1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	WILLIAM VERICK, SBN 140972 FREDRIC EVENSON, SBN 198059 KLAMATH ENVIRONMENTAL LAW CENTER 424 First Street Eureka, CA 95501 Telephone: (707) 268-8900 Facsimile: (707) 268-8901 E-mail: wverick@igc.org  DAVID WILLIAMS, SBN 144479 BRIAN ACREE, SBN 202505 PUBLIC INTEREST LAWYERS GROUP 370 Grand Avenue, Suite 5 Oakland, CA 94610 Telephone: (510) 271-0827 Facsimile: (510) 291-9629 E-mail: brianacree@earthlink.net  Attorneys for Plaintiff MATEEL ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE FOUNDATION
12	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
13	FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
14	
15	MATEEL ENVIRONMENTAL Case No. 488987
16	JUSTICE FOUNDATION,,  CONSENT JUDGMENT
17	Plaintiff,
18	V.
19 20	and MODERN MARKETING
21	Defendants.
22	
23	1. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>
24	1.1 On June 3, 2009, the MATEEL ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE
25	FOUNDATION ("Mateel") acting on behalf of itself and the general public, filed a
26	Complaint for civil penalties and injunctive relief ("Complaint") in San Francisco
2′	Superior Court, Case No. 488927, against Defendant Modern Marketing Concepts, Inc.
2	("Defendant"). The Complaint alleges, among other things, that Defendant violated
	NB1:705484.5  CONSENT JUDGMENT
	CONSENT JUDGMENT

provisions of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, Health and Safety Code Sections 25249.5, *et seq.* ("Proposition 65"). In particular, Mateel alleges that Defendant has knowingly and intentionally exposed persons to products that contain cords made of PVC or other thermoplastic materials that contain lead (hereinafter PVC cords"), without first providing a clear and reasonable warning to such individuals. Lead and lead compounds are chemicals known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harm.

- 1.2 On November 13, 2008, Mateel sent a 60-Day Notice letter ("Notice Letter") to Defendant, the California Attorney General, all California District Attorneys, and all City Attorneys of every California city with populations exceeding 750,000.
- distributes, and/or markets products that contain PVC cords, within the State of California. Some of those products are alleged to contain lead and/or lead compounds. Lead and lead compounds are chemicals known to the State of California to cause cancer, and lead is a chemical known to the State of California to cause reproductive toxicity pursuant to Health and Safety Code Section 25249.9. Under specified circumstances, products containing lead and/or lead compounds that are sold or distributed in the State of California are subject to the Proposition 65 warning requirement set forth in Health and Safety Code Section 25249.6. Plaintiff Mateel alleges that such products are manufactured, distributed, sold and/or marketed by Defendant for use in California and require a warning under Proposition 65.
- 1.4 For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term "Covered Products" shall be defined as telephones that utilize or incorporate PVC cords that contain lead, to the extent particular units of such products are distributed and sold within the state of California that are manufactured, distributed, marketed and/or sold by Defendant, regardless of whether they bear Defendant labels. Such products include, but are not limited to, the "Princess" and "Dreyfuss" lines of telephones that are sold by Defendant, including all models and colors of such products.

1.5 For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Complaint and personal jurisdiction over Defendant as to the acts alleged in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of San Francisco and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment as a full settlement and resolution of the allegations contained in the Complaint and of all claims that were or could have been raised by any person or entity based in whole or in part, directly or indirectly, on the facts alleged therein or arising therefrom or related thereto.

1.6 This Consent Judgment resolves claims that are denied and disputed. The parties enter into this Consent Judgment pursuant to a full and final settlement of any and all claims between the parties for the purpose of avoiding prolonged litigation. This Consent Judgment shall not constitute an admission with respect to any material allegation of the Complaint, each and every allegation of which Defendant denies, nor may this Consent Judgment or compliance with it be used as evidence of any wrongdoing, misconduct, culpability or liability on the part of Defendant.

