LAW OFFICE OF MICHAEL FREUND Michael Freund (SBN 99687) freund1@aol.com Ryan Hoffman (SBN 283297) 1919 Addison St., Suite 105 OCT 2 3 2013 Berkeley, CA 94704 KIM TURNER, Court Executive Officer Telephone: (510) 540-1992 MARIN COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT Facsimile: (510) 540-5543 By: R. Smith, Deputy Attorneys for Plaintiff 6 ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CENTER 7 ARNOLD & PORTER LLP Sarah Esmaili (SBN 206053) Trenton H. Norris (SBN 164781) 8 Three Embarcadero Center, 10th Floor San Francisco, CA 94111 Telephone: (415) 471-3100 10 Facsimile: (415) 471-3400 11 Attorneys for Defendant ISAGENIX INTERNATIONAL, LLC 12 13 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 14 15 COUNTY OF MARIN 16 17 ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CASE NO. 1204688 CENTER, a California non-profit 18 [PROPOSED] STIPULATED CONSENT corporation, JUDGMENT; [PROPOSED] ORDER 19 Plaintiff, Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.5 et seq. 20 Action Filed: October 9, 2012 21 ISAGENIX INTERNATIONAL, LLC and Trial Date: Not Set DOES 1-100, 22 Defendants. 23 24 25 26 27 28

[PROPOSED] STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT; [PROPOSED] ORDER

1. INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 On October 9, 2012, Plaintiff Environmental Research Center ("ERC"), a non-profit corporation, as a private enforcer, and in the public interest, initiated this action by filing a Complaint for Injunctive and Declaratory Relief and Civil Penalties ("Complaint") pursuant to the provisions of California Health and Safety Code section 25249.5 et seq. ("Proposition 65"), against Isagenix International, LLC ("Isagenix") and DOES 1-100. In this action, ERC alleges that the products manufactured, distributed or sold by Isagenix, as more fully described below, contain lead, a chemical listed under Proposition 65 as a carcinogen and reproductive toxin, and that such products expose consumers at a level requiring a Proposition 65 warning. These products are: Isagenix Greens; IsaFruits; IsaLean Shake—Natural Creamy Chocolate; Ionix Supreme; Isalean Shake—French Vanilla; Isalean Shake—Rich Chocolate; Isalean Shake—Natural Creamy Vanilla; and IsaFlush! (collectively the "Covered Products"). ERC and Isagenix are referred to individually as a "Party" or collectively as the "Parties."
- 1.2 ERC is a California non-profit corporation dedicated to, among other causes, helping safeguard the public from health hazards by bringing about a reduction in the use and misuse of hazardous and toxic chemicals, facilitating a safe environment for consumers and employees, and encouraging corporate responsibility.
- 1.3 Isagenix is a business entity that at all times relevant for purposes of this Consent Judgment employs ten or more persons. Isagenix manufactures, distributes, or sells the Covered Products.
- 1.4 The Complaint is based on allegations contained in ERC's Notices of Violation dated June 29, 2010; November 23, 2010; and December 23, 2010 (collectively, the "Notices of Violation") that were served on the California Attorney General, other public enforcers, and Isagenix. True and correct copies of the Notices of Violation are attached hereto as Exhibit A. More than 60 days have passed since these Notices of Violation were mailed and no designated governmental entity has filed a complaint against Isagenix with regard to the Covered Products or the alleged violations.
- 1.5 ERC's Notices of Violation and the Complaint allege that use of the Covered Products exposed persons in California to lead without first providing clear and reasonable warnings in

violation of California Health and Safety Code section 25249.6. Isagenix denies all material allegations contained in the Notices of Violation and Complaint and specifically denies that the Covered Products required a Proposition 65 warning or otherwise caused harm to any person.

- 1.6 The Parties have entered into this Consent Judgment in order to settle, compromise, and resolve disputed claims and thus avoid prolonged and costly litigation. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall constitute or be construed as an admission by any of the Parties, or by any of their respective officers, directors, managers, shareholders, beneficial owners, employees, agents, parent companies, subsidiaries, divisions, affiliates, franchises, licensees, customers, suppliers, distributors, wholesalers, or retailers, of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, violation of law, fault, wrongdoing, or liability, including without limitation, any admission concerning any alleged violation of Proposition 65. This Consent Judgment shall not be offered or admitted as evidence in any administrative or judicial proceeding or litigation in any court, agency or forum, except with respect to an action seeking to enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment.
- 1.7 Except as expressly set forth herein, nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive, or impair any right, remedy, argument, or defense the Parties may have in any other or future legal proceeding unrelated to these proceedings.
- 1.8 The Effective Date of this Consent Judgment is the date on which it is entered as a Judgment by this Court.

2. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Complaint and personal jurisdiction over Isagenix as to the acts alleged in the Complaint, that venue is proper in Marin County, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment as a full and final resolution of all claims that were or could have been asserted in this Action based on the facts alleged in the Notices of Violation and the Complaint.

3. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF, REFORMULATION, TESTING AND WARNINGS

3.1 On and after the Effective Date, any Covered Products that Isagenix sells in California, markets or distributes¹ for sale into California, or offers for sale to a third party for retail sale to California must either: (1) qualify as a "Reformulated Covered Product" under Section 3.3 below, or (2) meet the warning requirements set out in Section 3.2.

3.2 Clear and Reasonable Warnings

If Isagenix provides a warning for Covered Products pursuant to Section 3.1, Isagenix shall provide the following warning ("Warning")²:

[California Residents Proposition 65] WARNING: These products contain chemicals known to the State of California to cause [cancer and] birth defects or other reproductive harm.

The terms appearing in brackets are optional. Provided however, Isagenix shall be required to use the term "cancer and" in the warning only if the maximum daily dose recommended on the label contains more than 15 micrograms of lead as determined pursuant to Section 3.4.

Isagenix shall provide the Warning on at least one of the following: 1) on the container, cap, or label of each Covered Product; 2) on Isagenix's checkout page on their website for California consumers; 3) on Isagenix's insert in boxes of Covered Products shipped to California; or 4) on Isagenix's packing list in boxes of Covered Products shipped to California.

For a Warning appearing on the checkout page, insert, or packing list, Isagenix shall identify with an asterisk (or some other identifying method) each product to which the Warning applies and Isagenix shall not include a Warning that does not identify (with an asterisk or some other identifying method) the product to which the Warning applies.

