1 2 3	Clifford A. Chanler, State Bar No. 135534 Daniel Bornstein, State Bar No. 181711 HIRST & CHANLER LLP 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710-2565	JUN 1 2 2009
4	Telephone: (510) 848-8880 Facsimile: (510) 935-8116	n Allen en en en en en en el Constor Les les en en en en en el en el Constola El Les en el Lace d'Actuage
5 6	Attorneys for Plaintiff RUSSELL BRIMER	
7		
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF TH	E STATE OF CALIFORNIA
9	FOR THE COU	NTY OF MARIN
10	UNLIMITED CIV	IL JURISDICTION
11		
12	RUSSELL BRIMER	Case No. CIV 091030
13	Plaintiff,	[PROPOSED] JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO TERMS OF STIPULATION AND
14	V.	ORDER RE: CONSENT JUDGMENT
15	BOSTON WAREHOUSE TRADING CORP.; and DOES 1 through 150, inclusive,	Date: June 11, 2009 Time: 9:00 a.m.
16	Defendants.	Dept.: B Judge: Hon. Michael B. Dufficy
17		•
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

[PROPOSED] JUDGMENT

In the above-entitled action, Plaintiff RUSSELL BRIMER. and Defendant BOSTON WAREHOUSE TRADING CORP., having agreed through their respective counsel that judgment be entered pursuant to the terms of the Proposition 65 settlement agreement in the form of a Stipulation and [Proposed] Order Re: Consent Judgment entered into by the parties, and following issuance of an order approving this Proposition 65 settlement agreement and entering the Stipulation and Order Re: Consent Judgment on June 1st, 2009.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure §664.6, judgment is entered in accordance with the terms of the Stipulation and Order Re: Consent Judgment attached hereto as **Exhibit 1** and lodged concurrently herewith.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: JUN 1 2 2009

MICHAEL B. DUFFICY
JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT

Exhibit 1

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Clifford A. Chanler, State Bar No. 135534 Daniel Bornstein, State Bar No. 181711 HIRST & CHANLER LLP 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710-2565 Telephone: (510) 848-8880 Facsimile: (510) 848-8118 Attorneys for Plaintiff RUSSEL BRIMER Peter M. Morrisette, State Bar No. 209190 COX CASTLE & NICHOLSON, LLP 555 California Street, 10 th Floor San Francisco, CA 94104 Telephone: (415) 392-4200 Facsimile: (415) 392-4250 Attorneys for Defendant BOSTON WAREHOUSE TRADING CORP.			
	COUNTY OF MARIN			
15 16	UNLIMITED J	URISDICTION		
17	RUSSELL BRIMER,	Case No. CIV 091030		
18	Plaintiff,	CONTRACT AND INDODOCEDIA		
19	v.	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE: CONSENT JUDGMENT		
20	BOSTON WAREHOUSE TRADING CORP.;			
21	and DOES 1 through 150, inclusive			
22	Defendants.			
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
1				

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE: CONSENT JUDGMENT

1.

INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 Plaintiff and Settling Defendant. This Consent Judgment is entered into by and between plaintiff Russell Brimer (hereafter "Brimer" or "Plaintiff") and defendant Boston Warehouse Trading Corp. (hereinafter "Boston" or "Defendant"), with Plaintiff and Defendant collectively referred to as the "Parties" and Brimer and Defendant each being a "Party."
- 1.2 **Plaintiff.** Brimer is an individual residing in California who seeks to promote awareness of exposures to toxic chemicals and improve human health by reducing or eliminating hazardous substances contained in consumer products.
- 1.3 General Allegations. Plaintiff alleges that Defendant has manufactured, distributed and/or sold in the State of California ceramic mugs and other ceramic tableware products intended for the consumption of food or beverages with colored artwork or designs containing lead and/or cadmium on the exterior surface. Lead and Cadmium are listed pursuant to the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health & Safety Code §§25249.5 et seq. ("Proposition 65") is known to cause cancer and birth defects (and other reproductive harm). Lead (and/or lead compounds) and Cadmium shall be referred to herein as the "Listed Chemicals."
- 1.4 **Product Description**. The products that are covered by this Consent Judgment are defined as follows: ceramic mugs and other ceramic tableware products intended for the consumption of food or beverages, with colored artwork or designs on the exterior surface containing lead and/or cadmium, manufactured, imported, distributed and/or otherwise sold by Defendant in California, including, but not limited to the types of tableware products identified in Exhibit "A" attached hereto. Such products collectively are referred to herein as the "Products."
- 1.5 **Notices of Violation**. Beginning on August 14, 2008, and on January 26, 2009, Brimer served Defendant and various public enforcement agencies with documents entitled "60-Day Notice of Violation" ("Notice"), that provided Defendant and such public enforcers with notice that alleged that Defendant was in violation of Health & Safety Code §25249.6 for failing to warn purchasers that certain tableware Products that they sold expose users in California to the Lead. Since then, no public enforcer sought to diligently prosecute the allegations set forth in the Notices. On March 19, 2009, Brimer served Boston and various public enforcement agencies with

