1 KAMALA D. HARRIS, Attorney General J. MATTHEW RODRIQUEZ, Chief Assistant A	ttorney General	
2 SALLY MAGNANI KNOX, Acting Senior Assi JAMIE JEFFERSON, State Bar No. 197142	Stant Attorney General	
3 Deputy Attorney General	ENDOR	
California Department of Justice1515 Clay Street, 20th Floor,	FILED ALAMEDA.COUNTY	
Oakland, CA94612 5 Telephone: (510) 622-2254		
Fax: (510) 622-2254	NOV 032011	
6 Attorneys for People of the State of California	K. McCoy, Exec. Off:/Cler	
7	K. MCCOY, EXEC. Only CO.	
8 LEXINGTON LAW GROUP MARK N. TODZO, State Bar No. 168389		
LISA BURGER, State Bar No. 239676		
San Francisco, CA94117		
10 Telephone: (415) 913-7800 Fax: (415) 759-4112		
11		
12 Attorneys for Plaintiff CEH		
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA		
CALIFORNIA, ex rel. EDMUND G.		
16 BROWN, JR., Attorney General,	CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO	
17 Plaintiff,	DEFENDANT SEATTLE TEXTILE COMPANY	
18 V.	COMPANY	
DAX ADEA HIMD at al		
19		
20 Defendants		
21		
22 CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL	Case No. RG 10-530300	
23 HEALTH, a non-profit corporation,		
24 Plaintiff,	•	
25 v.		
26		
CUTTING EDGE CREATIONS, INC., et al.		
Defendants		
20 III		

- 1

1. <u>RECITALS</u>

1

On August 11, 2010, the People of the State of California ("People"), by and 1.1 2 through the Attorney General of the State of California ("Attorney General"), filed a complaint for 3 civil penalties and injunctive relief for violations of Proposition 65 and unlawful business 4 practices in the Superior Court for the County of Alameda. The People's Complaint alleges that 5 the then-named defendants failed to provide clear and reasonable warnings that their inflatable 6 structures made with vinyl such as bounce houses, combos, obstacle courses and interactives (the 7 "Products") contain lead and lead compounds (together "Lead"), and that use of, and contact with, 8 those Products results in exposure to Lead, a chemical known to the State of California to cause 9 cancer and reproductive harm. The Complaint further alleges that under the Safe Drinking Water 10 and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, Health & Safety Code § 25249.6, also known as 11 "Proposition 65," businesses must provide persons with a "clear and reasonable warning" before 12 exposing individuals to these chemicals, and that the Defendants failed to do so. The People's 13 Complaint further alleges that the Lead levels in the Products exceed the standards set by the 14 Consumer Product Safety Improvement Act ("CPSIA") of 2008. The Complaint also alleges that 15 the violations of Proposition 65 and the CPSIA constitute unlawful acts in violation of the Unfair 16 Competition Law, pursuant to Business & Professions Code §§ 17200, et seq. On January 27, 17 2011 the People amended their Complaint to add Seattle Textile Company ("Settling 18 Defendant") as a defendant. 19

The Center for Environmental Health ("CEH") first brought the issue of Lead 1.2 20 exposures from the Products to the attention of the Attorney General by issuing its first 60-Day 21 Notice of Violation on February 19, 2010. On November 11, 2010, CEH issued an additional 60-22 day Notice of Violation (the "Notice") to the requisite public enforcers and Settling Defendant. 23 The Notice alleges that Settling Defendant was violating Proposition 65 by manufacturing, 24 distributing and/or and selling the Lead-containing Vinyl (as defined below) from which the 25 Products (as defined below) are made, thereby exposing individuals to Lead once the Products 26 enter the stream of commerce. CEH filed its case, Center for Environmental Health v. Cutting 27 Edge Creations, Inc., et al., Alameda County Superior Court, Case No. RG 10-530300, on August 28

2

11, 2010. CEH also seeks civil penalties and injunctive relief for alleged violations of Proposition
 65.On October 25, 2010, the People's action was coordinated with CEH's action.

1.3 On April 21, 2011, CEH filed its First Amended Complaint ("FAC"). The FAC
clarified the allegations against Settling Defendant, making it clear that CEH's allegations were
based on Settling Defendant's sale of the Vinyl which is used in Products manufactured by
Settling Defendant's customers and sold for use in California. Some of the other defendants are
customers of Settling Defendant, however, some of Settling Defendant's customers who make
Products have not been named in either the People's complaint or the FAC.

