65 settlement agreement in the form of a Stipulation and [Proposed] Order Re: Consent Judgment entered into by the parties, and after issuing an order approving this Proposition 65 settlement agreement and entering the Stipulation and Order Re: Consent Judgment on February 27, 2009.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure §664.5, judgment is entered in accordance with the terms of the Stipulation and Order Re: Consent Judgment attached hereto as Exhibit 1 and lodged concurrently herewith.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: \_ FEB 27 2009

JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT

# Exhibit 1

1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Clifford A. Chanler, State Bar No. 135534 Daniel Bornstein, State Bar No. 181711 HIRST & CHANLER LLP 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710-2565 Telephone: (510) 848-8880 Facsimile: (510) 848-8118  Attorneys for Plaintiff ANTHONY E. HELD, Ph.D., P.E.  Christopher Locke, State Bar No. 101704 FARELLA BRAUN & MARTEL, LLP		
8 9	235 Montgomery Street, 30 <sup>th</sup> Floor San Francisco, California 94104 Telephone: (415) 954-4400 Facsimile: (415) 954-4480		
10	Attorneys for Defendant		
11	ROYAL BRUSH MANUFACTURING, INC.		
12			
13	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
14	FOR THE COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO		
15	UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION		
16			
17	ANTHONY E. HELD, Ph.D., P.E.,	Case No. 34-2008-00020532	
18	Plaintiff,	CENTRAL ATTACK AND INDODOCEDIA	
19	v.	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE: CONSENT JUDGMENT	
20	ROYAL BRUSH MANUFACTURING, INC.,		
21	and DOES 1 through 150, inclusive,		
22	Defendants.		
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE: CONSENT JUDGMENT

# 1. INTRODUCTION

# 1.1 The Parties

This Consent Judgment is entered into by and between plaintiff Anthony E. Held, Ph.D.,
P.E. ("Dr. Held" or "Plaintiff") and defendant Royal Brush Manufacturing, Inc. ("Royal Brush" or
"Defendant") with Plaintiff and Defendant collectively referred to as the "Parties."

#### 1.2 Plaintiff

Plaintiff represents that Dr. Held is an individual residing in the State of California who seeks to promote awareness of exposure to toxic chemicals and improve human health by reducing or eliminating hazardous substances contained in consumer products.

## 1.3 Defendant

Defendant acknowledges that Royal Brush is a corporation with ten or more persons, and is a "person in the course of doing business" within the meaning of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health & Safety Code §25249.6 et seq. ("Proposition 65").

## 1.4 General Allegations

Dr. Held alleges that Royal Brush has distributed and/or sold children's paint smocks containing di(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (hereinafter "DEHP") in the State of California without the requisite health hazard warnings. DEHP is listed pursuant to Proposition 65 as known to the State of California to cause birth defects and other reproductive harm. DEHP shall be referred to hereinafter as the "Listed Chemical."

## 1.5 Product Description

The products that are covered by this Consent Judgment are defined as follows: children's vinyl paint smocks containing the plasticizer phthalate DEHP, such as the *Big Kids Choice*Children's Paint Smock BKAPRON (#0 90672 20105 8), distributed by Royal Brush for sale in the State of California, which are referred to hereinafter as the "Covered Products."

## 1.6 Notice of Violation

On April 22, 2008, Dr. Held served Defendant, and KB Toys Retail, Inc., and KB Toys,

Inc., and various public enforcement agencies with a document entitled "60-Day Notice of Violation" ("Notice") alleging violations of California Health & Safety Code §25249.6 for failing to warn consumers that the Covered Products exposed users in California to the Listed Chemical.

## 1.7 Settlement Discussions

Royal Brush responded to the Notice within the 60-day period, denied the allegations including Plaintiff's claim that the Covered Products caused exposure to the Listed Chemical, exchanged product information and reached the settlement described herein shortly after the expiration of the 60-day notice period.

## 1.8 Complaint

On August 27, 2008, Dr. Held, acting in the interest of the general public in California and pursuant to the parties' settlement, filed a complaint ("Complaint" or "Action") in the Superior Court in and for the County of Sacramento against Defendant and Does 1 through 150, alleging violations of California Health & Safety Code §25249.6 based on the alleged exposures to the Listed Chemical contained in the Covered Products.

## 1.9 No Admission

Royal Brush denies the material, factual, and legal allegations contained in Dr. Held's Notice and Complaint, and maintains that all Covered Products that it has sold and/or distributed in California have been and are in compliance with all laws, including without limitation Proposition 65. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by Royal Brush of any fact, finding, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with this Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by Royal Brush of any fact, finding, conclusion, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with this Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by Royal Brush of any fact, finding, conclusion, issue of law, or violation of law, such being specifically denied by Royal Brush. However, this Section shall not diminish or otherwise affect the obligations, responsibilities, and duties of Royal Brush under this Consent Judgment.