### 2. <u>SETTLEMENT PAYMENT</u>

against Defendant., Defendant. shall pay, 5 days or more prior to the hearing date scheduled for the motion to approve this consent judgment, an amount in monetary relief totaling \$20,000 (twenty thousand dollars which shall be made payable to the Klamath Environmental Law Center ("KELC") for attorneys fees and costs incurred by KELC on behalf of Plaintiff in investigating this matter and negotiating this Consent Judgment on behalf of itself and the general public, (ii) \$7,500 (seven thousand five hundred dollars) which shall be made payable to Californian's Against Toxics, and \$7,500 (seven thousand five hundred dollars) which shall be made payable to the Ecological Rights Foundation. The payments described above shall be delivered to William Verick, 424 First Street, Eureka, CA 95501. If payment has not been received as provided in this paragraph, Plaintiff may withdraw any motion to approve and enter the agreement and the agreement NBI:705484.5

- · 

shall become null and void. If this Consent Judgment has not been approved and entered by the Court within 120 days of the execution of the agreement by the parties, the payments described above shall be promptly returned to the Defendant, and the terms of this agreement shall be null and void.

2.2 MEJF and KELC represent and warrant that each of the organization identified in Paragraph 2.1(ii) above is a tax exempt, section 501(c)(3) non-profit organization and that funds distributed to this organization pursuant to this Consent Judgment may only be spent to reduce harm from toxic chemicals, or to increase consumer, worker and community awareness of health hazards posed by lead and other toxic chemicals. Except as specifically provided in this Consent Judgment, each side shall bear its own costs and attorney's fees.

## 3. ENTRY OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

3.1 The parties hereby request that the Court promptly enter this Consent Judgment. Upon entry of the Consent Judgment, Defendant and Mateel waive their respective rights to a hearing or trial on the allegations of the Complaint. The date this Consent Judgment is entered by the court shall be considered the "Effective Date".

# 4. MATTERS COVERED BY THIS CONSENT JUDGMENT

4.1 As to lead exposures caused by Covered Products, this Consent Judgment is a final and binding resolution between Mateel, as to those matters raised in the Notice Letter, and Defendant, of: (i) any violation of Proposition 65 with respect to the Covered Products, and (ii) any other statutory or common law claim, to the fullest extent that any of the foregoing described in (i) or (ii) were or could have been asserted by Mateel against Defendant based upon those matters raised in the Notice Letter and arising out of or relating to Defendant's compliance with Proposition 65, or regulations promulgated thereunder, with respect to the Covered Products, and any other claim based in whole or part on the facts alleged in the Complaint, whether based on actions committed by Defendant or any entity within Defendant's chain of distribution, including, but not limited to, manufacturers, wholesale or retail sellers or distributors and any other person

waiver of California Civil Code Section 1542 is that even if Mateel suffers future damages

28

arising out of or resulting from, or related directly or indirectly to, in whole or in part, the Covered Products, it will not be able to make any claim for those damages against Defendant, its parents, subsidiaries or affiliates, predecessors, officers, directors, employees, and all of its customers, manufacturers, distributors, wholesalers, retailers or any other person in the course of doing business, and the successors and assigns of any of 5 them, who may manufacture, use, maintain, distribute or sell the Covered Products. 6 Furthermore, Mateel acknowledges that it intends these consequences for any such claims 7 which may exist as of the date of this release but which Mateel does not know exist, and 8 which, if known, would materially affect its decision to enter into this Consent Judgment, 9 regardless of whether its lack of knowledge is the result of ignorance, oversight, error, 10 negligence, or any other cause. 11 ENFORCEMENT OF JUDGMENT 5. 12 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be enforced exclusively by the 5.1 13 parties hereto. The parties may, by noticed motion or order to show cause before the 14 Superior Court of San Francisco County, giving the notice required by law, enforce the 15 terms and conditions contained herein. 16 MODIFICATION OF JUDGMENT 6. 17

Except as provided for in Paragraph 7.3(c), this Consent Judgment may be modified only upon written agreement of the parties and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court thereon, or upon motion of any party as provided by law and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court.

#### INJUNCTIVE RELIEF - CLEAR AND REASONABLE WARNING 7.

For all Covered Products manufactured for sale in California 90 days after 7.1 entry of this Consent Judgment, which have not met the reformulation set forth in section 7.2, Settling Defendant shall provide a Proposition 65 Warning for Covered Products as described below:

PROP 65 WARNING: This product contains lead and lead compounds, known to the State of California to cause [cancer, and] birth defects or

27 28

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

1

2

3

4

PROP 65 WARNING: Handling the cord on this product exposes you to lead, a chemical known to the State of California to cause [cancer, and] birth defects and other reproductive harm. Wash hands after use.

