

OCT 2 0 2010

CLERK OF THE COURT BY: MELINKA JONES Deputy Clerk

San Francisco County Superior Count

1 Clifford A. Chanler, State Bar No. 135534 Brian C. Johnson, State Bar No. 235965 Josh Voorhees, State Bar No. 241436 2 THE CHANLER GROUP 3 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, California 94710-2565 4 Telephone: (510) 848-8880 5 Facsimile: (510) 848-8118 Attorneys for Plaintiff 6 RUSSELL BRIMER 7 8 9

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA FOR THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION

RUSSELL BRIMER. 13

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

Plaintiff,

v.

WHO'S THERE INC, INC.; OFFICEMAX INCORPORATED; and DOES 1-150, inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No. CGC-10-499594

[PROPOSED] JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO TERMS OF PROPOSITION 65 SETTLEMENT **AGREEMENT**

September 24, 2010 Date:

Time: 9:00 a.m.

Dept.: 302

Judge: Hon. Charlotte Woolard

Action Filed: May 6, 2010

28

In the above-entitled action, Plaintiff, RUSSELL BRIMER, and Defendant, WHO'S THERE, INC., having agreed through their respective counsel that a judgment be entered pursuant to the terms of the Consent Judgment entered into by the parties in resolution of this Proposition 65 action, and following the issuance of an order approving the Parties' settlement agreement on September 24, 2010.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(f)(4) and Code of Civil Procedure § 664.6, judgment is hereby entered in accordance with the terms of the Consent Judgment attached hereto as Exhibit 1. By stipulation of the parties, the Court will retain jurisdiction to enforce the settlement under Code of Civil Procedure § 664.6.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 10-20-10

UDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT

CHARLOTTE WALTER WOLL ALL)

1	Clifford A. Chanler, State Bar No. 135534	
2	Laurence D. Haveson, State Bar No. 152631 THE CHANLER GROUP	
3	2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214	
4	Berkeley, CA 94710-2565	
	Telephone: (510) 848-8880 Facsimile: (510) 848-8118	
5	Attorneys for Plaintiff	
6	RUSSELL BRIMER	
7	Sean Sherlock, Esq., State Bar No. 161627	
8	SNELL & WILMER, LLP 600 Anton Blvd., Suite 1600	
9	Costa Mesa, CA 92626 Telephone: (714) 427-7036	
10	Facsimile: (714) 427-7799	
	Attorneys for Defendants	
11	WHO'S THERE, INC. and OFFICEMAX INCORPORATED	
12		•
13	CUMENION COUNT OF	THE STATE OF CALLEONIA
14	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA FOR THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO	
15		
16	UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION	
17		
18	RUSSELL BRIMER,	Case No. CGC-10-499594
1	Plaintiff,	
19	ν.	CONSENT JUDGMENT
20		
21	WHO'S THERE, INC.; OFFICEMAX INCORPORATED; and DOES, 1-150,	
22	inclusive, Defendants.	
23		
24	_	_
25		
- 11		
26		
27		
اا مم		

CONSENT JUDGMENT

2 |

ว₽

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Russell Brimer and Who's There, Inc.

This Consent Judgment is entered into by and between Plaintiff, Russell Brimer (hereinafter "Brimer" or "Plaintiff") and Defendants, Who's There, Inc. ("Who's There") and OfficeMax Incorporated ("OfficeMax"), with Brimer, Who's There, and OfficeMax collectively referred to as the "Parties."

1.2 Plaintiff

Brimer is an individual residing in California who seeks to promote awareness of exposures to toxic chemicals and improve human health by reducing or eliminating hazardous substances contained in consumer products.

1.3 Defendants

Who's There and OfficeMax employ ten or more persons and are persons in the course of doing business for purposes of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 et seq. ("Proposition 65").

1.4 General Allegations

Brimer alleges that Who's There and OfficeMax have manufactured, distributed and/or sold in the State of California color coated metal fasteners containing lead. Lead is listed pursuant to Proposition 65 as a chemical known to the State of California to cause birth defects and other reproductive harm. Lead is referred to herein as the "Listed Chemical."

1.5 Product Description

The products that are covered by this Consent Judgment are defined as follows: color coated metal fasteners containing the Listed Chemical including, but not limited to, *Ding Dong Oh-So-Useful Clips* (#25703 60161 9). All such items shall be referred to herein as the "Products."