a document entitled "Second Supplemental 60-Day Notice of Violation" (the "Supplemental Notice") that provided Boston and such public enforcers with notice that alleged that Boston was in violation of California Health & Safety Code §25249.6 for failing to warn consumers and customers that the tableware Products sold by Boston exposed users in California to Cadmium. If no public enforcer diligently prosecutes the allegations set forth in the Second Supplemental Notice within 60 days of Boston's receipt of the Second Supplemental Notice, Cadmium shall be included in the definition of "Listed Chemicals" for purposes of this agreement.

- 1.6 **Complaint**. On March 6, 2009, Brimer, in the interest of the general public in California, filed a complaint (hereafter referred to as the "Complaint" or the "Action") in the Superior Court for the County of Marin against Defendant and Does 1 through 150, alleging violations of Health & Safety Code §25249.6 based on the alleged exposures to the Listed Chemicals contained in certain Products sold by Defendant without the requisite health hazard warnings.
- 1.7 **No Admission**. Defendant denies the material factual and legal allegations contained in Plaintiff's Notices and Complaint and maintains that all products that it has sold and distributed in California including the Products have been and are in compliance with all laws. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by Defendant of any fact, finding, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with this Agreement constitute or be construed as an admission by Defendant of any fact, finding, conclusion, issue of law or violation of law. However, this section shall not diminish or otherwise affect the obligations, responsibilities and duties of Defendant under this Consent Judgment.
- 1.8 **Consent to Jurisdiction**. For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the Parties and concerning the alleged violations at issue and personal jurisdiction over Defendant as to the acts alleged, that venue is proper in the County of Marin, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment and to enforce the provisions thereof.
- 1.9 **Effective Date**. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, "Effective Date" shall be April 30, 2009.

2.	INJ	UNCTI	VE REL	IEF: PROPOSITION 65 WARNINGS AND REFORMULATION
	2.1	WAR	NING (DBLIGATIONS FOR NON-REFORMULATED PRODUCTS
		(a)	Requi	ired Warnings. After May 30, 2009, Boston shall not ship or cause to
be sh	ipped o	or sell an	y Produ	cts containing any of the Listed Chemicalss to any person or entity in
Calif	ornia, ı	ınless wa	arnings :	are given in accordance with one or more provisions in Subsection 2.2
belov	v.			
		(b)	Excep	otions. The obligation set forth in subsections 2.1(a) and 2.2 below
shall	not app	oly to:		
			(i)	any Products manufactured on or before April 30, 2009; or
			(ii)	Reformulated Products
	2.2	CLEA	R AND	REASONABLE WARNINGS
		(a)	Produ	act Labeling. A warning shall be affixed to the packaging, labeling or
direc	tly to o	r on the	Products	s which states:
		WAR	NING:	The materials used as colored decorations on the
				exterior of this product contain lead and/or cadmium, chemicals known to the State of
				California to cause birth defects or other
				reproductive harm.
		or,		
		WAR	RNING:	The materials used as colored decorations on the exterior of the following products contain lead
				and/or cadmium, chemicals known to the State of
				California to cause birth defects or other reproductive harm.
Warn	ings iss	sued for	the Prod	ducts pursuant to this subsection shall be prominently placed with such
consp	oicuous	ness as o	compare	d with other words, statements, designs, or devices as to render it
likely	to be r	ead and	underst	ood by an ordinary individual under customary conditions of use or
purch	ıase. A	ny chan	ges to th	te language or format of the warning required by this subsection shall
•		•	-	approval of Plaintiff; (2) approval from the California Attorney
•				nat written notice of at least fifteen (15) days is given to Plaintiff for
		-		or (3) Court approval.
-				

	(b)	Point-of-Sale Warnings.	The Defendants may execute their warning
obligations,	where ap	oplicable, through arranging	for the posting of signs at retail outlets in the
State of Cali	fornia at	which the Products are sold	, in accordance with the terms specified in
subsections 2	2.2(b)(i)	, 2.2(b)(ii) and 2.2(b)(iii).	

