1 2 3 4 5	WILLIAM VERICK (NO. 140972) FREDRIC EVENSON (NO. 198059) 424 First Street Eureka, CA 95501 Telephone: 707/268-8900 Facsimile: 707/268-8901 Email:	ENDORSED FILE D San Francisco County Superior Count  DEC 1 4 2009  GORDON PARK-LI, Clerk	
6	DAVID H. WILLIAMS, CSB #144479	BY: Deputy Clerk	
7	370 Grand Avenue, Suite 5		
8	Telephone: (510) 271-0826		
9	Email: brianacree@earthlink net		
·	Attorneys for Plaintiff MATEEL		
10	ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE FOUNDATION		
11	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
12	CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO		
13	UNLIMITED JURISDICTION		
14			
15	MATEEL ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE FOUNDATION,	) Case No. CGC-09-492166	
16		) CONSENT JUDGMENT	
17	Plaintiff,	) (PIER 1 IMPORTS (U.S.), INC)	
18	V.	)	
19	HSN, Inc., et al.,	)	
20	Defendants.		
21			
22		_	
23	1. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>		
24	1.1 On or about May 7, 2009, plaintiff Mateel Environmental Justice Foundation		
25	("MEJF"), provided a 60-day Notice of Violation to the California Attorney General, the District		
26	Attorneys of each county in California, the City Attorneys of every California city with a		
27	population greater than 750,000, and defendant Pier 1 Imports (U.S.), Inc., ("Pier 1" or "Settling		
DOCUMENT PREPARED	- 1	-	
ON RECYCLED PAPER	CONSENT JUDGMENT		

Document Prepared Defendant") (such notices, collectively the "Notice of Violation" or "Notice"), alleging that Pier 1, through its sales in California of beverage dispensers with brass spigots that contain lead ("Covered Brass Spigot Products") was in violation of Proposition 65 by knowingly and intentionally exposing persons to lead, a product known to the State of California to cause cancer and/or birth defects or other reproductive harm, without first providing a clear and reasonable warning. A copy of the Notice is attached hereto as Exhibit A and made a part hereof. Henceforth, the term "Covered Brass Spigot Products" shall refer to those units of beverage dispensers with leaded brass spigots that are or were manufactured, distributed and/or marketed for sale by Settling Defendant.

- 1.2 On or about September 3, 2009, MEJF, acting in the public interest pursuant to Health and Safety Code § 25249.7(d), filed a Complaint for Civil Penalties and Injunctive Relief in San Francisco County Superior Court, Case No. CGC-09-492166 against, inter alia, Pier 1 based on the allegations contained in the Notice. MEJF alleges that Pier 1 is a business that employs more than ten persons and manufactures, distributes and/or markets for sale Covered Brass Spigot Products within the State of California. Pursuant to Proposition 65, lead and lead compounds are chemicals known to the State of California to cause cancer and reproductive toxicity. Products containing lead and/or lead compounds that are sold or distributed in the State of California may be, under specified circumstances, subject to the Proposition 65 warning requirement set forth in Health and Safety Code § 25249.6. MEJF further alleges that the Covered Brass Spigot Products that are manufactured, distributed, sold and/or marketed for sale by Pier 1 for use in California require a warning under Proposition 65.
- 1.3 Pier I has concluded, despite its belief that it has good defenses to the claims asserted by MEJF in the Notice and the Complaint and is not liable thereof, that it will enter into this Consent Judgment solely to avoid the further expense, inconvenience and burden of further litigation, and the distraction and diversion of its personnel and resources, and thereby to put to rest this controversy.

17 18

19

20 21

22 23

24

25 26

27

DOCUMENT PREPARED

RECYCLED PAPER

1.5 For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Notice and Complaint and personal jurisdiction over Settling Defendant as to the acts alleged in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of San Francisco and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment as a full and final settlement and resolution of the allegations contained in the Complaint and of all claims which were or could have been raised based on the facts alleged therein or arising therefrom.

### 2. **INJUNCTIVE RELIEF**

2.1 After the entry of this judgment (the "Effective Date,") Pier 1 shall not sell, or offer for sale, Covered Brass Spigot Products in California, except where such post Effective Date offer or sale is in compliance with Sections 7.2 or 7.3 hereof.

