ENDORSED FILED 1 WILLIAM VERICK (SBN 140972) San Francisco County Superior Court Klamath Environmental Law Center 2 FREDRIC EVENSON (SBN 198059) SEP 0 7 2010 Law Office of Fredric Evenson 3 **424 First Street CLERK OF THE COURT** Eureka, CA 95501 BY: ERICK, LARNAUTI 4 Telephone: (707) 268-8900 Deputy Clerk Facsimile: (707) 268-8901 5 wverick@igc.org ecorights@earthlink.net 6 DAVID WILLIAMS (SBN 144479) 7 BRIAN ACREE (SBN 202505) 370 Grand Avenue, Suite 5 8 Oakland, CA 94610 Telephone: (510) 271-0826 9 Facsimile: (510) 271-0829 davidhwilliams@earthlink.net 10 brianacree@earthlink.net 11 Attorneys for Plaintiff MATEEL ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE 12 **FOUNDATION** SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 13 **COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO** 14 15 16 MATEEL ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE Case No. CGC-09-492160 FOUNDATION. 17 CONSENT JUDGMENT Plaintiff. 18 19 SCHRADER BRIDGEPORT 20 INTERNATIONAL, INC., et al., 21 Defendant. 22 23 1. INTRODUCTION 24 1.1 On September 3, 2009, the Mateel Environmental Justice Foundation ("Plaintiff or 25 MEJF") acting on behalf of itself and the general public, filed a complaint for civil penalties and 26 injunctive relief in San Francisco Superior Court, Case No. CGC-09-492160, against defendant 27 Schrader Bridgeport International, Inc. (hereafter, "Schrader Bridgeport"). On October 28, 2009, MEJF filed a First Amended Complaint ("Complaint") adding Balkamp Inc., as a

CONSENT JUDGMENT

7

10

14

13

16

17

15

18 19

20 21

22 23

25

24

2627

27

defendant. The Complaint alleges, among other things, that Schrader Bridgeport violated provisions of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, Health and Safety Code Sections 25249.5, et seq. ("Proposition 65") by failing to give clear and reasonable warnings to those residents of California who handle and use products made of, or incorporate parts made of, leaded brass and/or leaded bronze, that handling and use of these products causes those residents to be exposed to lead and/or lead compounds. Lead is known to the State of California to cause cancer and/or birth defects or other reproductive harm. The Complaint was based upon (i) a 60-Day Notice letter, dated March 26, 2009, sent by MEJF to Schrader Bridgeport, the California Attorney General, all District Attorneys, and all City Attorneys with populations exceeding 750,000; and (ii) a 60-Day Notice letter dated May 21, 2009, sent by MEJF to defendant Balkamp Inc., and other commercial entities, the California Attorney General, all District Attorneys, and all City Attorneys with populations exceeding 750,000. Additionally, MEJF sent a 60-Day Notice letter dated March 11, 2010, to Genuine Parts Company and National Automotive Parts Association (NAPA), and other commercial entities, the California Attorney General, all District Attorneys, and all City Attorneys with populations exceeding 750,000.

1.2 Schrader Bridgeport is a business that employs more than ten persons, and manufactures, distributes, and sells brass products, including but not limited to products and accessories for use with air compressors and tire hardware as well as industrial and automotive couplings, fittings, plugs, valves and lubrication equipment, including hose couplers, nozzles and air and gas hoses that have components or accessories that contain brass ("Brass Products"). Many Brass Products contain components manufactured from brass that contains lead and/or lead compounds. Pursuant to Health and Safety Code Section 25249.8, lead and lead compounds are chemicals known to the State of California to cause cancer and reproductive toxicity. Plaintiff MEJF alleges that Brass Products with components containing lead-containing brass that are sold by Schrader Bridgeport for use in California require a warning under Proposition 65, pursuant to Health and Safety Code Section 25249.6. Schrader Bridgeport alleges that it has provided warnings with its Brass Products since 2007; that such warnings are compliant with this code

///

section, and that further warnings are not required by law.

- Bridgeport and "Parties" shall mean both MEJF and Schrader Bridgeport. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Complaint and personal jurisdiction over Schrader Bridgeport as to the acts alleged in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of San Francisco and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment as a full settlement and resolution of the allegations contained in the Complaint and of all claims which were or could have been raised by any person or entity based in whole or in part, directly or indirectly, on the facts alleged therein or arising therefrom or related to.
- 1.4 This Consent Judgment resolves claims that are denied and disputed. The Parties enter into this Consent Judgment as a full and final settlement of any and all claims between the Parties and for the purpose of avoiding prolonged litigation. This Consent Judgment shall not constitute an admission with respect to any material allegation of the Complaint, each and every allegation of which Schrader Bridgeport denies, nor may this Consent Judgment or compliance with it be used as evidence of any wrongdoing, misconduct, culpability or liability on the part of Schrader Bridgeport.
- 1.5 For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term "Covered Products" means Brass Products as described in paragraph 1.2 for use with air compressors and tire hardware as well as industrial and automotive couplings, fittings, plugs, valves and lubrication equipment, including hose couplers, nozzles and air and gas hoses that have components or accessories that contain brass, manufactured, sold or distributed by Schrader Bridgeport or its parents, subsidiaries or affiliates, including, but not limited to, Bridge Products International, Gates Corporation, Epicor Industries and Plews & Edelmann, collectively referred to as "Settling Defendants". "Covered Products" includes, without in any way limiting the scope of Covered Products, the products listed in Exhibit A.
 - 1.6 The term "Effective Date" means 120 days after entry of this Consent Judgment.

