1	LEXINGTON LAW GROUP	
2	Eric S. Somers, State Bar No. 139050 Lisa Burger, State Bar No. 239676	CARD O O OMO
3	1627 Irving Street San Francisco, CA 94122	MAR 3 0 2010
4	Telephone: (415) 759-4111 Facsimile: (415) 759-4112	KIM TURNER, Court Executive Officer MARIN COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT
. 5	Attorneys for Plaintiff CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTI	By: K. Main, Deputy
6	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTI	1
7	SUPERIOR COURT OF T	HE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
8		OF MARIN
9.	COUNT	
10	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL	CASE NO. CIV 09-0989
11	HEALTH a non-profit corporation,	CASE NO. CIV 09-0909
12	Plaintiff,	[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT RED DOSKOCIL MANUFACTURING CO., INC
13	v.	DOSKOCIL MANOFACTURING CO., INC
14	ASPEN PET PRODUCTS, INC.; DOSKOCIL MANUFACTURING CO., INC.;	
15	PETSMART, INC.; SWING LTD.; and Defendant DOES 1 through 200, inclusive,	
16	Defendants.	
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
		•

CONSENT JUDGMENT - DOSKOCIL - Case No. CIV 09-0989

1. INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 On March 4, 2009, Plaintiff the Center for Environmental Health ("CEH"), a non-profit corporation acting in the public interest, filed a complaint entitled *Center for Environmental Health v. Aspen Pet Products, Inc., et al.*, Marin County Superior Court Case Number CIV 09-0989, for civil penalties and injunctive relief pursuant to the provisions of Cal. Health & Safety Code § 25249.5, *et seq.* ("Proposition 65"). The Complaint named, among others, Aspen Pet Products, Inc. ("Aspen"), Doskocil Manufacturing Co., Inc. ("Doskocil") and Petsmart, Inc. ("Petsmart") as defendants.
- 1.2 Doskocil represents and warrants that Aspen was merged into Doskocil in early 2009 and that Aspen no longer exists as a separate legal entity. Accordingly, Aspen is hereby dismissed from the case without prejudice. Defendant Doskocil is referred to herein as the "Settling Defendant."
- 1.3 Settling Defendant is a corporation that employs ten or more persons and manufactured, distributed and/or sold dog whistles (the "Products") in the State of California.
- public enforcement agencies with a 60-day Notice (the "Notice") alleging that defendants were in violation of Proposition 65 by selling Products containing lead and lead compounds (collectively referred to herein as "Lead") without first providing a clear and reasonable warning. Lead is a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer and reproductive harm. The Notice and Complaint allege that defendants' conduct violates Health & Safety Code § 25249.6, the warning provision of Proposition 65. The Settling Defendant disputes such allegations and asserts that its Products are safe and comply with all applicable laws.
- 1.5 For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, Settling Defendant stipulates that this Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of the violations alleged in CEH's Complaint and personal jurisdiction over Settling Defendant as to the acts alleged in CEH's Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of Marin, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment as a full and final resolution of all claims regarding the Products which were or could have been raised in the Complaint based on the facts alleged therein.

and final settlement of all claims that were raised in the Complaint, or that could have been raised in the Complaint, arising out of the facts alleged therein. By executing this Consent Judgment, the CEH and the Settling Defendant do not admit any facts or conclusions of law. It is the parties' intent that nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by the parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law or violation of law, nor shall compliance with the Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by the parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive or impair any right, remedy, argument or defense the parties may have in this or any other or future legal proceedings.

2. COMPLIANCE - REFORMULATION

- 2.1 Reformulation Standard. Upon entry of this Consent Judgment (the "Effective Date"), Settling Defendant shall not manufacture, distribute, ship, or sell, or cause to be manufactured, distributed or sold, in California any Products that are made of any material, or contains any component, that is more than 0.02 percent Lead by weight (200 parts per million ("ppm")) (the "Reformulation Standard"). On or before 30 days after the entry of this Consent Judgment and Order by the Court, Settling Defendant shall not manufacture, distribute, ship, or sell, or cause to be manufactured, distributed or sold, in the United States any Products that contain any material or component that exceeds the Reformulation Standard.
- Date, Settling Defendant shall cease shipping the Top Paw Silent Dog Whistle, Receipt ID No. 73725709865, Petsmart ID No. 3120584, and the Aristo Silent Dog Whistle, PETCO Item ID No. 000154857, which were identified as exemplar Products in the 60-Day Notice of Violation sent by CEH to defendants (the "Recall Products"), to stores and/or customers that sell or offer the Recall Products to California consumers, and Settling Defendant shall at a minimum, notify the retail distributors of the Recall Products in California to either cease offering such Recall Products for sale and to either return all Recall Products to Settling Defendant for destruction or directly destroy the Recall Products. Any destruction of the Recall Products shall

be in compliance with all applicable laws. Settling Defendant shall keep all records and correspondence regarding the market withdrawal and/or destruction of the Recall Products. Settling Defendant shall, upon reasonable written request, provide CEH with sufficient records or a written certification to document its market withdrawal and destruction of the Recall Products. If there is a dispute over the corrective action, CEH and the Settling Defendant shall meet and confer before seeking any remedy in court.

