Christopher Martin, State Bar No. 186021 1 THE CHANLER GROUP 2560 Ninth Street 2 Parker Plaza, Suite 214 3 Berkeley, CA 94710 Telephone: (510) 848-8880 Facsimile: (510) 848-8118 JAN 17 2012 4 KIM TURNER
Court Executive Officer
MARIN COUNTY SUPERIOR COUP Attorneys for Plaintiff JOHN MOORE 5 By: N. Johnson Deput 6 7 8 9 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 10 **COUNTY OF MARIN** 11 UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION 12 13 JOHN MOORE, Case No. CIV1004177 14 Plaintiff, 15 <del>(PROPOSED) J</del>UDGMENT PURSUANT TO TERMS OF PROPOSITION 65  $\mathbf{v}$ . SETTLEMENT AND [PROPOSED] 16 **CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO** OK-1 MANUFACTURING COMPANY; 17 **DEFENDANTS ALTUS ATHLETIC** ALTUS ATHLETIC MANUFACTURING MANUFACTURING COMPANY AND COMPANY; and DOES 1-150, inclusive, 18 **OK-1 MANUFACTURING COMPANY.** Defendants. 19 November 1, 2011 Date: Time: 9:00 a.m. 20 Dept. L Judge: Hon. M. Lynn Duryee 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO TERMS OF PROPOSITION 65 SETTLEMENT AND CONSENT JUDGMENT

Plaintiff John Moore and Defendants Altus Athletic Manufacturing Company and OK-1 Manufacturing Company, having agreed through their respective counsel that Judgment be entered pursuant to the terms of their settlement agreement in the form of a Consent Judgment, and following this Court's issuance of an Order approving this Proposition 65 settlement and Consent Judgment, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that, pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(f)(4) and Code of Civil Procedure § 664.6, judgment is hereby entered in accordance with the terms of the Consent Judgment attached hereto as Exhibit 1. By stipulation of the parties, the Court will retain jurisdiction to enforce the settlement under Code of Civil Procedure § 664.6. IT IS SO ORDERED. JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT 

Exhibit 1

14	1		
1	Christopher M. Martin, State Bar No. 186021 THE CHANLER GROUP		
2	2560 Ninth Street, Suite 214		
3	Berkeley, CA 94710   Telephone: (510) 848-8880   Facsimile: (510) 848-8118		
4	Attorneys for Plaintiff		
5	JOHN MOORE		
6	Robert L. Falk, State Bar No. 142007 William F. Tarantino, State Bar No. 215343		
7	MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP 425 Market Street, Suite 3300		
8	San Francisco, California 94105 Telephone: 415.268.7000 Facsimile: 415.268.7522		
10			
11	ALTUS ATHLETIC MANUFACTURING COMPANY and OK-1 MANUFACTURING		
12	COMPANY		
13			
14	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
15	FOR THE COUNTY OF MARIN		
16	UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION		
17	LOUNT MOODE	Case No. CIV 1004177	
18	JOHN MOORE,	Case No. CIV 1004177	
19	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE: CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO	
20	OK-1 MANUFACTURING COMPANY; ALTUS ATHLETIC MANUFACTURING COMPANY; and DOES 1 through 150,	DEFENDANTS ALTUS ATHLETIC MANUFACTURING COMPANY AND OK- MANUFACTURING COMPANY	
21			
22	inclusive,		
23	Defendants.		
24	4		
25			
26			
27			
28			
STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE: CONSENT JUDGMENT			

# 1. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>

# 1.1 John Moore, Altus Athletic Manufacturing Company and OK-1 Manufacturing Company

This Consent Judgment is entered into by and between Plaintiff John Moore (hereinafter "Moore" or "Plaintiff") on the one hand, and Defendants Altus Athletic Maufacturing Company ("Altus Athletic") and OK-1 Manufacturing Company ("OK-1") (collectively "Defendants") on the other hand, with Plaintiff and Defendants collectively referred to as the "Parties" and each individually referred to as a "Party."

# 1.2 Plaintiff

John Moore is an individual residing in California who seeks to promote awareness of exposure to toxic chemicals and improve human health by reducing or eliminating hazardous substances in consumer products.

# 1.3 Defendants

Altus Athletic and OK-1 each employ ten or more persons and each is a person in the course of doing business for purposes of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health and Safety Code section 25249.5 et seq. (hereinafter "Proposition 65").

# 1.4 General Allegations

Moore alleges that Defendants manufactured, imported, distributed, and/or sold training and sauna fitness suits containing di(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (hereinafter "DEHP") in the State of California without the requisite Proposition 65 warnings. DEHP is listed pursuant to Proposition 65 as known to the State of California to cause birth defects and other reproductive harm.

