	WILLIAM VEDICK SBN 140072	FILED				
1	WILLIAM VERICK, SBN 140972 FREDRIC EVENSON, SBN 198059	San Francisco County Superior Court				
2	KLAMATH ENVIRONMENTAL LAW 424 First Street	MAR 2 2 2013				
3	Eureka, CA 95501 Telephone: (707) 268-8900	CLERK OF THE COURT				
4	Facsimile: (707) 268-8901 E-mail: wverick@igc.org	BY: Strucky Mathee Deputy Clerk				
5	DAVID WILLIAMS, SBN 144479					
6	DAVID WILLIAMS, SBN 144479 BRIAN ACREE, SBN 202505 370 Grand Avenue, Suite 5 Oakland, CA 94610					
7	Oakland, CA 94610 Telephone: (510) 271-0826					
8	Facsimile: (510) 271-0829 E-mail: dhwill7@gmail.com					
9	Attorneys for Plaintiff					
10	MATEEL ENVIRONMENTAL JUST	TICE FOUNDATION				
11						
12	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA					
13	FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO					
14	MATEEL ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE FOUNDATION,	Case No. CGC-10-500847 Consolidated with Case No. CGC-11-511593				
15	Plaintiff,	[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT				
16	v.	THOTOSEET CONSERVE COMMENT				
17						
18	H.D. HUDSON MANUFACTURING COMPANY, ET AL.	- 2				
19		v				
20	Defendants.					
21						
22	1.0 INTRODUCTION					
23	1.1 This case is a consolidate	ed action involving two cases, both of which are				
24	Proposition 65 enforcement actions. The	se cases were both brought by plaintiff,				
25	MATEEL ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE FOUNDATION ("Mateel"), pursuant to					
26	Health & Saf. Code § 2524977, on behalf of the public interest. The first case is San					
27	Francisco Superior Court Case No. 500847. The defendants in Case No. 500847 are H.D.					
28	The second secon	gravery recommend contrata and contrata and contrata the contrata and				

Case No. CGC-10-500847 – [PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT

Hudson Manufacturing Company, ("Hudson"), Amazon.com ("Amazon") and Do it Best Corp. ("Do it Best"). The second case is San Francisco Superior Court Case No. 511593. The defendant in Case No. 511593 is Orchard Supply Hardware LLC ("OSH"). The two cases have been consolidated for all purposes with Case No. 500847 designated the lead case. The defendants in both cases shall hereinafter collectively be referred to as "Defendants." Defendant Hudson manufactures and markets yard and garden sprayers that utilize brass parts, as well as replacement spray wands and spray nozzle tips, which also are made from brass or have components made from brass. Defendants Amazon, Do it Best and OSH sell these sprayers and replacement parts in California. Defendants Amazon, Do it Best and OSH are hereinafter referred to as "Defendants" or "Retailer Defendants."

- 1.2 The Complaints in both cases allege, among other things, that Defendants violated provisions of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, Health and Safety Code Sections 25249.5, et seq. ("Proposition 65"). In particular, Mateel alleges that Defendants knowingly and intentionally exposed persons to sprayers and sprayer replacement parts that are made of or that include a component made of leaded brass, without first providing a clear and reasonable warning to such individuals. Lead and lead compounds are chemicals known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harm.
- 1.3 On March 11, 2010, Mateel sent a Notice of Violation letter to Hudson, Do it Best and Amazon.com, the California Attorney General, all California District Attorneys, and all City Attorneys of every California city with populations exceeding 750,000, with regard to the violation alleged against Hudson, Do it Best and Amazon in this case. On January 13, 2011, Mateel sent a Notice of Violation letter to defendant OSH, the California Attorney General, all California District Attorneys, and all City Attorneys of every California city with populations exceeding 750,000, with regard to the violation alleged against OSH in this case.

- 1.4 On June 21, 2010 Mateel filed a Proposition 65 enforcement action (Case No. 500847) against Hudson, Do it Best and Amazon alleging that these three Defendants had violated Proposition 65 by selling Hudson sprayers and sprayer parts that exposed California consumers to lead without first providing a clear and reasonable warning.

  On June 9, 2011 Mateel filed a Proposition 65 enforcement action (Case No. 511593) against OSH alleging that OSH had violated Proposition 65 by selling Hudson sprayers and sprayer parts that exposed California consumers to lead without first providing a clear and reasonable warning. On August 5, 2011 the court entered an order consolidating Case Nos. 500847 and 511593 for all purposes and making Case No. 500847 the lead case.
- sprayers and sprayer parts (such as replacement wands and spray nozzles) within the State of California. These sprayers and sprayer parts are alleged to contain lead and/or lead compounds. Lead and lead compounds are chemicals known to the State of California to cause cancer, and lead is a chemical known to the State of California to cause reproductive toxicity pursuant to Health and Safety Code Section 25249.9. Under specified circumstances, products containing lead and/or lead compounds in the State of California are subject to the Proposition 65 warning requirement set forth in Health and Safety Code Section 25249.6. Plaintiff Mateel alleges that sprayers and sprayer replacement parts that are made of leaded brass, or that have leaded brass components, are sold by Settling Defendants for use in California such that a warning is required under Proposition 65.