### 3. **ENFORCEMENT OF JUDGMENT**

3.1 A Party may enforce any of the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment only after that Party first provides 30-days written notice to the Party allegedly failing to comply with the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment and attempts to resolve such Party's failure to comply in an open and good faith manner. In any such proceeding, the Party may seek whatever fines, costs, penalties or remedies as may be provided by law for any violation of Proposition 65 or this Consent Judgment.

l

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27

4.1 Settling Defendant shall pay a total of \$35,000.00 (the "Settlement Amount") to William Verick, Klamath Environmental Law Center in full and complete settlement of all Claims (as defined in Section 5.3) by MEJF. The Settlement Amount shall be delivered by overnight mail to William Verick, Klamath Environmental Law Center at 424 First Street, Eureka, CA 95501, within ten (10) calendar days after receipt of written notice from MEJF that this Consent Judgment has been approved and entered by the Court. The Settlement Amount shall be allocated by MEJF as follows:

4.1.1 \$20,000.00 as a charitable contribution, to be allocated in equal shares to the Ecological Rights Foundation and to the Californians for Alternatives to Toxics. Both organizations are California non profit, public benefit organizations. Such payments shall be expressly used by these entities for reducing exposures to toxic chemicals and other pollutants, and for increasing consumer, worker and community awareness of health hazards posed by lead and other toxic chemicals. Within fifteen (15) days of receipt of the Settlement Amount, William Verick shall (i) deliver the specified amounts set froth above to each respective non profit organization set forth above and (ii) provide Settling Defendant written evidence that such payments were delivered to each non profit organization.

4.1.2 \$15,000.00 to Plaintiff's attorneys' for fees and costs.

#### **CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASE** 5.

As to Covered Brass Spigot Products sold and/or marketed for sale by Settling 5.1 Defendant prior to the entry of this Consent Judgment this Consent Judgment is a full, final and binding resolution between MEJF, acting on behalf of itself and (as to those matters raised in the Notice) in the public interest, and Settling Defendant and its affiliates, parent or subsidiary or entities, successors, officers, directors, agents, suppliers, distributors, corporations wholesalers, retailers, and/or any other person in the chain of distribution and retail sale of the Covered Brass Spigot Products of: (i) any violation of Proposition 65 (including but not limited to

DOCUMENT PREPARED RECYCLED PAPER the claims made in the Complaint and the Notice); and (ii) any other claim, including but not limited to any other statutory or common law claim that could have been asserted by MEJF on its own behalf or as to those claims included in the Notice, in the public interest against the Settling Defendant and its affiliates, parent or subsidiary corporations or entities, successors, officers, directors, agents, suppliers, distributors, wholesalers, retailers, or any other person in the chain of distribution and retail sale of the Covered Brass Spigot Products, based on exposure of persons to lead from such Covered Brass Spigot Products or failure to provide a clear and reasonable warning of such exposure.

- 5.2 As to any claims, violations (except violations of this Consent Judgment, as the same may be amended from time to time), actions, damages, costs, penalties or causes of action which may arise or have arisen after the original date of entry of this Consent Judgment, compliance by Settling Defendant with the terms of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed to be full and complete compliance with the requirements of Proposition 65 as to claims regarding the Covered Brass Spigot Products.
- 5.3 As to Covered Brass Spigot Products sold or marketed for sale by Settling Defendant prior to the Effective Date, MEJF, by and on behalf of itself and its respective agents, attorneys, affiliates, successors and assigns and (as to those matters referenced in the Notice) on behalf of the general public, waives any and all rights to institute any form of legal action, and releases all claims against the Selling Defendant and its affiliates, parent or subsidiary corporations or entities, and their respective successors, officers, directors, agents, suppliers, distributors, wholesalers, retailers, and/or any other person in the chain of distribution and retail sale of Covered Brass Spigot Products based on the facts alleged in the Complaint or the Notice, or facts similar to those alleged (referred to collectively as the "Claims").
- 5.4 In furtherance of the Parties' intention that this Consent Judgment shall be effective as a full and final accord, satisfaction, and release as to the Settling Defendant, MEJF acknowledges familiarity and understanding of California Civil Code § 1542, which provides as follows:

DOCUMENT PREPARED

28
DOCUMENT PREPARED
ON RECYCLED PAPER

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF KNOWN BY HIM MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR.

To the extent that Section 1542 or any similar law or statute may otherwise apply to this Consent Judgment, MEJF, by and on behalf of itself and its respective agents, attorneys, affiliates, successors and assigns and (as to those matters referenced in the Notice of Violation) on behalf of the general public, hereby waives and relinquishes as to all matters released hereunder all rights and benefits it has, or may have, under Section 1542 or the laws of any other jurisdiction to the same or similar effect. MEJF further acknowledges that, subsequent to the execution of this Consent Judgment, it may discover Claims that were unsuspected at the time this Consent Judgment was executed, and which might have materially affected its decision to execute this Consent Judgment, but nevertheless MEJF releases the Settling Defendant from any and all such Claims, whether known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, at the time of the execution of this Consent Judgment regardless of whether its lack of knowledge is the result of ignorance, oversight, error, negligence or any other cause.

# 6. <u>APPLICATION OF JUDGMENT</u>

- 6.1 The obligations of this Consent Judgment shall apply to and be binding upon all plaintiffs acting in the public interest pursuant to Health and Safety Code § 25249.7, and Settling Defendant, and their successors or assigns. The terms contained in this Consent Judgment shall be submitted to the California Attorney General's office prior to the entry of this Consent Judgment by the Court in accordance with subsection (f) of Section 25249.7.
- 6.2 This Consent Judgment shall have no effect on Covered Brass Spigot Products sold or offered for sale by Settling Defendant outside the State of California.

