1 2 ENDORSED FILED 3 ALAMEDA COUNTY 4 OCT 2 9 2013 5 CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT By\_\_\_\_\_S: McMuller puty 6 7 8 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 9 FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA 10 11 CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH, ) Lead Case No. RG 10-514803 A non-profit corporation, 12 (Consolidated with Case Nos. Plaintiff, RG 10-545680 and RG 10-545687) 13 ASSIGNED FOR ALL PURPOSES TO: VS. 14 Judge George C. Hernandez, Department 17 AEROPOSTALE, INC., et al., 15 [PROMOSED] MODIFIED CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO TWO'S COMPANY Defendants. 16 INC. 17 This Document Relates To: 18 Center for Environmental Health v. Two's Company, Inc., Case No. RG 10-545680 19 20 21 22 23 This Modified Consent Judgment (the "Consent Judgment") supersedes in its entirety the 24 Consent Judgment agreed to by Center for Environmental Health ("CEH") and Two's Company, 25 Inc. ("Defendant") and entered by the Court on August 15, 2011, in the matter entitled Center for 26 Environmental Health v. Two's Company, Inc., Alameda County Superior Court Case No. RG 10-27 545680 (the "Action"). 28 - 1 -DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER MODIFIED CONSENT JUDGMENT - TWO'S COMPANY, INC. - LEAD CASE NO, RG10-514803

- 1.1 This Consent Judgment is entered into by CEH and Defendant to settle certain claims asserted by CEH against Defendant as set forth in the operative complaint in the Action.
- 1.2 On August 6, 2010, CEH provided a "Notice of Violation of Proposition 65" to the California Attorney General, the District Attorneys of every county in California, the City Attorneys of every California city with a population greater than 750,000, and to Defendant regarding the presence of lead in jewelry manufactured, distributed or sold by Defendant.
  - 1.3 On November 8, 2010, CEH filed the complaint against Defendant in the Action.
- 1.4 Defendant is a corporation that employs 10 or more persons, and which manufactures, distributes and/or sells Covered Products in the State of California.
- 1.5 For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, CEH and Defendant (the "Parties") stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Complaint and personal jurisdiction over Defendant as to the acts alleged in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of Alameda, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment as a full and final resolution of all claims which were or could have been raised in the Complaint based on the facts alleged therein with respect to Covered Products manufactured, distributed, and/or sold by Defendant.
- 1.6 CEH and Defendant enter into this Consent Judgment as a full and final settlement of all claims that were raised in the Complaint, or which could have been raised in the Complaint, arising out of the facts or conduct related to Defendant alleged therein. By execution of this Consent Judgment and agreeing to comply with its terms, the Parties do not admit any facts or conclusions of law including, but not limited to, any facts or conclusions of law suggesting or demonstrating any violations of Proposition 65 or any other statutory, common law or equitable requirements relating to lead in jewelry. Nothing in this Consent Judgment is or shall be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law or violation of law, nor shall compliance with the Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, or violation of law. Defendant denies the material, factual and legal allegations in CEH's Complaint and expressly denies any wrong

material not covered under Section 3.3.2, containing less than 0.01 percent lead by weight (100 ppm).

3.3.2 Any Paint or Surface Coating containing less than 0.009 percent lead by weight (90 ppm).

# 4. ENFORCEMENT

4.1 **Enforcement Procedures**. Prior to bringing any motion or order to show cause to enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment, a Party seeking to enforce shall provide the violating party thirty (30) days advanced written notice of the alleged violation. The Parties shall meet and confer during such thirty (30) day period in an effort to try to reach agreement on an appropriate cure for the alleged violation. After such thirty (30) day period, the Party seeking to enforce may, by new action, motion or order to show cause before the Superior Court of Alameda, seek to enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment. Should the Party seeking to enforce prevail on any motion or application under this section, such Party shall be entitled to recover its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs associated with such motion, order to show cause or procedure from the other Party.