- 2.3 Certification From Suppliers. If Settling Defendant determines to sell the Products after the Effective Date, Settling Defendant shall issue specifications to all of its suppliers of the Products requiring that any Products manufactured by or for the Settling Defendant shall comply with the Reformulation Standard.
- 2.4 Defendant's Testing. If Settling Defendant undertakes to manufacture or sell the Products after the Effective Date, Settling Defendant shall cause to be conducted testing on any metal materials or components of the Products to confirm compliance of the Products with the Reformulation Standard. Such testing shall be conducted by an independent laboratory using the most recent version of United States Environmental Protection Agency Method 3050B (the "Test Protocol") or such other testing methods that are required or accepted for Lead testing under Federal or state product safety laws or regulations and that are able to measure Lead content at levels able to determine compliance with the reformulation requirements of this Consent Judgment. Upon reasonable request, no more frequently than once per twelve month period, Settling Defendant shall provide to CEH the results of its testing of the Products. The Settling Defendant's downstream distributors and retailers may rely on testing of the Products.
- 2.4.1 Testing Frequency. For each of the first two orders of Products purchased from each of Settling Defendant's suppliers after the Effective Date, Settling Defendant shall randomly select and test three Products purchased from each supplier of the Products. Following the testing of the first two orders as described above, Settling Defendant shall, for each subsequent order, randomly select and test the greater of 0.05% (one-twentieth of one percent) or two, but in no case more than four, of the total Products purchased in that calendar year from each supplier of the Products.

16[°]

2.4.2 Products That Contain Lead Pursuant to Testing. If the results of the testing required pursuant to Section 2.4 show Lead in excess of 200 ppm in a Product, Settling Defendant shall: (1) refuse to accept all of the Products that were purchased under the particular purchase order; (2) send a notice to the supplier explaining that such Products do not comply with the suppliers' certification; (3) apply the testing frequency set forth in Section 2.4.1 as though the next shipment from the supplier were the first one following the Effective Date; and (4) send notice of the failed test result(s) and the actions taken pursuant to this Section to CEH at the address listed in Section 11.1.

2.5 Confirmatory Testing by CEH. CEH intends to conduct periodic testing of the Products. Any such testing shall be conducted by CEH at an independent laboratory, in accordance with the Test Protocols. In the event that CEH's testing demonstrates that any Product contains Lead in excess of 200 ppm subsequent to the Effective Date, CEH shall inform Settling Defendant of the test results and meet and confer prior to filing an enforcement action pursuant to Section 5 hereof.

3. SETTLEMENT PAYMENTS

- 3.1 Payments From Defendant. Settling Defendant shall pay the total sum of Thirty Thousand Dollars (\$30,000) as a settlement payment as allocated pursuant to this Section.
- 3.1.1 Civil Penalty. Settling Defendant shall pay One Thousand Dollars (\$1,000.00) as a civil penalty pursuant to Health and Safety Code § 25249.7(b). The payment required under this Section shall be made by check payable to the Center for Environmental Health and apportioned by CEH in accordance with Health & Safety Code § 25249.12.
- 3.1.2 Monetary Payment in Lieu of Penalty. Settling Defendant shall pay to CEH Nine Thousand Five Hundred Dollars (\$9,500.00) in lieu of penalty pursuant to Health and Safety Code § 25249.7(b). CEH shall use such funds to continue its work protecting people from exposures to toxic chemicals. As part of this work, CEH intends to conduct periodic testing of the Products as set forth in Section 2.5. In addition, as part of its *Community Environmental Action and Justice Fund*, CEH will use four percent of such funds to award grants

 to grassroots environmental justice groups working to educate and protect people from exposures to toxic chemicals. The method of selection of such groups can be found at the CEH website at www.ceh.org/justicefund. The payment required under this Section shall be made by check payable to the Center for Environmental Health.

