[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT

1, INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 On December 3, 2008, plaintiff the Consumer Advocacy Group, Inc. ("CAG"), a non-profit corporation, filed a complaint in Los Angeles County Superior Court, entitled Consumer Advocacy Group v. United Exchange Corp. (the "Action"), for civil penalties and injunctive relief pursuant to the provisions of Cal. Health & Safety Code §25249.5 et seq. ("Proposition 65"). CAG's Complaint named United Exchange, Inc. ("United Exchange") and unnamed "Does" as defendants.
- 1.2 United Exchange is a corporation that employs 10 or more persons. United Exchange sells or has sold to California consumers, or has otherwise made available for distribution in the State of California, various consumer products including but not limited to "Halsa ® Hair Color, a Permanent Cream Hairdye," (containing "Diaminotoluene (mixed)); Nue Pore products such as Hairdye cream products known as "Nu-Pore® Hair Color, a Permanent Cream Hairdye" (containing Diaminotoluene (mixed)), and Hair straightening products known as "Nu-Pore® Straight & Simple Hair Straightening Treatment" (containing Bromate); "Men's Select 5 Minute Hair Color," which are Hair coloring dye products (containing Diaminotoluene (mixed)); and "Select Lash," which are Eyelash glue products (containing chemical ingredient known as "acrylonitrile") hereinafter collectively referred to as "covered products." This Consent Judgment requires complete reformulation of the covered Products.
- 1.3 On or about June 27, 2007, On or about June 11, 2008, and On or about April 14, 2009 CAG served United Exchange and the appropriate public enforcement agencies with different notices alleging that United Exchange was in violation of Proposition 65. CAG's notices and the Complaint in this Action allege that United Exchange exposes people who handle the Products to different carcinogenic chemicals, Diaminotoluene (mixed), Bromate and acrylonitrile (hereinafter referred to as "listed chemicals") without providing clear and reasonable warnings, in violation of Health & Safety Code §25249.6.
- 1.4 For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in CAG's Complaint and personal jurisdiction over United Exchange as to the acts alleged in CAG's Complaint,

 that venue is proper in the County of Los Angeles, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment as a full and final resolution of all claims which were or could have been raised in the Complaint based on the facts alleged therein.

- 1.5 The parties enter into this Consent Judgment pursuant to a settlement of certain disputed claims as alleged in the Complaint for the purpose of avoiding prolonged and costly litigation.
- 1.6 Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law or violation of law, nor shall compliance with the Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law.
- 1.7 Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive or impair any right, remedy, argument or defense the Parties may have in this or any other or future legal proceeding.
- 1.8 This Consent Judgment is the product of negotiation and compromise and is accepted by the Parties, for purposes of settling, compromising and resolving issues disputed in this action, including future compliance by United Exchange with Section 2 of this Consent Judgment and shall not be used for any other purpose, or in any other matter.

2. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

2.1 Within 60 days of entry of this Consent Judgment by the Court (the "Compliance Date"), United Exchange shall reformulate the covered products so that they would no longer contain the listed chemicals.

3. SETTLEMENT PAYMENT

- 3.1 Within 15 days of the approval of the Consent Judgment by the Court, United Exchange shall pay total of sixty-three thousand five hundred dollars (\$63,500) to CAG at the offices of Yeroushalmi & Associates. The payment shall be apportioned as follows:
 - 3.1.1 Monetary Payment in Lieu of Penalty: \$4,000 dollars shall be paid to CAG in lieu of any penalty pursuant to Health and Safety Code

-24

§ 25249.7(b). CAG shall use such funds to continue its work protecting people from exposures to toxic chemicals, including those listed under Proposition 65; protecting the environment; improving human health; and supporting environmentally sound practices. Payment shall be made to "Consumer Advocacy Group, Inc."

3.1.2 Attorneys' Fees and Costs: 59,500 dollars of such payment shall be used to reimburse CAG and its attorneys for their reasonable investigation fees and costs, attorneys' fees, and any other costs incurred as a result of investigating, bringing this matter to United Exchange' attention, litigating and negotiating a settlement in the public interest. Payment shall be made to "Yeroushalmi & Associates."

4. MODIFICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

4.1 This written Consent Judgment may be modified by written agreement of CAG and United Exchange upon stipulation and Order of the Court, or after noticed motion, and upon entry of a consent judgment by the Court thereon, or upon motion of CAG or United Exchange as provided by law and upon entry of a modified consent judgment by the Court.