As used in this Consent Judgment, the term "distributes for sale into California" shall mean to directly ship a Covered Product into California for sale in California or to sell a Covered Product to a distributor that Isagenix knows will sell the Covered Product in California.

² For a Warning appearing on the container, cap, or label of the Covered Product, a Warning statement provided pursuant to Section 3.2 shall use the term "This product contains" in place of "These products contain."

Apart from the Warning, no additional statement regarding Proposition 65 or lead may be stated within the same physical location as the Warning that appears on the checkout page, insert, or packing list, as applicable. The preceding prohibition in this paragraph does not apply to a url reference to a webpage.

For a Warning appearing on the container, cap, or label of the Covered Product, the Warning shall be securely affixed to or printed upon the container, cap, or label of the Covered Product. The Warning shall be displayed with such conspicuousness, as compared with other words, statements, or design of the label, container or cap, as applicable, to render the Warning likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual under customary conditions of purchase or use of the product. The Warning appearing on the label, container, or cap shall be at least the same size as the largest of any other health or safety warnings correspondingly appearing on the label, container, or cap, as applicable, of such product, and the word "WARNING" shall be in all capital letters and in bold print.

If Isagenix provides the Warning in an insert, Isagenix shall provide one insert Warning for each Covered Product in a box or one insert warning that lists all of the Covered Products in the box. The insert Warning will be a minimum of 5 inches x 7 inches. If Isagenix provides the Warning in a packing list, the packing list shall identify each Covered Product with an asterisk, and the Warning must be present on the front of the packing list. An exemplar of a Warning in the packing list is attached as Exhibit B.³ If a Warning is provided in an insert or packing list, the Covered Products may be returned by the consumer for a refund within 30 days of the invoice date if the consumer references the Warning as a reason for the return. If Isagenix provides the Warning in an insert, the Warning must be present on the front of the insert.

3.3 Calculation of Lead Levels

For purposes of calculating lead content, Isagenix may exclude the sum of the amount of lead supplied by the quantity of each ingredient listed in Table 1 that is present in the maximum

³ This exemplar is not intended to bind Isagenix to the format or appearance shown in Exhibit B; however, any Warning by Isagenix provided in the future on a packing list must comply with the applicable specifications set forth in Section 3.2.

daily serving recommended on the label of the Covered Product. For each ingredient, the amount of lead that may be excluded in each ingredient in each Covered Product is set forth in Table 1. If Isagenix uses this calculation, then Isagenix must submit to ERC, prior to the Effective Date, a complete list showing all the ingredients, including ingredients from Table 1, that are being used in a Covered Product, the percentage and amount in grams of each ingredient being used in the overall Covered Product, and data that independently confirm the percentage of each ingredient in the Covered Product using the maximum daily serving recommended by Isagenix. Isagenix may update this list from time to time. Isagenix will be entitled to submit this information to ERC confidentially. In the event that a dispute arises with respect to compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment as to any contribution from naturally occurring lead levels under this Section, ERC and Isagenix shall employ good faith efforts to seek entry of a protective order that governs access to and disclosure of the information provided confidentially by Isagenix to ERC in any litigation or proceeding, before any such information is disclosed by ERC in connection with that litigation or proceeding.

TABLE 1

INGREDIENT	NATURALLY OCCURRING AMOUNT OF			
	LEAD			
Calcium (elemental)	0.8 mcg lead per gram of elemental calcium			
Ferrous Fumarate	0.4 mcg lead per gram of ferrous fumarate			
Zinc Oxide	8.0 mcg lead per gram of zinc oxide			
Magnesium Oxide 0.4 mcg lead per gram of magnesium oxide				
Potassium Chloride	1.1 mcg lead per gram of potassium chloride			
Cocoa powder	1.0 mcg lead per gram of cocoa powder			

3.3.1 Reformulated Covered Products

A Reformulated Covered Product is one for which the maximum recommended daily serving on the label contains no more than 0.5 micrograms of lead per day as determined by the quality control methodology described in Section 3.4. As used in this Consent Judgment, "no more than 0.5

micrograms of lead per day" means that the samples of the testing performed by Isagenix under Section 3.4 yield a daily exposure of no more than 0.5 micrograms of lead (with daily exposure calculated pursuant to Section 3.4 of this Consent Judgment), after excluding levels of lead pursuant to Section 3.3 and Table 1. For products that cause exposures in excess of 0.5 micrograms of lead per day, Isagenix shall provide the warning set forth in Section 3.2. For purposes of determining whether a Covered Product qualifies as a Reformulated Covered Product, the collective average result of the five (5) randomly selected samples of the Covered Products will be controlling.

3.4 Testing and Quality Control Methodology

- 3.4.1 For purposes of this Consent Judgment, daily lead exposure levels shall be measured in micrograms, and shall be calculated using the following formula: micrograms of lead per gram of product, multiplied by grams of product per serving of the product (using the largest serving size appearing on the product label), multiplied by servings of the product per day (using the largest number of servings in a recommended dosage appearing on the product label), which equals micrograms of lead exposure per day, but excluding any naturally occurring levels as set forth in Section 3.3 and Table 1.
- 3.4.2 All testing pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be performed using a laboratory method that complies with the performance and quality control factors appropriate for the method used including limit of detection, limit of qualification, accuracy, and precision and meets the following criteria: Closed-vessel, microwave-assisted digestion employing high-purity reagents followed by Inductively Coupled Plasma-Mass Spectrometry (ICP-MS) achieving a limit of quantification of less than or equal to 0.010 mg/kg or any other testing method subsequently agreed upon in writing by the Parties.
- 3.4.3 All testing pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be performed by an independent third-party laboratory certified by the California Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program for the analysis of heavy metals or an independent third-party laboratory that is approved by, accredited by, or registered with the United States Food & Drug Administration for the analysis of heavy metals. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall limit Isagenix's ability to

conduct, or require that others conduct, additional testing of the Covered Products, including the raw materials used in their manufacture.

- 3.4.4 For at least four consecutive years after the Effective Date and at least once per year, Isagenix shall arrange for the lead testing of five (5) randomly selected samples of each Covered Product in the form intended for sale to the end-user to be distributed or sold in California. Isagenix shall continue testing so long as the Covered Products are sold in California or sold to a third party for retail sale in California. If tests conducted pursuant to this Section demonstrate that no warning is required for a Covered Product during each of four consecutive years, then the testing requirements of this Section will no longer be required as to that Covered Product. However, if during or after the four-year period, Isagenix changes ingredient suppliers for any of the Covered Products and/or reformulates any of the Covered Products, Isagenix shall test that Covered Product at least once after such change is made.
- 3.4.5 Upon written request by ERC, Isagenix shall provide to ERC all test results and documentation of testing undertaken by Isagenix within ten (10) working days of receipt by Isagenix of ERC's request. Isagenix shall retain all test results and documentation for a period of four (4) years from the date of each test.
- 3.4.6 The requirements discussed in Section 3.4 are not applicable to any Covered Product for which Isagenix has provided the warning as specified in Section 3.2.

