27

1.0 INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 <u>Plaintiff.</u> Plaintiff Consumer Advocacy Group, Inc. ("Plaintiff" or "CAG"), on its own behalf and as a representative of the People of the State of California, is a non-profit public interest corporation.
- 1.2 <u>Defendant.</u> Bell Automotive Products, Inc. ("BAP") manufactures, distributes, and sells automotive convenience accessories nationwide, including to certain retailers located in California.
  - 1.3 Parties. CAG and the BAP are collectively referred to herein as the "Parties."
- 1.4 <u>Covered Products.</u> Among other products, BAP manufactures, distributes, and sells battery terminals, such as Victor Heavy Duty Lead Battery Terminals ("Battery Terminals"), which are designed for use as electrical connections on automobile batteries. CAG alleges that BAP's battery terminals sold for use in California contain lead in quantities requiring a warning under Health & Safety Code sections 25249.5 et seq. ("Proposition 65"). BAP denies that a warning is required. Those battery terminals manufactured, distributed, and sold by BAP in California are collectively referred to as the "Covered Products." This Consent Judgment shall have no effect on Covered Products sold by BAP outside of California.
- 1.5 Proposition 65. Proposition 65 prohibits, among other things, a company consisting of ten or more employees from knowingly and intentionally exposing an individual to chemicals that are known to the State of California to cause cancer and/or birth defects or other reproductive harm without first providing a clear and reasonable warning to such individuals. Exposures can occur as a result of a consumer product exposure, an occupational exposure or an environmental exposure. Pursuant to Health & Safety Code 25249.10, the statute provides an exemption from the warning requirement if, among other things, the Defendant can show that the exposure poses no significant risk, or that the exposure will have no observable effect.
- 1.6 <u>Proposition 65 Chemicals.</u> The State of California has officially listed various chemicals, including lead, pursuant to Health & Safety Code section 25249.8 as chemicals known to the State of California to cause cancer and/or reproductive toxicity.

1.7 The Present Dispute. This Consent Judgment pertains to Consumer Advocacy Group, Inc. v. Bell Automotive Products, Inc., Los Angeles County Superior Court Case No. BC409019, which was filed on March 5, 2009.

1.8 Plaintiff's 60-day Notice. More than sixty days prior to filing the Action, CAG served on BAP a document entitled "60-day Notice of Intent to Sue Under Health & Safety Code Section 25249.6 (the "Notice"). A true and correct copy of the Notice is attached hereto as Exhibit "A." The Notice stated, among other things, that Plaintiff believed that BAP violated Proposition 65 by knowingly and intentionally exposing consumers to lead without first providing an adequate Proposition 65 warning. CAG subsequently filed the instant action against BAP ("Action"). The Action asserts the Proposition 65 violation alleged in the Notice.

# 1.9 Purpose of Consent Judgment.

The Parties enter into this Consent Judgment pursuant to a settlement of the claims alleged in the Complaint, which are disputed, for the purpose of avoiding prolonged and costly litigation. The Parties wish to resolve completely and finally the issues raised by the Notice and the Action pursuant to the terms and conditions described herein. In entering into this Consent Judgment, the Parties recognize that this Consent Judgment is a full and final settlement of all claims related to the Battery Terminals that were raised, or that could have been raised, in the Notice and the Action. CAG and BAP also intend for this Consent Judgment to provide, to the maximum extent permitted by law, *res judicata* and/or collateral estoppel protection for BAP against any and all other claims based upon the same or similar allegations as to the Battery Terminals.

## 1.10 No Admission.

This Consent Judgment resolves claims that are denied and disputed. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law or violation of law, nor shall compliance with the Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law.

1.11 Effective Upon Final Determination. BAP's willingness to enter into this Consent Judgment is based upon the understanding that this Consent Judgment will fully and finally resolve all claims related to the Battery Terminals, and that this Consent Judgment will have res judicata and/or collateral estoppel effect to the extent allowed by law with regard to any alleged violations of Proposition 65 by BAP.