9 1.4 Settling Defendant is named as a defendant in both the People's and CEH's
10 Complaints.

Settling Defendant is a corporation that employs more than ten (10) persons and
 has employed ten or more persons at all times relevant to the allegations of the Complaint, and
 that distributes and/or sells Vinyl used in the Products which are sold and used in the State of
 Californiaand/or has done so in the past four years.

1.6 For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the People, CEH and the Settling
Defendant stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in
the Notice and Complaints and personal jurisdiction over Settling Defendant as to the acts alleged
in the Notice and Complaints, that venue is proper in Alameda County, and that this Court has
jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment as a full and final resolution of all claims which were
or could have been raised in the Complaints based on the facts alleged therein.

The People, CEH and Settling Defendant enter into this Consent Judgment as a full 1.7 21 and final settlement of all claims relating to the Products (as that term is defined below) arising 22 from the failure to warn regarding the presence of Lead in such Products and the sale by Settling 23 Defendant of Vinyl for the use in Products. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed 24 as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law or violation of law, nor 25 shall compliance with the Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by Parties 26 of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law or violation of law. Nothing in this Consent Judgment 27 shall prejudice, waive or impair any right, remedy argument or defense the Parties may have in 28

this or any other future legal proceedings. By execution of this Consent Judgment and agreeing to
 provide the relief and remedies specified herein, Settling Defendant does not admit any violations
 of Proposition 65, applicable Business and Professions Code sectionsor any other law or legal
 duty. Settling Defendant expressly asserts that its Vinyl and the Products do not require a warning
 under Proposition 65 and denies any liability whatsoever.

6

2.

DEFINITIONS

7 2.1 The "Actions" shall collectively mean the *People of the State of California v. Bay*8 Area Jump, et al., Case No. RG 10-530436, Alameda County Superior Court (filed August 11,
9 2010) and the *Center for Environmental Health v. Cutting Edge Creations, Inc., et al.*, Case No.
10 RG 10-530300, Alameda County Superior Court (filed August 11, 2010).

11 2.2 "Products" shall mean all inflatable structures made with Vinyl such as bounce
12 houses, combos, obstacle courses and interactives.

2.3 "Vinyl" means the polyvinyl chloride fabric distributed and/or sold by Settling
Defendant for use in the Products. Vinyl does not include polyvinyl chloride fabric distributed
and/or sold by any of Settling Defendant's competitors.

16 2.4 The "Effective Date" of this Consent Judgment shall be the date on which this
17 Consent Judgment is entered as a judgment by the trial court.

18 2.5 "Parties" shall mean the following entities: People of the State of California ex rel.
19 Kamala D. Harris, CEH and Settling Defendant

20 2.6 "Plaintiffs" shall mean People of the State of California ex rel. Kamala D. Harris,
21 Attorney General and CEH.

22 2.7 "Pre-Settlement Products" means any Products manufactured with Settling
23 Defendant's Vinyl after January 1, 2007, but prior to the Effective Date.

24 2.8 "Pre-Settlement Vinyl" means any Vinyl sold by Settling Defendant after January
25 1, 2007 but prior to the Effective Date, and from which the Products are manufactured.

- 26
- 3. <u>INJUNCTIVE RELIEF: LEAD REDUCTION</u>

27 3.1 Immediate Vinyl Compliance. Immediately upon the Effective Date of this
28 Consent Judgment, Settling Defendant shall insure that the level of Lead in Vinyl intended for

sale in California is no higher than 100 ppm ("Compliance Level") as determined pursuant to total 1 Lead testing, EPA Method 3050B or CPSIA Method CPSC-CH-E1001-08 (the "Test Protocols"). 2

Specification of Vinyl. For so long as Settling Defendant distributes, or ships 3.2 Vinyl for sale in California, Settling Defendant shall issue specifications to its Vinyl suppliers requiring that the Vinyl used in the Products shall not contain Lead in excess of the Compliance Level.