1.6 Notice of Violation

On or about September 30, 2009, Brimer served Who's There, OfficeMax, and various public enforcement agencies with a document entitled "60-Day Notice of Violation" (the "Notice") that provided defendants and such public enforcers with notice that Who's There and OfficeMax were in violation of California Health & Safety Code §25249.6 for failing to warn consumers and customers

3

4 5

6

7 8

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25 26

27

2010.

26

that the Products exposed users in California to lead. No public enforcer has diligently prosecuted the allegations set forth in the Notice.

1.7 Complaint

On May 6, 2010, Brimer, acting in the interest of the general public in California, filed a complaint in the Superior Court in and for the City and County of San Francisco, alleging violations by various defendants of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 based, inter alia, on the alleged exposures to lead contained in color coated metal fasteners manufactured, distributed and/or sold by Who's There and OfficeMax (the "Complaint").

1.8 No Admission

Who's There and OfficeMax deny the material, factual, and legal allegations contained in Brimer's Notice, and expressly deny any wrongdoing whatsoever. Who's There and OfficeMax further maintain that all products manufactured, distributed and/or sold by them in California, including the Products, have been, and are, in compliance with all laws. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by Who's There or OfficeMax of any fact, finding, issue of law, or violation of law; nor shall compliance with this Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by Who's There or OfficeMax of any fact, finding, conclusion, issue of law, or violation of law, such being specifically denied by Who's There and OfficeMax. However, this section shall not diminish or otherwise affect the obligations, responsibilities and duties of Who's There under this Consent Judgment.

1.9 Consent to Jurisdiction

For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over Who's There and OfficeMax as to the allegations contained in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the City and County of San Francisco, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter and enforce the provisions of this Consent Judgment pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure § 664.6.

1.10 **Effective Date**

For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term "Effective Date" shall mean August 31,

2. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF: REFORMULATION

2 E

2.1 Reformulation Commitment

Commencing on the Effective Date, Who's There shall ship, sell or offer to be shipped for sale in California only those Products that are "Lead Free." For purposes of this Settlement Agreement, "Lead Free" Products shall mean Products containing components that may be handled, touched or mouthed by a consumer, which components yield less than or equal to 90 parts per million ("ppm") of lead when analyzed pursuant to Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") testing methodologies 3050B and/or 6010B, or another method of detection and analysis for lead authorized under Title 27 California Code of Regulations ("CCR") § 25900 ("Digest Test"). After June 15, 2011, however, "Lead Free" shall be amended to mean Products containing components that may be handled, touched or mouthed by a consumer, which components yield less than 1.0 microgram of lead when using a wipe test pursuant to NIOSH Test Method 9100 ("Wipe Test"), in addition to the 90 ppm standard above. Therefore, as of June 15, 2011, Who's There shall ship, sell or offer to be shipped for sale in California only those Products that meet both the Digest Test and Wipe Test.

- **2.2** Exceptions; Current Inventory. Who's There shall have the option, instead of meeting the reformulation commitment in Section 2.1 above, to provide Proposition 65 warnings for Products that are in its inventory as of July 1, 2010, as follows:
 - (a) Retail Store Sales.
- (i) Product Labeling. Who's There may affix a warning to the packaging, labeling, or directly on each Product sold to be in retail outlets in California by Who's There or its agents, containing the following language:

WARNING: This product contains lead, a chemical known to the State of California to cause birth defects and other reproductive harm.

(b) Mail Order Catalog and Internet Sales. In the event that Who's There sells Products currently in its inventory as of July 1, 2010, via mail order catalog or internet to customers located in California, Who's There shall provide a warning for such Products sold via mail order catalog or the Internet to California residents: (1) in the mail order catalog; or (2) on the website.

Warnings given in the mail order catalog or on the website shall identify the *specific* Product to which the warning applies as further specified in Sections 2.2(b)(i) and (ii).

(i) Mail Order Catalog Warning. Any warning provided in a mail order catalog must be in the same type size or larger than the Product description text within the catalog.

The following warning shall be provided on the same page and in the same location as the display and/or description of the Product:

WARNING: This product contains lead, a chemical known to the State of California to cause birth defects and other reproductive harm.

Where it is impracticable to provide the warning on the same page and in the same location as the display and/or description of the Product, Who's There may utilize a designated symbol to cross reference the applicable warning and shall define the term "designated symbol" with the following language on the inside of the front cover of the catalog or on the same page as any order form for the Product(s):

WARNING: Certain products identified with this symbol ▼ and offered for sale in this catalog contain lead, a chemical known to the State of California to cause birth defects and other reproductive harm.

