	•	FILED		
1	Clifford A. Chanler, State Bar No. 135534 David S. Lavine, State Bar No. 166744	ENDOSED		
2	HIRST & CHANLER LLP 2560 Ninth Street	09 DEC -8 AM 8: 46		
3	Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710-2565  OCT 2 6 2009	SACRAMENTO COURTS' DEPT. #53		
4	Telephone: (510) 848-8880 \			
5	Attorneys for Plaintiff			
6	ANTHONY E. HELD, Ph.D., P.E.			
7	,			
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA			
9	FOR THE COUNTY	FOR THE COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO		
10	UNLIMITED CIV	IL JURISDICTION		
11	ANTHONY E. HELD, Ph.D., P.E.,	Case No. 34-2009-00035020		
12	Plaintiff,	[PROPUSED] JUDGMENT PURSUANT		
13	V.	TO TERMS OF CONSENT JUDGMENT		
14	ESSEX MANUFACTURING, INC., and	Date: December 8, 2009 Time: 2:00 p.m.		
15	DOES 1 through 150, inclusive,	Dept.: 53 Judge: Hon. Loren E. McMaster		
16	Defendants.	Judge. 11611. Loten E. McMaster		
17		•		
18				
19 20	,			
20				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26	,			
27	·			
28	'			

[PROPOSED] JUDGMENT

In the above-entitled action, Plaintiff ANTHONY E. HELD, Ph.D., P.E. and Defendant ESSEX MANUFACTURING, INC., having agreed through their respective counsel that judgment be entered pursuant to the terms of the Proposition 65 settlement agreement in the form of a [Proposed] Consent Judgment ("Consent Judgment") entered into by the parties, and following issuance of an order approving this Proposition 65 settlement agreement and entering the Consent Judgment on December 8, 2009.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure §664.6, judgment is entered in accordance with the terms of the Consent Judgment attached hereto as **Exhibit 1**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DEC - 8 2009

JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT LOREN E. McMASTER

# Exhibit 1

1 2 3 4	Clifford A. Chanler, State Bar No. 135534 David Lavine, State Bar No. 166744 HIRST & CHANLER LLP 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710 Telephone: (510) 848-8880 Facsimile: (510) 848-8118		
5	Attorneys for Plaintiff		
6	ANTHÓNY E. HELD, Ph.D., P.E.		
7 8	Robert Diskint, SB 88232 CRITCHLOW & DISKINT LLP 1050 Northgate Drive, Suite 420		
9	San Rafael, CA 94903 415-925-1000		
10	415-925-0444 (fax)		
11	Attorneys for Defendant ESSEX MANUFACTURING, INC.		
12	•		
13	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
14	COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO		
15	UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION		
16	ANTHONY E. HELD, Ph.D., P.E.,	Case No 34-2009-00035020	
17	Plaintiff,		
18	v	[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT	
19   20	ESSEX MANUFACTURING, INC., and DOES 1 through 150 inclusive,	Hardth P. Cofots Cod . \$25240.4	
21	Defendants.	Health & Safety Code §25249.6	
22	Detendants.		
2.3			
24			
25			
26			
27			
8			
ll ll			

I

1.1 Anthony E. Held, Ph.D., P.E., and Essex Manufacturing, Inc.

This Consent Judgment is entered into by and between Anthony E. Held, Ph.D., P.E (hereinafter "Dr. Held") and Essex Manufacturing, Inc. (hereinafter "Essex"), with Dr. Held and Essex collectively referred to as the "Parties"

## 1.2 Plaintiff

Dr. Held is an individual residing in California who seeks to promote awareness of exposures to toxic chemicals and improve human health by reducing or eliminating hazardous substances contained in consumer products.

## 1.3 Defendants

Essex employs ten or more persons and is a person in the course of doing business for purposes of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health & Safety Code §25249.6 et seq. ("Proposition 65").

## 1.4 General Allegations

Dr. Held alleges that Essex has manufactured, distributed and/or sold in the State of California children's jackets containing di(2 ethylhexyl)phthalate ("DEHP") and lead. DEHP and lead are listed pursuant to the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health & Safety Code §§25249.5 et seq. ("Proposition 65"), as chemicals known to the State of California to cause birth defects and other reproductive harm. DEHP and lead are referred to herein as the "Listed Chemicals"

## 1.5 Product Description

The products that are covered by this Consent Judgment are defined as follows: children's jackets containing the Listed Chemicals, including, but not limited to, *Misty Harbor Jacket*, *Style 4160BC* (#0 12655 94032 7). All such items shall be referred to herein as the "Products."

