LEXINGTON LAW GROUP, LLP Mark N. Todzo, State Bar No. 168389 2 Eric S. Somers, State Bar No. 139050 Howard Hirsch, State Bar No. 213209 3 SEP 17 2009 1627 Irving Street San Francisco, CA 94122 4 KIM TURNER Court Executive Officer Telephone: (415) 759-4111 MARIN COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT 5 Facsimile: (415) 759-4112 By: K. Main, Deputy 6 Attorneys for Plaintiff CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL 7 HEALTH 8 9 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 10 COUNTY OF MARIN 11 12 13 CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH, Case No. CIV 090292 JPROPOSED CONSENT JUDGMENT 14 Plaintiff, AS TO DEFENDANT FETCO HOME 15 DECOR, INC. v. 16 FETCO HOME DÉCOR, INC., et al., 17 Defendants. 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

CEH v. FETCO HOME DÉCOR, CASE NO. 090292

[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO FETCO

1.1 On January 22, 2009, plaintiff Center for Environmental Health ("Plaintiff"), acting in the public interest, filed a complaint in Marin County Superior Court, entitled *Center for Environmental Health v. Fetco Home Decor, Inc., et al.*, Marin County Superior Court Case Number CIV 090292 (the "Action"), for civil penalties and injunctive relief pursuant to the provisions of California Health & Safety Code § 25249.5, *et seq.* ("Proposition 65").

- 1.2 Fetco Home Decor, Inc. (identified herein as "Fetco") is a corporation that employs 10 or more persons and that manufactured, distributed and/or sold picture frames with a pewter substrate in the State of California (the "Covered Products"). Plaintiff and Fetco are referred to collectively herein as the "Parties." This agreement applies only to picture frames with a pewter substrate sold or to be sold in the State of California.
- appropriate public enforcement agencies with the requisite 60-day notice that Fetco was in violation of Proposition 65. Plaintiff's Notice and the Complaint in this Action allege that Fetco exposes individuals who use or otherwise handle the Covered Products to lead and/or lead compounds (referred to interchangeably herein as "Lead"), chemicals known to the State of California to cause cancer, birth defects and other reproductive harm, without first providing clear and reasonable warning to such persons regarding the carcinogenicity and reproductive toxicity of Lead. The Notice and Complaint allege that Fetco's conduct violates Health & Safety Code § 25249.6, the warning provision of Proposition 65.
- 1.4 For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of the violations alleged in the Complaint and personal jurisdiction over Fetco as to the acts alleged in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of Marin, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment as a full and final resolution of all claims which were or could have been raised in the Complaint against Fetco based on the facts alleged therein.
 - 1.5 The Parties enter into this Consent Judgment pursuant to a settlement

of certain disputed claims between the Parties as alleged in the Complaint. By executing this Consent Judgment, the Parties do not admit any facts or conclusions of law. It is the 2 Parties' intent that nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by 3 the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law or violation of law, nor shall compliance with the Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by the 5 Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive or impair any right, remedy, argument or defense the Parties may have in this or any other or future legal proceedings.

2. **COMPLIANCE - REFORMULATION**

1

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 2.1 Reformulation Standard. Within sixty days of entry of this Consent Judgment (the "Compliance Date"), Fetco shall not manufacture, distribute, ship, or sell, for purposes of distribution or sale in the State of California, any Covered Product that contains Lead in concentrations that exceed the Reformulation Standard set forth herein. For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Reformulation Standard means that: (a) the pewter substrate used in the Covered Products contains no more than 200 parts per million ("ppm") Lead; and (b) any other component of the Covered Products that is likely to be touched, contacted or handled by a user of the Covered Product user during ordinary installation, cleaning, maintenance, or use of such Covered Products contain no more than 200 ppm Lead.
- 2.2 Certification of Level from Suppliers. Fetco shall obtain written certification with corresponding test results from its suppliers of the Covered Products certifying that the Covered Products meet the Reformulation Standard.
- **Testing**. In order to ensure compliance with the requirements of 2.3 Section 2.1, Fetco shall conduct (or cause to be conducted) testing to confirm that the Covered Products do not contain Lead concentrations exceeding the Reformulation Standard. All testing pursuant to this section shall be performed by an independent laboratory in accordance with either EPA Method 3050B and Exhibit A attached hereto, or EPA Method 3051 (the "Test Protocol").

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

2.3.1. Random Testing. Testing pursuant to this Section 2.3 shall be performed on randomly selected units in accordance with Fetco's usual testing practices.