The designated symbol must appear on the same page and in close proximity to the display and/or description of the Product. On each page where the designated symbol appears, Who's There must provide a header or footer directing the consumer to the warning language and definition of the designated symbol.

If Who's There elects to provide warnings in the mail order catalog, then the warnings must be included in all catalogs offering to sell one or more Products printed after the Effective Date.

(ii) Internet Website Warning. A warning may be given in conjunction with the sale of the Product via the Internet, provided it appears either: (a) on the same web page on which the Product is displayed; (b) on the same web page as the order form for the Product; (c) on the same page as the price for any Product; or (d) on one or more web pages displayed to a purchaser during the checkout process. The following warning statement shall be used and shall appear in any

of the above instances adjacent to or immediately following the display, description, or price of the Product for which it is given in the same type size or larger than the Product description text:

WARNING: This product contains lead, a chemical known to the State of California to cause birth defects and other reproductive harm.

Alternatively, the designated symbol may appear adjacent to or immediately following the display, description, or price of the Product for which a warning is being given, provided that the following warning statement also appears elsewhere on the same web page, as follows:

WARNING: Products identified on this page with the following symbol contain lead, a chemical known to the State of California to cause birth defects and other reproductive harm: ▼

3. MONETARY PAYMENTS

3.1 Payments Pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b)

In settlement of all claims related to the Products and Listed Chemical referred to in the Complaint, and this Consent Judgment, pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b), Who's There shall pay a civil penalty of \$10,000 no later than August 13, 2010. Who's There shall issue two separate checks for the penalty payment pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25192: (a) one check made payable to The Chanler Group in Trust for the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment (OEHHA) in the amount of \$7,500, representing 75% of the total; and (b) one check to The Chanler Group in Trust for Brimer in the amount of \$2,500, representing 25% of the total. Two separate 1099s shall be issued for the above payments: The first 1099 shall be issued to OEHHA, P.O. Box 4010, Sacramento, CA 95184 (EIN: 68-0284486) in the amount of \$7,500. The second 1099 shall be issued in the amount of \$2,500 to Brimer, whose address and tax identification number shall be furnished, upon execution of this Consent Judgment. The payments shall be delivered to the following address:

The Chanler Group Attn: Proposition 65 Controller 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710-2565

4. REIMBURSEMENT OF FEES AND COSTS

4.1 Attorney Fees and Costs

1.5

The parties acknowledge that Brimer and his counsel offered to resolve this dispute without reaching terms on the amount of attorney fees and costs to be reimbursed to them, thereby leaving the fee issue to be resolved after the material terms of the agreement had been settled. Who's There then expressed a desire to resolve the fee and cost issue shortly after the other settlement terms had been finalized. The parties then attempted to (and did) reach an accord on the compensation due to Brimer and his counsel under general contract principles and the private attorney general doctrine codified at California Code of Civil Procedure (CCP) § 1021.5, for all work performed through the mutual execution of this agreement. Who's There shall reimburse Brimer and his counsel the total of \$47,000 for fees and costs incurred as a result of investigating, bringing this matter to Who's There's attention, and litigating and negotiating a settlement in the public interest. Who's There shall issue a separate 1099 for fees and costs (EIN: 94-3171522) and shall make the checks payable to "The Chanler Group." Payment shall be made as follows: \$10,000 due no later than August 13, 2010; and the balance of \$37,000 due within five calendar days of notice to Who's There of the Court's approval of this settlement under Section 6, below. Payment shall be delivered to the following address:

The Chanler Group Attn: Proposition 65 Controller 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710-2565

5. RELEASE OF ALL CLAIMS

5.1 Brimer's Release of Who's There

In further consideration of the promises and agreements herein contained, and for the payments to be made pursuant to Sections 3 and 4 above, Brimer, on behalf of himself, his past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors and/or assignees, and in the interest of the general public, hereby waives all rights to institute or participate in, directly or indirectly, any form of legal action and releases all claims, including, without limitation, all actions, and causes of action, in law or in equity, suits, liabilities, demands, obligations, damages, costs, fines, penalties, losses or expenses (including, but not limited to, investigation fees, expert fees and attorney fees) of any nature

ହ

whatsoever, whether known or unknown, fixed or contingent (collectively "Claims"), against Who's There and each of its downstream distributors, wholesalers, licensors, licensees, auctioneers, retailers (including, but not limited to, OfficeMax and its affiliates, subsidiaries, officers, directors, and employees), franchisees, dealers, customers, owners, purchasers, users, parent companies, corporate affiliates, subsidiaries, and their respective officers, directors, attorneys, representatives, shareholders, agents, and employees, and sister and parent entities (collectively "Releasees"). This release is limited to those claims that arise under Proposition 65, as such claims relate to Who's There's alleged failure to warn about exposures to, or identification of, lead contained in the Products.