- (i) If point-of-sale warnings are to be provided through one or more signs posted at or near the point of sale or display of the Products, the warning must state:
 - WARNING: The materials used as colored decorations on the exterior of this product contain lead and/or cadmium, chemicals known to the State of California to cause birth defects or other reproductive harm.

or

WARNING: The materials used as colored decorations on the exterior of the following glassware products sold in this store contain lead and/or cadmium, chemicals known to the State of California to cause birth defects or other reproductive harm.

(ii) Warnings issued for the Products pursuant to this subsection shall be prominently placed with such conspicuousness as compared with other words, statements, designs, or devices as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual under customary conditions of use or purchase and shall be placed or written in a manner such that the consumer understands to which *specific* Products the warnings apply so as to minimize if not eliminate the chances that an overwarning situation will arise. Any changes to the language or format of the warning required for the Products by this subsection shall only be made following:

(1) approval of Plaintiff; (2) approval from the California Attorney General's Office, provided that written notice of at least fifteen (15) days is given to Plaintiff for the opportunity to comment; or,

(3) Court approval.

(iii) If Boston intends to utilize point-of-sale warnings for sales made to retail outlets to comply with this Consent Judgment, it must provide notice as required by this Consent Judgment to each retailer to whom the Products are shipped for sale in California and obtain the written consent of such retailer before shipping the Products. Such notice shall include

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	1
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

26

27

28

a copy of this Consent Judgment and any required warning materials (including, as appropriate, signs and/or stickers). If Boston has obtained the written consent of a retailer and transmitted the requisite warnings as provided herein, Boston shall not be found to have violated this Consent Judgment if it has complied with the terms of this Consent Judgment.

2.3 Reformulation Commitment

Beginning December 31, 2009, Defendant shall not ship, offer to ship for sale or sale in California any Products containing the Listed Chemicals, unless such Products meet the applicable reformulation standards set forth in section 2.3 (b) below.

(a) **Reformulation Definitions**. For purposes of this section, the following definitions apply:

"Exterior Decorations" is defined as all colored artwork, designs and/or markings on the exterior surface of the Product.

"Lip and Rim Area" is defined as the interior and exterior top 20 millimeters of a hollowware food/beverage Product, as defined by American Society of Testing and Materials Standard Test Method C927-99.

"No Detectable Lead or Cadmium" shall mean that no lead is detected at a level above two one-hundredths of one percent (0.02%) by weight and no cadmium is detected at a level above eight one-hundredths of one percent (0.08%) using a sample size of the materials in question measuring approximately 50-100 mg and a test method of sufficient sensitivity to establish a limit of quantitation of less than 200 ppm.

"Product" shall mean ceramic mugs and other ceramic tableware intended for the consumption of food or beverages, with colored artwork or designs on the exterior surface.

"Reformulated Product" refers to any Product that meets the Reformulation Standard set forth below, as applicable.

(b) **Reformulation Standard.** A Product is a Reformulated Product if it satisfies the standards outlined in subsections 2.3(b)(i), (ii) or (iii), subject to the following qualifications:

If the decoration is tested after it is affixed to the Product, the percentage of the Listed Chemicals by weight must relate only to the decorating material and must not include any quantity attributable to non-decorating material (e.g., the substrate).

- i. Decorating Material Content-Based Standard. The Exterior Decorations, exclusive of the Lip and Rim Area, must only utilize decorating materials that contain six one-hundredths of one percent (0.06%) lead or forty eight one-hundredths of one percent (0.48%) cadmium by weight or less as measured either before or after the material is fired onto (or otherwise affixed to) the Product, using EPA Test Method 3050B.²
- ii. Wipe Test-Based Standard. The Product must produce a test result no higher than 1.0 micrograms (ug) of lead and no higher than 8.0 micrograms (ug) of cadmium as applied to the Exterior Decorations and performed as outlined in NIOSH method no. 9100.
- iii. Total Acetic-Acid Immersion Test Based Standard. The Product must achieve a result of 0.99 ppm or less for lead and 7.92 ppm of less for cadmium after correction for internal volume when tested under the protocol attached hereto as Exhibit A (the ASTM C927-99 test method, modified for total immersion with results corrected for internal volume).³
- iv. Lip and Rim Area Decoration. If the Product contains Exterior Decorations in the Lip and Rim Area:
 - (A) Any Exterior Decorations that extend into the Lip and Rim Area must only utilize decorating materials that contain No Detectable Lead or Cadmium; or
 - (B) The Product must yield a test result showing a concentration level of 0.5 ug/ml or less of lead and 4.0 ug/ml or less of cadmium using ASTM method C 927-99.4