 2. <u>SETTLEMENT PAYMENT</u>

- 2.1 In settlement of all the claims that are alleged, or could have been alleged, in the Complaint concerning Covered Products, Schrader Bridgeport shall pay \$38,000.00 to the Klamath Environmental Law Center ("KELC") to cover Plaintiff's attorneys' fees. Additionally, Schrader Bridgeport shall pay \$14,000.00 to the Ecological Rights Foundation and \$14,000.00 to Californians for Alternatives to Toxics for use toward reducing exposures to toxic chemicals and other pollutants, and toward increasing consumer, worker and community awareness of health hazards posed by lead and other toxic chemicals. The above described payments shall be forwarded by Schrader Bridgeport to MEJF so that they are received at least 5 days prior to the hearing date scheduled for approval of this Consent Judgment. If the Consent Judgment is not approved within 120 days of the date scheduled for approval, the above described payments shall be returned by MEJF to Schrader Bridgeport and the provisions of this Consent Judgment shall become null and void.
- 2.2 Schrader Bridgeport shall not be required to pay a civil penalty pursuant to Health and Safety Code Section 25249.7(b).

3. ENTRY OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

3.1 The Parties hereby request that the Court promptly enter this Consent Judgment.

Upon entry of the Consent Judgment, Schrader Bridgeport and MEJF waive their respective rights to a hearing or trial on the allegations of the Complaint.

4. MATTERS COVERED BY THIS CONSENT JUDGMENT

4.1 As to alleged exposures to lead or lead compounds from Covered Products, this Consent Judgment is a final and binding resolution between MEJF, acting on behalf of itself and (as to those matters raised in the 60-Day Notice Letters described in Paragraph 1.1) the general public, and Schrader Bridgeport of: (i) any violation of Proposition 65 regarding exposure of persons to lead or lead compounds from Covered Products (including but not limited to the claims made in the Complaint); and (ii) any other statutory or common law claim to the fullest extent that any of the foregoing described in (i) or (ii) was or could have been asserted by any person or entity against any of the Settling Defendants, their suppliers, customers, distributors,

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Balkamp, Inc., and Genuine Parts Co.), or any other person in the course of doing business, and the successors and assigns of any of them, who may use, maintain, distribute or sell Covered Products (collectively referred to as "Released Entities"), based on its or their exposure of persons to lead or lead compounds from Covered Products or their failure to provide a clear and reasonable warning of exposure to such individuals; and (iii) as to alleged exposures to lead or lead compounds from Covered Products, any other claim based in whole or in part on the facts alleged in the Complaint, whether based on actions committed by the Released Entities or others. As to alleged exposures to lead or lead compounds from Covered Products, compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment resolves any issue, now and in the future, concerning compliance by Schrader Bridgeport and the Released Entities, with the requirements of Proposition 65 with respect to exposures to lead and lead compounds from Covered Products.

4.2 As to alleged exposures to lead or lead compounds from Covered Products, MEJF, by and on behalf of itself and its respective agents, successors and assigns, waives any and all rights to institute any form of legal action, and releases all claims against Schrader Bridgeport and the Released Entities, and all of their respective parents, subsidiaries, and all of their suppliers, customers, distributors, wholesalers, retailers, or any other person in the course of doing business, and the successors and assigns of any of them, who may use, maintain, distribute or sell the Covered Products, whether under Proposition 65 or otherwise, arising out of or resulting from, or related directly or indirectly to, in whole or in part, the Covered Products, including but not limited to any exposure to, or failure to warn with respect to, the Covered Products (referred to collectively in this paragraph as the "Claims"). In furtherance of the foregoing, as to alleged exposures to Covered Products, MEJF hereby waives any and all rights and benefits which it now has, or in the future may have, conferred upon it with respect to the Claims by virtue of the provisions of section 1542 of the California Civil Code, which provides as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF KNOWN BY HIM,

MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR.