4. SETTLEMENT PAYMENT

- 4.1 In full satisfaction of all potential civil penalties, payment in lieu of civil penalties, attorney's fees and costs, Isagenix shall make a total payment of \$350,000 (Three Hundred Fifty Thousand Dollars) by check within ten business days of receiving the Notice of Entry of this Consent Judgment. Said payment shall be allocated as follows in Sections 4.1.1 through 4.1.3, which ERC shall specify prior to its execution of this Consent Judgment.
- 4.1.1 \$87,000 shall be payable as civil penalties pursuant to California Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(b)(1). Of this amount, \$65,250 shall be payable to the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA") and \$21,750 shall be payable to Environmental Research Center. California Health and Safety Code section 25249.12(c)(1) & (d).

Isagenix shall send both civil penalty payments to ERC's counsel who shall be responsible to forward the civil penalty.

- 4.1.2 \$30,475 shall be payable to Environmental Research Center as reimbursement to ERC for (A) reasonable costs associated with the enforcement of Proposition 65 and other costs incurred as a result of work in bringing this action; and (B) \$171,072 shall be payable to Environmental Research Center in lieu of further civil penalties, for activities such as: (1) continued enforcement of Proposition 65, which includes analyzing, researching and testing consumer products that may contain Proposition 65 chemicals; (2) the continued monitoring of past consent judgments and settlements to ensure companies are in compliance with Proposition 65; and (3) ERC giving a donation of \$8,550 to West County Toxics Coalition to address reducing toxic chemical exposures in California.
- 4.1.3 \$39,645 shall be payable to Michael Freund as reimbursement of ERC's attorney's fees. \$2,513 shall be payable to Karen Evans as reimbursement of ERC's attorney's fees. \$19,295 shall be payable to Richard Drury as reimbursement of ERC's attorney's fees.
- 4.2 Isagenix shall mail or deliver the payments in this Section to the Law Office of Michael Freund. Isagenix will be provided with taxpayer identification information to enable Isagenix to process the payments.

5. MODIFICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

- 5.1 This Consent Judgment may be modified only (i) by written stipulation of the Parties or pursuant to Section 5.4 and (ii) upon entry by the Court of a modified consent judgment.
- 5.2 If Isagenix seeks to modify this Consent Judgment under Section 5.1, then Isagenix shall provide written notice to ERC of its intent ("Notice of Intent"). If ERC seeks to meet and confer regarding the proposed modification in the Notice of Intent, then ERC shall provide written notice to Isagenix within thirty days of receiving the Notice of Intent. If ERC notifies Isagenix in a timely manner of ERC's intent to meet and confer, then the Parties shall meet and confer in good faith as required in this Section. The Parties shall meet in person or on the phone within thirty (30) days of ERC's notification of its intent to meet and confer. Within thirty days of such meeting, if ERC disputes the proposed modification, ERC shall provide to Isagenix a written factual basis for its

position. The Parties shall continue to meet and confer for an additional thirty (30) days in an effort to resolve any remaining disputes. The Parties may agree in writing to different deadlines for the meet-and-confer period.

- 5.3 In the event Isagenix initiates or otherwise requests a modification under Section 5.1, Isagenix shall reimburse ERC its reasonable attorney's fees for the time spent in the meet and confer process and filing and arguing a joint motion or application in support of a modification of the Consent Judgment as well as ERC's reasonable costs; provided, however, that these fees and costs shall not exceed \$10,000 total without the prior written consent of Isagenix.
- 5.4 Where the meet-and-confer process does not lead to a joint motion or application in support of a modification of the Consent Judgment, then either Party may seek judicial relief on its own. In such a situation, the prevailing Party may seek to recover costs and reasonable attorney's fees. As used in the preceding sentence, the term "prevailing Party" means a Party who is successful in obtaining relief more favorable to it than the relief that the other Party was amenable to providing during the Parties' good faith attempt to resolve the dispute that is the subject of the modification.
- 5.5 If Isagenix seeks to exclude naturally-occurring lead in its calculation of overall lead content for any of the Covered Products, during the meet-and-confer process, then Isagenix shall provide to ERC a complete list of all ingredients and corresponding percentages of each ingredient within each Covered Product, including data that independently confirm the percentage of such ingredient being used in each Covered Product, and any other data that independently supports Isagenix's contention that the lead it seeks to exclude is naturally occurring. Isagenix is entitled to submit to ERC documentation pursuant to this Section which ERC will keep confidential.

6. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION, ENFORCEMENT OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

- 6.1 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to enforce, modify or terminate this Consent Judgment pursuant to Section 664.6 of the California Code of Civil Procedure.
- 6.2 Only after it complies with Section 14 below may any Party, by motion or application for an order to show cause filed with this Court, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment.

6.3 If ERC alleges that any Covered Product fails to qualify as a Reformulated Covered Product (and for which ERC alleges that no warning has been provided), then ERC shall inform Isagenix in a reasonably prompt manner of its test results, including information sufficient to permit Isagenix to identify the Covered Products at issue. Isagenix shall, within thirty days following Isagenix's receipt of such notice, provide ERC with testing information demonstrating Isagenix's compliance with the Consent Judgment, if warranted. The Parties shall first attempt to resolve the matter prior to ERC taking any further legal action pursuant to Section 14.

7. APPLICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

This Consent Judgment may apply to, be binding upon and benefit the Parties, and their respective officers, directors, managers, shareholders, beneficial owners, employees, agents, parent companies, subsidiaries, divisions, affiliates, franchisees, licensees, customers, (excluding private labelers), distributors, wholesalers, retailers, predecessors, successors and assigns and ERC on its own behalf and in the public interest as set forth in Section 8. This Consent Judgment shall have no application to Covered Products which are both (i) manufactured, distributed, or sold outside the State of California, and (ii) are not used by California consumers.