3. PAYMENTS MADE PURSUANT TO HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.6

² If the decoration is tested after it is affixed to the Product, the percentage of the Listed Chemicals by weight must relate only to the decorating material and must not include any quantity attributable to non-decorating material (e.g., the substrate).

³ Because this method requires correction for internal volume, this method is only appropriate for ceramic hollowware.

⁴ The result must be evaluated without correction for internal volume; this method is only appropriate for ceramic hollowware.

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	l
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	

In settlement of all the claims referred to in this Consent Judgment against it, Boston shall pay \$24,000 in civil penalties to be apportioned in accordance with California Health & Safety Code §25192, with 75% of these funds remitted to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment and the remaining 25% of these penalty monies remitted to Brimer as provided by California Health and Safety Code §25249.12(d). The first payment of \$12,000 shall be made on or before April 30, 2009. The second payment of \$12,000 shall be waived if Boston certifies by January 31, 2010 that it is shipping or selling only Reformulated Products in California. Boston shall issue two separate checks for each of the penalty payments: (a) one check made payable to Hirst & Chanler LLP in Trust for the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment (OEHHA) in the amount of \$9,000, representing 75% of the total penalty; and (b) one check to Hirst & Chanler LLP in Trust for Brimer in the amount of \$3,000, representing 25% of the total penalty. Two separate 1099s shall be issued for the above payments: The first 1099 shall be issued to OEHHA, P.O. Box 4010, Sacramento, CA 95184 (EIN: 68-0284486) in the amount of \$9,000. The second 1099 shall be issued to Brimer in the amount of \$3,000, whose address and tax identification number shall be furnished, upon request, five calendar days before payment is due. The payment shall be made payable to HIRST & CHANLER LLP and shall be delivered on or before April; 30, 2009, at the following address:

19

18

HIRST & CHANLER LLP Attn: Proposition 65 Controller 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710-2565

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

20

4. REIMBURSEMENT OF FEES AND COSTS

The parties reached an accord on the compensation due to Brimer and his counsel under the private attorney doctrine, Heath & Safety Code §25249.6 et seq. and principles of contract law. Under these legal principles, Boston shall reimburse Brimer's counsel for fees and costs, incurred as a result of investigating, bringing this matter to Boston's attention, and negotiating a settlement in the public interest. Boston shall pay Brimer and his counsel \$28,500 for all attorneys' fees,

expert and investigation fees, and related costs. The payment shall be made payable to HIRST & CHANLER LLP and shall be delivered no or before April 30, 2009, at the following address:

HIRST & CHANLER LLP

Attn: Proposition 65 Controller 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710-2565

Boston shall issue a separate 1099 for fees and cost paid in the amount of \$28,500 to Hirst & Chanler LLP, 2560 Ninth Street, Parker Plaza, Suite 214, Berkeley, CA 94710-2565 (EIN: 20-3929984).

4.1 Additional Attorney Fees and Costs in Seeking Judicial Approval

Pursuant to CCP §§1021 and 1021.5, the Parties agree that Defendant will reimburse Brimer and his counsel for their reasonable fees and costs incurred in seeking judicial approval of this settlement agreement in the trial court, in an amount not to exceed \$8,000. Such additional fees and costs, exclusive of fees and costs that may be incurred in the event of an appeal include, but are not limited to, drafting and filing of the motion to approve papers, fulfilling the reporting requirements referenced in Health & Safety Code §25249.7(f), responding to any third party objections, corresponding with opposing counsel and appearing before the Court related to the approval process.