4.3 MEJF understands and acknowledges that the significance and consequence of this waiver of California Civil Code Section 1542 is that even if MEJF suffers future damages arising out of or resulting from, or related directly or indirectly to, in whole or in part, the Covered Products, including but not limited to any exposure to, or failure to warn with respect to exposure to, lead or lead compounds from Covered Products, MEJF will not be able to make any claim for those damages against Schrader Bridgeport or the Released Entities. Furthermore, MEJF acknowledges that it intends these consequences for any such Claims as may exist as of the date of this release but which MEJF does not know exist, and which, if known, would materially affect their decision to enter into this Consent Judgment, regardless of whether their lack of knowledge is the result of ignorance, oversight, error, negligence, or any other cause.

5. <u>ENFORCEMENT OF JUDGMENT</u>

- 5.1 The terms of this Consent Judgment may be enforced only by a Party hereto, except that any Released Entity may assert the terms of this Consent Judgment as a defense to any action asserting a claim released hereunder. The Parties may, by noticed motion or order to show cause before the Superior Court of San Francisco County, giving the notice required by law, enforce the terms and conditions contained herein. A Party may enforce any of the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment only after that Party first provides 60 days notice to the Party allegedly failing to comply with the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment and attempts to resolve such Party's failure to comply in an open and good faith manner.
- 5.2 In any proceeding brought by either Party to enforce this Consent Judgment, such Party may seek whatever fines, costs, penalties or remedies as may be provided by law for any violation of Proposition 65 or this Consent Judgment.

6. <u>MODIFICATION OF JUDGMENT</u>

- 6.1 This Consent judgment may be modified only upon written agreement of the Parties and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court thereon, or upon motion of any Party as provided by law and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court.
 - 6.2 If, with respect to brass containing lead, the Attorney General of the State of

California or Plaintiff permit any other reformulation standard by way of settlement or compromise with any other person in the course of doing business, or any other entity, or if another reformulation standard for brass is incorporated by way of final judgment as to any other person in the course of doing business, or any other entity, then Schrader Bridgeport is entitled to seek a modification to this Consent Judgment on the same terms as provided in those settlements, compromises or judgments.

7. <u>INJUNCTIVE RELIEF</u>

- 7.1 The requirements of paragraph 7 shall apply only to Covered Products that are manufactured by Settling Defendants after the Effective Date.
- 7.2 Covered Products shall be deemed to comply with Proposition 65 and to be exempt from any Proposition 65 warning requirements for lead if the surface materials that may be touched or handled for such products meet the following criteria: (a) the surface materials shall have no lead as an intentionally added constituent; and (b) the surface materials shall have a lead content by weight of no more than 0.03% (300 parts per million, or "300 ppm.").
- 7.3 (a) As to any Covered Product that contains a component made from brass that contains lead as an intentionally added ingredient, where such brass comes into contact with the user, a warning that contains one of the following warning statements shall be provided:
- (1) "WARNING: This product contains lead, a chemical known to the State of California to cause birth defects and other reproductive harm. Wash hands after handling."; or
- (2) "WARNING: Handling the brass parts of this product will expose you to lead, a chemical known to the State of California to cause birth defects and other reproductive harm. Wash hands after handling."

The word "WARNING" shall be in bold text and the phrase "Wash hands after handling" shall be in italic text.

- (b) The warning statements required by subparagraph (a) shall be provided as follows:
- (1) For individually packaged Covered Products, the word "WARNING" shall be in bold. The words "Wash hands after handling" shall be italicized. Settling Defendants shall place such warning directly on or with the unit package of the Covered Products. Such warning

///

///

shall be prominently affixed to or printed on each Covered Product or its label or package. If printed on the label itself, the warning shall be contained in the same section that states other safety warnings, if any, concerning the use of the Covered Product.

- (2) For Covered Products displayed and sold without packaging prepared by the Settling Defendants, no release shall apply to a Released Entity that is a retailer unless point of sales warnings using language substantially the same as the language required for packaged Covered Products is posted in close proximity to the point of display of the Covered Products.
- (c) The requirements for product labeling, set forth in paragraph 7 are imposed pursuant to the terms of this Consent Judgment. The Parties recognize that product labeling is not the exclusive method of providing a warning under Proposition 65 and its implementing regulations.

8. <u>TERMINATION AND RETENTION OF JURISDICTION</u>

- 8.1 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement and enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment.
- 8.2 If Proposition 65 warnings for lead or lead compounds should no longer be required by the State of California, Schrader Bridgeport shall have no further warning obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment. In the event that Schrader Bridgeport ceases to implement or modifies the warnings required under this Consent Judgment (because of change of law or otherwise), Schrader Bridgeport shall provide written notice to Mateel (through KELC) of its intent to do so, and the basis for its intent, no less than thirty (30) days in advance. Mateel shall notify Schrader Bridgeport in writing of any objection within thirty (30) days of its receipt of such notice, or such objection by Mateel shall be waived.