8. BINDING EFFECT, CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED

8.1 This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between ERC, on behalf of itself and in the public interest, and Isagenix, of any alleged violation of Proposition 65 or its implementing regulations for failure to provide Proposition 65 warnings of exposure to lead from the handling, use or consumption of the Covered Products, and this Consent Judgment fully and finally resolves all claims that have been or could have been asserted in this action up to and including the date of entry of Judgment for failure to provide Proposition 65 warnings for exposure to lead from the Covered Products. ERC, on behalf of itself, its agents, officers, representatives, attorneys, successors and/or assignees, and on behalf of the general public in the public interest, hereby waives all rights to institute or participate in any form of legal action and releases and discharges Isagenix and its respective officers, directors, managers, shareholders, beneficial owners, employees, agents, parent companies, subsidiaries, divisions, affiliates, suppliers, franchisees, licensees, customers, (not including private label customers of Isagenix), distributors, wholesalers, retailers, and all other

 upstream and downstream entities in the distribution chain down to any Covered Product, and the predecessors, successors and assigns of any of them (collectively, "Released Parties"), from any and all claims, actions, causes of action, suits, demands, liabilities, damages, penalties, fees, costs and expenses (collectively "Claims") asserted, or that could have been asserted, as to any alleged violation of Proposition 65 arising from Isagenix's alleged failure to provide Proposition 65 warnings for the Covered Products for any alleged exposures to lead.

8.2 ERC, on behalf of itself only, hereby waives all rights to participate in any form of legal action and releases and discharges the Released Parties from, any and all known and unknown Claims for alleged violations of Proposition 65, or for any other statutory or common law, arising from alleged exposures to lead in the Covered Products as set forth in the Notices of Violations and the Complaint. It is possible that other claims not known to the Parties arising out of the facts alleged in the Notices of Violation or the Complaint and relating to the Covered Products will develop or be discovered. ERC, on behalf of itself only, acknowledges that this Consent Judgment is expressly intended to cover and include all such claims, including all rights of action therefor. ERC has full knowledge of the contents of California Civil Code section 1542. ERC, on behalf of itself only, acknowledges that the claims released in Section 8.1 and 8.2 above may include unknown claims, and nevertheless waives California Civil Code section 1542 as to any such unknown claims. California Civil Code section 1542 reads as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS

WHICH THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO

EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING

THE RELEASE, WHICH IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER MUST

HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT

WITH THE DEBTOR.

ERC, on behalf of itself only, acknowledges and understands the significance and consequences of this specific waiver of California Civil Code section 1542.

27

28

- 8.3 Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed to constitute compliance by any Released Party with Proposition 65 regarding alleged exposures to lead in the Covered Products.
- 8.4 ERC, on one hand, and Isagenix, on the other hand, release and waive all claims they may have against each other for any statements or actions made or undertaken by them in connection with the Notices of Violation or the Complaint; provided, however, that nothing in Section 8 shall affect or limit any Party's right to seek to enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment.

9. SEVERABILITY OF UNENFORCEABLE PROVISIONS

In the event that any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment are held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the enforceable provisions shall not be adversely affected.

10. GOVERNING LAW

The terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by and construed in accordance with the laws of the State of California.

11. PROVISION OF NOTICE

All notices required to be given to either Party to this Consent Judgment by the other shall be in writing and sent to the following agents listed below by: (a) first-class, registered, or certified mail; (b) overnight courier; or (c) personal delivery. Courtesy copies via email may also be sent.

c, c, p - - c, p - -

FOR ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CENTER:

Chris Heptinstall, Executive Director Environmental Research Center 3111 Camino del Rio North, Suite 400 San Diego, CA 92108

With a copy to:

Michael Bruce Freund Law Offices of Michael Freund 1919 Addison Street, Suite 105 Berkeley, CA 94704 Telephone: (510) 540-1992 Facsimile: (510) 540-5543

Karen Evans Coordinating Counsel Environmental Research Center

26

27

28

4218 Biona Place San Diego, CA 92116 Telephone: (619) 640-8100

FOR ISAGENIX INTERNATIONAL, LLC

Richard Stagg Vice President and General Counsel 2225 S. Price Road Chandler, AZ 85286 USA

With a copy to:

Sarah Esmaili (State Bar No. 206053) Trenton H. Norris (State Bar No. 164781) Three Embarcadero Center, 7th Floor San Francisco, CA 94111 Telephone: (415) 471-3100

12. COURT APPROVAL

- 12.1 If this Stipulated Consent Judgment is not approved by the Court, it shall be void and have no force or effect.
- 12.2 ERC shall comply with California Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(f) and with Title II of the California Code Regulations, Section 3003.

EXECUTION AND COUNTERPARTS 13.

This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts, which taken together shall be deemed to constitute one document. A facsimile or pdf signature shall be construed as valid as the original signature.

14. GOOD FAITH ATTEMPT TO RESOLVE DISPUTES

If a dispute arises with respect to either Party's compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment entered by the Court, the Parties shall meet in person or by telephone and endeavor to resolve the dispute in an amicable manner. No action or motion may be filed in the absence of such a good faith attempt to resolve the dispute beforehand. In the event an action or motion is filed, however, the prevailing Party may recover its costs and reasonable attorney's fees. As used in the preceding sentence, the term "prevailing Party" means a Party who is successful in obtaining relief more favorable to it than the relief that the other Party was amenable to providing during the Parties' good faith attempt to resolve the dispute that is the subject of such enforcement action.

15. ENTIRE AGREEMENT, AUTHORIZATION

- 15.1 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments and understandings related hereto. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those contained herein have been made by any Party. No other agreements, oral or otherwise, unless specifically referred to herein, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any Party.
- 15.2 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the Party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment. Except as explicitly provided herein, each Party shall bear its own fees and costs.

16. REQUEST FOR FINDINGS, APPROVAL OF SETTLEMENT AND ENTRY OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

This Consent Judgment has come before the Court upon the request of the Parties. The Parties request the Court to fully review this Consent Judgment and, being fully informed regarding the matters which are the subject of this action, to:

- (1) Find that the terms and provisions of this Consent Judgment represent a fair and equitable settlement of all matters raised by the allegations of the Complaint, that the matter has been diligently prosecuted, and that the public interest is served by such settlement; and
- (2) Make the findings pursuant to California Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(f)(4), approve the Settlement and approve this Consent Judgment.