### 1.5 Product Description

The products that are covered by this Consent Judgment are defined as follows: training and sauna fitness suits containing DEHP, including, but not limited to the Altus Thermal Training Suit Item #1211 011s/m (#0 11726 02598 7) manufactured, distributed, and/or sold in the State of California by Defendants. All such training and sauna fitness suits are referred to hereinafter as the "Products."

# 1.6 Notices of Violation

On May 7, 2010, Moore served Defendants and various public enforcement agencies with a document entitled "60-Day Notice of Violation" (hereinafter "Notice") that provided recipients with notice of alleged violations of California Health and Safety Code section 25249.6 for allegedly failing to warn consumers that the Products exposed users in California to DEHP.

### 1.7 Complaint

On August 9, 2010, Moore, who was and is acting in the interest of the general public in California, filed a complaint (hereinafter "Complaint" or "Action") in the Superior Court in and for the County of Marin against Defendants, Inc., OK-I Manufacturing Company, Altus Athletic Manufacturing Company and Does 1 through 150, alleging violations of California Health and Safety Code section 25249.6 based on the alleged exposures to DEHP contained in the Products.

## 1.8 No Admission

Defendants deny the material, factual, and legal allegations contained in Moore's Notice and Complaint, and maintain that all Products sold and distributed in California have been and are in compliance with all laws. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by Defendants of any fact, finding, issue of law, or violation of law; nor shall compliance with this Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by Defendants of any fact, finding, conclusion, issue of law, or violation of law. However, this section shall not diminish or otherwise affect Defendants' obligations, responsibilities, and duties under this Consent Judgment.

# 1.9 Consent to Jurisdiction

For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over Defendants as to the allegations contained in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of Marin, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter and enforce the provisions of this Consent Judgment.

### 1.10 Effective Date

For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term "Effective Date" shall mean July 30, 2011.

# 2. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF: WARNINGS AND REFORMULATION

# 2.1 Reformulation Standards and Commitment

As of the Effective Date, Defendants shall ship, sell, or offer to be shipped for sale in California only Products that are Phthalate Free as further defined below. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, "Phthalate Free" shall mean Products containing less than or equal to 1,000 parts per million ("ppm") of DEHP when analyzed pursuant to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency testing methodologies 3580A and 8270C or any other methodology utilized by federal or state agencies for the purpose of determining DEHP content in a solid substance.

# 3. PENALTIES PURSUANT TO HEALTH & SAFETY CODE SECTION 25249.7(b)

# 3.1 Civil Penalty

Defendants shall collectively pay a civil penalty of \$20,000 to be apportioned in accordance with California Health & Safety Code sections 25249.12(c)(1) and (d), with 75% of these funds remitted to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment and the remaining 25% of the initial civil penalty to John Moore, as provided by California Health & Safety Code section 25249.12(d). This initial civil penalty reflects credits of:

(a) \$30.000 based on Defendants commitment to reformulate the Products pursuant to Section 2.1 above; and (b) 20,000 based on Defendants actions to remove Products from the marketplace in a timely fashion in response to the Notice. Defendants shall issue two separate checks for the penalty payment: (a) one check made payable to "The Chanler Group in Trust For OEHHA" in an amount representing 75% of the total penalty; and (b) one check to "The Chanler Group in Trust for John Moore" in an amount representing 25% of the total penalty. Two separate 1099s shall be issued for the above payments: (a) OEHHA, P.O. Box 4010, Sacramento, CA, 95814 (EIN: 68-0284486); and (b) John Moore, whose information shall be provided five calendar days before the payment is due.

The payments shall be delivered to Moore's counsel on or before the Effective Date and Defendants shall deliver the payments to the following address:

The Chanler Group Attn: Proposition 65 Coordinator 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710

## 4. REIMBURSEMENT OF FEES AND COSTS

### 4.1 Attorney's Fees and Costs

The Parties acknowledge that Moore and his counsel offered to resolve this dispute without reaching terms on the amount of fees and costs to be reimbursed, thereby leaving this fee issue to be resolved until after the other material terms of the agreement had been settled. The Parties then attempted to (and did) reach an accord on the compensation due to Moore and his counsel under general contract principles and the private attorney general doctrine codified at California Code of Civil Procedure ("CCP") section 1021.5, for all work performed through the mutual execution of this agreement. Defendants shall reimburse Moore and his counsel \$65,000 for fees and costs incurred to date, as a result of investigating, bringing this matter to their attention, and negotiating a settlement in the public interest. This figure also includes future anticipated fees and costs including, but not limited to, attorney's fees to be incurred in seeking judicial approval of this Consent Judgment as well as any other legal work performed after the execution of this Consent Judgment incurred in an effort to obtain finality of the case. In the event a third party were to appeal entry of this Consent Judgment, Moore and his counsel shall be entitled to seek their reasonable attorney's fees and costs associated with all appellate work defending the entry of judgment pursuant to CCP section 1021.5 from the party appealing the consent judgment.