### 5. PAYMENTS

- 5.1 **Payments From Defendant.** Within five (5) days of the entry of this Consent Judgment, Defendant shall pay the total sum of \$30,000 as a settlement payment.
- 5.2 **Allocation of Payments.** The total settlement amount for Defendant shall be paid in three separate checks delivered to the offices of the Lexington Law Group (Attn: Howard Hirsch), 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, California 94117 and made payable and allocated as follows:
- 5.2.1 Defendant shall pay the sum of \$3,930 as a penalty pursuant to Health & Safety Code \$25249.7(b), such money to be apportioned by CEH in accordance with Health & Safety Code \$25249.12. The penalty check shall be made payable to the Center for Environmental Health.
- 5.2.2 Defendant shall pay the sum of \$5,900 as payment to CEH in lieu of penalty pursuant to Health & Safety Code §25249.7(b), and California Code of Regulations, Title

11, §3203(b). CEH will use such funds to continue its work educating and protecting people from exposures to toxic chemicals, including heavy metals. CEH may also use a portion of such funds to monitor compliance with the reformulation requirements of this and other similar Consent Judgments and to purchase and test Covered Products to confirm compliance with such reformulation requirements. In addition, as part of its Community Environmental Action and Justice Fund, CEH will use four percent of such funds to award grants to grassroots environmental justice groups working to educate and protect people from exposures to toxic chemicals. The method of selection of such groups can be found at the CEH web site at <a href="www.ceh.org/justicefund">www.ceh.org/justicefund</a>. The payment in lieu of penalty check shall be made payable to the Center for Environmental Health.

5.2.3 Defendant shall pay the sum of \$20,170 as reimbursement of reasonable attorneys' fees and costs. The attorneys fees and cost reimbursement check shall be made payable to the Lexington Law Group.

### 6. MODIFICATION AND DISPUTE RESOLUTION

- 6.1 **Modification.** This Consent Judgment may be modified from time to time by express written agreement of the Parties, with the approval of the Court, or by an order of this Court upon motion and in accordance with law.
- 6.2 **Notice; Meet and Confer.** Any Party seeking to modify this Consent Judgment shall attempt in good faith to meet and confer with all affected Parties prior to filing a motion to modify the Consent Judgment.

# 7. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASE

7.1 This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between CEH and Defendant and Defendant's parents, shareholders, divisions, subdivisions, subsidiaries, partners, sister companies and their successors and assigns ("Defendant Releasees"), and all entities other than those listed on Exhibit B of this Consent Judgment to whom they distribute or sell Covered Products including, but not limited to, distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, franchisees, cooperative members, and licensees ("Downstream Defendant Releasees"), of any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law claims that have been or could have been

1	asserted in the public interest against Defendant, Defendant Releasees, and Downstream			
2	Defendant Releasees, regarding the failure to warn about exposure to lead arising in connection			
3	with Covered Products manufactured, distributed, or sold by Defendant prior to the Effective Date			
4	7.2 CEH, for itself and acting on behalf of the public interest pursuant to Health &			
5	Safety Code §25249.7(d), releases, waives, and forever discharges any and all claims against			
6	Defendant, Defendant Releasees, and Downstream Defendant Releasees arising from any violation			
7	of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law claims that have been or could have been			
8	asserted in the public interest regarding the failure to warn about exposure to lead arising in			
9	connection with Covered Products manufactured, distributed or sold by Defendant prior to the			
10	Effective Date.			
11	7.3 Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment by Defendant and the			
12	Defendant Releasees shall constitute compliance with Proposition 65 by Defendant, the Defendan			
13	Releasees and their Downstream Defendant Releasees with respect to any alleged failure to warn			
14	about lead in Covered Products manufactured, distributed or sold by Defendant after the Effective			
15	Date.			
16	8. PROVISION OF NOTICE			
17	8.1 When any Party is entitled to receive any notice under this Consent Judgment, the			
18	notice shall be sent by first class and electronic mail as follows:			
19	8.1.1 <b>Notices to Defendant.</b> The person for Defendant to receive Notices			
20	pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be:			
21	Michael E. Gallagher			
22	Dongell Lawrence Finney LLP 707 Wilshire Boulevard, 45 <sup>th</sup> Floor			
23	Los Angeles, CA 90017-3609 mgallagher@dlflawyers.com			
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				

	8.1.2 <b>Notices to Plaintiff.</b> The person for CEH to receive Notices pursuant to			
this C	this Consent Judgment shall be:			
	Howard Hirsch Lexington Law Group 503 Divisadero Street San Francisco, CA 94117 hhirsch@lexlawgroup.com			
	8.2 Any Party may modify the person and address to whom the notice is to be sent by			
sendi	ng the other Party notice by first class and electronic mail.			
9. COURT APPROVAL				
	9.1 This Consent Judgment shall become effective on the Effective Date, provided			
howe	ver, that CEH shall prepare and file a Motion for Approval of this Consent Judgment and			
Defendant shall support approval of such Motion.				
	9.2 If this Consent Judgment is not entered by the Court, it shall be of no force or effect			
and sl	nall not be introduced into evidence or otherwise used in any proceeding for any purpose.			
10. GOVERNING LAW AND CONSTRUCTION				
	10.1 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of			
Califo	ornia.			
11.	ATTORNEYS' FEES			
	11.1 A Party who unsuccessfully brings or contests an action arising out of this Consent			
Judgment shall be required to pay the prevailing Party's reasonable attorneys' fees and costs				
unless	s the unsuccessful Party has acted with substantial justification. For purposes of this Consent			
Judgment, the term substantial justification shall carry the same meaning as used in the Civil				
Discovery Act of 1986, Code of Civil Procedure §§2016.010, et seq.				
	11.2 Notwithstanding Section 11.1, a Party who prevails in a contested enforcement			
action	brought pursuant to Section 4 may seek an award of attorneys' fees pursuant to Code of			
Civil Procedure §1021.5 against a Party that acted with substantial justification. The Party				
seeking such an award shall bear the burden of meeting all of the elements of §1021.5, and this				
provis	sion shall not be construed as altering any procedural or substantive requirements for			
	- 9 -			

obtaining such an award.

11.3 Nothing in this Section 11 shall preclude a Party from seeking an award of sanctions pursuant to law.

### 12. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

12.1 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments, or understandings related thereto, if any, are hereby merged herein and therein. There are no warranties, representations, or other agreements between the Parties except as expressly set forth herein. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those specifically referred to in this Consent Judgment have been made by any Party hereto. No other agreements not specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto. Any agreements specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto only to the extent that they are expressly incorporated herein. No supplementation, modification, waiver, or termination of this Consent Judgment shall be binding unless executed in writing by the Party to be bound thereby. No waiver of any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed or shall constitute a waiver of any of the other provisions hereof whether or not similar, nor shall such waiver constitute a continuing waiver.

# 13. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

13.1 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement or modify the Consent Judgment.

# 14. AUTHORITY TO STIPULATE TO CONSENT JUDGMENT

14.1 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the Party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment and to enter into and execute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the Party represented and legally to bind that Party.

# 15. NO EFFECT ON OTHER SETTLEMENTS

15.1 Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall preclude CEH from resolving any claim against an entity that is not Defendant on terms that are different than those contained in this Consent Judgment.

# 16. EXECUTION IN COUNTERPARTS

16.1 The stipulations to this Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by means of facsimile, which taken together shall be deemed to constitute one document.

### IT IS SO STIPULATED:

Dated: August 12/2013	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH
Scot	<u></u>
	CHAMIS PIZMANS
	Associume Dinscron
	Title

DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER

1	
Dated: August 30, 2013  Dated: August 30, 2013	TWO'S COMPANY INC.  IMMA  IMMA  Gottlies  Printed Name  President  Title
1	
2	•
13 IT IS SO ORDERED, AND DECREED	ADJUDGED,
AND DECREED	
15 Dated: 10/24/2	013 June C Hamandar
16	Honorable George C. Hernandez Judge of the Superior Court of the State of California
17	Judge of the Superior Court of the State of Camornia
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

1	EXHIBIT A		
2	BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES FOR PLATING FACILITIES		
3	PRE-PLATING PROCEDURE		
4	The pieces must be cleaned. Any polishing compound must be removed before plating by		
5	cleaning with aqueous cleaning solution or solvent and rinsed with water.		
6	The pieces must be activated.		
7	The pieces must be rinsed in clean water before plating.		
8	PLATING BATH MAINTENANCE		
9	The temperature of each plating bath must be controlled to the appropriate temperature in		
10	accordance with the recommendations of the equipment and plating chemical suppliers.		
11	The nickel and nickel-substitute tanks must be agitated or aerated in accordance with the		
12	chemical suppliers' recommendations.		
13	All baths must be filtered continuously during plating and filters changed at least than		
14	monthly.		
15	pH must be measured each day of plating and adjusted within the chemical supplier's		
16	recommendations.		
17	All plating employees must be trained on the use of the equipment in accordance with		
18	recommendation of equipment manufacturer and plating chemical suppliers.		
19	The plating baths must be maintained in accordance with the plating chemical suppliers		
20	recommendations.		
21	Plating tanks must be swept at least weekly.		
22	Anodes must be inspected monthly in accordance with the anode supplier's		
23	recommendations.		
24	Racks must be stripped at least annually.		
25	The electrical equipment must be sized appropriately for each tank in accordance with		
26	equipment manufacturer's recommendations and calibrated annually.		
27			
28	1		

### PLATING PROCEDURES

Substantial pieces such as pendants, drops, and rings without prongs or other such feature shall be plated with at least 15 minutes combined plating with copper (copper strike and/or acid copper), nickel or nickel substitute, and/or finish coat. The pieces will also be rinsed between plating tanks. Finish decorative coatings include brass, bronze, copper, gold, gun metal, hematite, imitation rhodium, matt finish, palladium, platinum, rhodium, or silver. If desired, plated pieces can be treated to produce other finishes such as matt, oxidized, or smut black finishes.

Mechanical, functional (e.g., lobster claws, spacers, mechanical closures, connectors), or fine pieces such as prongs and fine chains may be plated to cover the exposed surface consistent with good manufacturing practices for appearance and function. Components that articulate closely together such as snake chain and tight hinges or that need to be manipulated into position will be plated to prevent binding, stiffness, and cracking of plating.

- 2 -

1	EXHIBIT B		
2		LIST OF ENTITIES NOT SUBJECT	
3		TO DOWNSTREAM DEFENDANT RELEASE	
4	1.	Albertson's LLC; Albertson's, Inc.; New Albertson's, Inc.	
5	2.	AZ3, Inc.	
6	3.	Banana Republic, LLC	
7	4.	Barnes & Noble, Inc.	
8	5.	BCBG Max Azria Group, Inc.	
9	6.	Big A Drug Stores, Inc.	
10	7.	Candela Sales Company, Inc.	
11	8.	Forum Novelties, Inc.	
12	9.	Georgiou Studio, Inc.	
13	10.	I Love Bracelets, Inc.	
14	11.	Ivorette-Texas, Inc. dba Upstart Crow Trading Company	
15	12.	Jacadi USA, Inc.	
16	13.	Legoland California LLC	
17	14.	Long Rap, Inc.	
18	15.	Marin Beauty Company	
19	16.	Max Rave, LLC	
20	17.	Rite Aid Corporation	
21	18.	Rubie's Costume Company, Inc.	
22	19.	Safeway, Inc.	
23	20.	Scünci International, Inc.	
24	21.	Sea World, Inc.	
25	22.	Shoe Pavilion Corporation; Shoe Pavilion, Inc.	
26	23.	Six Flags Theme Parks, Inc.	
27			
28		•	
DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER		- 1 - EXHIBIT B	
	II	ENTITIES NOT SUBJECT TO RELEASE	