IT IS SO STIPULATED:

Dated: 1/16, 2013

ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH

CENTER

Christleptinstall, Executive Director

1	Dated: July 16, 2013	ISAGENIX INTERNATIONAL, LLC
2		By:
3		Scott Luther, Chief Operating Officer
4	APPROVED AS TO FORM:	
5		
6	Dated:, 2013	LAW OFFICE OF MICHAEL FREUND
7		By:
8		Michael Freund
9		Attorney for Plaintiff Environmental Research Center
10	Dated: July 17, 2013	ARNOLD & PORTER LLP
11		a coal of.
12		By: Och C Sarah Esmaili
13		Attorney for Defendant Isagenix International, LLC
14		JUDGMENT
15		n, and good cause appearing, this Consent Judgment is
16	approved and Judgment is hereby entered	
17	approved and vadgment is hereby entered	according to its terms.
18	Dated: , 2013	
19	Dated, 2013	Judge of the Superior Court
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
- 1	I .	- 16 -

Dated:, 2013	ISAGENIX INTERNATIONAL, LLC
	Ву:
	Print Name:
APPROVED AS TO FORM:	
-1/11	
Dated: 7/16, 2013	LAW OFFICE OF MICHAEL FREUND
	By: Mast In
	Michael Freund Attorney for Plaintiff Environmental Research Center
Dated:, 2013	ADMOLD & DODTED LLD
Dated, 2013	ARNOLD & PORTER LLP
16	By: Sarah Esmaili
	Attorney for Defendant Isagenix International, LLC
	JUDGMENT
Based upon the Parties' Stipu	lation, and good cause appearing, this Consent Judgment i
approved and Judgment is hereby ent	
	4 - 4
Dated: 10/23, 2013	ROY CHERNUS
Batted	Judge of the Superior Court
	- 16 -

EXHIBIT A

NOTICES OF VIOLATION

2

28.

- 17 -

EXHIBIT A

We are a real and

MICHAEL FREUND ATTORNEY AT LAW 1915 ADDISON STREET BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA 94704-1101

TEL 510/540-1992

FAX 510/540-5543

EMAIL FREUNDI@AOL.COM

June 29, 2010

Re: Notice of Violation Against Isagenix International for Violation of California Health & Safety Code Section 25249.6

Dear Prosecutors:

I represent the Environmental Research Center ("ERC"), a non-profit California corporation whose mission is to safeguard the public from health hazards that impact families, workers and the environment. ERC is dedicated to reducing the use and misuse of hazardous and toxic chemicals, facilitating a safe environment for consumers and employees and encouraging corporate responsibility. ERC is located at 5694 Mission Center Road, # 199, San Diego, CA 92108. Through this Notice of Violation, ERC seeks to reduce exposure to the public from lead contained in the named products manufactured and distributed by Isagenix International.

This letter constitutes notification that Isagenix International located at 2225 S. Price Road, Chandler, AZ 85286 has violated the warning requirement of Proposition 65, the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act (commencing with section 25249.5 of the Health and Safety Code).

In particular, this company has manufactured and distributed products which have exposed and continue to expose numerous individuals within California to lead. Lead was listed pursuant to Proposition 65 as a chemical known to cause developmental toxicity, and male and female reproductive toxicity on February 27, 1987. Lead was listed pursuant to Proposition 65 as a carcinogen on October 1, 1992. The time period of these violations commenced one year after the listed dates above. The primary route of exposure has been oral through ingestion.

Isagenix International is exposing people to lead from the following products: Fiber Pro; Isagenix Greens; Antioxidants; Natural Accelerator; IsaFruits; IsaLean Shake — Natural Creamy Chocolate; Cleanse for Life; - Tropical Berry; and Ionix Supreme.

Proposition 65 requires that a clear and reasonable warning be provided prior to exposure to certain listed chemicals. Isagenix International is in violation of Proposition 65 because the company failed to provide a warning to persons using their products that they are being exposed to lead. (22 C.C.R. section 12601.) While in the course of doing business, the company is knowingly and intentionally exposing people to lead, without first providing clear and reasonable warning. (Health and Safety Code section 25249.6.) The method of warning should be a warning that appears on the product's label. 22 C.C.R. section 12601 (b)(1) (A).

Proposition 65 requires that notice and intent to sue be given to a violator 60-days before the suit is filed. With this letter, ERC gives notice of the alleged violation to the noticed party and

the appropriate governmental authorities. This notice covers all violations of Proposition 65 that are currently known to ERC from information now available. ERC may continue to investigate other products that may reveal further violations. A summary of Proposition 65, prepared by the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment, and referenced as Appendix A, has been provided to the noticed party.

Based on the allegations set forth in this Notice, ERC intends to file a citizen enforcement action against Isagenix International unless the company agrees in an enforceable written instrument to: (1) reformulate these products so as to eliminate further lead exposures; and (2) pay an appropriate civil penalty. Consistent with the public interest goals of Proposition 65 and my client's objectives in pursuing this Notice, ERC will focus its efforts in seeking a constructive resolution of this matter. Such resolution will avoid both further unwarned consumer exposures to lead and expensive and time-consuming litigation.

If you have any questions, please contact my office at your earliest convenience.

Sincerely,

Michael Freund

cc: Chris Heptinstall, ERC Karen Evans, Esq. ERC

CERTIFICATE OF MERIT

Health and Safety Code Section 25249.7 (d)

- I, Michael Freund hereby declare:
- 1. This Certificate of Merit accompanies the Notice of Violation in which it is alleged that the party identified in the Notice has violated Health and Safety Code Section 25249.6 by failing to provide clear and reasonable warnings.
- 2. I am the attorney for the noticing party Environmental Research Center ("ERC"). ERC is dedicated to reducing the use and misuse of hazardous and toxic chemicals, facilitating a safe environment for consumers and employees and encouraging corporate responsibility. The Notice of Violation alleges that the party identified has exposed persons in California to lead from products that it manufactures and distributes. Please refer to the Notice of Violation for additional details regarding the alleged violations.
- 3. I have consulted with one or more persons with relevant and appropriate experience or expertise who has reviewed facts, studies, or other data regarding the alleged exposure to the listed chemical that is the subject of the action. In particular, I have consulted with the laboratory that conducted the testing to determine the concentration of lead in the products identified in the Notice of Violation and I have relied on the testing results. The testing was conducted by a reputable testing laboratory with substantial experience in testing for lead. These facts, studies or other data derived through this investigation overwhelmingly demonstrate that the party identified in the Notice of Violation exposes persons to lead through oral exposure (ingestion).
- 4. Based on my consultation with the laboratory, the results of the laboratory testing, as well as published studies on lead, it is clear that there is sufficient evidence that human

exposures exist from exposure to the products from the noticed party. Furthermore, as a result of the above, I have concluded that there is a reasonable and meritorious case for the private action. I understand that "reasonable and meritorious case for the private action" means that the information provides a credible basis that all elements of the plaintiff's case can be established and the information did not prove that the alleged violator will be able to establish any of the affirmative defenses set forth in the statute.