## 1.10 Consent to Jurisdiction

For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over Royal Brush as to the allegations contained in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of Sacramento and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter and enforce the provisions of this Consent Judgment.

## 1.11 Effective Date

For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term "Effective Date" shall mean the date this Consent Judgment is fully executed by the Parties.

# 2. <u>INJUNCTIVE RELIEF: WARNINGS AND REFORMULATION</u>

# 2.1 Product Warnings

After the Effective Date, Royal Brush shall not sell, ship, or offer to be shipped for sale in California Covered Products containing the Listed Chemical unless such Products are sold or shipped with one of the clear and reasonable warnings set forth in subsections 2.1(a), are otherwise exempt pursuant to Section 2.2, or comply with the reformulation standards set forth in Section 2.3.

Each warning shall be prominently placed with such conspicuousness as compared with other words, statements, designs, or devices as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual under customary conditions before purchase or use.

## (a) Retail Store Sales.

## (i) Product Labeling.

Royal Brush may perform its warning obligation by ensuring that a warning is affixed to the packaging, labeling, or directly on each Covered Product sold in retail outlets in California by Royal Brush or its agents, that states:

WARNING: This product contains DEHP, a phthalate chemical known to the State of California to cause birth defects and other reproductive harm.

\_ ,

# (ii) Point-of-Sale Warnings.

Royal Brush may perform its warning obligations by providing warning signs, via certified mail in the form below, to its customers in the State of California with clear instructions to post the warnings in close proximity<sup>1</sup> to the point of display of the Covered Products so that the consumer knows to which product the warning refers:

WARNING: This product contains DEHP, a phthalate chemical known to the State of California to cause birth defects and other reproductive harm.

# 2.2 Exceptions To Warning Requirements

The warning requirements set forth in Section 2.1 shall not apply to:

- (i) Any Products shipped before the Effective Date; or
- (ii) Reformulated Products (as defined in Section 2.3 below).

# 2.3 Reformulation Standards

Reformulated Products are defined as those Covered Products containing less than or equal to 1,000 parts per million ("ppm") of the Listed Chemical. The warnings required pursuant to Section 2.1 above shall not be required for Reformulated Products.

Defendant shall use Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") testing methodologies 3580A, 3550C and/or 8270C to determine whether the levels have been exceeded in its Covered Products.

## 2.4 Reformulation Commitment

Defendant hereby commits to ensure that 100% of the Covered Products that they offer for sale in California after October 31, 2008, shall qualify as Reformulated Products or shall otherwise be exempt from the warning requirements of Section 2.1.

For purposes of the Consent Judgment, "sold in proximity" shall mean that the Covered Product and another product are offered for sale close enough to each other that the consumer, under customary conditions of purchase, could not reasonably determine which of the two products is subject to the warning sign.

## 2.5 Product Return or Destruction

After receipt of plaintiff's Notice, Defendant promptly coordinated with KB Toys for the return or destruction of all *Big Kids Choice Children's Paint Smocks, BKAPRON (#0 90672 20105 8)*, remaining in inventory for sale in California.

#### 3. MONETARY PAYMENTS

## 3.1 Penalties Pursuant to Health & Safety Code §25249.7(b)

In settlement of all the claims referred to in this Consent Judgment, Royal Brush shall pay \$2,000 in civil penalties to be apportioned in accordance with California Health & Safety Code \$25192, with 75% of these funds remitted to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA") and the remaining 25% of the penalty remitted to Anthony Held as provided by California Health & Safety Code \$25249.12(d). Royal Brush shall issue two separate checks for the penalty payment: (a) one check made payable to "Hirst & Chanler LLP in Trust For OEHHA" in the amount of \$1,500, representing 75% of the total penalty; and (b) one check to "Hirst & Chanler LLP in Trust for Anthony Held" in the amount of \$500, representing 25% of the total penalty. Two separate 1099s shall be issued for the above payments: (a) OEHHA, P.O. Box 4010, Sacramento, CA, 95814 (EIN: 68-0284486); and (b) Anthony Held, whose information shall be provided five calendar days before the payment is due.