## 1.6 Notice of Violation

On November 17, 2008, Dr. Held served Essex and various public enforcement agencies with a document entitled "60-Day Notice of Violation" that provided Essex and such public enforcers with

notice that alleged that Essex was in violation of California Health & Safety Code §25249.6 for failing to warn consumers and customers that the Products exposed users in California to DEHP. Also on November 17, 2008, Dr. Held served Essex and various public enforcement agencies with a document entitled "60-Day Notice of Violation" that provided Essex and such public enforcers with notice that alleged that Essex was in violation of California Health & Safety Code §25249.6 for failing to warn consumers and customers that the Products exposed users in California to lead. No public enforcer has diligently prosecuted the allegations set forth in either of the 60-Day Notices of Violation ("Notices")

## 1.7 Complaint

On February 20, 2009, Dr. Held, who was and is acting in the interest of the general public in California, filed a complaint in the Superior Court in and for the County of Sacramento against Essex, and Does 1 through 150, alleging violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 based on the alleged exposures to DEHP and lead contained in children's jackets manufactured, distributed and/or sold by Essex ("Complaint").

## 1.8 No Admission

Essex denies the material, factual, and legal allegations contained in Dr. Held's Notice and maintains that all products that it has manufactured, distributed and/or sold in California, including the Products, have been, and are, in compliance with all laws. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by Essex of any fact, finding, issue of law, or violation of law; nor shall compliance with this Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by Essex of any fact, finding, conclusion, issue of law, or violation of law, such being specifically denied by Essex. However, this section shall not diminish or otherwise affect the obligations, responsibilities and duties of Essex under this Consent Judgment.

## 1.9 Consent to Jurisdiction

For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over Essex as to the allegations contained in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the

County of Sacramento, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter and enforce the provisions of this Consent Judgment.

## 1.10 Effective Date

For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term "Effective Date" shall mean September 30, 2009.

## 2. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF: WARNINGS AND REFORMULATION

## 2.1 Reformulation Commitment

Except as provided for in this Agreement, as of the Effective Date, Essex commits that it shall only manufacture, distribute or caused to be manufactured or distributed, Products in California that are Phthalate Free and Lead Free, as set forth below. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, "Phthalate Free" Products shall mean Products containing less than or equal to 1,000 parts per million ("ppm") of DEHP when analyzed pursuant to Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") testing methodologies 3580A and 8270C, or equivalent methods as may be allowed under Proposition 65, and "Lead Free" shall mean products containing less than or equal to 300 ppm of lead when analyzed pursuant to EPA testing methodologies, 3050B and 6010B, or equivalent methods as may be allowed under Proposition 65.

Essex further commits that 100% of the Products that it offers for sale in California after the Effective Date shall be Phthalate Free and Lead Free or otherwise be exempt from the warning requirements as set forth in Section 2.5.

## 2.2 **Product Warnings**

After the Effective Date, Essex shall not sell, ship, or offer to be shipped for sale in California. Products containing the Listed Chemicals unless such Products are shipped with the clear and reasonable warnings set out in Section 2.3 and 2.4 or comply with the reformulation requirements of Phthalate-Free and Lead-Free Products set out in Section 2.1.

Any warning issued for Products pursuant to Section 2.3 and 2.4 below, shall be prominently placed with such conspicuousness as compared with other words, statements, designs or devices as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual under customary conditions

Internet to California residents by providing a warning: (1) in the mail order catalog; or (ii) on the website. Warnings given in the mail order catalog or on the website shall identify the specific Product to which the warning applies as further specified in subsections (a) and (b) below.

(a) Mail Order Catalog Warning. Any warning provided in a mail order catalog must be in the same type size or larger than the Product description text within the catalog.

The following warning shall be provided on the same page and in the same location as each display of the Product.

WARNING: This product contains lead and the phthalate DEHP, chemicals known to the State of California to cause birth defects and other reproductive harm.

Where it is impracticable to provide the warning on the same page and in the same location as the display of the Product, Essex may utilize a designated symbol ( $\blacktriangle$ ) to cross reference the applicable warning and shall define the term "designated symbol" with the following language on the miside of the front cover of the catalog and on the same page as any order form for the Product(s):

WARNING: Certain products identified with this symbol ▲ and offered for sale in this catalog contain lead and the phthalate DEHP, chemicals known to the State of California to cause birth defects and other reproductive haim.

The designated symbol must appear on the same page and in close proximity to the display of description of the Product on such page. On each page where the designated symbol appears, Essex must provide a reference directing the consumer to the warning language and definition of the designated symbol.

If Essex elects to sell one or more Products to consumers via mail order catalog after the Effective Date, then the warnings must be included in all catalogs containing the Products which are distributed in California as of the Effective Date.