5. The copy of this Certificate of Merit served on the California Attorney General attaches to it factual information sufficient to establish the basis for this certificate, including the information identified in Health & Safety Code Section 25249.7 (h) (2), i.e., (1) the identity of the persons consulted with and relied on by the certifier, and (2) the facts, studies or other data reviewed by those persons.

Dated: June 27, 2010

Michael Freund

Attorney for Environmental Research Center

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I am a citizen of the United States and a resident of the County of Alameda. I am over the age of eighteen years and not a party to the within entitled action; my business address is 1915 Addison Street, Berkeley, California 94704. On June 29, 2010 I served the within:

Notice of Violation and Certificate of Merit (Supporting documentation pursuant to 11 CCR section 3102 sent to Attorney General only)

on the parties in said action, by placing a true copy thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid, in the United States Post Office mail box in Oakland, California to said parties addressed as follows:

See Attached Service List

I, Michael Freund, declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on June 29, 2010 at Berkeley, California.

Michael Freund

District Attorney of Alameda County 1225 Fallon Street, Room 900 Oakland, CA 94612

District Attorney of Glenn County PO Box 430 Willows, CA 95988 District Attorney of Marin County 3501 Civic Center Dr., Room 130 San Rafael, CA 94903

District Attorney of Colusa County 547 Market Street Colusa, CA 95932

District Attorney of Kings County 1400 West Lacey Hanford, CA 93239

District Attorney of Mono County PO Box 617 Bridgeport, CA 93517

District Attorney of Contra Costa County 627 Ferry Street Martinez, CA 94553

District Attorney of Lake County 255 N. Forbes Street Lakeport, CA 95453 District Attorney of Mariposa County PO Box 730 Mariposa, CA 95338

District Attorney of Alpine County PO Box 248 Markleeville, CA 96120 District Attorney of Humboldt County 825 5th Street Eureka, CA 95501 District Attorney of Monterey County 230 Church Street, Bdg. 2 Salinas, CA 93901

District Attorney of Del Norte County 450 H Street, Ste 171 Crescent City, CA 95531 District Attorney of Imperial County 939 Main Street El Centro, CA 92243 District Attorney of Mendocino County PO Box 1000 Ukiah, CA 95482

District Attorney of Amador County 708 Court Street, # 202 Jackson, CA 95642 District Attorney of Lassen County 220 S. Lassen St., Ste 8 Susanville, CA 96130 District Attorney of Napa County 931 Parkway Mall Napa, CA 94559

District Attorney of Butte County 25 County Center Drive Oroville, CA 95965 District Attorney of Inyo County PO Drawer D Independence, CA 93526

District Attorney of Merced County 2222 "M" Street Merced, CA 95340

District Attorney of El Dorado County 515 Main Street Placerville, CA 95667

District Attorney of Los Angeles County 210 W. Temple Street, Room 345 Los Angeles, CA 90012 District Attorney of Nevada County 110 Union Street Nevada City, CA 95959-2503

District Attorney of Calaveras County 891 Mountain Ranch Road San Andreas, CA 95249

District Attorney of Madera County 209 West Yosemite Ave. Madera, CA 93637 District Attorney of Orange County 401 Civic Center Drive West Santa Ana, CA 92701

District Attorney of Fresno County 2220 Tulare Street, # 1000 Fresno, CA 93721 District Attorney of Kern County 1215 Truxtun Ave. Bakersfield, CA 93301 District Attorney of Modoc County 204 S. Court Street Alturas, CA 96101-4020 San Diego City Attorney's Office 1200 3rd Ave. # 1620 San Diego, CA 92101

San Francisco City Attorney's Office City Hall, Room 234 San Francisco, CA 94102

California Attorney General's Office Attn: Proposition 65 Coordinator 1515 Clay Street, Suite 2000 PO Box 70550 Oakland, CA 94612

Karen A. Evans, General Counsel Environmental Research Center Law Office of Karen A. Evans. 4218 Biona Place San Diego, CA 92116

John Anderson Isagenix International 2225 S. Price Road Chandler, AQ 85286

On February 27, 1987, the State of California officially listed lead as a chemical known to cause developmental toxicity, and male and female reproductive toxicity. On October 1, 1992, the State of California officially listed lead as chemical known to cause cancer.

This letter is a Notice to Isagenix International, LLC and the appropriate governmental authorities of the Proposition 65 violations concerning the listed products. This Notice covers all violations of Proposition 65 involving Isagenix International, LLC currently known to ERC from the information now available. ERC may continue to investigate other products that may reveal further violations. A summary of Proposition 65, prepared by the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment, has been provided to the Noticed Company with a copy of this letter.

Isagenix International, LLC has manufactured, marketed, distributed, and/or sold the listed products, which have exposed and continue to expose numerous individuals within California to the identified chemicals. The primary route of exposure to these chemicals has been through ingestion, but may have also occurred through inhalation and/or dermal contact. Proposition 65 requires that a clear and reasonable warning be provided prior to exposure to the identified chemicals. The method of warning should be a warning that appears on the product's label. Isagenix International, LLC violated Proposition 65 because the Company has failed to provide an appropriate warning to persons using these products that they are being exposed to the identified chemical.

Pursuant to Section 25249.7(d) of the statute, ERC intends to file a citizen enforcement action sixty days after effective service of this Notice unless Isagenix International, LLC agrees in an enforceable written instrument to: (1) reformulate the listed products so as to eliminate further exposures to the identified chemicals; and (2) pay an appropriate civil penalty. Consistent with the public interest goals of Proposition 65 and ERC 's objectives in pursuing this Notice, ERC is interested in seeking a constructive resolution to this matter. Such resolution will avoid both further unwarned consumer exposures to the identified chemicals and expensive and time consuming litigation.

Please direct all questions concerning this notice to ERC's attorney, Michael Freund, address: 1915 Addison Street, Berkley, California, 94704-1101, telephone no.: 510-540-1992, e-mail: Freundl@aol.com.

