Reuben Yeroushalmi (SBN 193981) San Francisco County Superior Count Daniel D. Cho (SBN 105409) Ben Yeroushalmi (SBN 232540) APR 2 2 2011 YEROUSHALMI & ASSOCIATES CLERK OF THE COURT BEVERLY HILLS, CA 90212 BY: ERICKA LARNAUTI Telephone: 310-623-1926 Facsimile: 310-623-1930 Attorneys for Plaintiff, Consumer Advocacy Group, Inc. Joey P. Moore, Esq. (SBN 97368) JOEY P. MOORE, A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION 575 Anton Blvd., Suite 710 Costa Mesa, CA 92626 Telephone: 714.438.7210 Facsimile: 714.438.0160 11 Attorneys for Defendants Barjan, LLC, TravelCenters of America, LLC, and 12 TA Operating LLC 13 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 14 15 COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO 16 CONSUMER ADVOCACY GROUP, INC., CASE NO. CGC-10-499500 17 in the public interest, [PROPOSED] STIPULATED CONSENT 18 Plaintiff, JUDGMENT AND [PROPOSED] ORDER 19 Health & Safety Code § 25249.5 et seq. 20 BARJAN PRODUCTS LLC, a Delaware ACTION FILED: May 5, 2010 21 Limited Liability Company, TRIAL DATE: None set TRAVELCENTERS OF AMERICA, a 22 Delaware Corporation, TA OPERATING CORPORATION, a Delaware Corporation. 23 and DOES 1-50; 24 Defendants. 25 26 INTRODUCTION 1. 27 On May 5, 2010, Plaintiff, the Consumer Advocacy Group, Inc. ("CAG"), filed a 1.1 28

PROPOSEDI STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT AND PROPOSEDI ORDER

complaint in the San Francisco Superior Court entitled *Consumer Advocacy Group, Inc. v. Barjan Products LLC, et al*, Case No. CGC10-499500 (the "Action), for civil penalties and injunctive relief pursuant to the provisions of California Health & Safety Code § 25249.5, *et seq.* ("Proposition 65"). CAG's Complaint erroneously named Barjan Products LLC, (the true identity of which is Barjan, LLC), TravelCenters of America (the true identity of which is TravelCenters of America, LLC), and TA Operating, Corporation (the true identity of which is TA Operating LLC), ("Defendants") and unnamed "Does," collectively to be referred to hereinafter as "Parties."

- 1.2 Defendants are corporations that employ 10 or more persons. Defendants allegedly have made available for distribution in the State of California an allegedly lead-containing product, Barjan Products Battery Terminal Containing Lead (the "Product"). The Product allegedly contains lead, a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harm.
- 1.3 On or about July 1, 2009, August 13, 2009, and October 22, 2009, CAG served Defendants and the appropriate public enforcement agencies with notice claiming that Defendants were in violation of Proposition 65 in regard to the Product. CAG's notices and the Complaint in this Action allege that Defendants expose people who handle the Product to Lead, without first providing clear and reasonable warnings, in violation of California Health & Safety Code § 25249.6.
- 1.4 Defendants deny the material allegations of the notices and the Complaint, and deny liability for the cause of action alleged in the Complaint and in connection with the Action.
- 1.5 For purposes of this Stipulated Consent Judgment only, the parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in CAG's Complaint and personal jurisdiction over Defendants as to the acts alleged in CAG's Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of San Francisco, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Stipulated Consent Judgment as a full and final resolution of all claims which were or could have been raised in the Complaint based on the facts alleged therein.
  - 1.6 The parties enter into this Stipulated Consent Judgment pursuant to a settlement of

certain disputed claims as alleged in the Complaint for the purpose of avoiding prolonged and costly litigation, including without limitation the expenditure of significant funds by Defendants for scientific analysis and related proceedings before the Office of Environmental Hazard Assessment and/or the Courts related to the Product, and similar expenditures by CAG to oppose such analysis and proceedings.