(b) <u>Internet Website Warning</u>. A warning may be given in conjunction with the sale of the Product to a California consumer via the Internet, provided it appears either: (i) on the

same web page on which the Product is displayed; (ii) on the same web page as the order form for the Product; (iii) on the same page as the price for any Product; or (iv) on one or more web pages displayed to a purchaser during the checkout process. The following warning statement shall be used and shall appear in any of the above instances adjacent to or immediately following the display, description, or price of the Product for which it is given in the same type size or larger than the Product description text.

WARNING: This product contains lead and the phthalate DEHP, chemicals known to the State of California to cause birth defects and other reproductive harm.

Alternatively, the designated symbol may appear adjacent to or immediately following the display, description, or price of the Product for which a warning is being given, provided that the following warning statement also appears elsewhere on the same web page, as follows:

WARNING: Products identified on this page with the following symbol ▲ contain lead and the phthalate DEHP, chemicals known to the State of California to cause birth defects and other reproductive harm.

## 2.5 Exceptions To Warning Requirements

The warning requirements set forth in Sections 2.2 through 2.4 shall not apply to:

- (i) any Products received by Essex prior to receipt of the Notices, provided that Essex does not have actual knowledge, or reason from communications with its suppliers of the Products to believe, that DEHP is present in such Products in concentrations exceeding 1,000 ppm each, or that lead is present in concentrations exceeding 300 ppm each;
- (ii) any Products shipped by Essex to its California customers before the Effective Date, or
- (iii) Phthalate-Free and Lead-Free Products (as defined in Section 2.1).

### 3. MONETARY PAYMENTS

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

## 21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28

### Payments Pursuant to Health & Safety Code §25249.7(b) 3.1

In settlement of all the claims referred to in this Consent Judgment against it, Essex shall pay \$3,000 in civil penalties to be apportioned in accordance with California Health & Safety Code \$25192, with 75% of these funds remitted to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment and the remaining 25% of these penalty monies remitted to Dr. Held as provided by California Health & Safety Code §25249.12(d). Essex shall issue two separate checks for the penalty payment: (a) one check made payable to Hirst & Chanler LLP in Trust for the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("Hirst & Chanler LLP in Trust for OEIIHA") in the amount of \$2,250, representing 75% of the total penalty and (b) one check to "Hirst & Chanler LLP in Trust for A. Dr. Held" in the amount of \$750, representing 25% of the total penalty. Two separate 1099s shall be issued for the above payments: The first 1099 shall be issued to OEHHA, P.O. Box 4010, Sacramento, CA 95814 (EIN: 68-0284486) in the amount of \$2,250. The second 1099 shall be issued to Dr. Held in the amount of \$750, whose address and tax identification number shall be furnished, upon request, at least five calendar days before payment is due. The payments shall be delivered on or before the Effective Date, at the following address

HIRST & CHANLER LLP Attn. Proposition 65 Controller 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710

### 4. REIMBURSEMENT OF FEES AND COSTS

### 4.1 Attorney Fees and Costs.

The parties reached an accord on the compensation due to Dr. Held and his counsel under the private attorney general doctrine and principles of contract law. Under these legal principles, Essex shall reimburse Dr. Held's counsel for fees and costs, incurred as a result of investigating, bringing this matter to Essex attention, and negotiating a settlement in the public interest. Essex shall pay Dr. Held and his counsel \$30,000 for all attorneys' fees, expert and investigation fees, and related costs

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

The payment shall be issued in a third separate check made payable to "Hirst & Chanler LLP" and shall be delivered on or before the Effective Date, at the following address.

HIRST & CHANLER LIP Attn. Proposition 65 Controller 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710

Essex shall issue a separate 1099 for fees and cost paid in the amount of \$30,000 to Hirst & Chanler LLP, 2560 Ninth Street, Parker Plaza, Suite 214, Berkeley, Catifornia, 94710 (EIN: 20-3929984).

## 5. RELEASE OF ALL CLAIMS

## 5.1 Dr. Held's Release of Essex

In further consideration of the promises and agreements herein contained, and for the payments to be made pursuant to Sections 3 and 4 above, Dr. Held, on behalf of himself, his past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors and/or assignees, and in the interest of the general public, hereby waives all rights to institute or participate in, directly or indirectly, any form of legal action and releases all claims, including, without limitation, all actions, and causes of action, in law or in equity, suits, liabilities, demands, obligations, damages, costs, fines, penalties, losses or expenses (including, but not limited to, investigation fees, expert fees and attorneys' fees) of any nature whatsoever, whether known or unknown, fixed or contingent (collectively "Claims"), against Essex and its officers, directors, attorneys, representatives, shareholders, agents and employees and sister and parent entities and each of its downstream distributors, wholesalers, heensors, licensees, auctioneers, retailers, franchisees, dealers, customers, owners, purchasers, users, parent companies, corporate affiliates, subsidiaries, and their respective officers, directors, attorneys, representatives, shareholders, agents, and employees, and sister and parent entities (collectively "Releasees"). This release is limited to those claims that arise under Proposition 65; as such claims relate to Essex's alleged failure to warn about exposures to or identification of DEHP and/or lead contained in the Products.