### 2.0 JURISDICTION

- 2.1 <u>Subject Matter Jurisdiction.</u> For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations and claims alleged in the Action.
- 2.2 <u>Personal Jurisdiction.</u> For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has personal jurisdiction over BAP as to the acts and claims alleged in the Action.
- 2.3 <u>Venue.</u> For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that venue for resolution of the allegations and claims asserted in the Action is proper in the County of Los Angeles.
- 2.4 <u>Jurisdiction to Enter Consent Judgment.</u> The Parties stipulate and agree that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment as a full and final settlement and resolution of the allegations contained in the Notice, the Action, and of all claims that were or that could have been raised based on the facts alleged therein or arising therefrom.

# 3.0 COMPLIANCE: CLEAR AND REASONABLE WARNINGS

3.1 <u>Consumer Product Warning.</u> As to all Covered Products containing lead and sold California, BAP agrees to include Proposition 65-compliant warnings on the packaging as of the effective date of this Consent Judgment. These warnings shall state:

"WARNING: This product contains lead, an element known to the State of California to cause cancer, birth defects, or other reproductive harm."

- 3.2 The warnings set forth in Section 3.1 shall be displayed in a conspicuous and prominent manner that will render the warnings likely to be read and understood by an ordinary consumer prior to, or at the time of, the sale or purchase.
- 3.3 <u>Compliance.</u> Compliance with paragraphs 3.1 and 3.2 is deemed to fully satisfy BAP's obligations under Proposition 65 with respect to any exposures and potential exposures to the Covered Products in all respects and to all persons and entities.
- 3.3.1 The provision of said warnings shall be deemed to satisfy all obligations under Proposition 65 by all person(s) or entit(ies) with respect to all consumer exposure to the constituent chemicals identified in the Notice. The warnings described in this section may be combined with other information on the packaging and may be provided by the same media and in the same or similar format in which other information is provided to the consumer.
- 3.4 Future Laws or Regulations. In lieu of complying with the requirements of paragraph 3.3, should (a) any future federal law or regulation that governs the warnings provided for herein preempt state authority with respect to said warning; (b) any future warning requirement with respect to the subject matter of said paragraph be proposed by any industry association and approved by the State of California; or (c) any future state law or regulation specifying a specific warning for consumer exposure with respect to the subject matter of said paragraph, BAP may comply with the warning obligations set forth in paragraph 3.1 and 3.2 by complying with such future federal or state law or regulation or such future warning requirement.
- 3.5 <u>Statutory Amendment to Proposition 65.</u> If a statutory, regulatory or other amendment to Proposition 65 is adopted that would exempt BAP, the "Released Parties" (as defined in paragraph 4.2 below), or the class to which BAP belongs, from providing the warnings described herein, then upon the adoption of such statutory amendment or regulation and to the extent authorized by such statutory amendment or regulation, BAP shall be relieved from its obligation to provide the warnings set forth herein. In addition, should BAP cease to manufacture, distribute, or sell any of the Covered Products, then BAP shall be relieved of any obligation to provide warnings with respect to such Covered Products.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

4.1 Effect of Judgment. This Consent Judgment is a full and final judgment with respect to any claims regarding the Battery Terminals that were asserted or that could have been asserted in the Action and/or the Notice against the Released Parties (as defined in paragraph 4.2 below), including, but not limited to: (a) claims for any violation of Proposition 65 or Section 17200 against the Released Parties and each of them, including but not limited to, claims arising from consumer product exposures to the Battery Terminals, wherever occurring and to whomever occurring, through and including the date upon which this Consent Judgment becomes final, including all appeals; and (b) the Released Parties' continuing responsibility to provide the warnings mandated by Proposition 65 with respect to the Battery Terminals.

Release. Except for such rights and obligations as have been created under this 4.2 Consent Judgment, Plaintiff, on its own behalf and in the interests of the public pursuant to Health & Safety Code section 25249.7(d), and Plaintiff's counsel, Yeroushalmi & Associates, with respect to the matters regarding the Battery Terminals alleged in the Notice and the Action, do hereby fully, completely, finally and forever release, relinquish and discharge: (a) BAP; (b) the past, present, and future owners, lessors, sublessors, managers, franchisors, franchisees, wholesalers, distributors, customers and operators of (and any others with any interest in) the products identified in the Notice; and (c) the respective past, present, and future officers, directors, shareholders, affiliates, members, joint venturers, partners, agents, principals, employees, attorneys, parents, subsidiaries, owners, sisters or other related entities, successors, and assigns of the persons and entities described in (a) and (b) above (the parties identified in (a), (b), and (c) above are collectively referred to as the "Released Parties") of and from all claims, actions, causes of action, suits, demands, rights, debts, agreements, promises, liabilities, damages, penalties, royalties, fees, accountings, costs and expenses, whether known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, of any nature whatsoever that Plaintiff has or may have against the Released Parties, arising directly or indirectly out of any fact or circumstance occurring prior to the date upon which this Consent Judgment becomes final (including all appeals), relating to any