Defendants shall reimburse Moore for fees and costs in the following installments: \$5,000 on or before the Effective Date; \$6,666 on or before August 30, 2011; \$6,666 on or before September 30, 2011; \$6,666 on or before October 30, 2011; \$6,666 on or before November 30, 2011; \$6,666 on or before December 30, 2011; \$6,666 on or before January 30, 2012; \$6,666 on or before February 28, 2012; \$6,666 on or before March 30, 2012; and \$6,672 on or before April 30, 2012. If Defendants fail to deliver any installment payment on or before the date provided above, counsel for Moore shall provide notice to counsel for Defendants by

9

6

10 11

12

13

14 15

16

17 18

20

21

19

22 23

24

25 26

27

28

electronic mail. Defendants shall deliver the delinquent payment within five days from the notice. If Defendants fail to deliver the delinquent installment payment within five days, the total remaining amount due under Section 4 shall become immediately due and payable and shall accrue interest at the statutory judgment interest rate provided in the Code of Civil Procedure section 685.010.

Each installment payment for reimbursement of fees and costs shall be made payable to "The Chanler Group" and shall be delivered on or before the installment payment date provided above. Defendants shall deliver each payment to the following address:

The Chanler Group Attn: Proposition 65 Coordinator 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710

A separate 1099 shall be issued to "The Chanler Group" (EIN: 94-3171522) for the amount of the reimbursement of Plaintiff's fees and costs.

#### CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED 5.

#### Full, Final and Binding Resolution of Proposition 65 Allegations. 5.1

This Consent Judgment is a full, final and binding resolution between Plaintiff, on behalf of himself and the public, and Defendants, of any violation of Proposition 65 that was or could have been asserted by Plaintiff against Defendants, their parents, subsidiaries, affiliated entities that are under common ownership, directors, officers, employees, attorneys, and each entity to whom Defendants directly or indirectly distribute or sell Products, including but not limited to downstream distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, franchisees, cooperative members, licensors, and licensees ("Releasees"), based on their failure to warn about alleged exposures to DEHP contained in the Products that were sold by Defendants.

#### Plaintiff's Public Release of Proposition 65 Claims. 5.2

In further consideration of the promises and agreements herein contained, Plaintiff on behalf of himself, his past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and/or assignees, and in the interest of the general public, hereby waives all rights to institute or

participate in, directly or indirectly, any form of legal action and releases all claims, including, without limitation, all actions, and causes of action, in law or in equity, suits, liabilities, demands, obligations, damages, costs, fines, penalties, losses, or expenses – including, but not limited to, investigation fees, expert fees, and attorneys' fees, but exclusive of fees and costs on appeal – limited to and arising under Proposition 65 with respect to DEHP in the Products sold by Defendants (collectively "claims"), against Defendants and Releasees.

# 5.3 Plaintiff's Individual Release of Claims.

Plaintiff also, in his individual capacity only and not in his representative capacity, provides a release herein which shall be effective as a full and final accord and satisfaction, as a bar to all actions, causes of action, obligations, costs, expenses, attorneys' fees, damages, losses, claims, liabilities and demands of plaintiff of any nature, character or kind, whether known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, limited to and arising out of alleged or actual exposures to DEHP in the Products manufactured, distributed or sold by Defendants.

# 5.4 Defendant's Release of Plaintiff.

Defendants on behalf of themselves, their past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and/or assignees, hereby waive any and all claims against Plaintiff, his attorneys and other representatives, for any and all actions taken or statements made (or those that could have been taken or made) by Plaintiff and his attorneys and other representatives, whether in the course of investigating claims or otherwise seeking to enforce Proposition 65 against them in this matter with respect to the Products.

### 6. <u>COURT APPROVAL</u>

This Consent Judgment is not effective until it is approved and entered by the Court and shall be null and void if, for any reason, it is not approved and entered by the Court within one year after it has been fully executed by all Parties, in which event any monies that have been provided to Moore or his counsel pursuant to Section 3 and/or Section 4 above, shall be refunded within fifteen (15) days after receiving written notice from Defendants that the one-year period has expired.

# 7. <u>SEVERABILITY</u>

1

2

3

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

If, subsequent to the execution of this Consent Judgment, any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment are held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the enforceable provisions remaining shall not be adversely affected.

# 8. GOVERNING LAW

The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California and apply within the State of California. In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed or is otherwise rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally, or as to the Products, then Defendants shall provide written notice to Moore of any asserted change in the law, and shall have no further obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment with respect to, and to the extent that, the Products are so affected.

# 9. <u>NOTICES</u>

Unless specified herein, all correspondence and notices required to be provided pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be in writing and delivered or sent by: (i) personal delivery; (ii) first-class, registered or certified mail, return receipt requested; or (iii) overnight courier on any Party by the other Party at the following addresses:

### To Defendants:

Keith Johnson Altus Athletic Manufacturing Company OK-I Manufacturing Company 709 South Veterans Drive Altus, OK 73521

With a copy to

William F. Tarantino Morrison & Foerster LLP 425 Market Street, Suite 3300 San Francisco, California 94105

25

26

27

### To Moore:

Proposition 65 Coordinator THE CHANLER GROUP 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710-2565

Any Party, from time to time, may specify in writing to the other Party a change of address to which all notices and other communications shall be sent.

# 10. COUNTERPARTS; FACSIMILE SIGNATURES

This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by facsimile, each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute one and the same document.

# 11. COMPLIANCE WITH HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 25249.7(f)

Moore agrees to comply with the reporting form requirements referenced in California Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(f).

# 12. ADDITIONAL POST EXECUTION ACTIVITIES

The Parties agree to mutually employ their, and their counsel's, reasonable best efforts to support the entry of this agreement as a Consent Judgment and obtain approval of the Consent Judgment by the Court in a timely manner. The Parties acknowledge that, pursuant to California Health and Safety Code section 25249.7, a noticed motion is required to obtain judicial approval of this Consent Judgment, which Moore shall file, and which Defendants shall not oppose. If any third party objection to the noticed motion is filed, Moore and Defendants shall work together to file a joint reply and appear at any hearing before the Court. This provision is a material component of the Consent Judgment and shall be treated as such in the event of a breach. If the Superior Court does not approve the motion to approve this Consent Judgment, and the Parties choose not to pursue a modified Consent Judgment within 30 days of said denial, or in the event that the Superior Court approve this Consent Judgment and any person successfully appeals that approval, all payments made pursuant to this Consent Judgment will be returned to Defendants.

#### 13. **MODIFICATION**

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

12

26

27

28

sf-2948014

This Consent Judgment may be modified only: (1) by written agreement of the Parties and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court thereon; or (2) upon a successful motion of any Party and entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court. The Attorney General shall be served with notice of any proposed modification to this Consent Judgment at least fifteen (15) days in advance of its consideration by the Court. Moore shall be entitled to his reasonable fees and costs incurred in the modification process under California Code of Civil Procedure section 1021.5 if Defendants seek to modify the terms of this Consent Judgment.

#### 14. **AUTHORIZATION**

The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their respective Parties and have read, understood, and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment.

13			
14	AGREED TO:		AGREED TO:
15	Date: June 30, 2011	Date:	
16			
17	By: Jh. E. afa	By:	Defendant ALTUS MANUFACTURING
18	Fightin JOHN MOOKE		COMPANY
19		Date:	
20		Duto.	h.
21		By:	
22		<i>_</i> j.	Defendant OK-1 MANUFACTURING COMPANY
23			
24			
25			
26			(4)
27			
28		0_	

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE: CONSENT JUDGMENT

### 13. MODIFICATION

б

sf-2948014

This Consent Judgment may be modified only: (1) by written agreement of the Parties and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court thereon; or (2) upon a successful motion of any Party and entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court. The Attorney General shall be served with notice of any proposed modification to this Consent Judgment at least fifteen (15) days in advance of its consideration by the Court. Moore shall be entitled to his reasonable fees and costs incurred in the modification process under California Code of Civil Procedure section 1021.5 if Defendants seek to modify the terms of this Consent Judgment.

# 14. **AUTHORIZATION**

The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their respective Parties and have read, understood, and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment.

14	AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:	
15	Date:	Date: 07-12-2011	
16		1 \ ( A ' h. )	
17	By: Plaintiff JOHN MOORE	By: Defendant ALTUS MANUFACTURING	
18	Flamini John Woord	COMPANY	
19		Date: 07 - 12 - 2011	
20		1 1	
21		By: Legy July	
22		Defendant OK-1 MANUFACTURING COMPANY	
23		1 - y	
24			
25		8	
26		,	
27			
28			
- 11		-9-	

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE: CONSENT JUDGMENT