Sincerely,

Chris Heptinstall, Executive Director

Environmental Research Center

cc: Karen Evans

Attachments

Certificate of Merit Certificate of Service

OEHHA Summary (to Isagenix International, LLC and its Registered Agent for Service of Process only) Additional Supporting Information for Certificate of Merit (to AG only)



Environmental Research Center

5694 Mission Center Road #199 San Diego, CA 92108 619 309 4194

November 23, 2010

VIA CERTIFIED MAIL

Current President or CEO Isagenix International, LLC 2225 S Price Rd Chandler, AZ 85248

CT Corporation System (Isagenix International, LLC's Registered Agent for Service of Process) 2394 E. Camelback Road Phoenix, AZ 85016

Office of the California Attorney General Prop 65 Enforcement Reporting 1515 Clay Street, Suite 2000 P.O. Box 70550 Oakland, CA 94612-0550

VIA PRIORITY MAIL

District Attorneys of All California Counties and Select City Attorneys (See Attached Certificate of Service)

Re: Notice of Violations of California Health & Safety Code Section 25249.5 et seq.

Dear Addressees:

I am the Executive Director of the Environmental Research Center ("ERC") in connection with this Notice of Violations of California's Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, which is codified at California Health & Safety Code Section 25249.5 *et seq.* and also referred to as Proposition 65.

ERC is a California non-profit corporation dedicated to, among other causes, helping safeguard the public from health hazards by bringing about a reduction in the use and misuse of hazardous and toxic chemicals, facilitating a safe environment for consumers and employees, and encouraging corporate responsibility.

The name of the Company covered by this Notice that violated Proposition 65 is:

Isagenix International, LLC

The products that are the subject of this Notice and the chemical in those products identified as exceeding allowable levels are:

Isagenix International LLC Isalean Shake- French Vanilla 30.10 oz - Lead

Isagenix International LLC Isalean Shake- Rich Chocolate 30.10 oz - Lead

Isagenix International LLC Isalean Shake- Natural Creamy Vanilla 30.10 oz - Lead

CERTIFICATE OF MERIT

Re: Environmental Research Center's Notice of Proposition 65 Violations by Isagenix International, LLC

I, Michael Freund, declare:

- 1. This Certificate of Merit accompanies the attached sixty-day Notice in which it is alleged the party identified in the Notice violated California Health & Safety Code Section 25249.6 by failing to provide clear and reasonable warnings.
- 2. I am an attorney for the noticing party.
- 3. I have consulted with one or more persons with relevant and appropriate experience or expertise who have reviewed facts, studies, or other data regarding the exposure to the listed chemical that is the subject of the Notice.
- 4. Based on the information obtained through those consultants, and on other information in my possession, I believe there is a reasonable and meritorious case for the private action. I understand that "reasonable and meritorious case for the private action" means that the information provides a credible basis that all elements of the plaintiff's case can be established and that the information did not prove that the alleged violator will be able to establish any of the affirmative defenses set forth in the statute.
- 5. Along with the copy of this Certificate of Merit served on the Attorney General is attached additional factual information sufficient to establish the basis for this Certificate, including the information identified in California Health & Safety Code §25249.7(h)(2), i.e., (1) the identity of the persons consulted with and relied on by the certifier, and (2) the facts, studies, or other data reviewed by those persons.

Dated: November 23, 2010

Michael Freund

Attorney for Environmental Research Center

and Freund

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, the undersigned, declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the following is true and correct:

I am a citizen of the United States, over the age of 18 years of age, and am not a party to the within entitled action. My business address is 306 Joy Street, Fort Oglethorpe, Georgia 30742

On November 23, 2010, I served the following documents:

NOTICE OF VIOLATIONS OF CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5 *ET SEQ.*; CERTIFICATE OF MERIT; "THE SAFE DRINKING WATER AND TOXIC ENFORCEMENT ACT OF 1986 (PROPOSITION 65): A SUMMARY"

On the following parties by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope, addressed to the party listed below and depositing it in a US Postal Service Office for delivery by Certified Mail:

Current President or CEO Isagenix International, LLC 2225 S Price Rd Chandler, AZ 85248

CT Corporation System (Isagenix International, LLC's Registered Agent for Service of Process) 2394 E. Camelback Road Phoenix, AZ 85016

On November 23, 2010, I served the following documents: NOTICE OF VIOLATION, CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5 ET SEQ.; CERTIFICATE OF MERIT; ADDITIONAL SUPPORTING INFORMATION FOR CERTIFICATE OF MERIT AS REQUIRED BY CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.7(d)(1) on the following parties by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope, addressed to the party listed below and depositing it in a US Postal Service Office for delivery by Certified Mail:

Office of the California Attorney General Prop 65 Enforcement Reporting 1515 Clay Street, Suite 2000 Post Office Box 70550 Oakland, CA 94612-0550

On November 23, 2010, I served the following documents: **NOTICE OF VIOLATION, CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5** *ET SEQ.*; **CERTIFICATE OF MERIT** on each of the parties on the Service List attached hereto by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope, addressed to each of the parties **on the Service List attached hereto**, and depositing it with the U.S. Postal Service for delivery by Priority Mail.

Executed on November 23, 2010, in Fort Oglethorpe, Georgia.

Chris Heptinstall

Service List

District Attorney, Alameda County 1225 Fallon Street, Room 900 Oakland, CA 94612

District Attorney, Alpine County P.O. Box 248 Markleeville, CA 96120

District Attorney, Amador County 708 Court Street, #202 Jackson, CA 95642

District Attorney, Butte County 25 County Center Drive Oroville, CA 95965

District Attorney, Calaveras County 891 Mountain Ranch Road San Andreas, CA 95249

District Attorney, Colusa County 547 Market Street Colusa, CA 95932

District Attorney, Contra Costa County 900 Ward Street Martinez, CA 94553

District Attorney, Del Norte County 450 H Street, Ste. 171 Crescent City, CA 95531

District Attorney, El Dorado County 515 Main Street Placerville, CA 95667

District Attorney, Fresno County 2220 Tulare Street, #1000 Fresno, CA 93721

District Attorney, Glenn County Post Office Box 430 Willows, CA 95988

District Attorney, Humboldt County 825 5th Street Eureka, CA 95501

District Attorney, Imperial County 939 West Main Street, Ste 102 El Centro, CA 92243

District Attorney, Inyo County 230 W. Line Street Bishop, CA 93514

District Attorney, Kern County 1215 Truxtun Avenue Bakersfield, CA 93301 District Attorney, Kings County 1400 West Lacey Boulevard Hanford, CA 93230