2.3.2. Products that Exceed Stipulated Levels Pursuant to Fetco's

Testing. If the results of the testing required pursuant to Section 2.3 show levels of lead exceeding the Reformulation Standard, Fetco shall: (1) refuse to accept all of the Covered Products that were purchased under the particular purchase order; and (2) send a notice to the supplier explaining that such Covered Products do not comply with the supplier's certification.

Plaintiff's Confirmatory Testing. Plaintiff may, at its discretion, 2.4 conduct periodic testing of the Covered Products. Any such testing will be conducted pursuant to the Test Protocol attached hereto as Exhibit A at an independent laboratory. In the event that Plaintiff's testing demonstrates Lead levels in excess of the Reformulation Standard for one or more Covered Products, Plaintiff shall inform Fetco of the violation(s), including information sufficient to permit Fetco to identify the Covered Product(s). Within thirty (30) days following such notice, Fetco shall provide CEH, at the address listed in Section 12, with the certification and testing information demonstrating its compliance with Sections 2.2 and 2.3 of the Consent Judgment. If the testing and certification provided by Fetco state that the product in question complies with the Reformulation Standard, then Fetco shall not be subject to any further penalties for those products. If Fetco fails to provide CEH with certification and testing information demonstrating that it complied with Sections 2.2 and/or 2.3, Fetco shall be liable for stipulated payments in lieu of penalties for Covered Products for which CEH produces tests demonstrating Lead levels exceeding the Reformulation Standard, as set forth below. The payments shall be made to CEH for the purposes described in Section 3.1.1.

Stipulated Penalties. In addition to any other remedies provided by 2.5 law, Fetco shall be liable for stipulated penalties if it violates the Reformulation Standard. The stipulated penalty shall be as follows for each unit of Covered Product for which Plaintiff produces a separate test result demonstrating Lead levels exceeding the

Reformulation Standard:

First Occurrence:

\$250

3 Second Occurrence:

\$500

Third Occurrence:

\$750

Thereafter:

\$1,000

3. SETTLEMENT PAYMENTS

shall pay the sum of \$25,000 as a settlement payment. This total shall be paid in two separate checks delivered to the offices of the Lexington Law Group, LLP at the address set forth in Section 12 below and made payable and allocated as follows. Any failure by Fetco to comply with the payment terms herein shall be subject to a stipulated late fee in the amount of \$100 for each day after the delivery date the payment is received. The late fees required under this section shall be recoverable, together with reasonable attorneys' fees, in an enforcement proceeding brought pursuant to Section 5 of this Consent Judgment.

3.1.1. Civil Penalty. \$1,000 shall be paid to CEH as a civil penalty pursuant to Health and Safety Code §25249.7(b). The penalty shall be made payable to CEH, which will apportion the penalty in accordance with Health and Safety Code §25249.12.

3.1.2. Monetary Payment in Lieu of Penalty: \$7,500 shall be paid to Plaintiff in lieu of any additional penalty pursuant to Health and Safety Code \$25249.7(b). This payment shall be made by check payable to Center for Environmental Health. Plaintiff shall use such funds to continue its work protecting people from exposures to toxic chemicals. As part of this work, Plaintiff intends to conduct periodic testing of the Products as set forth in Section 2.4.

3.1.3. Attorneys' Fees and Costs: \$16,500 shall be used to reimburse Plaintiff and its attorneys for their reasonable investigation fees and costs, attorneys' fees, and any other costs incurred as a result of investigating, bringing this matter to Fetco's attention, litigating and negotiating a settlement in the public interest. This payment shall be made by check payable to Lexington Law Group, LLP.

2.5

4. MODIFICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

4.1 This Consent Judgment may be modified by written agreement of Plaintiff and Fetco, or upon motion of Plaintiff or Fetco as provided by law.

5. ENFORCEMENT OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

5.1 Plaintiff may, by motion or application for an order to show cause before the Superior Court of the County of Marin, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment. Should Plaintiff prevail on any motion or application under this section, Plaintiff shall be entitled to its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs associated with such motion or application.

6. APPLICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

6.1 This Consent Judgment shall apply to and be binding upon the parties hereto, their divisions, subdivisions and subsidiaries, and the successors or assigns of any of them.

7. CLAIMS COVERED

7.1 This Consent Judgment is a full, final and binding resolution between Plaintiff and Fetco of any violation of Proposition 65 that could have been asserted against Fetco in the Complaint based on Fetco's failure to warn about exposure to Lead contained in the Covered Products, with respect to any Covered Products manufactured, distributed or sold by Fetco on or prior to the date of entry of this Consent Judgment. This release does not limit or effect the obligations of any party created under this Consent Judgment.