Reimbursement of such additional fees and costs shall be due within ten days after receipt of a billing statement from Brimer ("Additional Fee Claim"). Payment of the Additional Fee Claim shall be made to "Hirst & Chanler LLP," and the payment shall be delivered, at the following address:

HRST & CHANLER LLP Attn: Proposition 65 Controller 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710-2565

5. RELEASE OF ALL CLAIMS

16 17

18

14

15

19

21

20

2223

24

25

26 27

28

In further consideration of the representations, warranties and commitments herein contained, and for the payments to be made pursuant to sections 3 and 4, Plaintiff, on behalf of himself, his past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors assignees, or any person or entity who may now or in the future claim through him in a derivative manner, and in the interest of the general public, hereby waives all rights to institute or participate in, directly or indirectly, any form of legal action and release all claims, including, without limitation, all actions, causes of action, in law or in equity, suits, liabilities, demands, obligations, damages, costs, fines, penalties, losses or expenses (including, but not limited to, investigation fees, expert fees and attorneys' fees) of any nature whatsoever, whether known or unknown, fixed or contingent (collectively "Claims"), against Defendant and each of its distributors, wholesalers, licensors, licensees, auctioneers, retailers (specifically including Nob Hill Foods and Tuesday Morning), dealers, customers, owners, purchasers, users, parent company, corporate affiliates, subsidiaries and their respective officers, directors, attorneys, representatives, shareholders, agents, representatives, insurers and employees and any other persons or entities to whom Defendant may be liable (collectively, "Defendant's Releasees") arising under Proposition 65 related to Defendant's or Defendant's Releasees' alleged failure to warn about exposures to or identification of the Listed Chemicals contained in the Products. It is specifically understood and agreed that the Parties and the Court intend that Defendant's compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment resolves all issues and liability, now and in the future (so long as Defendant complies with the terms of the Consent Judgment) concerning Defendant and the Defendant's Releasees' compliance with the requirements of Proposition 65 as to the Listed Chemicals in the exterior decorations on the Products.

6. **COURT APPROVAL**

This Consent Judgment is not effective until it is approved and entered by the Court and shall be null and void if, for any reason, it is not approved and entered by the Court within one year after it has been fully executed by all Parties, in which event any monies that have been provided to Plaintiff or his counsel pursuant to section 3 and section 4 above, shall be refunded within fifteen (15) days.

7. **SEVERABILITY**

If, subsequent to court approval of this Consent Judgment, any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment are held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the enforceable provisions remaining shall not be adversely affected.

8. **GOVERNING LAW**

The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California and apply within the State of California. In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed or is otherwise rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally, or as to the Products specifically, then Boston shall have no further injunctive obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment with respect to, and to the extent that, those Products are so affected.

9. **NOTICES**

All correspondence and notices required to be provided pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be in writing and personally delivered or sent by: (1) first-class, registered, certified mail, return receipt requested or (ii) overnight courier on either Party by the other at the addresses listed below. Either Party, from time to time, may specify a change of address to which all notices and other communications shall be sent.

For Plaintiff:

For Defendant:

Russell Brimer c/o Hirst & Chanler LLP Attn: Proposition 65 Controller 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710-2565

Boston Warehouse Trading Corp. c/o Peter M. Morrisette, State Bar No. 209190 COX CASTLE & NICHOLSON, LLP 555 California Street, 10th Floor San Francisco, CA 94104

10. COUNTERPARTS; FACSIMILE SIGNATURES

This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by facsimile, each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute one and the same document.

11. COMPLIANCE WITH HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 25249.7(F)

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2021

22

2324

25

26

27

28

Plaintiff agrees to comply with the reporting form requirements referenced in Health & Safety Code §25249.7(f). Pursuant to regulations promulgated under that section, Plaintiff shall present this Consent Judgment to the California Attorney General's Office within two (2) days after receiving all of the necessary signatures. A noticed motion to enter the Consent Judgment will then be served on the Attorney General's Office at least forty-five (45) days prior to the date a hearing is scheduled on such motion in the Superior Court for the County of Marin unless the Court allows a shorter period of time.

12. ADDITIONAL POST EXECUTION ACTIVITIES

The Parties shall mutually employ their best efforts to support the entry of this Agreement as a Consent Judgment and obtain approval of the Consent Judgment by the Court in a timely manner. The Parties acknowledge that, pursuant to Health & Safety Code §25249.7, a noticed motion is required to obtain judicial approval of this Consent Judgment. Accordingly, the plaintiff agrees to file a Motion to Approve the Agreement ("Motion"), the first draft of which Plaintiff's counsel shall prepare, within a reasonable period of time after the Execution Date (*i.e.*, not to exceed thirty (30) days unless otherwise agreed to by the Parties' counsel based on unanticipated circumstances). Plaintiff's counsel shall prepare a declaration in support of the Motion which shall, *inter alia*, set forth support for the fees and costs to be reimbursed pursuant to Section 4.

