LEXINGTON LAW GROUP Eric S. Somers, State Bar No. 139050 Howard Hirsch, State Bar No. 213209 Lisa Burger, State Bar No. 239676 1627 Irving Street APR 2 9 2010 . 3 San Francisco, CA 94122 KIM TURNER, Court Executive Officer MARIN COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT Telephone: (415) 759-4111 4 Facsimile: (415) 759-4112 By: K. Main. Deput) 5 Attorneys for Plaintiff CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH 6 7 8 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 9 **COUNTY OF MARIN** 10 11 12 CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH,) Case No. CIV 10-00626 a non-profit corporation, 13 Plaintiff, [PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT 14 AS TO SCHURMAN FINE PAPERS ٧. 15 16 SCHURMAN FINE PAPERS; and DOES 1 through 200, inclusive, 17 18 Defendants. 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

1. INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 On February 4, 2010, Plaintiff the Center for Environmental Health ("CEH"), a non-profit corporation acting in the public interest, filed a complaint entitled *Center for Environmental Health v. Schurman Fine Papers, et al.*, Marin County Superior Court Case Number CIV 10-00626, for civil penalties and injunctive relief pursuant to the provisions of Cal. Health & Safety Code §25249.5, *et seq.* ("Proposition 65") and naming Schurman Fine Papers ("Defendant") as a defendant.
- 1.2 Defendant is a corporation that employs ten or more persons and manufactured, distributed and/or sold jeweled boxes (the "Products") in the State of California.
- 1.3 On or about May 15, 2008, CEH served Defendant and the appropriate public enforcement agencies with the requisite 60-day Notice (the "Notice") alleging that Defendant was in violation of Proposition 65. CEH's Notice and the Complaint in the CEH Action allege that Defendant exposes people who use or otherwise handle the Products to lead and lead compounds (collectively referred to herein as "Lead"), chemicals known to the State of California to cause cancer, birth defects and other reproductive harm, without first providing clear and reasonable warning to such persons regarding the carcinogenicity and reproductive toxicity of Lead. The Notice and Complaint allege that Defendant's conduct violates Health & Safety Code §25249.6, the warning provision of Proposition 65. Defendant disputes such allegations and asserts that all of its Products comply with all applicable laws.
- 1.4 For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of the violations alleged in CEH's Complaint and personal jurisdiction over Defendant as to the acts alleged in CEH's Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of Marin, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment as a full and final resolution of all claims which were or could have been raised in the Complaint based on the facts alleged therein.
- 1.5 The Parties enter into this Consent Judgment pursuant to a settlement of certain disputed claims between the Parties as alleged in the Complaint. By executing this Consent Judgment, the Parties do not admit any facts or conclusions of law. It is the Parties'

intent that nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law or violation of law, nor shall compliance with the Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive or impair any right, remedy, argument or defense the Parties may have in this or any other or future legal proceedings.

2. COMPLIANCE - REFORMULATION

- 2.1 Reformulation Standard. Upon entry of this Consent Judgment (the "Compliance Date"), Defendant shall not manufacture, purchase, distribute, ship, or sell, or cause to be manufactured, distributed, shipped or sold, any Product in the United States that contains any component or is made of any material identified in Sections 2.1.1 through 2.1.3, below.
- 2.1.1 A component not covered under Sections 2.1.2 or 2.1.3, or a material not covered under Sections 2.1.2 or 2.1.3, that is more than 0.02 percent (200 parts per million ("ppm")) Lead by weight;
- 2.1.2 A metal component, or a metallic material, that is more than 0.03 percent (300 ppm) Lead by weight; and
- ppm) Lead by weight. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, "Paint or Surface Coating" shall carry the same meaning as "Paint or other similar surface coating" under 16 C.F.R. §1303.2(b)(1) ("Paint and other similar surface-coating materials means a fluid, semi-fluid, or other material, with or without a suspension of finely divided coloring matter, which changes to a solid film when a thin layer is applied to a metal, wood, stone, paper, leather, cloth, plastic, or other surface. This term does not include printing inks or those materials which actually become a part of the substrate, such as the pigment in a plastic article, or those materials which are actually bonded to the substrate, such as by electroplating or ceramic glazing.").
- 2.2 Market Withdrawal of Covered Products. On or before the Compliance Date, Defendant shall cease shipping (1) the Heart Jeweled Box, SKU No. 414409,