 The Parties further understand and agree that the above releases shall not extend upstream to any entities that manufactured the Products or any component parts thereof, or any distributors or suppliers who sold the Products or any component parts thereof to Essex.

### 5.2 Essex's Release of Dr. Held

Essex waives any and all claims against Dr. Held, his attorneys and other representatives, for any and all actions taken or statements made (or those that could have been taken or made) by Dr. Held and his attorneys and other representatives, whether in the course of investigating claims or otherwise seeking enforcement of Proposition 65 against it in this matter, and/or with respect to the Products.

## 6. COURT APPROVAL

This Consent Judgment is not effective until it is approved and entered by the Court and shall be null and void if, for any reason, it is not approved and entered by the Court within one year after it has been fully executed by all parties, in which event any monies that have been provided to Dr. Held, or his counsel pursuant to Section 3 and/or Section 4 above, shall be refunded within fifteen (15) days after receiving written notice from Essex that the one-year period has expired.

### 7. SEVERABILITY

If, subsequent to the execution of this Consent Judgment, any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment are held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the enforceable provisions remaining shall not be adversely affected.

## 8. GOVERNING LAW

The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California and apply within the State of California. In the event that Proposition 65 is repeated, preempted, or is otherwise rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally, or as to the Covered Products, then Essex shall have no further obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment with respect to, and to the extent that, the Covered Products are so affected.

## 9. NOTICES

Unless specified herein, all correspondence and notices required to be provided pursuant to

this Consent Judgment shall be in writing and personally delivered or sent by: (i) first-class, registered or certified mail, return receipt requested; or (ii) overnight courier on any party by the other party at the following addresses.

For Essex.

Lawrence E. Fabian, Esq. Law Offices of Lawrence E. Fabian 250 West 57th Street, Suite 1720 New York, NY 10107

For Dr. Held:

Proposition 65 Coordinator Hirst & Chanler, LLP 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710

Any party, from time to time, may specify in writing to the other party a change of address to which all notices and other communications shall be sent.

## 10. COUNTERPARTS; FACSIMILE SIGNATURES

This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by facsimile, each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute one and the same document.

## 11. COMPLIANCE WITH HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.7(f)

Dr Held agrees to comply with the reporting form requirements referenced in California Health & Safety Code \$25249.7(f)

## 12. ADDITIONAL POST EXECUTION ACTIVITIES

The parties acknowledge that, pursuant to Health & Safety Code §25249.7, a noticed motion is required to obtain judicial approval of this Consent Judgment. In furtherance of obtaining such approval, Dr. Held and Essex and their respective counsel agree to mutually employ their best efforts to support the entry of this agreement as a Consent Judgment and obtain approval of the Consent Judgment by the Court in a timely manner. For purposes of this paragraph, best efforts shall include,

t	at a minimum, cooperating on the drafting and filing any papers in support of the required motion for	
2	judicial approval.	
3	13. MODIFICATION	
4	This Consent Judgment may be modified only: (1) by written agreement of the parties and	
5	upon entry of a modified consent judgment by the Court thereon; or (2) upon a successful motion of	
6	any party and entry of a modified consent judgment by the Court. The Attorney General shall be	
7	served with notice of any proposed modification to this Consent Judgment at least fifteen days in	
8	advance of its consideration by the Court.	
9	14. AUTHORIZATION	
10	The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their respective	
н	parties and have read, understood, and agree to all of the terms and conditions hereof	
12	,	
13	AGREED TO: APPROVED AGREED TO:	
14	Date: By Tony Held at 11:46 am, Sep 26, 2009 Date: 9/22/09	
15	and	
16	By: Unihory & Kell By: Jelly By:	
17	ANTHONY EMELD, Ph.D., P.E.  Control of the control	
18		
19	APPROVED AS TO FORM:  APPROVED AS TO FORM:	
20	Date: 9130/09 Date: 9/109	
21	HIRST & CHANLER LIP CRITCHLOW & DISKINT LLP	
22	By: Of By: Quality	
23	David Lavine Robert Diskint	
24	Attorneys for Plaintiff ANTHONY E. HELD, Ph.D., P.E.  Attorneys for Defendant ESSEX MANUFACTURING, INC.	
25		
26	•	
27		
28		

ı	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
2	Date:	
3	<u> </u>	JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
4		
5		
6		
7		•
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		·
15		
16 17		
17		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		