Brimer also, on behalf of himself and his agents, attorneys, representatives, successors and assigns, in his individual capacity only and *not* in his representative capacity, provides a general release herein which shall be effective as a full and final accord and satisfaction, as a bar to all actions, causes of action, obligations, costs, expenses, attorneys' fees, damages, losses, claims, liabilities and demands of Brimer of any nature, character or kind, known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, and agrees not to initiate, participate in or maintain any further legal action in any judicial or administrative forum, including any Claim, against Who's There arising from any alleged violations of Proposition 65. Brimer acknowledges that he is familiar with Section 1542 of the California Civil Code, which provides as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR.

Brimer, in his individual capacity only and *not* in his representative capacity, on behalf of himself and his agents, attorneys, representatives, successors and assigns, expressly waives and relinquishes any and all rights and benefits that he may have under, or that may be conferred on him by, the provisions of Section 1542 of the California Civil Code as well as under any other state or federal statute or common law principle of similar effect, to the fullest extent that he may lawfully waive such rights or benefits pertaining to the released matters. In furtherance of such intention, the release hereby given shall be and remain in effect as a full and complete release notwithstanding the

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21 22

23

24 25

26

27

discovery or existence of any such additional or different claims or facts arising out of the released matters.

5.2 Who's There's Release of Brimer

Who's There waives any and all claims against Brimer, his attorneys, and other representatives for any and all actions taken or statements made (or those alleged to have been taken or made) by Brimer and his attorneys and other representatives in the course of investigating claims or otherwise seeking enforcement of Proposition 65 against them in this matter with respect to the Products.

Who's There also provides a general release herein which shall be effective as a full and final accord and satisfaction, as a bar to all actions, causes of action, obligations, costs, expenses, attorney fees, damages, losses, claims, liabilities and demands of Who's There of any nature, character or kind, known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, arising out of the subject matter of the Action. Who's There acknowledges that it is familiar with Section 1542 of the California Civil Code, which provides as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR.

Who's There expressly waives and relinquishes any and all rights and benefits that it may have under, or that may be conferred on it by, the provisions of Section 1542 of the California Civil Code as well as under any other state or federal statute or common law principle of similar effect, to the fullest extent that it may lawfully waive such rights or benefits pertaining to the released matters. In furtherance of such intention, the release hereby given shall be, and remain, in effect as a full and complete release notwithstanding the discovery or existence of any such additional or different claims or facts arising out of the released matters.

6. COURT APPROVAL

This Consent Judgment is not effective until it is approved and entered by the Court and shall be null and void if, for any reason, it is not approved and entered by the Court within one year after it has been fully executed by all parties, in which event any monies that have been provided to Brimer, or his counsel pursuant to Section 3 and Section 4 above, shall be refunded within fifteen (15) days

5 7. SEV

6 7

8

10

12

11

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

2021

22

23

24

25

26

27

∂₽

after receiving written notice from Who's There that the one-year period has expired. This Consent Judgment constitutes a full and final adjudication on the merits of all claims raised in this action, and shall operate as a bar to any further claims or causes of action against Releasees that arise under Proposition 65, as such claims relate to Products manufactured, distributed, or sold by Who's There.

7. SEVERABILITY

If, subsequent to the execution of this Consent Judgment, any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment are held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the enforceable provisions remaining shall not be adversely affected.

8. GOVERNING LAW

The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California and apply within the State of California. In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed or is otherwise rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally, or as to the Products, then Who's There shall provide written notice to Brimer of any asserted change in the law, and shall have no further obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment with respect to, and to the extent that, the Products are so affected.

9. NOTICES

Unless specified herein, all correspondence and notices required to be provided pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be in writing and personally delivered or sent by: (i) first-class, registered or certified mail, return receipt requested; or (ii) overnight courier on any party by the other party at the following addresses:

For Who's There:

Jennifer Bilik, President Who's There, Inc. 1633 Electric Avenue Venice, CA 90291

With a copy to:

Sean Sherlock, Esq. Snell & Wilmer, LLP 600 Anton Blvd., Suite 1600 Costa Mesa, CA 92626

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

47

25

26

27

2 B

For OfficeMax:

Tracy R. O'Neale, Esq. Associate General Counsel OfficeMax, Incorporated 1111 West Jefferson Street, Suite 510 Boise, ID 83702

For Brimer:

Proposition 65 Coordinator The Chanler Group 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710

Any party, from time to time, may specify in writing to the other party a change of address to which all notices and other communications shall be sent.