actual or alleged violation of Proposition 65 by the Released Parties and their respective agents, servants and employees that were or could have been raised in the Notice and/or the Action (the "Released Claims"). In sum, the Released Claims include all allegations made, or that could have been made, by Plaintiff with respect to the Battery Terminals relating to Proposition 65.

4.3 Intent of Parties. It is the intention of the Parties to this Release that, upon entry of judgment and conclusion of any and all appeals or litigation relating to this Consent Judgment, that this Consent Judgment shall be effective as a full and final accord and satisfaction and release of each and every Released Claim. In furtherance of this intention, Plaintiff acknowledges that it is familiar with California Civil Code section 1542, which provides as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR.

Plaintiff waives and relinquishes all of the rights and benefits that Plaintiff has or may have under Civil Code section 1542 (as well as any similar rights and benefits which it may have by virtue of any statute or rule of law in any other state or territory of the United States). Plaintiff acknowledges that it may hereafter discover facts in addition to, or different from, those which it now knows or believes to be true with respect to the subject matter of this Consent Judgment and the Released Claims, and that notwithstanding the foregoing, it is Plaintiff's intention to fully, finally, completely and forever settle and release all Released Claims, and that in furtherance of such intention, the release here given shall be and remain in effect as a full and complete general release, notwithstanding the discovery or existence of any such additional or different facts.

4.4 <u>Plaintiff's Ability to Represent the Public.</u> Plaintiff hereby warrants and represents to Defendant and the Released Parties that (a) Plaintiff has not previously assigned

any Released Claim; and (b) Plaintiff has the right, ability and power to release each Released Claim.

Plaintiff further represents and warrants that it is a public benefit corporation formed for the specific purposes of (a) protecting and educating the public as to harmful products and activities; (b) encouraging members of the public to become involved in issues affecting the environment and the enforcement of environmental statutes and regulations including, but not limited to, Proposition 65; and (c) instituting litigation to enforce the provisions of Proposition 65.

4.5 No Further Force and Effect. In the event that (a) the Court denies the Parties'

Joint Motion to Approve the Consent Judgment pursuant to Health & Safety Code section

25249.7(f)(4) as amended; or (b) a decision by the Court to approve the Consent Judgment is appealed and overturned by another Court, then upon notice by any Party hereto to any other Party hereto, this Consent Judgment shall be of no further force or effect and the Parties shall be restored to their respective rights and obligations as though this Consent Judgment had not been executed by the Parties.

### 5.0 ATTORNEY FEES AND COSTS

- 5.1 Payment in Lieu of Civil Penalties. BAP shall pay CAG, incorporated for the purpose of furthering environmental causes, \$5,000.00. Payment shall be to "Consumer Advocacy Group, Inc." CAG will use the payment for such projects and purposes related to environmental protection, worker health and safety, or reduction of human exposure to hazardous substances (including administrative and product testing costs arising from such projects), as CAG may choose. CAG shall provide its address and federal tax identification number to BAP prior to such payment.
- 5.2 Payment to Yeroushalmi & Associates. BAP shall pay CAG \$15,000.00 for its attorney fees and costs incurred in this matter. The check shall be to "Yeroushalmi & Associates." CAG represents and warrants that CAG has authorized the payment of attorney fees and costs, and that the payment and any application or distribution of such payment will not

violate any agreement between CAG and its attorneys with any other person or entity. CAG releases and agrees to hold harmless the Released Parties with regard to any issue concerning the allocation or distribution of the amount paid under this section. Yeroushalmi & Associates shall provide its address and federal tax identification number to BAP prior to such payment.

5.3 <u>Timing of Payments.</u> The payments described above shall be made in full to their respective recipients in accordance with the terms of the Settlement Agreement between Consumer Advocacy Group, Inc. and Bell Automotive Products, Inc.