9. AUTHORITY TO STIPULATE

9.1 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the Party he or she represents to enter into this Consent Judgment and to execute it on behalf of the Party represented and legally to bind that Party.

10.1 This Consent Judgment only applies to Covered Products distributed for sale or sold by Settling Defendants in the State of California.

11. SERVICE ON THE ATTORNEY GENERAL

11.1 KELC shall serve a copy of this Consent Judgment, signed by both Parties, on the California Attorney General on behalf of the Parties so that the Attorney General may review this Consent Judgment prior to its submittal to the Court for approval. No sooner than forty five (45) days after the Attorney General has received the aforementioned copy of this Consent Judgment, and in the absence of any written objection by the Attorney General to the terms of this Consent Judgment, the Parties may then submit it to the Court for approval.

12. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

12.1 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments and understandings related hereto. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those contained herein have been made by any Party hereto. No other agreements not specifically referred to herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties.

13. GOVERNING LAW

13.1 The validity, construction and performance of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California, without reference to any conflicts of law provisions of California law.

14. <u>EXECUTION AND COUNTERPARTS</u>

14.1 This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by means of facsimile, which taken together shall be deemed to constitute one document.

15. COURT APPROVAL

15.1 If this Consent Judgment, in its entirety, is not approved by the Court, it shall be of no force or effect, and cannot be used in any proceeding for any purpose.

28 | ///

	16. <u>NOTICES</u>	
2		r this Consent Judgment shall be by persona, delivery of First
3	Class Mail.	
4	If to MEJF:	William Verick, Fsq.
5		Klamath Environmental Law Center 42-1 First Street Eureka, CA 95501
6	lf to Schrader	
7 8	Bridgeport:	Amy H. Wright Temkins Industries, Inc. 6450 Pee Avenue, Suite 109
9		Dayton, Ohio 45414; and
10		R. Morgan Gilhuly. Esq. Barg Coffin Lewis & Trapp 350 California Street, -22 ³³ Floor
11		San Francisco, CA 94104
12	IT IS SO STIPULATED:	
13		
14 15	DATED: 7/20/10	MATEEL ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE FOUNDATION
16		BANKINI ORMAN LENO SO
17		WILLIAM VERICK
18		
19 20	DATED: H-19-10	SCHRADER BRIDGEPORT OTERNATIONAL, INC.
21		m 1W4 Z
22		ITS: Vice Terridon
23	TO 10 OA ADDREDED A DRUGG	
	IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED:	
24	D. 1570	
25 26	DATED: ——SEP 0 7 201	O—— CHARLOTTE WALTER WOOLARD
27		JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
28		
	10	

CONSENT JUDGMENT

1	EXHIBIT A
2	
3	NAPA TIRE HARDWARE 1/4" FNPT PUSH-TO-CONNECT COUPLER NAPA TIRE HARDWARE 1/4" MNPT AUTOMOTIVE STYLE
5	 NAPA TIRE HARDWARE ANGLED PUSH-PULL DULA FOOT AIR LINE CHUCK NAPA TIRE HARDWARE BLOW GUN KIT 1/4" MNPT NAPA TIRE HARDWARE CLIP-ON STRAIGHT AIR LINE CHUCK
6	6. NAPA TIRE HARDWARE D 1/4" FNPT INDUSTRIAL INTERCHANGE STYLE 7. NAPATIRE HARDWARE D 1/4" MNPT INDUSTRIAL INTERCHANGE STYLE 8. NAPA TIRE HARDWARE FOOT AIR LINE CHUCK 1/4" FNPT NORMALLY
7	8. NAPA TIRE HARDWARE FOOT AIR LINE CHUCK 1/4" FNPT NORMALLY CLOSED SOLID BRASS 9. NAPA TIRE HARDWARE TYPE D BRASS QUICK COUPLER
8	10. NAPA TIRE HARDWARE 3/8" FNPT STRAIGHT-THRU STYLE COUPLER 11. NAPA TIRE HARDWARE CLIP-ON STRAIGHT AIR LINE CHUCK
9	12. NAPA TIRE HARDWARE 1/4" FNPT PUSH TO CONNECT 13. NAPA TIRE HARDWARE 1/4" MNPT AUTOMOTIVE STYLE COUPLER C 14. NAPA TIRE HARDWARE 1/4" MNPT INDUSTRIAL INTERCHANGE STYLE
11	15. NAPA TIRE HARDWARE SAFETY BLOW GUN 1/4" MNPT 16. 1/4" FNPT AUTOMOTIVE STYLE COUPLING 90-600BR17.
12	17. NAPA 400S AIR HOSE 1/4" X 25'-300 PSI H240 3215-6101 18. NAPA RUBBER AIR HOSE 200S 1/4" X 25' 19. NAPA RUBBER AIR HOSE 200S 3/8" X 25'
13 [†] 14	
15	
16 17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
2425	
26	
27	
28	