ORIGINAL

1 Michael Freund SBN (99587) Law Office of Michael Freund 1919 Addison Street, Suite 105 Berkeley, CA 94704 Telephone: (510) 540-1992 Facsimile: (510) 540-5543 SEP 1 8 2012 Attorney for Plaintiff Environmental Research Center CLERK OF THE COURT Martin Ozinga Phillips Murrah P.C. Corporate Tower, 13th Floor 101 N. Robinson Ave. Oklahoma City, OK 73102 Telephone: (405) 235-4100 Facsimile: (405) 235-4133 11 Attorneys for Defendants AMS Health Sciences, Inc. And AMS Health Sciences, LLC 12 13 Īģ SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 15 COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO 16 ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CENTER, 17 Case No. CGC-11-513255 a California non-profit corporation 18 PROPOSED AMENDED Plainitff, STIPULATED CONSENT 15 JUDGMENT; PROPOSED OF DEEL ٧. 20 [Health & Safety Code Section 25249.5 21 AMS HEALTH SCIENCES, INC; AMS et seq.] HEALTH SCIENCES, LLC and DOES 1-100 22 ACTION FILED: August 10, 2011 Defendants. 23 TRIAL DATE: Not Set 24 25 1. INTRODUCTION 25 1.1 On August 10, 2011, Plaintiff Environmental Research Center ("ERC"), a non-profit 27 corporation, as a citizen enforcer, and in the public interest, initiated this action by filing a 28

[PROPOSED] AMENDED STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT; [PROPOSED] ORDER

Page :

11

Complaint for Injunctive and Declaratory Relief and Civil Penalties pursuant to the provisions of Cal. Health & Safety Code Section 25249.5 et seq. ("Proposition 65"), against Defendant AMS Health Sciences, Inc. and its successor AMS Health Sciences LLC ("collectively AMS"). In this action, ERC claims that products manufactured and distributed by AMS contain lead, a chemical listed under Proposition 65 as a carcinogen and reproductive toxin, and exposes consumers at a level requiring a Proposition 65 warning. These products are: AMS Health Sciences Saba Weight-Loss Formula Borojo Juice; AMS Health Sciences Uropower; AMS Health Sciences Weight Loss Formula Natural A.C.E.; AMS Health Sciences Gold Plus Antioxidant; AMS Health Sciences Co-Clenz; and AMS Health Sciences Inc. Am-300, 90 Caplets (the "Covered Products"). ERC and AMS shall sometimes be referred to individually as a "Party" or collectively as the "Parties."

- 1.2 ERC is a California non-profit corporation dedicated to, among other causes, helping safeguard the public from health hazards by bringing about a reduction in the use and misuse of hazardous and toxic chemicals, facilitating a safe environment for consumers and employees and encouraging corporate responsibility. ERC has diligently prosecuted this matter and is settling this case in the public interest.
- 1.3 AMS Health Sciences, Inc. was a business entity that employed ten or more persons.

 AMS Health Sciences, LLC is a business entity that employs ten or more persons and as of

 September of 2011 is the successor in interest to AMS Health Sciences, Inc. Currently, AMS

 Health Sciences, LLC arranges the manufacture, distribution and sale of the Covered Products.
- 1.4 The Complaint is based on allegations contained in two Notices of Violation dated July 9, 2010 and January 14, 2011 ("Notices of Violation") that were served on the California Attorney General, other public enforcers and AMS. A true and correct copy of these Notices of

Э

б

Violation is attached hereto as Exhibit A. More than 60-days have passed since these Notices of Violation were mailed and no public enforcement entity has filed a complaint against AMS with regard to the Covered Products or the alleged violations.

- 1.5 ERC's Notices of Violation and the Complaint allege that the Covered Products expose persons in California to lead without first providing clear and reasonable warnings, in violation of Cal. Health & Safety Code Section 25249.6. AMS denies all material allegations contained in the Notices of Violation and Complaint and specifically denies that the Covered Products require a Proposition 65 warning or otherwise cause harm to any person.
- 1.6 The Parties have entered into this Consent Judgment in order to settle, compromise and resolve disputed claims and thus avoid prolonged and costly litigation. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall constitute or be construed as an admission by any of the Parties, or by any of their respective officers, cirectors, shareholders, employees, agents, parent companies, subsidiaries, divisions, affiliates, franchises, licensees, customers, distributors, wholesalers, or retailers, of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, violation of law, fault, wrongdoing, or liability, including without limitation, any admission concerning any alleged violation of Proposition 65, nor shall this Consent Judgment be offered or admitted as evidence in any administrative or judicial proceeding or litigation in any court, agency, or forum, except with respect to an action seeking to enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment.
- 1.7 The Effective Date of this Consent Judgment shall be the date on which it is entered as a Judgment by this Court.
- 1.8 Since approximately October of 2011, AMS represents that the company has been providing warnings on the Covered Products that are conspicuously affixed to or printed upon the product's label of each of the Covered Products. With respect to AMS Health Sciences

2:

Weight Loss Formula Netural ACE, subsequent to the Notice of Violation, ERC conducted additional testing that revealed that this product's lead exposure is below 0.50 micrograms per day based on the maximum dose recommended on the label. Warnings under Section 3.2 are required for all Covered Products unless otherwise mandated under Section 3.1 after the Effective Date.

2. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Complaint and personal jurisdiction over AMS as to the acts alleged in the Complaint, that venue is proper in San Francisco County, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment as a full and final resolution of all claims which were or could have been asserted in this action based on the facts alleged in the Notices of Violation and the Complaint.

3. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF, WARNINGS AND TESTING

- 3.1 (a) On or after the Effective Date, AMS shall be permanently enjoined from manufacturing for sale in California, distributing into California, or directly selling to a consumer in California any Covered Product for which the maximum daily dose recommended on the label contains more than 0.50 micrograms of lead unless such Covered Product complies with the warning requirement set forth in Section 3.2 below.
- (b) No warning under Proposition 65 and Section 3.2 is required for AMS Health Sciences Weight Loss Formula Natural ACE used by a California consumer unless the lead levels increase in such Covered Product first manufactured after the Effective Date such that lead levels exceed 0.50 micrograms in the maximum recommended daily dose on the label.

Ĉ 7

8 q

11

13 14

15

16 17

19 20

19

21 22

23

24 25 26

27

28

10 12

For those Covered Products that are subject to the warning requirement of Section 3.1, AMS shall provide the following warning as specified below:

WARNING: This product contains lead, a chemical known to the State of California to cause [cancer and] birth defects or other reproductive harm.

The term "cancer" shall be used in the warning only if the maximum daily dose recommended on the label contains more than 15 micrograms of lead.

In determining the microgram per day level for this Consent Judgment, AMS shall utilize the formula and testing protocol set forth in Sections 3.4 and 3.5.

Notwithstanding the foregoing, if the test results utilizing the formula and testing protocol pursuant to Sections 3.4 and 3.5 herein show that a Covered Product referenced in Section 1.1 above contains no more than 0.50 micrograms of lead, using the maximum daily dose recommended, no labeling warning is required and AMS is not subject to the warning requirement of Proposition 65.

3.3 The warning shall be prominently affixed to or printed upon the product's label of each of the Covered Products so as to be clearly conspicuous, as compared with other statements or designs on the label as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary purchaser or user of the product.

3.4 Formula for Determining Microgram Per Day Level

For purposes of this Consent Judgment, daily lead exposure levels shall be measured in micrograms, and shall be calculated using the following formula: micrograms of lead per gram of product, multiplied by grams of product per serving of the product (using the largest serving size appearing on the product label), multiplied by servings of the product per day (using the

 largest number of servings in a recommended dosage appearing on the product label), which equals micrograms of lead exposure per day.

3.5 Testing

- 3.5.1 Once a year, on or before the anniversary of the entry of the Consent Judgment, AMS shall test, or cause to be tested, a randomly selected sample of each of the Covered Products described in Section 1.1 above (in the form intended for sale to California, manufactured after the date of the prior year's random test)) for lead content. Testing for lead shall be performed using Inductively Coupled Plasma-Mass Spectrometry ("ICP-MS") and closed-vessel, microwave-assisted digestion employing high-purity reagents or any other testing method subsequently agreed upon in writing by the Parties. All testing AMS shall retain all test results for a period of two years from the date of each respective test.
- 3.5.2 All testing pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be performed by a laboratory that is approved by, accredited by, or registered with the United states Food & Drug Administration for the analysis of heavy metals. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall limit AMS's ability to conduct, or require that others conduct, additional testing of the Covered Products, including the raw materials used in their manufacture.

3.6 No Obligations Imposed Upon AMS Health Sciences, Inc. for Covered Products Sold After September 30, 2011

It is understood and acknowledged that AMS Health Sciences, LLC is the successor in interest to the business formerly conducted by AMS Health Sciences, Inc. by virtue of an Asset Purchase Agreement dated on or about September 30, 2011 and that AMS Health Sciences, Inc. currently is no longer engaged in the business of manufacturing or distributing any of the Covered Products but will remain fully liable for all claims for products it manufactured and

б

12

13

13 14

15 15

17

13 13

20 21

22

23 24

25

26 27

28

distributed prior to September 30, 2011 (except with respect to those claims that are released pursuant to Section 8 of this Consent Judgment.

4. SETTLEMENT PAYMENT

- 4.1 In full and final satisfaction of all potential civil penalties, payment in lieu of civil penalties, attorneys' fees, and costs, AMS shall make a total payment of \$30,000.00, payable in five monthly installments of \$6,000.00 each as set forth below, with the first payment within ten (10) business days of receiving the Notice of Entry of this Consent Judgment and each successive payment within thirty (30) days of the prior payment. The payments shall be delivered to the Law Office of Michael Freund and shall cover the following:
- 4.2 \$1,675.00 as civi. penalties pursuant to California Health & Safety Code section 25249.7(b)(1). Of this amount, \$1,256.25 shall be payable to OEHHA, and \$418.75 shall be payable to Environmental Research Center. Cal. Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.12(c)(1) & (d). ERC's counsel shall forward the civil penalty payment to OEHHA, and send a copy of the transmittal letter to counsel for AMS.
- 4.3 \$24,359.50 to Michael Freund and \$3,965.50 to Karen A. Evans as reimbursement of ERC's attorneys' fees.
- 4.4 All of AMS installments shall be payable to Michael Freund (and Karen Evans for her attorney's fees) except for the civil penalty payment payable to OEHHA.

5. MODIFICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

5.1 This Consent Judgment may be modified only by written agreement and stipulation of the Parties, or upon noticed motion filed by any Party, followed by entry of a modified consent judgment by the Court. 6.1 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to enforce, modify or terminate this Consent Judgment.

6.2 Only after it complies with Section 10 below, any Party may, by motion or application for an order to show cause filed with this Court, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment. The prevailing party may request that the Court award its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs a sociated with such motion or application. As used in the preceding sentence, the term "prevailing party" means a party who is successful in obtaining relief more favorable to it than the relief that the other party was amenable to providing during the parties' good faith attempt to resolve the dispute that is the subject of such enforcement action.

7. APPLICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

÷

2€

This Consent Judgment shall apply to, be binding upon and benefit the Parties, and their respective officers, directors, shareholders, employees, agents, parent companies, subsidiaries, divisions, affiliates, suppliers, franchisees, licensees, customers, distributors, wholesaiers, retailers and all other entities in the distribution chain of any Covered Product, the predecessors, successors and assigns of any of them, and ERC on its own behalf and in the public interest as set forth in Paragraph 8.

8. BINDING EFFECT, CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED

8.1 This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between ERC, on behalf of itself, and in the public interest, and AMS, of any alleged violation of Proposition 65 or its implementing regulations, and fully and finally resolves all claims that have been or could have been asserted in this action against AMS for failure to provide Proposition 65 warnings for the Covered Products regarding lead. ERC, on behalf of itself, and in the public interest, hereby

ć

3.3

 releases and discharges AMS and its respective officers, directors, shareholders, employees, agents, parent companies, subsidiaries, divisions, affiliates, suppliers, franchisees, licensees, customers, distributors, wholesalers, retailers, and all other entities in the distribution chain of any Covered Product, and the predecessors, successors and assigns of any of them (collectively, "Released Parties"), from any and all claims asserted, or that could have been asserted, in this action arising from or related to the alleged failure to provide Proposition 65 warnings for the Covered Products regarding lead as set forth in the Notices of Violation.

8.2 In consideration of this agreement contained herein, and for good and valuable condsideration, the receipt and sufficiency of which are hereby acknowledged, ERC hereby fully releases and discharges the Released Parties from any and all known and unknown past, present, and future rights, claims, causes of action, suits, damages, penalties, liabilities, injunctive relief, declaratory relief, and attorneys' fees, costs, and expenses arising from or related to the claims asserted, or that could have been asserted, under state or federal law, regarding the presence of lead in the Covered Products and the facts alleged in the Notices of Violation or the Complaint, including without limitation any and all claims concerning exposure of any person to lead in the Covered Products as set forth in the Notices of Violation.

8.3 Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment shall constitute compliance by the Released Parties with Proposition 65 with respect to alleged exposures to lead contained in the Covered Products. For purposes of Section 8, the term "Covered Products" shall include in its meaning the Covered Products as defined in Section 1.1.

8.4 Unknown Claim:

With regard to ERC's personal release only, it is expressly understood by ERC that the releases given by ERC pursuant to this Consent Judgment include the release of all claims.

known or unknown which ERC may now or in the future have against AMS or their respective Releasees arising out of or related to the circumstances underlying ERC's Notices of Violation concerning the Covered Products.

ERC expressly declares that it has read, understood and knowingly waived any and all rights it may have under the provisions of California Civil Code Section 1542. California Civil Code section 1542 reads as follows:

"A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH
THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS
OR HER LAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE,
WHICH IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER MUST HAVE MATERIALLY
AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR,"

ERC, on behalf of itself only, acknowledges and understands the significance and consequences of this specific waiver of California Civil Code section 1542.

8.5 ERC, on the one hand, and AMS, on the other hand, release and waive all claims they may have against each other for any statements or actions made or undertaken by them in connection with the Notices of Violation or this action.

9. CONSTRUCTION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT, SEVERABILITY

9.1 The terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment have been reviewed by the respective counsel for the Parties prior to its signing, and each Party has had an opportunity to fully discuss the terms and conditions with its counsel. In any subsequent interpretation or construction of this Consent Judgment, the terms and conditions shall not be construed against any Party.

9.2 In the event that any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment are held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the enforceable provisions shall not be adversely affected.

10. GOOD FAITH ATTEMPT TO RESOLVE DISPUTES

In the event a dispute arises with respect to either party's compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment entered by the Court, the Parties shall meet either in person or by telephone and endeavor to resolve the dispute in an amicable manner. No action or motion may be filed in the absence of such a good faith attempt to resolve the dispute beforehand.

11. GOVERNING LAW

The terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by and construed in accordance with the laws of the State of California.

12. PROVISION OF NOTICE

All notices required by this Consent Judgment shall be sent by first-class, registered, or certified mail, or overnight delivery, to the following:

For Environmental Research Center:

Chris Heptinstall, Executive Director
Environmental Research Center
3111 Camino del Rio North, Suite 400
San Diego, CA 92108

Michael Bruce Freund
Law Offices of Michael Freund
1919 Addison Street, Suite 105
Berkeley, CA 94704

24 Karen Evans
Coordinating Counsel
Environmental Research Center
4218 Biona Place
San Diego, CA 92116

27

For	AMS:

э

10

12

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Martin Ozinga
Phillips Murrah P.C.
Corporate Tower, 13th Floor
101 N. Robinson Ave.
Oklahoma City, OK 73102

Dhamendra Lachmen, Managing Member AMS Health Sciences, LLC 106-23, 78th Street Ozone Park, N.Y. 11417

13. COURT APPROVAL

- 13.1 If this Stipulated Consent Judgment is not approved by the Court, it shall be void and have no force or effect.
- 13.2 ERC shall comply with California Health & Safety Code section 25249.7(f) and with Title 11 of the California Code Regulations, section 3003.

14. EXECUTION AND COUNTERPARTS

This Stipulated Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts, which taken together shall be deemed to constitute one document. A facsimile or pdf signature shall be construed as valid as the original signature.

15. ENTIRE AGREEMENT, AUTHORIZATION

- 15.1 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments and understandings related hereto. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those contained herein have been made by any Party. No other agreements not specifically referred to herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties.
 - 15.2 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized by

[PROPOSED] AMENDED STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT; [PROPOSED] ORDER

Page 12

the Party he or she represents to supulate to the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment, to enter into and execute this Consent ludgment on behalf of the Party represented, and legally to bind that Party to this Consent Judgment. The undersigned have read, understand and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment. Except as explicitly provided herein, each Party shall bear its own fees and costs

16. REQUEST FOR FINDINGS, APPROVAL OF SETTLEMENT AND ENTRY
OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

16.1 This Consent leagment has some before the Court upon the request of the Parties.
The Parties request the Court to filly review this Consent Indoment and, being fully informed regarding the matters which are the subject of this aution, to:

(1) Find that the terms and provisions of this Consent Judgment represent a fair and equitable settlement of all matters raised by the allegations of the Complaint, that the matter has been diligently prosecuted, and that the public interest is served by such sentement; and

(2) Make the findings pursuent to Health & Safety Code § 25249-7 (1) (4), approve the Settlement and approve this Consent Judgment

Yes an old markets a series

CAMS HEALTH SCH	NCENTLC			
Dhimendra Leciman, I	Variation Mercha	Datèda	06-28	- 3014
	odanzing intenne			
environnen dal	Daren a Den es	Colores .		
1 Kanal	4 11111	MATERIA		*
Caris Epilipseit, Exec	mmeece -	Dated	9/27/0	20/2-
Fully Machine N. Exco	nexe Director -			

(BEDLOZED! WHENDED ZIJANI WARD CONZENT INDOMENT (BROKOZED) OKDEU

Page 13

:	APPROVED AS TO FORM;
2	
3	
4	PHILLIPS MURRAH, LLC
5	Martin Ozinga, Counsel for AMS Dated:
ទ	
7	
ä	LAW OFFICE OF MICHAEL FREUND
9	
10	Michael Freund, Counsel for ERC Dated: 6/27/12
11	
1.2	TTTACATOATO
13	JUDGMENT
14	Based upon the Partie; Stipulation, and good cause appearing, this Consent
15	Judgment is approved and judgment is hereby entered according to its terms.
15	
17	IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED.
18	<u> </u>
19 ! 	Dated: Sept 18 , 2012
20 l	Judge, Superior Court of the State
21	of California CURTIS E.A. KARNOW
22	COLLIO E'Y' MUMACAA
23	
24	
25	
26	

MICHAEL FREUND

attorney at law 1915 addison street Berreley, California 94704-1101

> TEL 510/540-1992 FAX 510/840-5543 EMAIL FREUND18ACL.com

> > July 9, 2010

Re: Notice of Violation Against AMS Health Sciences, Inc. for Violation of California Health & Safety Code Section 25249.6

Dear Prosecutors:

I represent the Environmental Research Center ("ERC"), a non-profit California corporation whose mission is to safeguard the public from health hazards that impact families, workers and the environment. ERC is dedicated to reducing the use and misuse of hazardous and toxic chemicals, facilitating a safe environment for consumers and employees and encouraging corporate responsibility. ERC is located at 5694 Mission Center Road, # 199, San Diego, CA 92108. Through this Notice of Violation, ERC seeks to reduce exposure to the public from lead contained in the named products manufactured and distributed by AMS Health Sciences, Inc.

This letter constitutes notification that AMS Health Sciences, Inc. located at 4000 N. Lindsay Ave., Oklahoma City, OK 73105 has violated the warning requirement of Proposition 65, the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act (commencing with section 25249.5 of the Health and Safety Code).

In particular, this company has manufactured and distributed products which have exposed and continue to expose numerous individuals within California to lead. Lead was listed pursuant to Proposition 65 as a chemical known to cause developmental toxicity, and male and female reproductive toxicity on February 27, 1987. Lead was listed pursuant to Proposition 65 as a carcinogen on October 1, 1992. The time period of these violations commenced one year after the listed dates above. The primary route of exposure has been oral through ingestion.

AMS Health Sciences. Inc. is exposing people to lead from the following products: AMS Health Sciences Saba Weight-Loss Formula Borojo Juice; AMS Health Sciences Uropower; AMS Health Sciences Prime One Concentrate Mixed Fruit; AMS Health Sciences Weight Loss Formula Natural A.C.E.; AMS Health Sciences Gold Plus Antioxidant; and AMS Health Sciences Co-Clenz.

Proposition 65 requires that a clear and reasonable warning be provided prior to exposure to certain listed chemicals. AMS Health Sciences, Inc. is in violation of Proposition 65 because the company failed to provide a warning to persons using their products that they are being exposed to lead. (22 C.C.R. section 12601.) While in the course of doing business, the company is knowingly and intentionally exposing people to lead, without first providing clear and reasonable warning. (Health and Safety Code section 25249.6.) The method of warning should be a warning that appears on the product's label. 22 C.C.R. section 12601 (b)(1) (A).

Proposition 65 requires that notice and intent to sue be given to a violator 60-days before the suit is filed. With this letter, ERC gives notice of the alleged violation to the noticed party and the appropriate governmental authorities. This notice covers all violations of Proposition 65 that are currently known to ERC from information now available. ERC may continue to investigate other products that may reveal further violations. A summary of Proposition 65, prepared by the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment, and referenced as Appendix A, has been provided to the noticed party.

Based on the allegations set forth in this Notice, ERC intends to file a citizen enforcement action against AMS Health Sciences, Inc. unless the company agrees in an enforceable written instrument to: (1) reformulate these products so as to eliminate further lead exposures; and (2) pay an appropriate civil penalty. Consistent with the public interest goals of Proposition 65 and my client's objectives in pursuing this Notice, ERC will focus its efforts in seeking a constructive resolution of this matter. Such resolution will avoid both further unwarned consumer exposures to lead and expensive and time-consuming litigation.

If you have any questions, please contact my office at your earliest convenience.

Sincerely

Michael Freund

cc: Chris Heptinstall, ERC Karen Evans, ERC

CERTIFICATE OF MERIT

Health and Safety Code Section 25249.7 (d)

- I, Michael Freund hereby declare:
- 1. This Certificate of Merit accompanies the Notice of Violation in which it is alleged that the party identified in the Notice has violated Health and Safety Code Section 25249.6 by failing to provide clear and reasonable warnings.
- 2. I am the attorney for the noticing party Environmental Research Center ("ERC"). ERC is dedicated to reducing the use and misuse of hazardous and toxic chemicals, facilitating a safe environment for consumers and employees and encouraging corporate responsibility. The Notice of Violation alleges that the party identified has exposed persons in California to lead from products that it manufactures and distributes. Please refer to the Notice of Violation for additional details regarding the alleged violations.
- 3. I have consulted with one or more persons with relevant and appropriate experience or expertise who has reviewed facts, studies, or other data regarding the alleged exposure to the listed chemical that is the subject of the action. In particular, I have consulted with the laboratory that conducted the testing to determine the concentration of lead in the products identified in the Notice of Violation and I have relied on the testing results. The testing was conducted by a reputable testing laboratory with substantial experience in testing for lead. These facts, studies or other data derived through this investigation overwhelmingly demonstrate that the party identified in the Notice of Violation exposes persons to lead through oral exposure (ingestion).
- 4. Based on my consultation with the laboratory, the results of the laboratory testing, as well as published studies on lead, it is clear that there is sufficient evidence that human

exposures exist from exposure to the products from the noticed party. Furthermore, as a result of the above, I have concluded that there is a reasonable and meritorious case for the private action.

I understand that "reasonable and meritorious case for the private action" means that the information provides a credible basis that all elements of the plaintiff's case can be established and the information did not prove that the alleged violator will be able to establish any of the affirmative defenses set forth in the statute.

5. The copy of this Certificate of Merit served on the California Attorney General attaches to it factual information sufficient to establish the basis for this certificate, including the information identified in Health & Safety Code Section 25249.7 (h) (2), i.e., (1) the identity of the persons consulted with and relied on by the certifier, and (2) the facts, studies or other data reviewed by those persons.

Dated: July 8, 2010

Michael Freund

Attorney for Environmental Research Center

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I am a citizen of the United States and a resident of the County of Alameda. I am over the age of eighteen years and not a party to the within entitled action; my business address is 1915 Addison Street, Berkeley, California 94704. On July 9, 2010 I served the within:

Notice of Violation and Certificate of Merit (Supporting documentation pursuant to 11 CCR section 3102 sent to Attorney General only)

on the parties in said action, by placing a true copy thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid, in the United States Post Office mail box in Oakland, California to said parties addressed as follows:

See Attached Service List

I, Michael Freund, declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on July 9, 2009 at Berkeley, California.

Michael Freund

District Attorney of Alameda County	District Attorney of Glenn County	District Attorney of Marin County
1225 Fallon Street, Room 900	PO 8ox 430	3501 Civic Center Dr., Room 130
Oakland, CA 94612	Willows, CA 95988	San Rafael, CA 94903
District Attorney of Coluse County	District Attorney of Kings County	District Attorney of Mono County
547 Market Street	1400 West Lacey	PO Box 617
Coluse, CA 95932	Hanford, CA 93239	Bridgeport, CA 93517
District Attorney of Contra Costa County 627 Ferry Street Martinez, CA 94553	District Attorney of Lake County 255 N. Forbes Street Lakeport, CA 95453	District Attorney of Mariposa County PO Box 730 Mariposa, CA 95338
District Attorney of Alpine County	District Attorney of Humboldt County	District Attorney of Monterey County
PO Box 248	825 5 th Street	230 Church Street, 8dg. 2
Markleeville, CA 96120	Eureka, CA 95501	Salinas, CA 93901
District Attorney of Del Norte County	District Attorney of Imperial County	District Attorney of Mendocino County
450 H Street, Ste 171	939 Main Street	PO Box 1000
Crescent City, CA 95531	El Centre, CA 92243	Ukiah, CA 95482
District Attorney of Amador County	District Attorney of Lassen County	District Attorney of Napa County
708 Court Street, # 202	220 S. Lassen St., Ste 8	931 Parkway Mall
Jackson, CA 95642	Susanville, CA 96130	Napa, CA 94569
District Attorney of Butte County	District Attorney of Inyo County	District Attorney of Merced County
25 County Center Drive	PO Drawer D	2222 *M" Street
Oroville, CA 95965	Independence, CA 93526	Merced, CA 95340
District Attorney of El Dorado County	District Attorney of Los Angeles County	District Attorney of Nevada County
515 Main Street	210 W. Temple Street, Room 345	110 Union Street
Placerville, CA 95667	Los Angeles, CA 90012	Nevada City, CA 95959-2503
District Attorney of Calaveras County	District Attorney of Madera County	District Attorney of Orange County
891 Mountain Ranch Road	209 West Yosemite Ave,	401 Civic Center Drive West
San Andreas, CA 95249	Madera, CA 93637	Santa Ana, CA 92701
District Attorney of Fresno County	District Attorney of Kern County	District Attorney of Medoc County
2220 Tuiare Street, # 1000	1215 Truxtun Ave.	204 S. Court Street
Fresno, CA 93721	Bakersfield, CA 93301	Alturas, CA 96101-4020

District Attorney of Placer County 2501 North Lake Blvd. Tahoe City, CA 96145	District Attorney of San Matec County 400 County Ctr., 3 rd Floor Redwood City, CA 94063	District Attorney of Sutter County 446 Second Street Yuba City, CA 95991
District Attorney of San Bernardino Cty. 316 N. Mountain View Ave. San Bernardino, CA 92415	District Attorney of Sierra County Courthouse, PO Box 457 Donleville, CA 95936	Olstrict Attorney of Ventura County 800 South Victoria Ave. Ventura, CA 93009
District Attorney of Plumas County 520 Main Street, Room 404 Quincy, CA 95971	District Attorney of Santa Barbara County 1105 Santa Barbara Street Santa Barbara, 93101	District Altorney of Tehema County PO Box 519 Red Bluff, CA 96080
District Atterney of San Diego County 330 West Broadway, Suite 1320 San Diego, 92101	District Attorney of Siskiyou County PO Box 986 Yreka, CA 96097	District Attorney of Yalo County 301 Second Street Woodland, CA 95695
District Attorney of Riverside County 4075 Main Street Riverside, CA 92501	District Attorney of Solano County 675 Texas Street, Suite 4500 Fairfield, CA 94533	District Attorney of Trinity County PO Box 310 11 Court Street Weaverville, CA 96093
District Attorney of San Francisco County 850 Bryant Street, Room 325 San Francisco, CA 94103	District Attorney of Santa Clara County 70 West Hedding Street, West Wing San Jose, CA 95110	District Attorney of Yuba County 215 Fifth Street Marysville, CA 95901
District Attorney of Sacramento Courty 901 "G" Street Sacramento, CA 95814	District Attorney of Santa Cruz County 701 Ocean Street. Room 200 Santa Cruz, CA 95060	District Attorney of Tulare County 221 S. Mooney Ave., Room 224 Visalia, CA 93291
District Attorney of San Joacuin County PO Box 990 Stockton, CA 95201	District Attorney of Sonoma County 600 Administration Drive, Room 212J Santa Rosa, CA 95403	District Attorney of Tuolumne County 423 No. Washington Street Sonora, CA 95370
District attorney of San Luls Obispo County 1050 Monterey St., Room 450 San Luls Obispo, CA 93408	District Attorney of Shasta County 1525 Court Street, Third Floor Redding, CA 96001-1632	San Jose City Attorney's Office 200 East Santa Clara Street San Jose, CA 95113
District Attorney of San Benito County 419 Fourth Street, 2 nd Floor Holliston, CA 95022	District Attorney of Stanislaus County 800 11 th Street, Room 200 PO Box 442	Los Angeles City Attorney's Office 800 City Half East 200 N. Main Street

Mcdesto, CA 95353

Hollister, CA 95023

Los Angeles, CA 90012

San Diego City Attorney's Office 1200 3rd Ave. # 1620 San Diego, CA 92101

San Francisco City Atterney's Office City Hall, Room 234 San Francisco, CA 94162

California Attorney General's Office Attn: Proposition 66 Coordinator 1515 Clay Street, Suite 2000 PO Box 70550 Oakland, CA 94612

Karen A. Evans, General Counsel Environmental Research Center Law Office of Karen A. Evans, 4218 Biona Place San Diego, CA 92116

James Ditanna, President AMS Health Sciences, Inc. 4000 N. Lindsay Ave. Oklahoma City, J. 73105



Environmental Research Center

5594 Mission Center Road #199 San Diego, CA 92108 519.309.4194

January 14, 2011

YIA CERTIFIED MAIL

Current President or CEO AMS Health Sciences, Inc. 4000 N Lindsay Ave Oklahoma City, OK 73:05

YIA PRIORITY MAIL

District Attorneys of All California Counties and Select City Attorneys (See Attached Certificate of Service)

David J. Ketelsleger
C/O McAree & Taft A Professional Corporation
(AMS Health Sciences, Inc.'s Registered
Agent for Service of Process)
10th Floor, Two Leadership Square
211 North Robinson
Oklahoma City, OK 73102

Office of the California Attorney General Prop 65 Enforcement Reporting 1515 Clay Street, Suite 2000 P.O. Box 70550 Oakland, CA 94612-0550

Re: Notice of Violations of California Health & Safety Code Section 25249.5 et seq.

Dear Addressees:

I am the Executive Director of the Environmental Research Center ("ERC") in connection with this Notice of Violations of California's Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, which is codified at California Health & Safety Code Section 25249.5 et seq. and also referred to as Proposition 65.

ERC is a California non-profit corporation dedicated to, among other causes, helping safeguard the public from health hazards by bringing about a reduction in the use and misuse of hazardous and toxic chemicals, facilitating a safe environment for consumers and employees, and encouraging corporate responsibility.

The name of the Company covered by this Notice that violated Proposition 65 is:

AMS Health Sciences, Inc.

The product that is the subject of this Notice and the chemical in that product identified as exceeding allowable levels is:

AMS Health Sciences Inc. Am-300 90 Caplets

On February 27, 1987, the State of California officially listed lead as a chemical known to cause developmental toxicity, and male and female reproductive toxicity. On October 1, 1992, the State of California officially listed lead as chemical known to cause cancer.

This letter is a Notice to AMS Health Sciences, Inc. and the appropriate governmental authorities of the Proposition 65 violations concerning the listed products. This Notice covers all violations of Proposition 65 involving AMS Health Sciences, Inc. currently known to ERC from the information now available. ERC may continue to investigate other products that may reveal further violations. A summary of Proposition 65, prepared by the Office of Environmental Leuth Hazard Assessment, has been provided to the Noticed Company with a copy of this letter.

AMS Health Sciences, Inc. has manufactured, marketed, distributed, and/or sold the listed products, which have exposed and continue to expose numerous individuals within California to the identified chemicals. The primary route of exposure to these chemicals has been through ingestion, but may have also occurred through inhalation and/or dermal contact. Proposition 65 requires that a clear and reasonable warning be provided prior to exposure to the identified chemicals. The method of warning should be a warning that appears on the product's label. AMS Health Sciences, Inc. violated Proposition 65 because the Company has failed to provide an appropriate warning to persons using these products that they are being exposed to the identified chemical.

Pursuant to Section 25249.7(d) of the statute, ERC intends to file a citizen enforcement action sixty days after effective service of this Notice unless AMS Health Sciences, Inc. agrees in an enforceable written instrument to: (1) reformulate the listed products so as to eliminate further exposures to the identified chemicals; and (2) pay an appropriate civil penalty. Consistent with the public interest goals of Proposition 65 and ERC 's objectives in pursuing this Notice, ERC is interested in seeking a constructive resolution to this matter. Such resolution will avoid both further unwarned consumer exposures to the identified chemicals and expensive and time consuming litigation.

Please direct ail questio is concerning this notice to ERC's attorney, Michael Freund, address: 1915 Addison Street, Berkley, California, 94704-1101, telephone no.: 510-540-1992, e-mail: Freund1@aol.com.

Sincerely.

Chris Heptinstall, Executive Director Environmental Research Center

cc: Karen Evans

Attachments.

Certificate of Merit
Certificate of Service
OEHHA Summary (to AMS Health Sciences, Inc. and its Registered Agent for Service only)
Additional Supporting Information for Certificate of Merit (to AG only)

CERTIFICATE OF MERIT

Re: Environmental Research Center's Notice of Proposition 65 Violations by AMS Health Sciences, Inc.

I, Michael Freund, declare;

- This Certificate of Merit accompanies the attached sixty-day Notice in which it is alleged the party identified in the Notice violated California Health & Safety Code Section 25249.6 by failing to provide clear and reasonable warnings.
- 2. I am an attorney for the noticing party.
- I have consulted with one or more persons with relevant and appropriate experience or expertise who
 have reviewed facts, studies, or other data regarding the exposure to the listed chemical that is the
 subject of the Notice.
- 4. Based on the information obtained through those consultants, and on other information in my possession, I believe there is a reasonable and meritorious case for the private action. I understand that "reasonable and meritorious case for the private action" means that the information provides a credible basis that all elements of the plaintiff's case can be established and that the information did not prove that the alleged violator will be able to establish any of the affirmative defenses set forth in the statute.
- 5. Along with the copy of this Certificate of Merit served on the Attorney General is attached additional factual information sufficient to establish the basis for this Certificate, including the information identified in California Health & Safety Code §25249.7(h)(2), i.e., (1) the identity of the persons consulted with and relied on by the certifier, and (2) the facts, studies, or other data reviewed by those persons.

Dated: January 14, 2011

Michael Freund

Attorney for Environmental Research Center

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, the undersigned, declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the following is true and correct:

I am a citizen of the United States, over the age of 18 years of age, and am not a party to the within entitled action. My business address is 306 Joy Street, Fort Oglethorpe, Georgia 30742

On January 14, 2011, I served the following documents: NOTICE OF VIOLATIONS OF CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5 ET SEQ.; CERTIFICATE OF MERIT; "THE SAFE DRINKING WATER AND TOXIC ENFORCEMENT ACT OF 1986 (PROPOSITION 65): A SUMMARY" on the following parties by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope, addressed to the party listed below and depositing it in a US Postal Service Office for delivery by Certified Mail:

Current President or CEO AMS Health Sciences, Fac. 4000 N Lindsay Ave Oklahema City, OK 73105

David J. Ketelsleger
C/O McAfee & Taft A Professional Corporation
(AMS Health Sciences, Inc.'s Registered
Agent for Service of Process)
10th Floor, Two Leadership Square
211 North Robinson
Oklahoma City, OK 73102

On January 14, 2011, I served the following documents: NOTICE OF VIOLATION, CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5 ET SEQ.; CERTIFICATE OF MERIT; ADDITIONAL SUPPORTING INFORMATION FOR CERTIFICATE OF MERIT AS REQUIRED BY CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.7(d)(1) on the following parties by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope, addressed to the party listed below and depositing it in a US Postal Service Office for delivery by Certified Mail:

Office of the California Attorney General Prop 65 Enforcement Reporting 1515 Clay Street, Suite 2000 Post Office Box 70550 Oakland, CA 94612-0550

On January 14, 2011, I served the following documents: NOTICE OF VIOLATION, CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5 ET SEQ.; CERTIFICATE OF MERIT on each of the parties on the Service List attached hereto by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope, addressed to each of the parties on the Service List attached hereto, and depositing it with the U.S. Postal Service for delivery by Priority Mail.

Executed on January 14, 2011, in Fort Oglethorpe, Georgia.

Chris Heptinstall

Service List

District Attorney, Alameda County 1225 Failon Street, Room 900 Oakland, CA 94612

District Attorney, Alpine County P.O. Box 248 Markleeville, CA 96120

District Attorney, Arr ider County 768 Court Street, #207 Jackson, CA 95642

District Attorney, Burto Councy 25 County Center Drive Oraville, CA 95965

District Attorney, Calaveras County 891 Mountain Ranch Road 5an Andreas, CA 95249

District Attorney, Colusa County 547 Market Street Colusa, CA 95932

District Attorney, Contra Costa County 900 Ward Street Martinez, CA 94553

District Attorney, Del Norte County 450 H Street, Ste. 171 Crescent City, CA 95531

District Afforney, El Dorado County 515 Main Street Placerville, CA 95667

District Attorney, Fresto County 2220 Tulate Street, #1000 Fresno, CA 93721

District Attorney, Clean County Post Office Box 430 Willows, CA 95988

District Attorney, Humbold County 825.5th Street Eureko, CA 95501

District Attorney, Imperial County 939 West Main Street, Ste 102 El Centro, CA 92243

District Attorney, Inyo County 230 W. Line Street Bishop, CA 93514

District Attorney, Kern County 1215 Truxum Avenue Bakersfield, CA 93301 District Atterney, Kings County 1400 West Lacey Boulevard Hanford, CA 93230

District Attorney, Lake County 255 N. Forbes Street Lakeport, CA 95453

District Attorney, Lassen County 220 South Lassen Street, 3tc. 8 Susanviile, CA 96130

District Adomey, Los Angeles County 210 West Temple Street, Rm 345 Los Angeles, CA 90012.

District Attorney, Madera County 209 West Yosemite Avenue Madera, CA 93637

District Attorney, Maria County 3501 Civic Center, Room 130 San Rafael, CA 94903

District Attorney, Mariposa County Post Office Box 730 Mariposa, CA 95328

District Atterney, Mendecino County Post Office Box 1000 Ukjah, CA 95482

District Attorney, Merced County 2222 M Street Merced, CA 95240

District Attorney, Modec County 204 S Court Street, Room 202 Aktoras, CA 96101-4020

District Attorney, Mone County Post Office Bex 617 Bridgeport, CA 93517

District Attorney, Morketoy County 220 Church Street, Bldg 2 Selinas, CA 93901

District Attorney, Napa County 931 Parkway Mail Napa, CA 94559

District Attorney, Neveda County 110 Union Street Nevada City, CA 95959

District Attorney, Orange County 401 Civia Center Drive West Senta Ana, CA 92701

District Attorney, Placer County 10810 Justice Center Drive, Ste 240 Roseville, CA 95678

District Attorney, Plan: s County 520 Main Street, Room 404 Quincy, CA 95971

District Attorney, Riverside County 4075 Main Street; 1st Floor Riverside, CA 92501

District Attorney, Sacramento County 901 "G" Street. Sacramento, CA 9881

District Attorney, San Benito County 419 Fourth Street, 2rd Floor HoBistor, CA 95023

District Attorney: San Bernardino County 3:6 N. Mountain View Avenue-San Bernardino, CA 92415-0004

District Attorney, San Diego County 350 West Broadway, Room 1300 San Diego, CA 92101

District Afterney, San Francisco County 856 Bryant Street, Room 325 San Francisco, CA 9: 103

District Attorney, San Joaquin County Post Office Box 590 Stockton, CA 9520!

District Attorney, San Luis Obispo County 1950 Monterey Street, Room 450 San Luis Obispo, CA 93408

District Attorney, San Mateo County 400 County Ctr., 3rd Floor Redwood City, CA 94063

District Attorney, Santa Barbara County 1105 Santa Barbara Streat Santa Barbara, CA 93101

District Attorney, Santa Clara County 70 West Hedding Street San Jose, CA 95110

District Attorney, Santa Cruz County 701 Cocan Street, Room 200 Santa Cruz, CA 93060

District Attorney, Shasta County 1525 Court Street, Thin Floor Redding, CA 96001-,632

District Attorney, Sierra County PO Box 457 Downieville, CA 95926 District Attorney, Siskiyon Courty Post Office Box 986 Yreka, CA 96097

District Attorney, Solano County 675 Texas Street, Ste 4500 Fairfield, CA 94533

District Attorney, Sonotes County 600 Administration Drive, Room 2121 Santa Rosa, CA 95403

Distrim Actorney, Stanislans County 832 12th Street, Ste 500 Modesto, CA 95352

District Attorney, Sutter County 446 Second Street Yuba City, CA 95991

District Attorney, Tenama County Post Office Box 519 Red Bluff, CA 96080

District Attorney, Trimity County Post Office Box 310 Weaverville, CA 96093

District Attorney, Tulare County 221 S. Mooney Avenua, Room 224 Visalia, CA 93291

Dietrict Altorney, Tuolumne County 423 N. Washington Street Sonora, CA 95370

District Attorney, Ventura County 300 South Victoria Avenue Ventura, CA 93009

District Adomey, Yolo Courty 301 2rd Street Woodland, CA-95695

District Attorney, Yuba County 215 Fifth Street Matysville, CA 95901

Los Angeles City Attorney's Office City Hall East 200 N. Main Street, Rm 800 Los Angeles, CA 90012

San Diego City Anomey's Office 1200 3rd Avenue, Ste 1620 San Diego, CA 9210!

San Francisco City Attorney's Office City Hall, Room 234 1 Drive Cariton B Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94162

San Jose City Attorney's Office 200 Bast Santa Clara Street San Jose, CA 95112

:	APPROVED AS TO FORM:
:	
3	PHILLIPS MURRAH, LLC
5 5	Martin Ozinga, Counsel for AMS
7	LAW OFFICE OF MICHAEL FREUND
9	Michael Freund, Counsel for ERC Dated: 6/27/12
11	I Substitution Like
1.2	
13	JUDGMENT
14	Based upon the Parties' Stipulation, and good cause appearing, this Consent
15	Judgment is approved and judgment is hereby entered according to its terms.
16	
27	IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED.
18	
19	Dated: Sept 18 , 2012
20	Judge, Superior Court of the State
21	of California CURTIS E.A. KARNOW
22	OUNTIO E.A. MARROW
23	
24	·
25	
26	

29