Payment shall be delivered on or before August 31, 2008, to Dr. Held's counsel at the following address:

Hirst & Chanler LLP
Attn: Proposition 65 Controller
Capitol Mall Complex
455 Capitol Mall, Suite 605
Sacramento, CA 95814

#### 4. REIMBURSEMENT OF FEES AND COSTS

## 4.1 Attorney Fees and Costs

The Parties acknowledge that Dr. Held and his counsel offered to resolve this dispute without reaching terms on the amount of fees and costs to be reimbursed to them, thereby leaving this fee issue to be resolved after the material terms of the agreement had been settled. After the

other settlement terms had been finalized, the Parties attempted to (and did) reach an accord on the compensation due to Dr. Held and his counsel under general contract principles and the private attorney general doctrine codified at California Code of Civil Procedure (CCP) §1021.5, for all work performed through the mutual execution of this agreement and the anticipated work to seek court approval. Royal Brush shall reimburse Dr. Held and his counsel a total of \$25,500 for fees and costs incurred as a result of investigating, bringing this matter to Defendant's attention, and litigating and negotiating a settlement in the public interest. Royal Brush shall issue a separate 1099 for fees and costs (EIN: 20-3929984) and shall make the check payable to "Hirst & Chanler LLP," which shall be delivered on or before August 31, 2008 to the following address:

Hirst & Chanler LLP Attn: Proposition 65 Controller Capitol Mall Complex 455 Capitol Mall, Suite 605 Sacramento, CA 95814

## 5. RELEASE OF ALL CLAIMS

# 5.1 Dr. Held's Release of Defendant

In further consideration of the promises and agreements herein contained, and for the payments to be made pursuant to Sections 3 and 4 of this Consent Judgment, Dr. Held on behalf of himself, his past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and/or assignees, and in the interest of the general public, hereby waives all rights to institute or participate in, directly or indirectly, any form of legal action and releases all claims, including, without limitation, all actions, and causes of action, in law or in equity, suits, liabilities, demands, obligations, damages, costs, fines, penalties, losses, or expenses (including, but not limited to, investigation fees, expert fees, and attorneys' fees) of any nature whatsoever, whether known or unknown, fixed or contingent (collectively "Claims"), against Defendant and each of its downstream distributors, wholesalers, licensors, licensees, auctioneers, retailers, franchisees, dealers, customers (including without limitation KB Toys, Inc., and KB Toys Retail, Inc.), owners, purchasers, users, parent companies, corporate affiliates, subsidiaries (including without limitation Royal Langnickel), and their respective officers, directors, attorneys, representatives, shareholders, agents, and employees,

and sister and parent entities (collectively "Releasees"). This release is limited to those Claims that arise under Proposition 65 as such claims relate to the alleged failure of the Defendant and Releasees to warn about exposures to the Listed Chemical contained in the Covered Products.

The Parties further understand and agree that this release shall not extend upstream to any entities that manufactured the Covered Products for Royal Brush (except for Covered Products manufactured by Royal Brush) or any component parts thereof, or to any distributors or suppliers who sold the Covered Products or any component parts thereof to Royal Brush.

# 5.2 <u>Defendant's Release of Dr. Held</u>

Royal Brush waives any and all claims against Dr. Held, his attorneys, and other representatives for any and all actions taken or statements made (or those that could have been taken or made) by Dr. Held and his attorneys and other representatives, whether in the course of investigating claims or otherwise seeking enforcement of Proposition 65 against them in this matter, and/or with respect to the Covered Products.

# 6. COURT APPROVAL

This Consent Judgment is not effective until it is approved and entered by the Court and shall be null and void if, for any reason, it is not approved and entered by the Court within one year after it has been fully executed by all Parties, in which event any monies that have been provided to Dr. Held or his counsel pursuant to Section 3 and/or Section 4 above, shall be refunded within fifteen (15) days after receiving written notice from Royal Brush that the one-year period has expired.

## 7. SEVERABILITY

If, subsequent to the execution of this Consent Judgment, any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment are held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the enforceable provisions remaining shall not be adversely affected.

## 8. ATTORNEYS' FEES

In the event that, after execution of this Consent Judgment: (1) a dispute arises with respect to any provision of this Consent Judgment; or (2) either Party takes reasonable and

1	necessary steps to enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment, the prevailing party in such dispute			
2	or enforcement action shall be entitled to reasonable attorneys fees and costs.			
3	9. <u>GOVERNING LAW</u>			
4	The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of			
5	California and apply within the State of California.			
6	10. NOTICES			
7	Unless specified herein, all correspondence and notices required to be provided pursuant to			
8	this Consent Judgment shall be in writing and personally delivered or sent by: (i) first-class,			
9	(registered or certified mail) return receipt requested; or (ii) overnight courier on any party by the			
10	other party at the following addresses:			
11	To Royal Brush:			
12	Gus Dovellos, President			
13				
14	With a copy to:			
15				
16	235 Montgomery Street, 30 <sup>th</sup> Floor			
17				
18	To Dr. Hold:			
19				
20	2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214			
21				
22	Berkeley, CA 94710-2565			
23	Any Party, from time to time, may specify in writing to the other Party a change of address to			
24	which all notices and other communications shall be sent.			
25	11. <u>COUNTERPARTS; FACSIMILE SIGNATURES</u>			
26	This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and exchanged by facsimile, each			
27	counterpart copy of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together,			
28				
}	-8- STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE: CONSENT JUDGMENT			
	STITULATION AND [FROTOSED] ORDER RE, CONSENT JUDGMENT			