5. ENFORCEMENT OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

5.1 Either party may, by motion or application for an order to show cause before the Superior Court of the County of Los Angeles, consistent with the terms and conditions set forth in paragraphs 9.2 and 9.3 of this Consent Judgment, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment. The prevailing party shall be entitled to its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs associated with such motion or application.

6. APPLICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

6.1 This Consent Judgment shall apply to and be binding upon the parties hereto, their divisions, subdivisions and subsidiaries, and the successors or assigns of any of them.

7. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED

7.1 This Consent Judgment is a full, final and binding resolution between CAG and United Exchange of any violation of Proposition 65 that could have been asserted against United Exchange in the Complaint based on United Exchange' failure to warn about exposure to listed chemicals contained in the Products prior to the date of entry of this judgment. Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment shall constitute compliance with Proposition 65 with respect to exposures to lead by United Exchange, Inc., or by any retail or distributor customer of United Exchange, Inc., with respect to Products purchased from United Exchange. This release does not limit or effect the obligations of any party created under this Consent Judgment.

8. SEVERABILITY

8.1 In the event that any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment are held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the enforceable provisions shall not be adversely affected.

9. NOTICE AND CURE

- 9.1 No action to enforce this Consent Judgment may be commenced, and (1) Diaminotolisene (mixed); (2) Bromade; (3) Acryloniteile no notice of violation related to lead may be served or filed against United Exchange by CAG, unless the party seeking enforcement or alleging violation notifies the other party of the specific acts alleged to breach this Consent Judgment at least 90 days before serving or filing any motion, action, or Notice of Violation. Any notice to United Exchange must contain (a) the name of the product, (b) specific dates when the product was sold in California without the warning specified in Section 2, and (c) any evidence or other support for the allegations in the notice.
- 9.2 Within 30 days of receiving the notice described in Section 9.1, United Exchange shall either (1) withdraw the product or (2) provide for the product the warning described in Section 2 or (3) refute the information provided under Section 9.1. Should the parties be unable to resolve the dispute, either party may seek relief under Section 5.

10. GOVERNING LAW

10.1 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of

2	11.	PROV	VISION OF NOTICE
3		11.1	All notices required pursuant to this Consent Judgment and
4	correspondence shall be sent to the following:		
5	For CAG:		
6			Reuben Yeroushalmi
7			Yeroushalmi & Associates 3700 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 480
8			Los Angeles, CA 90010
9	For United Exchange:		
10			John P. Lee, Esq. Law Offices of John P. Lee
11			3435 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 2920 Los Angeles, CA 90010
12			Los Angeics, CA 90010
13	13. COURT APPROVAL		
14		13.1	If this Consent Judgment is not approved by the Court, it shall be of no
15	further force or effect.		
16		13.2	CAG shall comply with Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(f) and
17	with Title 11 California Code of Regulations section 3003.		
18	14. EXECUTION AND COUNTERPARTS		
19		14.1	The stipulations to this Consent Judgment may be executed in
20	counterparts and by means of facsimile, which taken together shall be deemed to constitute		
21	one document. A facsimile or pdf signature shall be as valid as the original.		
22	15.	AUT	HORIZATION
23		15.1	Each signer of this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully
24	authorized by	the par	rty he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment and to enter
24 25 26	into and execute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the party represented and legally bind		
26	that party. The undersigned have read, understand and agree to all of the terms and conditions		
27	of this Consent Judgment. Except as explicitly provided herein, each party is to bear its own		
28	fees and costs.		

the State of California.

[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT

I, John P. Lee, agree and approve as to form and content the following handwritten changes made to the [Propose] Consent Judgment on page 5, line 16:

The word "lead" is changed to "(1) Diaminotoluene (mixed); (2) Bromate; (3)
 Acrylonitrile.

Dated November 18, 2009

LAW OFFICES OF JOHN P. LEE.

John P. Lee, Attorneys for

Defendant United Exchange Corp.

ORDER AND JUDGMENT

Based upon the stipulated Consent Judgment between Consumer Advocacy

Group, Inc. and United Exchange, Inc., the settlement is approved and judgment is hereby

entered according to the terms herein.

Judge Superior Court of the State of California