- 1.6 In both Complaints, Mateel alleges that Settling Defendants violated Cal. Health & Safety Code Section 25249.6 by knowingly and intentionally exposing persons to sprayers and sprayer parts made of brass, or which include a component made of brass that contains lead and/or lead compounds, without first providing a clear and reasonable warning to such individuals. Lead and lead compounds are chemicals known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harm.
- 1.7 For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term "Covered Products" shall be defined as sprayers and sprayer replacement parts that are made from brass, or which incorporate leaded brass components and that are manufactured, distributed or otherwise marketed by Defendant Hudson.
- 1.8 For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Complaints and personal jurisdiction over each Settling Defendant as to the acts alleged in the First Amended Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of San Francisco and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment as a full settlement and resolution of the allegations contained in the Complaints and of all claims that were or could have been raised by any person or entity based in whole or in part, directly or indirectly, on the facts alleged therein or arising therefrom or related thereto.
- 1.9 This Consent Judgment resolves claims that are denied and disputed. The parties enter into this Consent Judgment pursuant to a full and final settlement of any and all claims between the parties for the purpose of avoiding prolonged litigation. This Consent Judgment shall not constitute an admission with respect to any material allegation of the Complaints, each and every allegation of which each Defendant denies, nor may this Consent Judgment or compliance with it be used as evidence of any wrongdoing, misconduct, culpability or liability on the part of any of the Defendants, individually or collectively.

# 2.0 SETTLEMENT PAYMENTS

- 2.1 In settlement of all of the claims referred to in this Consent Judgment,
  Defendant H.D. Hudson shall pay the following: H.D. Hudson shall pay a total of
  \$55,000 in civil penalties. Of the \$55,000 in civil penalties, Defendants shall pay a total
  of \$41,250 to the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA"), and
  pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.12(d), \$13,750 shall be paid to Californians for
  Alternatives to Toxics, which is the designated recipient of plaintiff's share of the civil
  penalties.
- 2.2 In addition, in settlement of Mateel's claim for attorneys' fees and costs Defendant H.D. Hudson shall pay the amount of \$900,000. Except for this payment, the parties shall bear their own fees and costs. All payments shall be lodged with defense counsel at least 5 days prior to the motion for approval of settlement, currently calendared for March 15, 2013, and sent by overnight mail within one business day of the entry of an order approving settlement to Klamath Environmental Law Center, 424 First Street, Eureka California, 95501. If the settlement is not approved within a reasonable time following March 15, 2013, the funds shall be returned to defendant. Notwithstanding any other provision in this consent judgment, if payments called for in this section, or section 2.1 above, are not delivered to Mateel, as provided for herein, this judgment shall be null and void and trial of Phase II of this action shall be placed on the Court's calendar.
- 2.3 In the event the court grants final approval to this Settlement, and except as provided in section 2.2 above, the court's judgment shall constitute full and final satisfaction of any and all claims, contentions, disputes, rights and/or theories of recovery which Mateel may have in this action for attorneys fees and costs as against all Defendants.
- 2.4 Except as specifically provided for in this Consent Judgment, each side shall bear its own costs and attorney's fees.

## 3.0 ENTRY OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

3.1 The parties hereby request that upon hearing Mateel's motion for final approval of this Settlement as described in Section 13 of this Settlement, the Court promptly enter this Settlement as the Court's final Judgment in this action. Upon entry of this Settlement as the Court's final judgment, Defendants and Mateel waive their respective rights to a hearing or trial on the allegations of the Complaints, and to enforcement or appeal of any ruling or order issued by the Court prior to the Effective Date.

### 4.0 MATTERS COVERED BY THIS CONSENT JUDGMENT

- 4.1 As to lead and lead compounds from Covered Products, this Consent Judgment provides a full release of liability on behalf of the Public Interest to Defendants, (as well as their past, present and future parents, subsidiaries affiliates, predecessors, successors, and assigns "Released Entities"), from all claims for violations of Proposition 65 up through the Effective Date of this Consent Judgment based on exposure to lead from Covered Products as set forth in Mateel's March 11, 2010 and January 13, 2011 Notice of Violation letters.
- 4.2 As to alleged lead and lead compound exposures associated with Covered Products, Mateel, acting on behalf of itself, and its agents, attorneys, representatives, successors and assigns, waives all rights to institute or participate in, directly, or indirectly, any form of legal action, and releases all claims as between Mateel and each Settling Defendant, including, without limitation, all actions, and causes of action, in law or in equity, suits, liabilities, demands, obligations, agreements, promises, royalties, accountings, damages, costs, fines, penalties, losses, or expenses (including, but not limited to, investigation fees, expert fees, and attorney's fees) of any nature whatsoever, whether known or unknown, fixed or contingent (collectively "claims"), against each Settling Defendant and its parents, subsidiaries or affiliates, predecessors, officers,