shall constitute one and the same document. 2 12. COMPLIANCE WITH HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 25249.7(f) 3 Dr. Held agrees to comply with the reporting form requirements referenced in California Health & Safety Code §25249.7(f). 5 13. ADDITIONAL POST EXECUTION ACTIVITIES Dr. Held and Royal Brush agree to mutually employ their best efforts to obtain judicial 6 approval and entry of this Consent Judgment in a timely manner. The Parties acknowledge that, pursuant to California Health & Safety Code §25249.7, a noticed motion is required to obtain judicial approval of this Consent Judgment. Accordingly, Dr. Held agrees to file a Motion to Approve the Consent Judgment. 10 11 14. **MODIFICATION** This Consent Judgment may be modified only: (1) by written agreement of the Parties and 12 upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court thereon; or (2) upon a successful motion 13 14 of any Party and entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court. The Attorney General shall be served with notice of any proposed modification to this Consent Judgment at least fifteen (15) 15 days in advance of its consideration by the Court. 16 17 15. **AUTHORIZATION** 18 The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their respective Parties and have read, understood, and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this 19 Consent Judgment. **AGREED TO:** AGREED TO: 21 Date: 22 Date: 8/29 23 24 By: By: Plaintiff, ANTHONY E. HELD, George Dovellos, President 25 Ph.D., P.E. Defendant, ROYAL BRUSH MANUFACTURING, INC. 26

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE: CONSENT JUDGMENT

1	1 APPROVED AS TO FORM:	APPROVED AS TO FORM:	
2	Date: Date:		
3		FAREZLA BRAUN & MARTEL, LLP	
4	4 By: By Daniel Bornstein	Christopher Locke	
5		Attorneys for Defendant ROYAL BRUSH MANUFACTURING,	
6		INC.	
7	7		
8	В		
9	9	•	
10	0		
11	1		
12			
13			
14			
15	$\Pi$	JDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT	
16			
17   18			
19	· [[		
20			
21			
22			
23			
24	·		
25			
26			
27			
28			
	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER	D DE- CONSENT HITCHENT	
	STIL OLATION AND [LIKOLOSED] ONDER RE. CONSENT TODOMENT		

shall constitute one and the same document. 2 12. **COMPLIANCE WITH HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 25249.7(f)** Dr. Held agrees to comply with the reporting form requirements referenced in California 3 Health & Safety Code §25249.7(f). 4 5 13. ADDITIONAL POST EXECUTION ACTIVITIES 6 Dr. Held and Royal Brush agree to mutually employ their best efforts to obtain judicial 7 approval and entry of this Consent Judgment in a timely manner. The Parties acknowledge that, 8 pursuant to California Health & Safety Code §25249.7, a noticed motion is required to obtain judicial approval of this Consent Judgment. Accordingly, Dr. Held agrees to file a Motion to Approve the Consent Judgment. 10 11 14. **MODIFICATION** 12 This Consent Judgment may be modified only: (1) by written agreement of the Parties and 13 upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court thereon; or (2) upon a successful motion of any Party and entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court. The Attorney General shall 14 be served with notice of any proposed modification to this Consent Judgment at least fifteen (15) 15 days in advance of its consideration by the Court. 16 17 15. **AUTHORIZATION** 18 The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their 19 respective Parties and have read, understood, and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this 20 Consent Judgment. 21 AGREED TO: **AGREED TO:** Date: 09/03/2008 22 Date: Unthony & Kelk 23 24 By: By: Plaintiff, ANTHONY E. HELD, George Dovellos, President Ph.D., P.E. 25 Defendant, ROYAL BRUSH MANUFACTURING, INC.

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE: CONSENT JUDGMENT

26

27

1	APPROVED AS TO FORM:	APPROVED AS TO FORM:	
2	Date: 9/4/04	Date:	
3	HIRST & CHANLER LLP	FARELLA BRAUN & MARTEL, LLP	
4	By: A January	By:	
5	Daniel Bornstein Attorneys for Plaintiff ANTHONY E. HELD, Ph.D., P.E.	Christopher Locke Attorneys for Defendant ROYAL BRUSH MANUFACTURING,	
6	ANTHÔNY E. HELD, Ph.D., P.E.	ROYAL BRUSH MANUFACTURING, INC.	
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
14			
15	Date:		
16		JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT	
17			
18	23423\1633413.1		
19			
20			
21			
22	,		
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
-	-10- STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE: CONSENT JUDGMENT		