The phrase "PROP 65" may be excluded at the Defendant's discretion. If included, the phrase "PROP 65" shall be in capitals. The word "WARNING" shall be in capitals. The words "Wash hands after handling this product" or "Wash hands after use," shall be italicized or underlined. Inclusion of the bracketed words "cancer, and" in the above warning shall be at Settling Defendant's option. The foregoing does not preclude Settling Defendant from adding a warning for additional Proposition 65 listed chemicals unless the Attorney General takes the position that such a warning would be misleading or an overwarning. Such warning shall be prominently affixed to or printed on each Covered Product, its label, or package and contained in the same section of the label or package that contains other safety warnings, if any, concerning the use of the Covered Product or near its displayed price and/or UPC code, and with such conspicuousness, as compared with other words, statements, designs, or devices on the Covered Product, its label, package or display as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual.

- 7.2 No warning shall be required for Covered Products which have been reformulated so that both (a) the surface contact layer of the external wires or cords of the Covered Products shall have no lead as an intentionally added constituent; and (b) the surface contact layer of the wires or cords of the Covered Products shall have lead content by weight of no more than 0.03% (300 parts per million, or "300" ppm). Defendant may rely on test results provided by its suppliers showing that a product meets this standard provided that such reliance is in good faith.
- 7.3 Interim Warnings. Plaintiff acknowledges that Defendant has started placing warnings with the following language on Covered Products:

"WARNING. This product contains chemicals known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harm"

Defendant may continue to us this warning language, or the language provided in paragraph 7.1, until the date when the requirements of paragraph 7.1 are triggered. Such warnings shall be prominently affixed to or printed on each Covered Product, its label, or package and contained in the same section of the label or package that contains other safety warnings, if any, concerning the use of the Covered Product or near its displayed price and/or UPC code, and with such conspicuousness, as compared with other words, statements, designs, or devices on the Covered Product, its label, package or display as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual.

7.4 If after the Effective Date, Defendant ships Covered Products to a retailer or distributor outside of California that neither provide the warnings specified in this paragraph nor meet the Reformulation Standard specified in paragraphs 7.1 through 7.3 of this Consent Judgment ("Non-Conforming Covered Products"), and if the retailer or distributor then offers those Non-Conforming Covered Products for sale in California, then as to those Non-Conforming Covered Products, that retailer or distributor, and their customers, are not released pursuant to Sections 4.1 and 4.2 above.

### 8. <u>AUTHORITY TO STIPULATE</u>

Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the party he or she represents to enter into this Consent Judgment and to execute it on behalf of the party represented and legally to bind that party.

## 9. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement the Consent Judgment.

### 10. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and

understanding of the parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and 1 all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments and understandings related hereto. No 2 representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those contained herein 3 have been made by any party hereto. No other agreements not specifically referred to 4 herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the parties. 5 **GOVERNING LAW** 11. 6 The validity, construction and performance of this Consent Judgment shall 7 be governed by the laws of the State of California, without reference to any conflicts of 8 law provisions of California law. 9 COURT APPROVAL 12. 10 If this Consent Judgment is not approved by the Court, it shall be of no force 11 or effect, and cannot be used in any proceeding for any purpose. 12 IT IS SO STIPULATED: MAŢĒEL ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE 13 DATED: MOITAGN 14 15 16 CEO Mateel Environmental Justice Foundation, 17 Klamath Environmental Law Center 18 MODERN MARKETING CONCEPTS, 19 DATED: INC. 20 By: 21 Its: 22 23 IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED: 24 JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT 25 DATED: 26 27 28

CONSENT JUDGMENT

understanding of the parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments and understandings related hereto. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those contained herein have been made by any party hereto. No other agreements not specifically referred to herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the parties. **GOVERNING LAW** 11. The validity, construction and performance of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California, without reference to any conflicts of law provisions of California law. 12. COURT APPROVAL If this Consent Judgment is not approved by the Court, it shall be of no force or effect, and cannot be used in any proceeding for any purpose. IT IS SO STIPULATED: MATEEL ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE DATED: FOUNDATION William Verick CEO Mateel Environmental Justice Foundation, Klamath Environmental Law Center MODERN MARKETING CONCEPTS, DATED: James P. LeMastus President 22 23 IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED 24 25 26 A. JAMES ROBERTSON, II 27

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

28