directors, shareholders, attorneys, representatives, agents, employees, and all customers, manufacturers, distributors, wholesalers, retailers, or any other person in the course of doing business involving the Covered Products, and the successors and assigns of any of them, who may manufacture, use, maintain, distribute or sell the Covered Products or components found in the Covered Products, including, but not limited to, any claims regarding exposure to, and/or failure to warn with respect to, the Covered Products. In furtherance of the foregoing, Mateel on its own behalf hereby waives any and all rights and benefits which it now has, or in the future may have respecting the Covered Products, conferred upon it with respect to claims involving Covered Products by virtue of the provisions of Section 1542 of the California Civil Code, which provides as follows:

"A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR."

Mateel understands and acknowledges that the significance and consequence of this waiver of California Civil Code Section 1542 is that even if Mateel suffers future damages arising out of or resulting from, or related directly or indirectly to, in whole or in part, the Covered Products, including but not limited to any exposure to, or failure to warn with respect to exposure to lead or lead compounds from, the Covered Products, Mateel will not be able to make any claim for those damages against Defendants, their parents, subsidiaries or affiliates, predecessors, officers, directors, shareholders, representatives, attorneys, agents, employees, and all customers, manufacturers, distributors, wholesalers, retailers or any other person in the course of doing business involving the Covered Products, and the successors and assigns of any of them, who may manufacture, use, maintain, distribute or sell the Covered Products. Furthermore, Mateel acknowledges that it intends these consequences for any such claims and any other claims which may exist as of the date of this release but which Mateel does not know exist, and which, if known,

would materially affect its decision to enter into this Consent Judgment, regardless of whether its lack of knowledge is the result of ignorance, oversight, error, negligence, or any other cause.

## 5.0 ENFORCEMENT OF JUDGMENT

5.1 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be enforced exclusively by the parties hereto. The parties may, by noticed motion or order to show cause before the Superior Court of San Francisco County, giving the notice required by law, enforce the terms and conditions contained herein. The parties hereto agree that prior to any such enforcement action they will notify each other of any perceived violation of this Consent Judgment. The parties further agree to take no enforcement action for 30 days after such notice is given, in order to allow the parties to meet and confer in good faith in an effort to resolve the alleged violation.

### 6.0 MODIFICATION OF JUDGMENT

6.1 Except as provided for in Paragraph 7.3(c), this Consent Judgment may be modified only upon written agreement of the parties and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court thereon, or upon motion of any party as provided by law and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court.

# 7.0 INJUNCTIVE RELIEF - REFORMULATION

7.1 Covered Products shall be deemed to comply with the warning requirements of Proposition 65 for lead and lead compounds (H&S Code 25249.6), and be exempt from any Proposition 65 warning requirements for these listed chemicals if the brass that is part of the Covered Products is made of an alloy which contains no intentionally added lead and no lead content by weight of more than 0.03% ("300 parts per million," or "300 ppm").

<b>7.2</b> As to C	overed Products that do r	not meet the warning exemption standard set
forth in Sections 7.1 of	of this Consent Judgment	("Non-Reformulated Covered Products"),
Defendant Hudson sh	all ensure that all such N	on-Reformulated Covered Products it
knows or has reason t	o believe are offered for	sale in California shall be accompanied by a
warning as described	in paragraph 7.3 below.	The warning requirements set forth in
paragraph 7.3 shall ap	ply only to products a Do	efendant Hudson manufactures, distributes,
markets, sells or ships	after the Effective Date	for sale or use inside the State of
California.		

- 7.3 For Non-Reformulated Covered Products, Defendant Hudson shall provide Proposition 65 warnings as follows:
  - (a) Defendant Hudson shall provide either of the following warning statements:

WARNING: This product contains lead, a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harm Wash your hands after handling this product.

or

WARNING: This product contains [one or more] chemicals, including lead, known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harm. Wash hands after handling.

OI

PROP 65 WARNING: Handling the brass material on this product exposes you to lead, a chemical known to the State of California to cause birth defects or other reproductive harm. Wash hands after use.

