2 RECD DEC 0 2.2010	Clifford A. Chanler, State Bar No. 135534 Laurence Haveson, State Bar No. 152631 Josh Voorhees, State Bar No. 241436 THE CHANLER GROUP 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710-2565 Telephone: (510) 848-8880 Facsimile: (510) 848-8118 Attorneys for Plaintiff ANTHONY E. HELD, PH.D., P.E.	JAN 20 2011 CLERK OF THE COURT BY: 2000 Superior Court Deputy Clerk
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF TH	HE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
9	FOR THE COUNTY	OF SAN FRANCISCO
10	UNLIMITED CIV	VIL JURISDICTION
11		
12	ANTHONY E. HELD, PH.D., P.E.,	Case No.: CGC-09-491275
13	Plaintiff,	[PROPOSED] JUDGMENT
14	v .	PURSUANT TO TERMS OF PROPOSITION 65 SETTLEMENT
15		AND CONSENT JUDGMENT
16	KMART CORPORATION, and DOES 1-150, inclusive,	Date: January 20, 2011 Time: 9:30 A.M.
17	Defendants.	Dept.: 301 Judge: Hon. Peter J. Busch
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

In the above-entitled action, Plaintiff ANTHONY E. HELD, PH.D., P.E. and Defendant KMART CORPORATION, having agreed through their respective counsel that judgment be entered pursuant to the terms of the [Proposed] Order Re: Consent Judgment ("Consent Judgment") entered into by the parties, and following issuance of an order approving this Proposition 65 settlement agreement on January 20, 2011.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(f)(4) and Code of Civil Procedure § 664.6, judgment is entered in accordance with the terms of the Consent Judgment attached hereto as Exhibit 1. By stipulation of the parties, the Court will retain jurisdiction to enforce the settlement under Code of Civil Procedure § 664.6.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: ______

JUDGE

PETER J. BUSCH

Exhibit 1

1	Clifford A. Chanler, State Bar No. 135534 David Lavine, State Bar No. 166744 CHANLER LAW GROUP 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710 Telephone: (510) 848-8880 Facsimile: (510) 848-8118			
2				
3				
4				
5	Attorneys for Plaintiff	·		
6	ANTHONY E. HELD, Ph.D., P.E.			
7				
8		•		
9	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA			
10	THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO			
11	UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION			
12				
13	ANTHONY E. HELD, Ph.D., P.E.,	Case No. CGC-09-491275		
14	Plaintiff,	[PROPOSED] CONSENT		
15	ν.	JUDGMENT		
16	KMART CORPORATION, and DOES 1-150, inclusive,	Health & Safety Code § 25249.6		
17	Defendants.			
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26	· ·			
27				
28				
	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] OR	DER RE: CONSENT JUDGMENT		

sf-2860227

E

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1.

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Anthony E. Held, Ph.D., P.E., and Kmart Corporation

This Consent Judgment is entered into by and between Anthony E. Held, Ph.D., P.E. (hereinafter "Dr. Held") and Kmart Corporation (hereinafter "Kmart Corporation"), with Dr. Held and Kmart Corporation collectively referred to as the "Parties."

1.2 Plaintiff

Dr. Held is an individual residing in California who seeks to promote awareness of exposures to toxic chemicals and improve human health by reducing or eliminating hazardous substances contained in consumer products.

1.3

1.4

3 Defendant

11 Kmart Corporation employs ten or more persons and is a person in the course of doing
 12 business for purposes of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California
 13 Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 et seq. ("Proposition 65").

14

General Allegations

Dr. Held alleges that Kmart Corporation has sold in the State of California children's vinyl
bathroom animal toys containing di(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate ("DEHP"). DEHP is listed pursuant to
the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health & Safety Code §§
25249.5 et seq. ("Proposition 65"), as a chemical known to the State of California to cause birth
defects and other reproductive harm. DEHP is referred to herein as the "Listed Chemical."

20

28

1.5 Product Description

The products that are covered by this Consent Judgment are defined as follows: children's vinyl bathroom toys containing excessive levels of the Listed Chemical including, but not limited to, *12pk Sealife Animals (#4 895129 725196)*. All such items shall be referred to herein as the "Products."

25

1.6 Notice of Violation

26 On August 14, 2008, Dr. Held served Kmart Corporation and various public enforcement 27 agencies with a document entitled "60-Day Notice of Violation" that provided Kmart Corporation

and such public enforcers with notice that alleged that Kmart Corporation was in violation of California Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn consumers and customers that the Products exposed users in California to DEHP.

1.7

Complaint

On August 10, 2009, Dr. Held, who was and is acting in the interest of the general public in California, filed a complaint in the San Francisco Superior Court, Case No. CGC-09-491275 ("Complaint"), naming Kmart Corporation as a defendant and alleging violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 by Kmart Corporation based on the alleged exposures to DEHP contained in the Products offered for sale in California by Kmart Corporation.

9 10

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

1.8 No Admission

Kmart Corporation denies the material, factual, and legal allegations contained in Dr. Held's 11 Notice and maintains that all products that it has sold in California, including the Products, have 12 been, and are, in compliance with all laws. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as 13 an admission by Kmart Corporation of any fact, finding, issue of law, or violation of law; nor shall 14 compliance with this Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by Kmart 15 Corporation of any fact, finding, conclusion, issue of law, or violation of law, such being specifically 16 denied by Kmart Corporation. However, this section shall not diminish or otherwise affect the 17 obligations, responsibilities and duties of Kmart Corporation under this Consent Judgment. 18

19

1.9

Consent to Jurisdiction

For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over Kmart Corporation as to the allegations contained in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the City and County of San Francisco, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter and enforce the provisions of this Consent Judgment.

24

25

26

27

1.10 Effective Date

For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term "Effective Date" shall mean June 1, 2010.

28

3

4

2.

INJUNCTIVE RELIEF: REFORMULATION

2.1 Sale of Reformulated Products

Commencing on the Effective Date, Kmart Corporation shall not sell, ship, or offer to be shipped for sale in California any Product unless such Products comply with the reformulation standards set forth in Sections 2.2.

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

2.2 Reformulation Standards

Reformulated Products are defined as those Products containing less than or equal to 1,000 parts per million ("ppm") of the Listed Chemical, when analyzed pursuant to Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") testing methodologies 3580A and 8270C or U.S. Consumer Product Safety Commission ("CPSC") test method CPSC-CH-C1001-09.3, as may be amended by the U.S. CPSC or the EPA from time to time.

12

13

3.

MONETARY PAYMENTS

3.1 Payments Pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b)

In settlement of all claims related to the Products and Listed Chemical referred to in the
 Complaint, and this Consent Judgment pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b), Kmart
 Corporation shall pay \$1,000 in civil penalties.

Civil penalties are to be apportioned in accordance with California Health & Safety Code 17 § 25192, with 75% of these funds remitted to the State of California's Office of Environmental 18 Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA") and the remaining 25% of the penalty remitted to Anthony 19 Held as provided by California Health & Safety Code § 25249.12(d). Kmart Corporation shall issue 20 two separate checks for the penalty payment: (a) one check made payable to "the Chanler Group in 21 Trust for OEHHA" in the amount of \$750, representing 75% of the total penalty; and (b) one check to 22 "the Chanler Group in Trust for Anthony Held" in the amount of \$250, representing 25% of the total 23 penalty. Two separate 1099s shall be issued for the above-payments: (a) OEHHA, P.O. Box 4010, 24 Sacramento, CA 95814 (EIN: 68-0284486); and (b) Anthony Held, whose information shall be 25 provided ten calendar days before the payment is due. 26

27 28

Payment shall be delivered to Dr. Held's counsel on or before July 15, 2010, at the following

2 address:

4.

The Chanler Group Attn: Proposition 65 Controller 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710

5 -6

7

1

3

4

4.1 Attorney Fees and Costs.

REIMBURSEMENT OF FEES AND COSTS

The Parties acknowledge that Dr. Held and his counsel offered to resolve this dispute without 8 reaching terms on the amount of fees and costs to be reimbursed to them, thereby leaving this fee 9 issue to be resolved after the material terms of the agreement had been settled. Kmart Corporation 10 then expressed a desire to resolve the fee and cost issue shortly after the other settlement terms had 11 been finalized. The Parties then attempted to (and did) reach an accord on the compensation due to 12 Dr. Held and his counsel under general contract principles and the private attorney general doctrine 13 codified at California Code of Civil Procedure (C.C.P.) § 1021.5, for all work performed through the 14 mutual execution of this agreement. Kmart Corporation, on behalf of itself and those in its chain of 15 distribution, shall reimburse Dr. Held and his counsel a total of \$17,500 for fees and costs incurred as 16 a result of investigating, bringing this matter to Kmart Corporation's attention, and litigating and 17 negotiating a settlement in the public interest. Kmart Corporation shall issue a separate 1099 for fees 18 and costs (EIN: 94-3171522) and shall make the check payable to "the Chanler Group" and shall be 19 delivered on or before July 15, 2010. 20

The Chanler Group 21 Attn: Proposition 65 Controller 2560 Ninth Street 22 Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710 23 24 25

26

27

28

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE: CONSENT JUDGMENT

2

5.

RELEASE OF ALL CLAIMS

5.1 Dr. Held's Release of Kmart Corporation

In further consideration of the promises and agreements herein contained, and for the 3 payments to be made pursuant to Sections 3 and 4 above, Dr. Held, on behalf of himself, his past and 4 current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors and/or assignees, and in the interest of the 5 general public, hereby waives all rights to institute or participate in, directly or indirectly, any form of 6 legal action and releases all claims, including, without limitation, all actions, and causes of action, in 7 law or in equity, suits, liabilities, demands, obligations, damages, costs, fines, penalties, losses or 8 expenses (including, but not limited to, investigation fees, expert fees and attorneys' fees) of any 9 nature whatsoever, whether known or unknown, fixed or contingent (collectively "Claims"), against 10 Kmart Corporation and each of its downstream distributors, wholesalers, licensors, licensees, 11 auctioneers, retailers, franchisees, dealers, customers, owners, purchasers, users, parent companies, 12 corporate affiliates, subsidiaries, and their respective officers, directors, attorneys, representatives, 13 shareholders, agents, and employees, and sister and parent entities (collectively "Releasees"). This 14 release is limited to those claims that arise under Proposition 65, as such claims relate to Kmart 15 Corporation's alleged failure to warn about exposures to or identification of Listen Chemicals 16 contained in the Products. 17

18

5.2 Kmart Corporation Release of Dr. Held

Kmart Corporation waives any and all claims against Dr. Held, his attorneys and other
representatives, for any and all actions taken or statements made (or those that could have been taken
or made) by Dr. Held and his attorneys and other representatives, whether in the course of
investigating claims or otherwise seeking enforcement of Proposition 65 against it in this matter, or
with respect to the Products.

24

6. COURT APPROVAL

This Consent Judgment is not effective until it is approved and entered by the Court and shall be null and void if, for any reason, it is not approved and entered by the Court within one year after it has been fully executed by all parties, in which event any monies that have been provided to Dr.

28

2

4

5

6

7

Held, or his counsel pursuant to Section 3 and/or Section 4 above, shall be refunded within fifteen (15) days after receiving written notice from Kmart Corporation that the one-year period has expired.

3

7.

SEVERABILITY

If, subsequent to the execution of this Consent Judgment, any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment are held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the enforceable provisions remaining shall not be adversely affected.

8. GOVERNING LAW

The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California and apply within the State of California. In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed, preempted, or is otherwise rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally, or as to the Products, then Kmart Corporation provide written notice to Dr. Held of any asserted change in the law, and shall have no further obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment with respect to, and to the extent that, the Products are so affected. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be interpreted to relieve Kmart Corporation from any obligation to comply with any pertinent state or federal toxics control laws.

15 9. <u>NOTICES</u>

Unless specified herein, all correspondence and notices required to be provided pursuant to
this Consent Judgment shall be in writing and personally delivered or sent by: (i) first-class,
registered or certified mail, return receipt requested; or (ii) overnight courier on any party by the
other party at the following addresses:

20 For Kmart Corporation:

21	Michael J. Steel Morrison & Foerster LLP
22	425 Market Street San Francisco, CA 94105

For Dr. Held:
Proposition 65 Coordinator
The Chanler Group
2560 Ninth Street
Parker Plaza, Suite 214
Berkeley, CA 94710

sf-2860227

28

Any party, from time to time, may specify in writing to the other party a change of address to which all notices and other communications shall be sent.

2

1

10. COUNTERPARTS; FACSIMILE SIGNATURES

This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by facsimile, each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute one and the same document.

11. COMPLIANCE WITH HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 25249.7(f)

8 Dr. Held agrees to comply with the reporting form requirements referenced in California 9 Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(f).

10

7

12. ADDITIONAL POST EXECUTION ACTIVITIES

The parties acknowledge that, pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7, a noticed motion 11 is required to obtain judicial approval of this Consent Judgment. In furtherance of obtaining such 12 approval, Kmart agrees to prepare a motion to approve this Consent Judgment. Dr. Held and Kmart 13 Corporation, together with their respective counsel, agree to mutually employ their best efforts to 14 seek and support the entry of this agreement as a Consent Judgment and obtain approval of the 15 Consent Judgment by the Court in a timely manner. For purposes of this paragraph, best efforts shall 16 include, at a minimum, cooperating on the drafting and filing any papers in support of the required 17 motion for judicial approval. Kmart Corporation and Dr. Held acknowledge that other products 18 allegedly sold by Kmart are or may subject of 60-Day Notices of Violation. Within the 90 days 19 following the Effective Date, Kmart will use commerically reasonable best efforts to investigate Dr. 20 Held's additional claims and exchange information with Dr. Held's counsel, with the objective of 21 determing whether a resolution of Dr. Held's potential claims is possible. By making this 22 representation, Kmart does not admit the validity of any aspect of Dr. Held's claims. Kmart does not 23 intend to waive and expressly intends to preserve any and all defenses to Dr. Held's claims. 24 25 26 27

28

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE: CONSENT JUDGMENT

2	This Consent Judgment may be modified only: (1) by written agreement of the parties and	
3	upon entry of a modified consent judgment by the Court thereon; or (2) upon a successful motion of	
4	any party and entry of a modified consent judgment by the Court.	
5	14. AUTHORIZATION	
6	The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their respective	
7	parties and have read, understood, and agree to all of the terms and conditions hereof.	
8		
9	AGREED TO: AGREED TO:	
10	Date: Date: 10	
11	By: MARY Torterice	
	ANTHONY E. HELD, Ph.D., P.E. KMART CORPORATION	
12 12		
13	APPROVED AS TO FORM: APPROVED AS TO FORM:	
14	Date: Date: 6/24/2110	
15	THE CHANLER GROUP MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP	
16	RANTAR	
17	By:By:By:	
18	Attorneys for Plaintiffs Attorneys for Defendant	
19	ANTHONY HELD KMART CORPORATION	
20		
21	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
22		
23	Date:	
24	JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT	
25		
26		
27		
28		
	8	
	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE: CONSENT JUDGMENT sf-2860227	

MODIFICATION

13.

1

13. MODIFICATION

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

This Consent Judgment may be modified only: (1) by written agreement of the parties and upon entry of a modified consent judgment by the Court thereon; or (2) upon a successful motion of any party and entry of a modified consent judgment by the Court.

14. AUTHORIZATION

The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their respective parties and have read, understood, and agree to all of the terms and conditions hereof.

AGREED TO: Date:	AGREED TO: Date:
By: ANTHONY E. HELD, Ph.D., P.E.	By:
APPROVED AS TO FORM:	APPROVED AS TO FORM:
Date: 6/24/10	Date:
THE CHANLER GROUP	MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP
By: Of C.	By:
David Lavine Attorneys for Plaintiffs	By: William F. Tarantino Attorneys for Defendant KMART CORPORATION
ANTHÔNY HELD	KMART CORPORATION
IT IS SO ORDERED.	
Date:	
	JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
•	8