# 6.0 PRECLUSIVE EFFECT OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

- 6.1 <u>Entry of Judgment.</u> Entry of judgment by the Court pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall, *inter alia*:
- 6.1.1 Constitute full and fair adjudication of all claims against BAP, including, but not limited to, all claims set forth in the Action based upon alleged violations of Proposition 65, as well as any other statute, provision of common law or any theory or issue which arose from BAP's actual or alleged failure to provide warnings regarding consumer exposure to lead, which is known to the State of California to cause cancer, birth defects and/or other reproductive harm;
- 6.1.2 Bar all other persons, on the basis of *res judicata*, collateral estoppel and/or the doctrine of mootness, from prosecuting against any Released Party any claim with respect to the Battery Terminals alleged in the Notice and the Action, and based upon alleged violations of (a) Proposition 65; or (b) any other statute, provision of common law or any theory or issue which arose or may arise from the alleged failure to provide warnings of exposure to lead, which is known to the State of California to cause cancer, birth defects, and/or other reproductive harm.

# 7.0 DISPUTES UNDER THE CONSENT JUDGMENT

7.1 <u>Disputes.</u> In the event that a dispute arises with respect to either Party's compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment, the Parties shall meet, either in person or by telephone, and endeavor to resolve the dispute in an amicable manner. No action may be

26

27

28

taken to enforce the provisions of this Consent Judgment absent such a good faith effort to resolve the dispute prior to the taking of such action. In the event that legal proceedings are initiated to enforce the provisions of this Consent Judgment, however, the prevailing party in such proceeding may seek to recover its costs and reasonable attorneys' fees. As used herein, the term "prevailing party" means a party that is successful in obtaining relief more favorable to it than the relief that the other party was amenable to providing during the Parties' good faith attempt to resolve the dispute that is the subject of such enforcement action.

#### 8.0 NOTICES

8.1 Written Notice Required. All notices between the Parties provided for or permitted under this Consent Judgment or by law shall be in writing and shall be deemed duly served: (a) when personally delivered to a party, on the date of such delivery; or (b) when sent via facsimile to a party at the facsimile number set forth below, or to such other or further facsimile number provided in any notice sent under the terms of this paragraph, on the date of the transmission of that facsimile; or (c) when deposited in the United States mail, certified, postage prepaid, addressed to such party at the address set forth below, or to such other or further address provided in a notice sent under the terms of this paragraph, three days following the deposit of such notice in the mails.

Notices pursuant to this paragraph shall be sent to the Parties as follows:

(a) To Plaintiff:

Reuben Yeroushalmi, Esq. Yeroushalmi & Associates 3700 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 480 Los Angeles, California 90010 Facsimile Number: (213) 382-3430

(b) To Defendant:

Kelly E. Richardson, Esq. Jason M. Ohta, Esq. Jennifer P. Casler, Esq. LATHAM & WATKINS LLP 600 West Broadway, Suite 1800 San Diego, California 92101 Facsimile No. (619) 696-7419

A Party may change the address to which notice shall be provided under this Consent Judgment by serving a written notice to each of the Parties.

#### 9.0 INTEGRATION

9.1 Integrated Writing. This Consent Judgment constitutes the final and complete agreement of the Parties hereto with respect to the subject matter hereof and supersedes all prior or contemporaneous negotiations, promises, covenants, agreements or representations concerning any matters directly, indirectly or collaterally related to the subject matter of this Consent Judgment. The Parties hereto have expressly and intentionally included in this Consent Judgment all collateral or additional agreements that may, in any manner, touch or relate to any of the subject matter of this Consent Judgment and therefore, all promises, covenants and agreements, collateral or otherwise are included herein and therein. The Parties intend that this Consent Judgment shall constitute an integration of all their agreements, and each understands that in the event of any subsequent litigation, controversy or dispute concerning any of its terms, conditions or provisions, no Party hereto shall be permitted to offer or introduce any oral or extrinsic evidence concerning any other collateral or oral agreement between the Parties not included herein.

#### 10.0 TIMING

10.1 <u>Time of Essence</u>. Time is of the essence in the performance of the terms hereof.