which was identified in the 60-Day Notice of Violation sent by CEH to Defendant, and (2) the Dragonfly Jeweled Box, SKU No. 415196 (the "Recall Products"), to stores and/or customers in California, and Defendant shall withdraw the Recall Products from the market in California, and, at a minimum, send instructions to any of its stores and/or customers that offer the Recall Products for sale in California to cease offering such Recall Products for sale and to either return all Recall Products to Defendant for destruction, or to directly destroy the Recall Products. Any destruction of the Recall Products shall be in compliance with all applicable laws. Defendant shall keep and make available to CEH for inspection and copying records and correspondence regarding the market withdrawal and destruction of the Recall Products. If there is a dispute over the corrective action, the Parties shall meet and confer before seeking any remedy in court.

3. SETTLEMENT PAYMENTS

- 3.1 Payments From Defendant. Within five (5) days of entry of this Consent Judgment, Defendant shall pay the total sum of \$20,000 as a settlement payment.
- 3.2 Allocation of Payments. The total settlement amount for Defendant shall be paid in three separate checks delivered to the offices of the Lexington Law Group (Attn: Eric Somers), 1627 Irving Street, San Francisco, California 94122, and made payable and allocated as follows:
- 3.2.1 Civil Penalty. Defendant shall pay \$800 as a civil penalty pursuant to Health and Safety Code §25249.7(b), such money to be apportioned by CEH in accordance with Health & Safety Code §25249.12. The penalty check shall be made payable to the Center For Environmental Health.
- pay to CEH \$6,200 in lieu of penalty pursuant to Health and Safety Code §25249.7(b). CEH shall use such funds to continue its work protecting people from exposures to toxic chemicals. As part of this work, CEH intends to conduct periodic testing of the Products as set forth in Section 2.4. In addition, as part of its Community Environmental Action and Justice Fund, CEH will use four percent of such funds to award grants to grassroots environmental justice groups working to educate and protect people from exposures to toxic chemicals. The method of

selection of such groups can be found at the CEH web site at www.ceh.org/justicefund. The payment in lieu of penalty check shall be made payable to the Center For Environmental Health.

3.2.3 Attorneys' Fees and Costs. Defendant shall pay \$13,000 to reimburse CEH and its attorneys for their reasonable investigation fees and costs, attorneys' fees, and any other costs incurred as a result of investigating, bringing this matter to Defendant's attention, litigating and negotiating a settlement in the public interest. The attorneys' fees and cost reimbursement check shall be made payable to the Lexington Law Group.

4. MODIFICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

4.1 This Consent Judgment may be modified by written agreement of CEH and Defendant, or upon motion of CEH or Defendant as provided by law.

5. ENFORCEMENT OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

5.1 Enforcement Procedures. Prior to bringing any motion or order to show cause to enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment, a Party seeking to enforce shall provide the violating party thirty (30) days advanced written notice of the alleged violation. The Parties shall meet and confer during such thirty (30) day period in an effort to try to reach agreement on an appropriate cure for the alleged violation. After such thirty (30) day period, the Party seeking to enforce may, by new action, motion or order to show cause before the Superior Court of Marin, seek to enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment. Should the Party seeking to enforce prevail on any motion or application under this section, such Party shall be entitled to recover its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs associated with such motion or order to show cause from the non-moving Party.

6. APPLICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

6.1 This Consent Judgment shall apply to and be binding upon the Parties hereto, their divisions, subdivisions and subsidiaries, and the successors or assigns of any of them.

7. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASE OF CLAIMS

7.1 This Consent Judgment is a full, final and binding resolution between CEH and Defendant of any violation of Proposition 65 that was or could have been asserted in

1	<u> </u>				
1	the Complain	nt agains	t Defendant (including any claims that could be asserted in connection with		
2	any of the Products covered by this Consent Judgment) or its parents, subsidiaries, affiliates,				
3	directors, officers, employees, agents, attorneys, distributors, customers or retailers (collectively,				
4	"Defendant Releasees") based on failure to warn about alleged exposures to Lead resulting from				
5	any Products manufactured, distributed or sold by Defendant ("Covered Claims") on or prior to				
6	the date of entry of this Consent Judgment. CEH, its directors, officers, employees and attorneys				
7	hereby release all Covered Claims against Defendant Releasees. Compliance with the terms of				
8	this Consent Judgment constitutes compliance with Proposition 65 for purposes of Lead				
9	exposures from the Products.				
0	8.	SEVE	RABILITY		
1		8.1	In the event that any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment are held		
12	by a court to	be unen	forceable, the validity of the enforceable provisions shall not be adversely		
13	affected.				
14	9.	GOV	ERNING LAW		
15		9.1	The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the		
16	State of Cali	fornia.			
17	10.	RETE	ENTION OF JURISDICTION		
18		10.1	This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement and enforce		
19	the terms this	s Conser	nt Judgment.		
2Ö	11.	PROV	VISION OF NOTICE		
21		11.1	All notices required pursuant to this Consent Judgment and		
22	correspondence shall be sent to the following:				
23	For CEH:				
24			Eric S. Somers		
25			Lexington Law Group 1627 Irving Street		
26			San Francisco, CA 94122		
27	For Defenda	nt:			

Laura McKaskle Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP 300 South Grand Avenue, 22nd Floor Los Angeles, CA 90071-3132

12. ATTORNEYS' FEES

- 12.1 A Party who unsuccessfully brings or contests an action arising out of this Consent Judgment shall be required to pay the prevailing Party's reasonable attorneys' fees and costs unless the unsuccessful Party has acted with substantial justification. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term substantial justification shall carry the same meaning as used in the Civil Discovery Act of 1986, Code of Civil Procedure §§2016.010, et seq.
- 12.2 Notwithstanding Section 12.1, a Party who prevails in a contested enforcement action brought pursuant to Section 5.1 may seek an award of attorneys' fees pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure §1021.5 against a Party that acted with substantial justification. The Party seeking such an award shall bear the burden of meeting all of the elements of §1021.5, and this provision shall not be construed as altering any procedural or substantive requirements for obtaining such an award.
- 12.3 Nothing in this Section 12 shall preclude a Party from seeking an award of sanctions pursuant to law.

13. COURT APPROVAL

13.1 CEH will comply with the settlement notice provisions of Health and Safety Code §25249.7(f) and Title 11 of the California Code of Regulations §3003 by preparing and filing a motion for approval of this Consent Judgment and Defendant shall support approval of such motion.

14. COUNTERPARTS

14.1 The stipulations to this Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts.

15. AUTHORIZATION

15.1 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment and to enter

1	into and execute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the party represented and legally bind that					
2	party. The undersigned have read, understand and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this					
3	Consent Judgment. Except as explicitly provided herein, each party is to bear its own fees and					
4	costs.					
5						
6	AGREED TO:					
7	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH					
8	Cli					
10						
11	CHARLIE PIZARINO					
12	[Name]					
13						
14	ASSOCIATE DIRECTOR					
15	[Title]					
16	SCHURMAN FINE PAPERS					
17						
18						
19						
20						
21	[Name]					
22	, ,					
23						
24	[Title]					
25						
2627						
28						
40						

1	4				
1	into and execute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the party represented and legally bind that				
2	party. The undersigned have read, understand and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this				
3	Consent Judgment. Except as explicitly provided herein, each party is to bear its own fees and				
4	costs.				
5					
6	AGREED TO:				
7	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH				
8					
9					
10					
11					
12	[Name]				
13					
14	[Title]				
15					
16 17	SCHURMAN FINE PAPERS				
18	\ \.				
19	Dougned				
20					
21	POMINIANE SCHUEMAN				
22	POMINIAVE SCHUEMAN [Name]				
23					
24	(Title)				
25					
26					
27					
28					

ORDER AND JUDGMENT Based upon the stipulated Consent Judgment between CEH and Schurman Fine Papers, the settlement is approved and the clerk is directed to enter judgment in accordance with the terms herein. APR 2 9 2010 Dated: VERNA ADAMS Judge, Superior Court of the State of California