13. **MODIFICATION**

This Consent Judgment may be modified only by: (1) written agreement of the Parties and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court thereon, or (2) motion of any Party as provided by law and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court. The Attorney General shall be served with notice of any proposed modification to this Consent Judgment at least fifteen (15) days in advance of its consideration by the Court. Brimer shall be entitled to his reasonable fees and costs under CCP §1021.5 if Defendant, the Attorney General and/or any third party seeks to modify the terms of this Consent Judgment.

/ / /

1	111	
2	111	
3	14. AUTHORIZATION	
4	The undersigned are authorized to ex	xecute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their
5	respective Parties and have read, understood	d and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this
6	Consent Judgment.	
7	·	
8	AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:
9		·
10	Date: 5-31-09	Date:
11		
12	By: Kushi S	By:
13	Plaintiff RUSSELL BRIMER	Peter K. Jenkins, President Defendant BOSTON WAREHOUSE
14		TRADING CORP.
15	APPROVED AS TO FORM:	APPROVED AS TO FORM:
16	17/40	
17	Date: 4/5/07/	Date:
18	HIRST & CHANLER LLP	COX CASTLE & NICHOLSON, LLP
19	() R	
20	By: A local & Colle	By:Peter M. Morrisette, Esq.
21	Daniel Bornstein, Esq. Attorney for Plaintiff	Attorney for Defendant
22	RUSSELL BRIMER	BOSTON WAREHOUSE TRADING CORP.
23	THE IS SO OPPERED.	
24	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
25	D.	
26	Date:	JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
27		JOBOL OF THE BOLERIOR COURT
28		

-	14. AUTHORIZATION		
	The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their		
	respective Parties and have read, understood and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this		
	Consent Judgment.		
	AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:	
	Date:	Date: 4-7-09	
		0/1-1	
	By: Plaintiff RUSSELL BRIMER	By: Ish h. Luking	
	Plaintiff RUSSELL BRIMER	Peter K. Jenkins, President Defendant BOSTON WAREHOUSE	
		TRADING CORP.	
	APPROVED AS TO FORM:	APPROVED AS TO FORM:	
	Date:	Date:	
	HIRST & CHANLER LLP	COX CASTLE & NICHOLSON, LLP	
	By: Daniel Bornstein, Fsq.	By: Peter M. Morrisette, Esq.	
	Deniel Bornstein, Fsq. Attorney for Plaintiff	Peter M. Morrisette, Esq. Attorncy for Defendant	
	RUSSELL BRIMER	HOSTON WAREHOUSE TRADING CORP.	
	m to oo on himse		
	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
	Date:	R MOD OD MYD O'MENY O'CO	
		JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT	

1	14. AUTHORIZATION				
2	The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their				
3	respective Parties and have read, understo	od and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this			
4	Consent Judgment.				
5					
6	AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:			
7					
8	Date:	Date:			
9					
10	By: Plaintiff RUSSELL BRIMER	Ву:			
11	Plaintiff RUSSELL BRIMER	Peter K. Jenkins, President Defendant BOSTON WAREHOUSE			
12		TRADING CORP.			
13	APPROVED AS TO FORM:	APPROVED AS TO FORM:			
14					
15	Date:	Date: App. 17, 2009			
16	HIRST & CHANLER LLP	COX CASTLE & NICHOLSON, LLP			
17					
18	Ву:	By: Peter M Munths			
19	Daniel Bornstein, Esq. Attorney for Plaintiff	Peter M. Morrisette, Esq. Attorney for Defendant			
20	RUSSELL BRIMER	BOSTON WAREHOUSE TRADING			
21		CORP.			
22	IT IS SO ORDERED.				
23					
24	Date:				
25		JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT			
26					
27					
28					

EXHIBIT A The Products covered by this agreement include, but are not limited to the following Ceramic Tableware Products: Mugs Dishes Bowls Serving Platters and Dishes Spreader Sets Corn Picks Dip Bowls Serving Utensils Wine glass markers Spoonrests