# 11.0 COMPLIANCE WITH REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

Reporting Forms: Presentation to Attorney General. The Parties expressly acknowledge and agree to comply with the reporting requirements referenced in Health & Safety Code section 25249.7(f) and regulations promulgated thereunder. Upon receipt of all necessary signatures hereto, Plaintiff shall serve a copy of this Proposed Consent Judgment, signed by both Parties, on the California Attorney General on behalf of the Parties so that the Attorney General may review this Consent Judgment prior to its submittal to the Court for approval. No sooner than forty five (45) days after the Attorney General has received the aforementioned copy of this

Consent Judgment, and in the absence of any written objection by the Attorney General to the terms of this Consent Judgment, the Parties may then submit it to the Court for approval.

#### 12.0 COUNTERPARTS

12.1 <u>Counterparts.</u> This Consent Judgment may be signed in counterparts and shall be binding upon the Parties hereto as if all of the Parties executed the original hereof. A facsimile or .pdf signature shall be valid as the original.

# 13.0 COURT APPROVAL

13.1 <u>Court Approval.</u> If this Consent Judgment, in its entirety, is not approved by the Court, it shall be of no force or effect, and cannot be used in any proceeding for any purpose.

#### 14.0 WAIVER

14.1 <u>No waiver.</u> No waiver by any Party hereto of any provision hereof shall be deemed to be a waiver of any other provision hereof or of any subsequent breach of the same or any other provision hereof.

#### 15.0 AMENDMENT

15.1 <u>In Writing.</u> This Consent Judgment cannot be amended or modified except by a writing executed by the Parties hereto that expresses, by its terms, an intention to modify this Consent Judgment.

#### 16.0 SUCCESSORS

16.1 <u>Binding Upon Successors.</u> This Consent Judgment shall be binding upon and inure to the benefit of, and be enforceable by, the Parties hereto and their respective administrators, trustees, executors, personal representatives, successors and assigns.

### 17.0 CHOICE OF LAWS

17.1 <u>California Law Applies.</u> Any dispute regarding the interpretation of this Consent Judgment, the performance of the Parties pursuant to the terms of this Consent Judgment, or the damages accruing to a Party by reason of any breach of this Consent Judgment shall be determined under the laws of the State of California, without reference to choice of law principles.

# ORDER AND JUDGMENT

Based upon the stipulated Consent Judgment between Consumer Advocacy Group, Inc. and Bell Automotive Products, Inc., the settlement is approved and judgment is hereby entered according to the terms herein.

Dated: 2-7-10

Mag to May

Honorable Robert Hess Judge, Superior Court of the State of California

-14-

18.1

1

4 5

6 7

8

9

10 11

12

13 14

15

16 17 19.0

20.0

19.1

20.1

18 19

19 20

21

22 23

24 25

26

27

28

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_\_, 2009

behalf of the Party represented.

**AUTHORIZATION** 

REPRESENTATION

CONSUMER ADVOCACY GROUP, INC.

-12-

Settlement Cannot Be Used as Evidence. This Consent Judgment has been

reached by the Parties to avoid the costs of prolonged litigation. By entering into this Consent

Judgment, neither Plaintiff nor Defendant admits any issue of fact or law, including any violation

of Proposition 65 or any other law. The settlement of claims herein shall not be deemed to be an

admission or concession of liability or culpability by any Party, at any time, for any purpose.

Neither this Consent Judgment, nor any document referred to herein, nor any action taken to

carry out this Consent Judgment, shall be construed as giving rise to any presumption or

inference of admission or concession by Defendant as to any fault, wrongdoing or liability

whatsoever. Neither this Consent Judgment, nor any of its terms or provisions, nor any of the

negotiations or other proceedings connected with it, nor any other action taken to carry out this

Consent Judgment, by any of the Parties hereto, shall be referred to, offered as evidence, or

proceeding, except in a proceeding to enforce this Consent Judgment, to defend against the

that they have been represented by independent counsel of their own selection in connection with

the prosecution and defense of the Action, the negotiations leading to this Consent Judgment and

the drafting of this Consent Judgment; and that in interpreting this Consent Judgment, the terms

that he or she is authorized by the Party he or she represents to enter into this Consent Judgment,

to stipulate to this Consent Judgment, and to execute and approve this Consent Judgment on

of this Consent Judgment will not be construed in favor of or against any Party hereto.