- 1.7 Nothing in this Stipulated Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law or violation of law, including without limitation, any admission concerning any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory, regulatory, common law, or equitable doctrine, or the meaning of the terms "knowingly and intentionally expose" or "clear and reasonable warning" as used in Health and Safety Code section 25249.6. Nothing in this Stipulated Consent Judgment, nor compliance with its terms, shall constitute or be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, or of fault, wrongdoing, or liability by Defendants, their officers, directors, employees, or parent, subsidiary or affiliated corporations, or be offered or admitted as evidence in any administrative or judicial proceeding or litigation in any court, agency, or forum.
- 1.8 Nothing in this Stipulated Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive or impair any right, remedy, argument, or defense the Parties may have in any other or future legal proceeding, except as expressly provided in this Stipulated Consent Judgment.
- 1.9 This Stipulated Consent Judgment is the product of negotiation and compromise and is accepted by the Parties, for purposes of settling, compromising and resolving issues disputed in this action, including future compliance by Defendants with Section 2 of this Stipulated Consent Judgment, and shall not be used for any other purpose, or in any other matter.

#### 2. COMPLIANCE – WARNING FOR SALES IN CALIFORNIA

2.1 Upon the execution of this Stipulated Consent Judgment and its submission to the Attorney General's Office and approval by the Court, as provided herein below, Defendants will provide Proposition 65-compliant warnings on all containers of the Product sold or distributed in California indicating that the Product contains Lead, a chemical designated by the State to Cause Cancer, Reproductive Toxicity, Developmental, Female, and Male. Within Sixty (60) days of

approval of this consent judgment by the Court (the "Compliance Date"), Defendants shall not engage in any California sale of the Product without providing the following or something substantially similar to the following warning language; printed conspicuously on the labels of the Product:

WARNING: This product contains lead, a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harm.

#### 3. SETTLEMENT PAYMENT

- 3.1 Within ten (10) days of entry of this Stipulated Consent Judgment by the Court, Defendants shall pay a total of thirty thousand five hundred dollars (\$30,500) to Consumer Advocacy Group, Inc. and Yeroushalmi & Associates. The payment shall be made by separate checks apportioned as follows:
  - 3.1.1 Monetary Payment in Lieu of Penalty: One thousand five hundred dollars (\$1,500) shall be paid to CAG in lieu of any penalty pursuant to California Health and Safety Code § 25249.7(b). CAG shall use such funds to continue its work protecting people from exposures to toxic chemicals, including those listed under Proposition 65; protecting the environment; improving human health; and supporting environmentally sound practices.
  - 3.1.2 Attorneys' Fees and Costs: Twenty nine thousand dollars (\$29,000) of such payment shall be paid to Yeroushalmi & Associates, as CAG's attorneys, for reasonable investigation fees and costs, attorneys' fees, and any other costs incurred as a result of investigating, bringing this matter to Defendants' attention, litigating, and negotiating a settlement in the public interest.

## 4. MODIFICATION OF STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT

4.1 This written Stipulated Consent Judgment may only be modified by written agreement of CAG and Defendants upon stipulation and Order of the Court, or after noticed motion, and upon entry of a Stipulated Consent Judgment by the Court thereon, or upon motion of CAG or Defendants as provided by law and upon entry of a modified Stipulated Consent Judgment by the Court.

10 11

12

13

14

15

10

17

18 19

20

21

22

24

25

2627

28

## 5. ENFORCEMENT OF STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT

5.1 Either party may, by motion or application for an order to show cause before the Superior Court of the County of San Francisco, consistent with the terms and conditions set forth in paragraphs 9.1 and 9.2 of this Stipulated Consent Judgment, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Stipulated Consent Judgment. The prevailing party shall be entitled to its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs associated with such motion or application.

#### 6. APPLICATION OF STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT

6.1 This Stipulated Consent Judgment shall apply to and be binding upon the parties hereto, their divisions, subdivisions and subsidiaries, officers, directors, employees, agents and their successors or assigns, and to the extent allowed by law, on the general public.

#### 7. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED

7.1 CAG, on behalf of itself and in the public interest, hereby releases and discharges Defendants, their related subsidiaries, affiliates, predecessors, successors and assigns, and all officers, directors, employees, and shareholders of them (collectively, "Released Parties") from any and all claims asserted, or that could have been asserted, in this litigation arising from the alleged failure to provide Proposition 65 warnings for the Product regarding the exposure of individuals to listed chemical in the Product. CAG, on behalf of itself only, hereby releases and discharges the Released Parties from any and all known and unknown past, present, and future rights, claims, causes of action, damages, suits, penalties, liabilities, injunctive relief, declaratory relief, and attorney fees, costs, and expenses related to or arising out of the facts and claims asserted, or that could have been asserted, under state or federal law in this litigation arising from or related to the Product or the facts alleged in Plaintiff's Proposition 65 Notices or the Complaint, including without limitation any and all claims concerning exposure of any person to Proposition 65-listed chemicals in the Product. Compliance with the terms of this Stipulated Consent Judgment shall constitute compliance by the Released Parties with Proposition 65 with respect to exposures to Lead contained in the Product. This release does not limit or affect the obligations of any party created under this Stipulated Consent Judgment.