- 3.1.3 Attorneys' Fees and Costs. Settling Defendant shall pay

 Nineteen Thousand Five Hundred Dollars (\$19,500) to reimburse CEH and its attorneys for their reasonable investigation fees and costs, attorneys' fess and any other costs incurred as a result of investigating, bringing this matter to Settling Defendant's attention, litigating and negotiating a settlement in the public interest. The payment required under this Section shall be made by check payable to Lexington Law Group.
- 3.2 Timing and Delivery of Payments. All payments to be made under this Section 3 shall be delivered to the offices of the Lexington Law Group (Attn: Eric Somers), 1627 Irving Street, San Francisco, California 94122, within five days of entry of this Consent Judgment.

4. MODIFICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

4.1 This Consent Judgment may be modified by written agreement of CEH and Settling Defendant, or upon motion of CEH or Settling Defendant as provided by law.

5. ENFORCEMENT OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

5.1 CEH may, by motion or application for an order to show cause, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment. CEH agrees to meet and confer with Settling Defendant prior to brining any such motion. Should CEH prevail on any such motion, it shall be entitled to recover its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs associated with enforcing the Consent Judgment.

6. APPLICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

6.1 This Consent Judgment shall apply to and be binding upon CEH and Settling Defendant, its divisions, subdivisions and subsidiaries, and the successors or assigns of any of them.

For CEH:

7. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASE OF CLAIMS

CEH and Settling Defendant of any violation of Proposition 65 that was or could have been asserted in the Complaint against Settling Defendant or their parents, subsidiaries, predecessors, and each of their directors, officers, employees, attorneys, and their downstream distributors, customers and retailers (collectively, "Defendant Releasees") and releases any and all claims against the Defendant Releasees based on failure to warn about exposures to Lead resulting from any Products manufactured, distributed or sold by Settling Defendant ("Covered Claims") on or prior to the date of entry of this Consent Judgment. Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment constitutes compliance with Proposition 65 for purposes of Lead exposures from the Products. CEH and Settling Defendant intend, and the Court finds, that nothing in this Section 7 shall apply to any keychain product manufactured, distributed or sold by Settling Defendant. CEH shall dismiss its complaint against Petsmart without prejudice with respect to any products other than Products sold by Settling Defendant or its predecessor.

8. SEVERABILITY

8.1 In the event that any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment are held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the enforceable provisions shall not be adversely affected.

9. GOVERNING LAW

9.1 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California.

10. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

10.1 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement and enforce the terms this Consent Judgment.

11. PROVISION OF NOTICE

11.1 All notices required pursuant to this Consent Judgment and correspondence shall be sent via certified mail to the following:

1

2

3

Eric S. Somers Lexington Law Group 1627 Irving Street San Francisco, CA 94122

For Settling Defendant:

Mark Kaster Dorsey & Whitney LLP Suite 1500 50 South Sixth Street Minneapolis, MN 55402

12. COURT APPROVAL

12.1 CEH will comply with the settlement notice provisions of Health and Safety Code § 25249.7(f) and Title 11 of the California Code of Regulations § 3003.

Accordingly, CEH shall prepare and file a Motion for Approval of this Consent Judgment and Settling Defendant shall support approval of such Motion.

13. COUNTERPARTS

13.1 The stipulations to this Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts.

14. AUTHORIZATION

14.1 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment and to enter into and execute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the party represented and legally bind that party. The undersigned have read, understand and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment. Except as explicitly provided herein, each party is to bear its own fees and costs.

AGREED TO:

CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH

Michael Green

Dated: 1/13/10

1	DOSKOCIL MANUFACTURING CO., INC.	
2	Dated: 1/13/10	
3		
4		
5	GARY KOHLSCHMIOT [Name]	
. 6	[Name]	
7		
. 8	<u>CFO</u>	
9	[Title]	
10	ADDED AND HIDCHIENT	
11	ORDER AND JUDGMENT Based upon the stipulated Consent Judgment between CEH and Doskocil Manufacturing	
12	Co., Inc., the settlement is approved and the clerk is directed to enter judgment in accordance	
13	with the terms herein.	
14	with the terms herein.	
	Dated:MAR 3 0 2010	
15	Dated: MAR 3 U ZUIU	
15 16	VERNA ADAMS	
	VERNA ADAMS Judge, Superior Court of the State of California	
16	VERNA ADAMS	
16 17	VERNA ADAMS	
16 17 18	VERNA ADAMS	
16 17 18 19	VERNA ADAMS	
16 17 18 19 20	VERNA ADAMS	
16 17 18 19 20 21	VERNA ADAMS	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	VERNA ADAMS	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	VERNA ADAMS	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	VERNA ADAMS	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	VERNA ADAMS	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	VERNA ADAMS	

 $\mathcal{A}_{2} = (\epsilon 3)^{-\lambda_{2}}$