10. COUNTERPARTS: FACSIMILE SIGNATURES

This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by facsimile, each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute one and the same document.

11. COMPLIANCE WITH HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 25249.7(f)

Brimer agrees to comply with the reporting form requirements referenced in California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(f).

12. ADDITIONAL POST EXECUTION ACTIVITIES

The parties acknowledge that, pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(f)(4), Brimer must submit the settlement to the Court for approval upon noticed motion to obtain judicial approval of this Consent Judgment. In furtherance of obtaining such approval, Brimer and his counsel shall promptly prepare and file a noticed motion seeking the Court's approval of this Consent Judgment. Who's There and its counsel agree to support the entry of this agreement as a Consent Judgment.

13. MODIFICATION

This Consent Judgment may be modified only: (1) by written agreement of the parties and upon entry of a modified consent judgment by the Court thereon; or (2) upon a successful motion of any party and entry of a modified consent judgment by the Court. The Attorney General shall be

	I			
1	served with notice of any proposed modification to this Consent Judgment at least fifteen days in			
2	advance of its consideration by the Court.			
3	14. AUTHORIZATION			
4	The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their respective			
5	parties and have read, understood, and agree to all of the terms and conditions hereof.			
6	15. DISMISSAL OF OFFICE MAX			
7	Within five calendar days of delivery of all payments required under Sections 3 and 4, Brimer			
8				
9	shall file with the Court a Request for Dismissal, without prejudice, of this action as against Office			
10	wax, and shan cause this action to be dishinss	Max, and shall cause this action to be dismissed as against Office Max.		
11	A CREED TO	A CREED TO		
12	AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:		
13	Date:/_			
14				
15	By: C S RUSSELL BRIMER	By: JENNIFER BILIK, President		
16		WHO'S THERE, INC.		
17	AGREED TO:			
18	Date:	-		
19				
20	By:			
21	OFFICE MAX INCORPORATED			
22		•		
23				
24	·			
25				
26				
27	•			
20				
- 11				

CONSENT JUDGMENT

11

1	served with notice of any proposed modification to this Consent Judgment at least fifteen days in			
2	advance of its consideration by the Court.			
3	14. AUTHORIZATION			
4	The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their respective			
5	parties and have read, understood, and agree to all of the terms and conditions hereof.			
6				
7	15. DISMISSAL OF OFFICE MAX			
8	Within five calendar days of delivery of all payments required under Sections 3 and 4, Brimer			
9	shall file with the Court a Request for Dismissal, without prejudice, of this action as against Office			
0	Max, and shall cause this action to be dismissed as against Office Max.			
1				
2	AGREED TO:			
3	Date: Date:			
4				
5	By: By: JENNIFER BILIK, President			
6	WHO'S THERE, INC. AGREED TO: Jim Papscoe, COO			
7				
В	Date:			
9	D			
)	By:			
۱∥	OFFICE MAX INCORPORATED			
2				
³				
!				
:				
•				
	•			
	CONSENT JUIXGMENT			

	served with notice of any proposed modification to this Consent Judgment at least fifteen days in				
:	advance of its consideration by the Court.				
:	14. AUTHORIZATION				
4	The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their respective				
5	parties and have read, understood, and agree to all of the terms and conditions hereof.				
6	15 DISMISSAL OF OPEICE MAY				
7	15. DISMISSAL OF OFFICE MAX Within five calendar days of delivery of all payments required under Sections 3 and 4, Brimer				
8	B	shall file with the Court a Request for Dismissal, without prejudice, of this action as against Office			
9	9	Max, and shall cause this action to be dismissed as against Office Max.			
10	, ,				
11	AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:			
12	Date:	Date:			
13	1)				
14	By:	By:			
15 16		JENNIFER BILIK, President WHO'S THERE, INC.			
17	AGREED TO:				
18	Date: 7/30/10				
19/	Maria Or all				
201	Fr. fall tulles				
21	OFF CE MAX INCORPORATED				
22	<u>.</u>				
23					
24					
25					
26	1				
27					
26	*				
	11				
	CONSENT JUDGMENT				