11

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

26

27

28

intended to cover and include all such injuries, damages, liability, and claims, including all rights of action therefor. CAG has full knowledge of the contents of Section 1542 of the Civil Code. CAG, on behalf of itself only, acknowledges that the claims released in section 7.1 above may include unknown claims and waives Section 1542 as to any such unknown claims. Section 1542 reads as follows:

> "A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS WHICH IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR"

<u>Unknown Claims</u>. It is possible that other injuries, damages, liability, or

CAG acknowledges and understands the significance and consequences of this specific waiver of Civil Code Section 1542.

#### 8. SEVERABILITY

7.2

In the event that any of the provisions of this Stipulated Consent Judgment 8.1 are held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the enforceable provisions shall not be adversely affected.

#### 9. NOTICE AND CURE

- No action to enforce this Stipulated Consent Judgment may be commenced, 9.1 and no notice of violation related to the Product may be served or filed against Defendants by CAG, unless the party seeking enforcement or alleging violation notifies the other party of the specific acts alleged to breach this Stipulated Consent Judgment at least 90 days before serving or filing any motion, action, or Notice of Violation. Any notice to Defendants must contain (a) the name of the product, (b) specific dates when the product was sold in California without the warning specified in Section 2, (c) the store or other place at which the product was available for sale to consumers, and (d) any other evidence or other support for the allegations in the notice.
- Within 30 days of receiving the notice described in Section 9.1, Defendants 9.2 shall either (1) withdraw the product, (2) provide for the product the warning described in Section

	31			
	1 2, or (3) re	2, or (3) refute the information provided under Section 9.1. Should the parties be unable to resolv		
;	11	the dispute, either party may seek relief under Section 5.		
•	3   10.	GOV	ERNING LAW	
4	1	10.1	The terms of this Stipulated Consent Judgment shall be governed by the	
	laws of the	laws of the State of California.		
(	5 11.	PRO	VISION OF NOTICE	
7	<b>,</b>	11.1	All notices required pursuant to this Stipulated Consent Judgment and	
8	correspond	correspondence shall be sent to the following:		
9	For CAG:			
10		Reuben Yeroushalmi		
11	BEY	YEROUSHALMI & ASSOCIATES BEVERLY HILLS, CA 90212		
12	Telephone: 310-623-1926 Facsimile: 310-623-1930			
13				
14	For Barjan, LLC, TravelCenters of America, LLC, and TA Operating LLC:  Joey P. Moore, Esq. (SBN 97368)			
15	JOEY P. MOORE, A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION			
16	575 Anton Blvd., Suite 710 Costa Mesa, CA 92626			
17		Telephone: 714.438.7210 Facsimile: 714.438.0160		
18				
19	12.	COUI	RT APPROVAL	
20		12.1	If this Stipulated Consent Judgment is not approved by the Court, it shall be	
21	of no further force or effect.			
22		12.2	CAG shall comply with Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(f) and	
23	with Title 11 California Code of Regulations section 3003.			
24	13.	EXEC	UTION AND COUNTER PARTS	
25		13.1	This Stipulated Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by	
26	means of facsimile, which taken together shall be deemed to constitute one document. Facsimile			
27	or pdf signat	or pdf signatures shall be construed as valid as the original.		
28	14.	AUTH	ORIZATION	
- 11				

# ORDER AND JUDGMENT Based upon the Stipulated Consent Judgment between Consumer Advocacy Group, Inc. and

Barjan, LLC, TravelCenters of America, LLC and TA Operating LLC, the Consent Judgment is approved and judgment is hereby entered according to the terms herein.

Dated: 4-21-1

**CHARLOTTE WALTER WOOLARD** 

Judge, Superior Court of the State of California