Settling Defendant's Independent Testing. In order to ensure compliance with 3.3 7 Section 3.1, Settling Defendant shall conduct (or cause to be conducted) testing to confirm that 8 Vinyl which it sells for use in Products sold in California complies with the Compliance Level. 9 Settling Defendant shall either conduct the testing of the Vinyl using an X-Ray Fluorescence 10 Analyzer or shall cause to have the testing performed by an independent, CPSIA-approved 11 laboratory in accordance with either of the Test Protocols. Should Settling Defendant's XRF 12 testing of the Vinyl yield a result above the Compliance Level, Settling Defendant may then 13 utilize laboratory testing on the same Vinyl, and, if the laboratory test yields a result that is below 14 the Compliance Level, Settling Defendant may rely on the laboratory test. Settling Defendant 15 shall perform the testing described in this Section on each roll of Vinyl intended to be used in the 16 Products. 17

18

19

 $\mathbf{20}$

21

22

24

3

4

5

6

Vinyl That Exceeds the Compliance Level. If the results of the testing (a) required pursuant to Section 3.3 show Lead levels in excess of the Compliance Level in the Vinyl, Settling Defendant shall: (1) refuse to accept all the Vinyl that tested above the Compliance Level for sale to any manufacturer of Products or manufacturer of any "products" as that term is used in the Consumer Product Safety Improvement Act ("CPSIA") of 2008; and (2) send a notice to the supplier explaining that such Vinyl does 23 not comply with either Settling Defendant's specifications for Lead or the supplier's certification. If Settling Defendant subsequently sells Vinyl that tested above the 25 Compliance Level to a customer which does not intend to use that Vinyl for manufacture 26 of Products or manufacture of any "products" as that term is used in the Consumer Product 27 Safety Improvement Act ("CPSIA") of 2008, Settling Defendant shall maintain records to 28

demonstrate that the Vinyl was sold for a use other than manufacture of Products or "products" as that term is used in the Consumer Product Safety Improvement Act ("CPSIA") of 2008.

4

4.

1

2

3

INJUNCTIVE RELIEF: CLEAR AND REASONABLE WARNINGS

4.1 Plaintiffs allege that warnings are necessary as to the Pre-Settlement Products
because these products purportedly cause continuing exposures to Lead. While expressly denying
such allegations, Settling Defendant agrees to implement the following programs to provide clear
and reasonable warnings to persons who come into contact with Vinyl sold before the Effective
Date of this Consent Judgment:

Informational Program. Settling Defendant shall provide the mailed (a) 10 warnings and informational materials attached hereto as Exhibit A, in English and 11 Spanish, to all parties who purchased Pre-Settlement Vinyl for use in manufacture of 12 Products distributed, rented and/or sold in the State of California. The informational 13 materials provided pursuant to this section shall include an offer to either perform testing 14 on such Pre-Settlement Vinyl or pay for testing of such Vinyl. Settling Defendants shall 15 serve Plaintiffs with copies of all materials sent to its customers of the Pre-Settlement 16 Vinyl pursuant to this section. 17

(b) Web Notice. Settling Defendant does not currently have a web site. For a
period of two years following the Effective Date, Settling Defendant will maintain a
conspicuous link on its primary, customer oriented website, if it develops such a web site,
that directs users to the web page that CEH will maintain with respect to Lead in the
Products.

23

5. ADDITIONAL ACTIONS BY SETTLING DEFENDANT

5.1 <u>Testing of Pre-Settlement Vinyl</u>. Upon request by an individual or entity that
purchased any Pre-Settlement Vinyl from Settling Defendant, Settling Defendant shall either
perform or pay for testing for all Pre-Settlement Vinyl purchased from Settling Defendant. The
testing pursuant to this section may be performed by X-Ray Fluorescence or pursuant to the Test
Protocols.

5.2 <u>Replacing Certain Pre-Settlement Vinyl</u>. Settling Defendant shall, at its own cost,
 replace any Pre-Settlement Vinyl purchased from Settling Defendant still in use as of the
 Effective Date if the testing described in Section 5.1 reveals Lead levels in excess of 1000 ppm, or
 provide a 50% discount on the replacement of any Vinyl if testing described in Section 5.1 reveals
 Lead levels in excess of 300 ppm.

6. <u>PAYMENTS</u>

6

7 6.1 Payment Timing. All payments under the Consent Judgment shall be due within
8 thirty (30) days following the Effective Date.

6.2 <u>Civil Penalties</u>. Settling Defendant shall pay a civil penalty of \$10,000 pursuant to
California Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.7(b) and 25249.12. Pursuant to § 25249.12, 75% of
these funds shall be remitted to the California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment
("OEHHA"), and the remaining 25% apportioned evenly among the Attorney General and CEH.