Construction of Consent Judgment. The Parties each acknowledge and warrant

Authority to Enter Consent Judgment. Each of the signatories hereto certifies

received in evidence in any pending or future, civil, criminal or administrative action or

assertion of any Released Claim or as otherwise required by law.

### 18.0 NO ADMISSIONS

reached by the Parties to avoid the costs of prolonged litigation. By entering into this Consent Judgment, neither Plaintiff nor Defendant admits any issue of fact or law, including any violation of Proposition 65 or any other law. The settlement of claims herein shall not be deemed to be an admission or concession of liability or culpability by any Party, at any time, for any purpose. Neither this Consent Judgment, nor any document referred to herein, nor any action taken to carry out this Consent Judgment, shall be construed as giving rise to any presumption or inference of admission or concession by Defendant as to any fault, wrongdoing or liability whatsoever. Neither this Consent Judgment, nor any of its terms or provisions, nor any of the negotiations or other proceedings connected with it, nor any other action taken to carry out this Consent Judgment, by any of the Parties hereto, shall be referred to, offered as evidence, or received in evidence in any pending or future, civil, criminal or administrative action or proceeding, except in a proceeding to enforce this Consent Judgment, to defend against the assertion of any Released Claim or as otherwise required by law.

### 19.0 REPRESENTATION

19.1 <u>Construction of Consent Judgment.</u> The Parties each acknowledge and warrant that they have been represented by independent counsel of their own selection in connection with the prosecution and defense of the Action, the negotiations leading to this Consent Judgment and the drafting of this Consent Judgment; and that in interpreting this Consent Judgment, the terms of this Consent Judgment will not be construed in favor of or against any Party hurcto.

### 20.0 AUTHORIZATION

20.1 <u>Authority to Enter Consent Judgment.</u> Each of the signatories hereto certifies that he or she is authorized by the Party he or she represents to enter into this Consent Judgment, to stipulate to this Consent Judgment, and to execute and approve this Consent Judgment on behalf of the Party represented.

Dated: 12/09 2009	CONSUMER ADVOCACY GROUP, INC

STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT

The second secon

1			
2			
3		Ву:	
4		Its:	
5			
6	Dated: 13/2, 2009	BELL AUTOMOTIVE PRODUCTS, INC	
7			
8		Ble	
او		By: SEMNLEDE L'AMONDO	
10		Its: Cooles	
11			
12	Approved as to form:		
13	r-pp. o vod do to torm.		
14	Dated:, 2009	YEROUSHALMI AND ASSOCIATES	
		Reuben Yeroushalmi, Esq.	
15			
16		Ву	
17		Reuben Yeroushalmi, Esq. Attorneys for Plaintiff CONSUMER ACTION	
18		GROUP, INC.	
19	Dated: December 2, 2009		
-		LATHAM & WATKINS, LLP	
21		Kelly E. Richardson, Esq. Jason M. Ohta, Esq.	
22		Jennifer P. Casler, Esq.	
23			
24		By Jason M. Ohta, Esq.	
25		Attorneys for Defendant BELL AUTOMOTIVE PRODUCTS, INC.	
26		30.0, 11.0.	
7			
8		-13-	
	STIPULAT	ED CONSENT JUDGMENT	
	Consumer Advocacy Group v. Bell Automotive Products, Inc.		

1 2 3 4 5		By: Marcins Its: President
6	Dated: 132, 2009	BELL AUTOMOTIVE PRODUCTS, INC
7	,	() $M$ $A$
8		By Lawren Lauren
9		ls: Coalces
10		
11		
12	Approved as to form:	
13	Dated: 2009	YEROUSHALMI AND ASSOCIATES Reuben Yeroushalmi, Esq.
14		REGOER 1 ELOUSIMIMA, 1999.
16		
17		ByReuben Yeroushalmi, Esq.
18		Attomovs for Plaintiff CONSUMER ACTION GROUP, INC.
19		
20	Datod: December 2 2009	Latham & Watkins, LLP
21		Kelly E. Richardson, Esq. Jason M. Oina, Esq. Jennifer P. Casler, Esq.
22		Jennifer P. Casler, Esq.
23		
24		Jason M. Ohra, Esq.
25		Attorneys for Defendant BELL AUTOMOTIVE PRODUCTS, INC.
26		
27		
28		-13-
i	STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT	