	·	and a second sec				
1	ANDREW L. PACKARD (State Bar No. 168690)	Encount Comments				
2	ERIK M. ROPER (State Bar No. 259756) Law Offices of Andrew L. Packard	FEB - 2 2012				
3	100 Petaluma Blvd. North, Suite 301 Petaluma, CA 94952	- Coopering Officet				
4	Tel. (707) 763-7227 Fax. (707) 763-9227	KIM TURNER, Court Executive Court MARIN COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT By: K. Main, Deputy				
5	Attorneys for Plaintiff					
6	ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CENTER					
7	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE S	TATE OF CALIFORNIA				
8	COUNTY OF MARIN					
9						
10	ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CENTER, a) (non-profit California corporation,	CASE NO. CIV-10-04183				
11		No my				
12	II C	PROPOSED] STIPULATED CONSENT UDGMENT				
13	v.)					
14	NEFFUL U.S.A, INC., a corporation,					
15	Defendant.					
16	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by the parties hereto, as follows:					
17	WHEREAS:					
18	A. ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH C	ENTER ("ERC") is a citizen enforcer of				
19	Proposition 65 and is a non-profit corporation organized under California's Non-Profit Public					
20	Benefit Corporation Law.	Benefit Corporation Law.				
21	B. NEFFUL U.S.A, INC. ("NEFFUL") 1	previously sold in the State of California				
22	Organic Green Tea and Shion Tea which unbeknow	rnst to Nefful contained lead (hereinafter				
23	referred to as the "Products"). The Products are more specifically described in Ex: "A" attache					
24	hereto;					
25	C. The Organic Green Tea has not be so	ld in California since at least December 31,				
26	2010 and the Shion Tea is no longer sold in Califor	nia;				
	STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT	Case No. CIV-10-04183				

- D. On February 27, 1987, the State of California officially listed the chemical lead as a chemical known to cause reproductive toxicity, pursuant to California Health and Safety Code § 25249.8;
- E. On October 1, 1992, the State of California officially listed the chemicals lead and lead compounds as chemicals known to cause cancer, pursuant to California Health and Safety Code § 25249.8;
- F. On May 24, 2010, ERC served NEFFUL and each of the appropriate public enforcement agencies with a document entitled "60-Day Notice" that provided Nefful and the public enforcement agencies with notice that Nefful was in violation of California Health and Safety Code § 25249.6 et seq. ("Proposition 65") for failing to warn purchasers and individuals using the Products that the use of the Products exposes them to lead, a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer and/or reproductive toxicity (a copy of the 60-Day Notice is attached hereto as **Exhibit B**);
- G. The Action was brought by ERC in the public interest at least sixty (60) days after ERC provided notice of the Proposition 65 violations to Nefful and the appropriate public enforcement agencies and none of the public enforcement agencies had commenced and begun diligently prosecuting an action against Nefful for such violations;
- H. For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action and personal jurisdiction over the Parties, that venue is proper in this Court, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter a Consent Judgment pursuant to the terms set forth herein;
- I. The Parties enter into this Consent Judgment to settle disputed claims between them and to avoid prolonged litigation. By execution of this Consent Judgment, Defendant does not admit any violations or the applicability of Proposition 65. Except for the representations made above, nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by Defendant or Plaintiff of any fact, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with this Consent

Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by Defendant or Plaintiff of any fact, issue of law, or violation of law;

- J. Except as expressly provided herein, nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive or impair any right, remedy or defense the Parties may have in any other or further legal proceeding. This paragraph shall not diminish or otherwise affect the obligations, responsibilities, and duties of any Party to this Consent Judgment; and,
- K. The "Effective Date" of this Agreement shall be the date upon which this Consent Judgment is entered by the Court.

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the promises, covenants and agreements herein contained and for other consideration, the sufficiency and adequacy of which is hereby acknowledged by the parties:

1. **No Further Sales of Products in California.** Nefful shall not ship (or cause to be shipped) for sale or use in California any of the Products unless each such unit of the Product bears the following warning statement on its individual unit label packaging:

WARNING: This product contains lead, a substance known to the State of California to cause birth defects or other reproductive harm. DO NOT USE DURING PREGNANCY.

The warning statement shall be prominent and displayed on the unit packaging of each Product with such conspicuousness, as compared with other words, statements, or designs so as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual purchasing or using the Product.

- 2. **Civil Penalty Assessment**. Nefful agrees to pay a civil penalty in the amount of \$1,000 pursuant to Health & Safety Code §25249.7(b). Such payment shall be made to the "Law Offices of Andrew L. Packard Attorney Client Trust Account"; Plaintiff shall remit 75% of this amount to the State of California pursuant to Health & Safety Code §25192.
- 3. Payment In Lieu of Further Civil Penalties. Nefful agrees to make an additional payment in lieu of further civil penalties in the amount of \$3,000 to ERC for projects to reduce exposures to toxic chemicals, and to increase consumer, worker and community

STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT

awareness of the health hazards posed by toxic chemicals. Payment of these funds shall be payable to "Environmental Research Center" and remitted to the Environmental Research Center, Attn: Karen Evans, 5694 Mission Center Road, #199, San Diego, CA 92108 within five (5) days the Effective Date of this Consent Judgment.

- 4. Reimbursement of Plaintiff's Fees and Costs. Nefful agrees to reimburse Plaintiff in the amount of \$76,000 to defray Plaintiff's reasonable investigative, expert, consultant and attorneys' fees and costs, and all other costs incurred as a result of investigating and bringing this matter to Nefful 's attention, and negotiating a settlement in the public interest. Such payment shall be payable to the Law Offices of Andrew L. Packard Attorney-Client Trust Account and remitted to the firm's address noted in the Notice provision below.
- 5. Payment Schedule. The payments reflected above total \$80,000. The payments shall be made in three (3) monthly installments (of \$26,666.67, \$26,666.67 and \$26,666.66 respectively with the first payment made on November 1, 2011, the second on December 1, 2011, and the final payment on January 2, 2012. In the event that any payment owed under this Consent Judgment is not remitted on or before its due date, Defendant shall be deemed to be in default of its obligations under this Consent Judgment. Plaintiff shall provide written notice to Defendant of any default; if Defendant fails to remedy the default within two (2) business days of such notice, then all future payments due hereunder shall become immediately due and payable, with the prevailing federal funds rate applying to all interest accruing on unpaid balances due hereunder, beginning on the due date of the funds in default.
- 6. Plaintiff's Release of Nefful. Plaintiff, acting on behalf of itself and acting on behalf of the general public, waives all rights to institute any form of legal action against Nefful, and its parents, subsidiaries, affiliates, shareholders, directors, employees, customers, and any other person or entity in the course of doing business who distribute, market or sell the Products sold to them through Nefful, brought under Proposition 65 concerning any alleged failure to provide adequate health hazard warnings for consumer exposures to lead or lead compounds in

13

16

17 18

19

2021

22

2324

25

26

the Products sold before the entry of this Consent Judgment. Upon entry of this Consent Judgment, Plaintiff shall forthwith dismiss with prejudice the Marin County Superior Court action entitled *Environmental Research Center v Taiwan Nefful Co. Ltd, and Japan Nefful Co. Ltd.*

- 7. Nothing in this release is intended to apply to any occupational or environmental exposures arising under Proposition 65 nor shall it apply to any Nefful products not set forth on Exhibit A to this Consent Judgment.
- 8. **Nefful's Release of Environmental Research Center.** Nefful, by this Agreement, waives all rights to institute any form of legal action against ERC for all actions or statements made or undertaken by ERC in the course of seeking enforcement of Proposition 65 against Nefful.
- 9. Notice to the California Attorney General's Office. Upon execution of this [Proposed] Consent Judgment by all Parties, Plaintiff shall notice a Motion for Approval & Entry of Consent Judgment in the San Francisco Superior Court pursuant to Title 11, Cal. Code of Regs. §3000, et seq. This motion shall be served upon all of the Parties to the Action and upon the California Attorney General's Office. In the event that the Court fails to approve and order entry of the judgment, this Consent Judgment shall become null and void upon the election of any Party as to them and upon written notice to all of the Parties to the Action pursuant to the notice provisions herein. Defendant and Plaintiff shall use best efforts to support entry of this Consent Judgment in the form submitted to the Office of the Attorney General. If the Attorney General objects in writing to any term in this Consent Judgment, the Parties shall use best efforts to resolve the concern in a timely manner and prior to the hearing on the motion to approve this Consent Judgment. If the Parties cannot resolve an objection of the Attorney General, then Plaintiff and Defendant shall proceed with seeking entry of an order by the court approving this Consent Judgment in the form originally submitted to the Office of the Attorney General. If the Attorney General elects to file papers with the Court stating that the People shall appear at the

25

26

hearing for entry of this Consent Judgment so as to oppose entry of the Consent Judgment, then a party may withdraw from this Consent Judgment prior to the date of the hearing, with notice to all parties and the Attorney General, and upon such notice this Consent Judgment shall be null and void.

- 10. **Severability**. In the event that any of the provisions of this Agreement are held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the enforceable provisions shall not be adversely affected.
- 11. **Enforcement**. In the event that a dispute arises with respect to any of the provisions of this Agreement, this Agreement may be enforced pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure § 664.6 or any other valid provision of law. The prevailing party in any such dispute shall be awarded all reasonable fees and costs incurred.
- 12. **Governing Law**. The terms of this Agreement shall be governed by the laws of the State of California.
- 13. **Notices.** All correspondence and notices required to be provided under this Agreement shall be in writing and shall be sent by first class registered or certified mail addressed as follows:

All correspondence to ERC shall be mailed to:

Environmental Research Center 5694 Mission Center Road, #199 San Diego, CA 92108

And to: Andrew L. Packard The Law Offices of Andrew L. Packard 100 Petaluma Blvd. N Ste. 301 Petaluma, CA 94952

All correspondence to Nefful shall be mailed to:

Amanda Lin Nefful USA, Inc.

18563 E Gale Ave City Of Industry, CA 91748

And to: Mr. Scott Wellman, Esq. WELLMAN & WARREN LLP 24411 Ridge Route, Suite 200 Laguna Hills, CA 92653

10/26/11

- 14. Integration & Modification. This Consent Judgment, together with the Exhibits hereto which are specifically incorporated herein by this reference, constitutes the entire agreement between the parties relating to the rights and obligations herein granted and assumed, and supersedes all prior agreements and understandings between the parties. This Consent Judgment may be modified only upon the written agreement of the parties.
- 15. Counterparts. This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts, each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute one and the same document.
- 16. Authorization. The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their respective parties and have read, understood, and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment.

D. 11 LD	1909/11	91.	Chris Heptinstall
			Environmental Research Center
DATED:		BY:	Amanda Lin NEFFUL U.S.A, INC.
EYH	IRIT A		

[Product List]
EXHIBIT B
[NOV]

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
. 7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	***************************************
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	

18563 E Gale Ave City Of Industry, CA 91748

And to: Mr. Scott Wellman, Esq. WELLMAN & WARREN LLP 24411 Ridge Route, Suite 200 Laguna Hills, CA 92653

- 14. Integration & Modification. This Consent Judgment, together with the Exhibits hereto which are specifically incorporated herein by this reference, constitutes the entire agreement between the parties relating to the rights and obligations herein granted and assumed, and supersedes all prior agreements and understandings between the parties. This Consent Judgment may be modified only upon the written agreement of the parties.
- 15. **Counterparts.** This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts, each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute one and the same document.
- 16. Authorization. The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their respective parties and have read, understood, and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment.

	DV		
DATED:	BY:	Chris Heptinstall	
		Environmental Research	arch Center
1 . 7		1	2 4 2
DATED: 10/26/20	<u>' // .</u> BY:	Am	Il f
IT 15 50 ORD	ERED	Amanda Lin NEFFUL U.S.A, IN	C.
EXHIBIT A [Product List]	ote: FEB - 2	2 2012	FAYE D'OPAL
EXHIBIT B	 Linear Control of the C	All Contraction of the Contracti	:1 - 17

STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT

[VOV]

Case No. CIV-10-04183

ERC v Nefful USA: PRODUCT LIST

Organic Green Tea

Shion Tea

LAW OFFICES OF ANDREW L. PACKARD

100 PETALUMA BLVD N, STE 301, PETALUMA, CA 94952
PHONE (707) 763-7227 FAX (707) 763-9227
INFO@PACKARDLAWOFFICES.COM

May 24, 2010

VIA CERTIFIED MAIL

Mr. Toshiya Kanijo, President Nefful U.S.A., Inc. 18563 East Gale Avenue City of Industry, CA 91748

Re: Notice of Violations of California Health & Safety Code §25249.5 et seq.

Dear Sir,

This firm represents the Environmental Research Center (hereafter, "ERC"), a non-profit corporation organized under California's Non-Profit Public Benefit Corporation Law in connection with this notice of violations of California's Safe Drinking Water & Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, codified at Health & Safety Code §25249.5 *et seq.* (also referred to as "Proposition 65").

ERC is dedicated to, among other causes, reducing the use and misuse of hazardous and toxic substances, consumer protection, worker safety and corporate responsibility. ERC has documented the violations of Proposition 65 described herein, and this letter serves to provide notification of these violations to you and to the public enforcement agencies. Pursuant to §25249.7(d) of the statute, ERC intends to bring an enforcement action sixty (60) days after effective service of this notice unless the public enforcement agencies have commenced and are diligently prosecuting an action to rectify these violations. A summary of the statute and its implementing regulations, which was prepared by the lead agency designated under the statute, is enclosed with the copy of this notice served upon the violator(s).

The name of the violator covered by this notice is Nefful U.S.A., Inc. (hereafter, the "Violator"). The Violator manufactures, markets, distributes and/or sells in California the following products causing exposures to lead and lead compounds: SHION Green Tea and Organic Green Tea.

On February 27, 1987, the State of California officially listed lead as a substance known to cause reproductive toxicity. On October 1, 1992, the State of California officially listed lead and lead compounds as substances known to cause cancer.

Route of exposure. The consumer exposures that are the subject of this notice result from the purchase, acquisition, handling and recommended use of these products by consumers. Accordingly, consumer exposures have occurred and continue to occur primarily

Notice of Violations of California Health & Safety Code §25249.5 et seq. May 24, 2010 Page 2

through the ingestion route of exposure, but also may occur through the inhalation and/or and dermal contact routes of exposure.

Duration of violations. Each of these ongoing violations has occurred on every day since at least May 24, 2007, as well as every day since the products were introduced in the California marketplace, and will continue every day until clear and reasonable warnings are provided to product purchasers and users.

Based on the allegations set forth in this Notice, ERC intends to file a citizen enforcement action against the Violator unless the Violator agrees in an enforceable written instrument to: (1) recall products already sold; (2) take effective measures to prevent unwarned lead exposures from being caused by products sold in the future; and (3) pay an appropriate civil penalty. In keeping with the public interest goals of the statute and my client's objectives in issuing this notice, ERC is interested in seeking a constructive resolution of this matter. Such resolution will avoid both further unwarned consumer exposures to lead and expensive and time-consuming litigation. ERC's Executive Director is Chris Heptinstall and the organization's mailing address is: 5694 Mission Center Road, #199, San Diego, CA 92108. Tel. (619) 309-4194. However, ERC has retained this firm in connection with this matter; therefore, all communications regarding this Notice of Violation may be directed to my attention at the above-listed firm address and telephone number.

Very Truly Yours,

Andrew L. Packard

Attachments:
OEHHA Summary
Certificate of Merit (w/o AG attachments)
Certificate of Service

CERTIFICATE OF MERIT

Re: the Environmental Research Center's Notice of Proposition 65 Violations Issued to Nefful U.S.A., Inc.

I, Andrew L. Packard, declare:

1. This Certificate of Merit accompanies the attached sixty-day notice in which it is alleged the party in the notice has violated Health & Safety Code §25249.6 by failing to provide clear and reasonable warnings.

2. I am an attorney for the noticing party.

3. I have consulted with one or more persons with relevant and appropriate experience or expertise who has reviewed facts, studies, or other data regarding the exposure to the listed chemicals that are the subject of the action.

4. Based on the information obtained through those consultations, and on all other information in my possession, I believe there is a reasonable and meritorious case for the private action. I understand that "reasonable and meritorious case for the private action" means that the information provides a credible basis that all elements of the plaintiff's case can be established and that the information did not prove that the alleged violator will be able to establish any of the affirmative defenses set forth in the statute.

5. The copy of this Certificate of Merit served on the Attorney General attaches to it factual information sufficient to establish the basis for this certificate, including the information identified in Health and Safety Code §25249.7(h)(2), i.e., (1) the identity of the persons consulted with and relied on by the certifier, and (2) the facts, studies, or other data reviewed by those persons.

Dated: May 24, 2010

Andrew L. Packard

Attachments (for Attorney General Copy only)

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, the undersigned, declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the following is true and correct:

I am a citizen of the United States, over the age of 18 years of age, and am not a party to the within entitled action. My business address is 100 Petaluma Boulevard North, Suite 301, Petaluma, California 94952.

On May 24, 2010, I served the following documents: **NOTICE OF VIOLATION, CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5** *ET SEQ.*; **CERTIFICATE OF MERIT**; "SAFE DRINKING WATER AND TOXIC ENFORCEMENT ACT OF 1986: A SUMMARY"

on the following parties by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope, addressed to the party listed below and depositing it in a US Postal Service Office for delivery by Certified Mail:

Mr. Toshiya Kanijo, President Nefful U.S.A., Inc. 18563 East Gale Avenue City of Industry, CA 91748

On May 24, 2010, I served the following documents: NOTICE OF VIOLATION, CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5 ET SEQ.; CERTIFICATE OF MERIT (including supporting documentation required by Title 11 CCR §3102) on the following parties by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope, addressed to the party listed below and depositing it in a US Postal Service Office for delivery by Certified Mail:

Office of the California Attorney General Prop 65 Enforcement Reporting 1515 Clay Street, Suite 2000 Post Office Box 70550 Oakland, CA 94612-0550

On May 24, 2010, I served the following documents: **NOTICE OF VIOLATION, CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5** *ET SEQ.*; **CERTIFICATE OF MERIT** on each of the parties on the Service List attached hereto by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelop, addressed to each of the parties **on the Service List attached hereto**, and depositing it in a U.S. Postal Service Office for delivery by First Class Mail.

Executed on May 24, 2010, in Petaluma, California.

Andrew L. Packard

Service List

District Attorney, Alameda County 1225 Fallon Street, Room 900 Oakland, CA 94612

District Attorney, Alpine County P.O. Box 248 Markleeville, CA 96120

District Attorney, Amador County 708 Court Street, #202 Jackson, CA 95642

District Attorney, Butte County 25 County Center Drive Oroville, CA 95965

District Attorney, Calaveras County 891 Mountain Ranch Road San Andreas, CA 95249

District Attorney, Colusa County 547 Market Street Colusa, CA 95932

District Attorney, Contra Costa County 900 Ward Street Martinez, CA 94553

District Attorney, Del Norte County 450 H Street, Ste. 171 Crescent City, CA 95531

District Attorney, El Dorado County 515 Main Street Placerville, CA 95667

District Attorney, Fresno County 2220 Tulare Street, #1000 Fresno, CA 93721

District Attorney, Glenn County Post Office Box 430 Willows, CA 95988

District Attorney, Humboldt County 825 5th Street Eureka, CA 95501

District Attorney, Imperial County 939 West Main Street, Ste 102 El Centro, CA 92243

District Attorney, Inyo County Post Office Drawer D Independence, CA 93526

District Attorney, Kern County 1215 Truxtun Avenue Bakersfield, CA 93301 District Attorney, Kings County 1400 West Lacey Boulevard Hanford, CA 93230

District Attorney, Lake County 255 N. Forbes Street Lakeport, CA 95453

District Attorney, Lassen County 220 South Lassen Street, Ste. 8 Susanville, CA 96130

District Attorney, Los Angeles County 210 West Temple Street, Rm 345 Los Angeles, CA 90012

District Attorney, Madera County 209 West Yosemite Avenue Madera, CA 93637

District Attorney, Marin County 3501 Civic Center, Room 130 San Rafael, CA 94903

District Attorney, Mariposa County Post Office Box 730 Mariposa, CA 95338

District Attorney, Mendocino County Post Office Box 1000 Ukiah, CA 95482

District Attorney, Merced County 2222 "M" Street Merced, CA 95340

District Attorney, Modoc County 204 S Court Street, Room 202 Alturas, CA 96101-4020

District Attorney, Mono County Post Office Box 617 Bridgeport, CA 93517

District Attorney, Monterey County 230 Church Street, Bldg 2 Salinas, CA 93901

District Attorney, Napa County 931 Parkway Mall Napa, CA 94559

District Attorney, Nevada County 110 Union Street Nevada City, CA 95959

District Attorney, Orange County 401 Civic Center Drive West Santa Ana, CA 92701 District Attorney, Placer County 10810 Justice Center Drive, Ste 240 Roseville, CA 95603

District Attorney, Plumas County 520 Main Street, Room 404 Quincy, CA 95971

District Attorney, Riverside County 4075 Main Street, 1st Floor Riverside, CA 92501

District Attorney, Sacramento County 901 "G" Street Sacramento, CA 95814

District Attorney, San Benito County 419 Fourth Street, 2nd Floor Hollister, CA 95023

District Attorney, San Bernardino County 316 N. Mountain View Avenue San Bernardino, CA 92415-0004

District Attorney, San Diego County 330 West Broadway, Room 1300 San Diego, CA 92112

District Attorney, San Francisco County 850 Bryant Street, Room 325 San Francsico, CA 94103

District Attorney, San Joaquin County Post Office Box 990 Stockton, CA 95202

District Attorney, San Luis Obispo County 1050 Monterey Street, Room 450 San Luis Obispo, CA 93408

District Attorney, San Mateo County 400 County Ctr., 3rd Floor Redwood City, CA 94063

District Attorney, Santa Barbara County 1105 Santa Barbara Street Santa Barbara, CA 93101

District Attorney, Santa Clara County 70 West Hedding Street San Jose, CA 95110

District Attorney, Santa Cruz County 701 Ocean Street, Room 200 Santa Cruz, CA 95060

District Attorney, Shasta County 1525 Court Street, Third Floor Redding, CA 96001-1632

District Attorney, Sierra County 100 Courthouse Square, 2nd Floor Downieville, CA 95936 District Attorney, Siskiyou County Post Office Box 986 Yreka, CA 96097

District Attorney, Solano County 675 Texas Street, Ste 4500 Fairfield, CA 94533

District Attorney, Sonoma County 600 Administration Drive, Room 212J Santa Rosa, CA 95403

District Attorney, Stanislaus County 832 12th Street, Ste 300 Modesto, CA 95353

District Attorney, Sutter County 446 Second Street Yuba City, CA 95991

District Attorney, Tehama County Post Office Box 519 Red Bluff, CA 96080

District Attorney, Trinity County Post Office Box 310 Weaverville, CA 96093

District Attorney, Tulare County 221 S. Mooney Avenue, Room 224 Visalia, CA 93291

District Attorney, Tuolumne County 423 N. Washington Street Sonora, CA 95370

District Attorney, Ventura County 800 South Victoria Avenue Ventura, CA 93009

District Attorney, Yolo County 301 2nd Street Woodland, CA 95695

District Attorney, Yuba County 215 Fifth Street Marysville, CA 95901

Los Angeles City Attorney's Office City Hall East 200 N. Main Street, Rm 800 Los Angeles, CA 90012

San Diego City Attorney's Office 1200 3rd Avenue, Ste 1620 San Diego, CA 92101

San Francisco City Attorney's Office City Hall, Room 234 San Francisco, CA 94102

San Jose City Attorney's Office 200 East Santa Clara Street San Jose, CA 95113