Cy pres. Settling Defendant shall make the following payment in lieu of additional 6.3 13 civil penalties. Settling Defendant shall pay \$ 15,000 to CEH. CEH shall use such funds to 14 conduct periodic testing of the Products. To the extent that the owner of a Product that tests above 15 300 ppm for Lead does not have a Product replacement option available to it as a result of another 16 Settlement involving a Product manufacturer and/or distributor, CEH will make a portion of the 17 funds available to the Product owner to help replace such Product to the extent the request for 18 replacement is made on or before December 31, 2013 and there are still funds available. The 19 payment required under this section shall be made payable to CEH. 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

6.4 <u>Other Payments</u>. Settling Defendant shall also make the following payments:

(a) <u>Attorney General</u>. Settling Defendant shall pay the sum of \$ 5,000 to the
 Attorney General, to reimburse the fees and costs her office has expended with respect to
 this matter. Funds paid pursuant to this paragraph shall be placed in an interest-bearing
 Special Deposit Fund established by the Attorney General. These funds, including any
 interest, shall be used by the Attorney General, until all funds are exhausted, for the costs
 and expenses associated with the enforcement and implementation of Proposition 65,
 including investigations, enforcement actions, other litigation or activities as determined

by the Attorney General to be reasonably necessary to carry out his duties and authority under Proposition 65. Such funding may be used for the costs of the Attorney General's investigation, filing fees and other court costs, payment to expert witnesses and technical consultants, purchase of equipment, travel, purchase of written materials, laboratory testing, sample collection, or any other cost associated with the Attorney General's duties or authority under Proposition 65. Funding placed in the Special Deposit Fund pursuant to this paragraph, and any interest derived therefrom, shall solely and exclusively augment the budget of the Attorney General's Office and in no manner shall supplant or cause any reduction of any portion of the Attorney General's budget.

(b) <u>CEH's Attorney Fees</u>. Settling Defendant shall pay \$20,000 to reimburse
 CEH and its attorneys for their reasonable investigation fees and costs, attorneys' fees, and
 any other costs incurred as a result of investigating, bringing this matter to the attention of
 Settling Defendant and the People, litigating and negotiating a settlement in the public
 interest. The payment required under this section shall be made payable to Lexington Law
 Group.

6.5 Each payment required by this Consent Judgment shall be made through the
delivery of separate checks payable to the applicable person, as follows:

(a) <u>Attorney General</u>. Payments due to the Attorney General shall be made
 payable to the "California Department of Justice," and sent to the attention of Robert
 Thomas, Legal Analyst, Department of Justice, 1515 Clay Street, 20th Floor, Oakland,
 CA94612.

(b) <u>CEH/Lexington Law Group</u>. The payments due to CEH and the Lexington
Law Group shall be made payable as set forth above and sent to: Mark N. Todzo,
Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 94117.

6.6 <u>Copies of Checks</u>. Settling Defendant will cause copies of each check issued by it
pursuant to this Consent Judgment to be sent to: Jamie Jefferson, Deputy Attorney General, 1515
Clay Street, 20th Floor, Oakland, CA94612.

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

18

19

20

21

8

1

7.

MODIFICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

7.1 This Consent Judgment may only be modified by express written agreement of the
Parties with the approval of the Court; by an order of this Court on noticed motion from the
People, CEH or Settling Defendant in accordance with law; or by the Court in accordance with its
inherent authority to modify its own judgments.

6 7.2 Before filing an application with the Court for a modification to this Consent
7 Judgment, the party seeking modification shall meet and confer with the other Parties to determine
8 whether the modification may be achieved by consent. If a proposed modification is agreed upon,
9 then the Parties will present the modification to the Court by means of a stipulated modification to
10 the Consent Judgment.

11

8. <u>ENFORCEMENT</u>

Enforcement by Plaintiffs. Plaintiffs may, by motion or application for an order to 8.1 12 show cause before this Court, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent 13 Judgment or seek resolution of any dispute arising under this Consent Judgment. In any 14 proceeding to enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment, Plaintiffs may seek whatever fines, 15 costs, penalties, or remedies are provided by law for failure to comply with the Consent Judgment. 16 However, Plaintiffs may not seek any fees or costs if Settling Defendant agrees to take the action 17 demanded by Plaintiffs during the meet and confer process described in Section 8.4, below, and 18 implements such action in a prompt manner. 19

Enforcement by Separate Action. Where violations of this Consent Judgment 8.2 20 constitute subsequent violations of Proposition 65 or other laws independent of the Consent 21 Judgment and/or those alleged in the Complaint, Plaintiffs and/or CEH are not limited to 22 enforcement of the Consent Judgment, but may instead elect to seek, in another action, whatever 23 fines, costs, penalties, or remedies are provided for by law for failure to comply with Proposition 24 65 or other laws. In any action brought by the People and/or CEH or another enforcer alleging 25 subsequent violations of Proposition 65 or other laws, Settling Defendant may assert any and all 26 defenses that are available, including the res judicata or collateral estoppel effect of this Consent 27 Judgment. Plaintiffs must elect whether (a) to use the enforcement provisions of Section 8.1 of 28

1 this Consent Judgment or (b) to bring a new action pursuant to this Subsection 8.2.

8.3 <u>Meet and Confer Required</u>. Before any party institutes any proceeding or separate
 action based on an alleged violation of the Consent Judgment, the moving or enforcing party
 (Moving Party) shall meet and confer with the other party (Other Party) in good faith in an
 attempt to informally resolve the alleged violation.

6 8.4 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be enforced exclusively by the Parties
7 hereto.

8

9.

10.

AUTHORITY TO STIPULATE TO CONSENT JUDGMENT

9 9.1 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized
10 to stipulate to this Consent Judgment and to enter into and execute the Consent Judgment on
11 behalf of the party he or she represents.

12

CLAIMS COVERED

Full and Binding Resolution. This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding 10.1 13 resolution between the People, CEH, and Settling Defendant of any violation of Proposition 65, 14 Business & Professions Code §§ 17200, et seq., and 17500, et seq., or any other statutory or 15 common law claims that have been or could have been asserted in the Notice or Complaints 16 against Settling Defendant for failure to provide clear and reasonable warnings of exposure to 17 Lead from the use of the Vinyl by Settling Defendant's customers. Compliance with the terms of 18 this Consent Judgment resolves any issue now, in the past, and in the future, concerning 19 compliance by Settling Defendant, its parents, divisions, subdivisions, subsidiaries, sister 20 companies, affiliates, cooperative members, licensors and licensees, and the shareholders, 21 officers, predecessors, successors, and assigns of any of them, with the requirements of 22 Proposition 65 or Business & Professions Code §§ 17200, et seq., and 17500, et seq. arising from 23 or relating to exposures to Lead in or from the Products. This Consent Judgment does not resolve 24 25 any claims that Plaintiffs may assert with respect to (i) products other than the Products or (ii) chemicals other than Lead. 26

- 27
- 28

11. **PROVISION OF NOTICE**

1

2	11.1 Notices sent pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be sent to the person(s) and				
3	addresses set forth in this paragraph. Any party may modify the person and address to whom the				
4	notice is to be sent by sending each other party notice by certified mail, return receipt requested.				
5	Said change shall take effect for any notice mailed at least five days after the date the return				
6	receipt is signed by the party receiving the change.				
7	11.2 Notices shall be sent by overnight delivery, or by concurrent e-mail and by First				
8	Class Mail, to the following when required:				
9	For the Attorney General:				
10	Jamie Jefferson, Deputy Attorney General				
11	California Department of Justice 1515 Clay Street, 20 th Floor,				
	Oakland, CA94612				
12	Jamie.Jefferson@doj.ca.gov				
13	and simultaneously to:				
14	Robert Thomas, Legal Analyst,				
15	Department of Justice, 1515 Clay Street, 20 th Floor,				
16	Oakland, CA94612 Robert.Thomas@doj.ca.gov				
17	For the Center for Environmental Health				
18	Mark N. Todzo				
	Lexington Law Group				
19	503 Divisadero Street San Francisco, CA94117				
20	mtodzo@lexlawgroup.com				
21	For the Settling Defendant:				
22	Elaine L. Spencer				
23	Graham & Dunn, PC Pier 70				
24	2801 Alaskan Way, Suite 300 Seattle, WA98121				
	espencer@grahamdunn.com				
25	11.3 <u>Written Notification</u> . Within 15 days of completing the actions required by				
26	Sections 3.1 (Immediate Product Reformulation) and 4.1 (b) (Web Notice), and also on Plaintiffs'				
27	written request with respect to any other action required by this Consent Judgment, Settling				
28					
	11 CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO DEFENDANT SEATTLE TEXTILE COMPANY – Case Nos. RG 10-530300 & 10-530436				

Defendant shall provide Plaintiffs with written notification that the required action has been
 completed.

12. <u>COURT APPROVAL</u>

12.1 This Consent Judgment shall be submitted to the Court for entry by noticed motion
or as otherwise may be required or permitted by the Court. If this Consent Judgment is not
approved by the Court, it shall be of no force or effect and may not be used by the Plaintiffs or
Settling Defendant for any purpose.

8

13.

3

ENTIRE AGREEMENT

9 13.1 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding
10 of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions,
11 negotiations, commitments and understandings related hereto. No representations, oral or
12 otherwise, express or implied, other than those contained herein have been made by any party
13 hereto. No other agreements not specifically referred to herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed
14 to exist or to bind any of the Parties.

15

14. <u>RETENTION OF JURISDICTION</u>

16 14.1 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement and enforce the
17 Consent Judgment, and to resolve any disputes that may arise as to the implementation of this
18 Judgment.

19

22

15. EXECUTION IN COUNTERPARTS


20 15.1 The stipulations to this Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by
21 means of facsimile, which taken together shall be deemed to constitute one document.

23 || IT IS SO ORDERED and ADJUDGED:

24			
25	DATED	NOV 0 3 2011	A DDICK
26	DATED:		JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
27			
28			
			12
	CONSENT JU	JDGMENT AS TO DEFENDA	ANT SEATTLE TEXTILE COMPANY - Case Nos. RG 10-530300 & 10-530436

1	IT IS SO STIPULATED:	
2 3 4	DATED: 9/14/11	KAMALA D. HARRIS
5		Attorney General J. MATTHEW RODRIQUEZ Chief Assistant Attorney General KEN ALEX
7 8		Senior Assistant Attorney General
9 10		By: <u>ame</u> <u>leffen</u> JAMIE JEFFERSON
11 12		Deputy Attorney General For People of the State of California
13 14	DATED:	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH
15		Devi
16 17		By: CHARLIE PIZARRO Assistant Director
18 19	DATED:	SEATTLE TEXTILE COMPANY
20		By:
21 22		Its:
23		
24		
25 26		
27		
28		

--






	Exhibit A					
1	Letter to Customers of Pre-Settlement Vinyl					
2	Dear Customer:					
3	Our records show that you purchased vinyl from us during the period [] to []. This letter					
4 5	is written to inform you that some of the vinyl distributed and sold by Seattle Textile Compan during this time period contains lead					
6 7	reproductive harm.					
8 9	All of our vinyl has now been formulated to reduce the amount of lead to levels below those of concern. However, some of our older products manufactured during the time period identified above may have lead levels that are of concern.					
10	We would like to provide you with the opportunity to have the vinyl you purchased from					
11	us during this time period tested to determine if such vinyl contains high levels of lead. If you purchased vinyl from us during the period from [] to [] that you still have in your possession,					
12	please contact [Name] at [telephone number] to arrange for testing of such vinyl. Seattle Textile Company will conduct or pay for all testing of the vinyl.					
13	If the testing of any of the vinyl you purchased from Seattle Textile Company reveals lead					
14 15	levels in excess of 1,000 parts per million, Seattle Textile Company will replace the vinyl. If the testing reveals lead levels between 300 parts per million and 1,000 parts per million, Seattle Textile Company will provide 50% off of the cost of any replacement product.					
16						
17	In the meantime, you can reduce exposures to lead from the vinyl by employing the following practices:					
18	a. Keeping the vinyl and products manufactured with the vinyl clean;b. Having children wash their hands after coming into contact with the vinyl;					
19	 c. Food, beverages and other ingestible items should not come into contact with the vinyl; and 					
20	d. Clothing that comes into contact with the vinyl should be cleaned after use.					
21	For further information, please call [name] at [number].					
22	Sincerely,					
23						
24	Name					
25						
26						
27						
28						
	14 CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO DEFENDANT SEATTLE TEXTILE COMPANY – Case Nos. RG 10-530300 & 10-530436					

1.