# 7. MODIFICATION OF JUDGMENT

7.1 This Consent Judgment may be modified only upon written agreement of the Parties and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court thereon or upon motion of any party as provided by law and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court.

22 23

24

25 26

27

S RECYCLED PAPER

OCCMENT PREPARED

7.2 If the Attorney General of the State of California or Plaintiff permit any reformulation standard and/or test protocol for lead in the Covered Brass Spigot Products by way of settlement or compromise with any other person in the course of doing business, or, any other entity, or by way of a reformulation standard and/or test protocol for lead in Covered Brass Spigot Products which is incorporated by way of final judgment as to any other person in the course of doing business, or any other entity, then Settling Defendant shall be entitled to apply any such reformulation standard and/or test protocol to Covered Brass Spigot Products. In the event that Settling Defendant elects to use such alternative reformulation standard and/or test protocol, it shall provide notice to MEJF and thereafter the restrictions on sale and on the offer to sell Covered Brass Spigot Products pursuant to Section 2.1 shall be of no further force or effect provided that subsequent Covered Brass Spigot Products are sold or offered for sale in accordance with such reformulation standard and/or test protocol. MEJF and/or the Klamath Environmental Law Center shall provide notice to Pier 1 of any such settlement or compromise, as to which either is a party.

7.3 Settling Defendant shall be entitled to a modification to this Consent Judgment to establish a reformulation standard and/or test protocol for lead content in Covered Brass Spigot Products consistent with any "safe use determination" regarding lead content in Covered Brass Spigot Products issued by the California Environmental Protection Agency Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment, pursuant to 27 Cal. Code Regs. § 25204 or any successor regulation. In the event of such modification the restrictions on sale and on the offer to sell Covered Brass Spigot Products pursuant to Section 2.1 shall be of no further force or effect.

### 8. CO MPLIANCE WITH HEALTH & SAFETY CODE SECTION 25249.7(F)

8.1 MEJF agrees to comply with the reporting form and approval requirements referenced in Health & Safety Code Section 25249.7(f) and as implemented by various regulations.

## 9. **COURT APPROVAL** 1 9.1 If the Court does not approve this Consent Judgment, it shall be of no force or 2 effect, and cannot be used in any proceeding for any purpose. 3 10. **RETENTION OF JURISDICTION** 4 10.1 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement this Consent 5 Judgment. 6 **GOVERNING LAW** 7 11. The laws of the State of California shall govern the validity, construction and 8 performance of this Consent Judgment. 9 12. **NOTICES** 10 12.1 When any Party is entitled to receive any notice under this Consent Judgment, the 11 notice or report shall be sent by U.S. mail or overnight courier service to the following persons: 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26

28 Document Prepared

N RECYCLED PAPER

27

If to MEJF:	William Verick,	Esq.	
	Klamath Environmental Law 424 First	Center Street	
	Eureka, CA 95501		
If to PIER 1:	Ray McKown		
n to ribk i.	Senior Corporate Counsel		
	Pier 1 Imports (U.S.), Inc. 100 Pier 1 Place		
	Fort Worth, Texas 76102		
with a copy to:	Steven P. McDonald		
	Law Office of Steven P. McDonald, LC 7855 Fay Avenue, Suite 250		
	La Jolla, CA 92037		

12.2 Any Party may modify the person and address to whom notice is to be sent by sending each other Party notice in accordance with this Section.

## 13. <u>AUTHORITY TO STIPULATE</u>

13.1 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the party he or she represents to enter into this Consent Judgment and to execute it on behalf of the party represented and legally to bind that party.

### 14. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

14.1 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire, agreement and understanding of the parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments and understandings related hereto. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those contained herein have been made by any party hereto. No other agreements not specifically referred to herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the parties.

///	111	///
///	///	///
///	///	///
///	///	///

111 111 111

111 111 111

- 9 -

1			
2			
3	15. EXECUTION IN COUNTERPARTS		
4	15.1 This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and/or by facsimile or		
5	portable document format (pdf). Signatures transmitted by facsimile or electronic image shall be		
6	considered to be original signature and the executed counterparts taken together shall be deemed		
7	to constitute one original document.		
8			
9	IT IS SO STIPULATED:		
· 10	· Mar or		
11	Dated: NOV. 25, 2009 MATEEL ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE FOUNDATION		
12			
13	- a. all man florid		
14	By Way X WAY AND WAY		
15	Dated: November 75 2009 PIER 1 IMPORTS (U.S.), INC.,		
16 17			
18	By Clutt + L		
19	Printed Name Christponer L Mabe		
20	Title Assistantsocretary		
21	IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED:		
22			
· 23	CHARLOTTE WALTER WOOLARD		
24	Date: DEC 1 4 2009 CHARLOTTE WALTER WOOLARD  JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT		
25			
26			
27			
28 DOCUMENT PROPARED	- 10 -		
on recycled Paper	CONSENT JUDGMENT		