Bracketed language may be omitted at Defendant Hudson's option. Hudson may add additional listed chemicals to the warning unless the Attorney General advises that the inclusion of such additional chemicals would render the warning misleading or constitute an over warning. The word "WARNING" shall be in bold, and may be preceded by the word "CALIFORNIA", "PROP 65", or "CALIFORNIA PROP 65" at the Defendant's option provided such words are also in bold. The words

"Wash your hands after touching this product" or "Wash hands after handling" in either warning above may be replaced by "Wash hands after use", and in any case such words shall be underlined, in bold or italicized.

Defendant Hudson shall provide such warning on or attached to Covered Product or with the unit package of the Covered Products as packaged by Hudson. Such warning shall be included with, affixed to or printed on each Covered Product or its label, package or container in the same section that states other safety warnings, if any, concerning the use of the product or near the product brand name, or displayed price and/or UPC code, in a manner reasonably calculated to be seen by an ordinary individual. For sprayers, the font size of the warning on the product packaging shall be no smaller than the text relating to instructions for use or patent and trademark information which appears on the product packaging. For replacement parts, the font size of the warning on the product packaging shall be no smaller than the text relating to instructions for use or patent and trademark information which appears on the product packaging. Hudson shall comply with the font size requirements within 120 days after the entry of the consent judgment.

- (b) If after the Effective Date, Defendant Hudson ships Covered Products to a retailer or distributor outside of California that neither provides the warnings specified in this paragraph nor meets the Reformulation Standard specified in this Consent Judgment ("Non-Conforming Covered Products"), and if the retailer or distributor then offers those Non-Conforming Covered Products for sale in California, then as to those Non-Conforming Covered Products, that retailer or distributor, and their customers, are not released pursuant to Sections 4.1 and 4.2 above.
- (c) Except as provided in paragraphs 4.1 and 4.2 hereof for Covered Products manufactured or distributed prior to the Effective Date, nothing in

this Consent Judgment shall create a limitation on a Proposition 65 enforcement action based on future conduct if such future conduct is not in compliance with the injunctive terms of this Consent Judgment.

## 7.4 EFFECT OF COMPLIANCE WITH THIS CONSENT JUDGMENT

Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment constitutes compliance with Proposition 65 with respect to exposures to lead from Covered Products as set forth in the March 11, 2010 and January 13, 2011 Notice of Violation letters.

# 8.0 AUTHORITY TO STIPULATE

8.1 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the party he or she represents to enter into this Consent Judgment and to execute it on behalf of the party represented and legally to bind that party.

### 9.0 RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

9.1 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement the Consent Judgment.

#### 10.0 ENTIRE AGREEMENT

10.1 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments and understandings related hereto. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those contained herein have been made by any party hereto. No other agreements not specifically referred to herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the parties.

#### 11.0 GOVERNING LAW

11.1 The validity, construction and performance of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California, without reference to any conflict of law provisions of California law.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

						_
12.0	3	N	$\cap$	rt.	$\sim$	ES
1 Z		1.4				113

12.1 Unless specified herein, all correspondence and notices required to be provided pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be in writing and personally delivered or sent by: (i) first-class, (registered or certified mail) return receipt requested; or (ii) overnight courier on any party by the other party at the following addresses:

To Mateel:

William Verick, Esq. Klamath Environmental Law Center 424 First Street Eureka, CA 95501

To Hudson:

Robert C. Hudson, III H.D. Hudson Manufacturing Company 500 N. Michigan Avenue, 23<sup>rd</sup> Floor Chicago, IL 60611-3769

#### 13. COURT APPROVAL

13.1 If the court fails to grant the motion to make this settlement the court's final judgment, the other terms of this Settlement shall be of no force or effect and the Parties shall be deemed to have jointly requested that the Court set a new date for Phase II of the trial in this action.

- 1						
1	13.2 Upon the final approval of this Settlement, its entry as the court's judgment,					
2						
3	and receipt of the settlement monetary relief payments, Mateel shall dismiss the Retailer					
4	Defendants with prejudice as to H.D. Hudson products.					
5	IT IS SO STIPULATED:					
6	DATED: 7/7/5 MATEEL ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE FOUNDATION					
7	Call man Il no					
8	William Verick					
9	CEO Mateel Environmental Justice Foundation,					
10	Klamath Environmental Law Center					
11						
12	DATED: Q 12 13 H.D. HUDSON MANUFACTURING					
13	DATED: UM. 2/12/13 H.D. HUDSON MANUFACTURING COMPANY					
14	(1) ah . 40 h) h (11)					
15	By: Robert C. Hudson, III					
16	By: Robert C. Hudson, III Its: President					
17						
18						
19						
20	IT IS SO ORDERED:					
	DATED: Hirach 21 2013					
21	DATED: PORTED					
22	4					
23	JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT					
24	AL 150000 TO 1					
25	CURTIS E.A. KARNOW					
26						
27						