8. SEVERABILITY

8.1 In the event that any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment are held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the enforceable provisions shall not be adversely affected.

9. SPECIFIC PERFORMANCE

9.1 The parties expressly recognize that Fetco's obligations under this Consent Judgment are unique. In the event that Fetco is found to be in breach of this Consent Judgment for failure to comply with the provisions of Section 2 hereof, the parties

agree that it v	would b	be extremely impracticable to measure the resulting damages and that		
such breach would cause irreparable damage. Accordingly, Plaintiff, in addition to any				
other availab	le right	s or remedies, may sue in equity for specific performance, and Fetco		
expressly wa	ives the	e defense that a remedy in damages will be adequate.		
10.	GOV	ERNING LAW		
	10.1	The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of		
the State of C	Californ	ia.		
11.	RETI	ENTION OF JURISDICTION		
	11.1	This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement and		
enforce the te	erms th	is Consent Judgment.		
12.	12. PROVISION OF NOTICE			
	12.1	All notices required pursuant to this Consent Judgment and		
corresponder	nce shal	ll be sent to the following:		
For Plaintiff:				
		Mark N. Todzo Lexington Law Group, LLP		
		1627 Irving Street San Francisco, CA 94122		
For Fetco:				
		Duncan Joseph Moore Latham & Watkins LLP		
Table Address of the Control of the		355 South Grand Avenue Los Angeles CA 90071-1560		
13.	COU	RT APPROVAL		
	13.1	If this Consent Judgment is not approved by the Court, it shall be of no		
further force	or effe	ct.		
14.	EXE	CUTION AND COUNTERPARTS		
	14.1	The stipulations to this Consent Judgment may be executed in		
counterparts	and by	means of facsimile, which taken together shall be deemed to constitute		
one documer	nt.			
15.	AUT	HORIZATION		
	15.1	Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully		

1	authorized by the party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment and to			
2	enter into and execute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the party represented and legally			
3	bind that party. The undersigned have read, understand and agree to all of the terms and			
4	conditions of this Consent Judgment. Except as explicitly provided herein, each party is to			
5	bear its own fees and costs.			
6				
7	AGREED TO:			
8	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH			
9				
10	Charle Pizarro, Assistant Director			
11	Center for Environmental Health			
12	Mehael Green, Executive			
13	FETCO HOME DECOR, INC.			
14	1.00			
15	Dated: 6-16-09			
16	Michael A. Ricciarelli			
17	Printed Name			
18	CFO			
19	Title			
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
	-7-			
	CEH v. FETCO HOME DÉCOR, CASE NO. 090292 [PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO FETCO			

1	authorized by the party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment and to			
2	enter into and execute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the party represented and legally			
3	bind that party. The undersigned have read, understand and agree to all of the terms and			
4	conditions of this Consent Judgment. Except as explicitly provided herein, each party is to			
5	bear its own fees and costs.			
6				
7	AGREED TO:			
8	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH			
9				
10	Charlie Pizarro, Assistant Director			
11	Center for Environmental Health			
12				
13	FETCO HOME DECOR, INC.			
14	1.00			
15	Dated: 6-16-09			
16	Michael A. Ricagrelli			
17	Printed Name			
18				
19	Title			
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				

ORDER AND JUDGMENT

Based upon the Stipulated Consent Judgment between Plaintiff and Fetco Home Decor, Inc., the settlement is approved and judgment is hereby entered according to the terms therein.

Dated: SEP 1 7 2009

Judge, Superior Court of the State of California

MICHAEL B. DUFFICY

• •

-8-

CEH v. FETCO HOME DÉCOR, CASE NO. 090292

[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO FETCO

EXHIBIT A (Test Methodology)

The following protocol shall be applied separately to each component of the Covered Product:

- a) Comminute a small, representative, and discreet portion of the material to be analyzed.
- b) Prepare the sample for analysis using microwave digestion. Microwave digestion protocols from either of the following two methods may be used provided that the samples are completely digested:
 - 1. AOAC Official Method 999.10 (Lead, Cadmium, Zinc, Copper, and Iron in Foods)
 - 2. NIOSH 7082 (Lead by Flame AAS) Appendix Microwave Digestion for Lead in Paint Chips (and other matrices)
- c) In accordance with EPA Method 3050B, analyze the sample for total Lead

 (Pb) content using Graphite Furnace Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometry

 (GFAAS) or Inductively Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometry (ICP-MS) using standard operating procedures.
- d) Lead content shall be expressed in parts per million (ppm).

-9-