District Attorney, Lake County 255 N. Forbes Street Lakeport, CA 95453

District Attorney, Lassen County 220 South Lassen Street, Ste. 8 Susanville, CA 96130

District Attorney, Los Angeles County 210 West Temple Street, Rm 345 Los Angeles, CA 90012

District Attorney, Madera County 209 West Yosemite Avenue Madera, CA 93637

District Attorney, Marin County 3501 Civic Center, Room 130 San Rafael, CA 94903

District Attorney, Mariposa County Post Office Box 730 Mariposa, CA 95338

District Attorney, Mendocino County Post Office Box 1000 Ukiah, CA 95482

District Attorney, Merced County 2222 M Street Merced, CA 95340

District Attorney, Modoc County 204 S Court Street, Room 202 Alturas, CA 96101-4020

District Attorney, Mono County Post Office Box 617 Bridgeport, CA 93517

District Attorney, Monterey County 230 Church Street, Bldg 2 Salinas, CA 93901

District Attorney, Napa County 931 Parkway Mall Napa, CA 94559

District Attorney, Nevada County 110 Union Street Nevada City, CA 95959

District Attorney, Orange County 401 Civic Center Drive West Santa Ana, CA 92701

District Attorney, Placer County 10810 Justice Center Drive, Ste 240 Roseville, CA 95678

District Attorney, Plumas County 520 Main Street, Room 404 Quincy, CA 95971

District Attorney, Riverside County 4075 Main Street, 1st Floor Riverside, CA 92501

District Attorney, Sacramento County 901 "G" Street Sacramento, CA 9581

District Attorney, San Benito County 419 Fourth Street, 2nd Floor Hollister, CA 95023

District Attorney, San Bernardino County 316 N. Mountain View Avenue San Bernardino, CA 92415-0004

District Attorney, San Diego County 330 West Broadway, Room 1300 San Diego, CA 92101

District Attorney, San Francisco County 850 Bryant Street, Room 325 San Francsico, CA 94103

District Attorney, San Joaquin County Post Office Box 990 Stockton, CA 95201

District Attorney, San Luis Obispo County 1050 Monterey Street, Room 450 San Luis Obispo, CA 93408

District Attorney, San Mateo County 400 County Ctr., 3rd Floor Redwood City, CA 94063

District Attorney, Santa Barbara County 1105 Santa Barbara Street Santa Barbara, CA 93101

District Attorney, Santa Clara County 70 West Hedding Street San Jose, CA 95110

District Attorney, Santa Cruz County 701 Ocean Street, Room 200 Santa Cruz, CA 95060

District Attorney, Shasta County 1525 Court Street, Third Floor Redding, CA 96001-1632

District Attorney, Sierra County PO Box 457 Downieville, CA 95936 District Attorney, Siskiyou County Post Office Box 986 Yreka, CA 96097

District Attorney, Solano County 675 Texas Street, Ste 4500 Fairfield, CA 94533

District Attorney, Sonoma County 600 Administration Drive, Room 212J Santa Rosa, CA 95403

District Attorney, Stanislaus County 832 12th Street, Ste 300 Modesto, CA 95353

District Attorney, Sutter County 446 Second Street Yuba City, CA 95991

District Attorney, Tehama County Post Office Box 519 Red Bluff, CA 96080

District Attorney, Trinity County Post Office Box 310 Weaverville, CA 96093

District Attorney, Tulare County 221 S. Mooney Avenue, Room 224 Visalia, CA 93291

District Attorney, Tuolumne County 423 N. Washington Street Sonora, CA 95370

District Attorney, Ventura County 800 South Victoria Avenue Ventura, CA 93009

District Attorney, Yolo County 301 2nd Street Woodland, CA 95695

District Attorney, Yuba County 215 Fifth Street Marysville, CA 95901

Los Angeles City Attorney's Office City Hall East 200 N. Main Street, Rm 800 Los Angeles, CA 90012

San Diego City Attorney's Office 1200 3rd Avenue, Ste 1620 San Diego, CA 92101

San Francisco City Attorney's Office City Hall, Room 234 1 Drive Carlton B Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

San Jose City Attorney's Office 200 East Santa Clara Street San Jose, CA 95113



Environmental Research Center

5694 Mission Center Road #199 San Diego, CA 92108 619.309.4194

December 23, 2010

VIA CERTIFIED MAIL

Current President or CEO Isagenix International, LLC 2225 S Price Rd Chandler, AZ 85248

CT Corporation System (Isagenix International, LLC's Registered Agent for Service of Process) 2394 E. Camelback Road Phoenix, AZ 85016

Office of the California Attorney General Prop 65 Enforcement Reporting 1515 Clay Street, Suite 2000 P.O. Box 70550 Oakland, CA 94612-0550

VIA PRIORITY MAIL

District Attorneys of All California Counties and Select City Attorneys (See Attached Certificate of Service)

Re: Notice of Violations of California Health & Safety Code Section 25249.5 et seq.

Dear Addressees:

I am the Executive Director of the Environmental Research Center ("ERC") in connection with this Notice of Violations of California's Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, which is codified at California Health & Safety Code Section 25249.5 *et seq.* and also referred to as Proposition 65.

ERC is a California non-profit corporation dedicated to, among other causes, helping safeguard the public from health hazards by bringing about a reduction in the use and misuse of hazardous and toxic chemicals, facilitating a safe environment for consumers and employees, and encouraging corporate responsibility.

The name of the Company covered by this Notice that violated Proposition 65 is:

Isagenix International, LLC

The products that are the subject of this Notice and the chemical in those products identified as exceeding allowable levels are:

Isagenix International LLC IsaFlush! 60 Capsules - Lead

On February 27, 1987, the State of California officially listed lead as a chemical known to cause developmental toxicity, and male and female reproductive toxicity. On October 1, 1992, the State of California officially listed lead as chemical known to cause cancer.

This letter is a Notice to Isagenix International, LLC and the appropriate governmental authorities of the Proposition 65 violations concerning the listed products. This Notice covers all violations of Proposition 65 involving Isagenix International, LLC currently known to ERC from the information now available. ERC may continue to investigate other products that may reveal further violations. A summary of Proposition 65, prepared by the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment, has been provided to the Noticed Company with a copy of this letter.

Isagenix International, LLC has manufactured, marketed, distributed, and/or sold the listed products, which have exposed and continue to expose numerous individuals within California to the identified chemicals. The primary route of exposure to these chemicals has been through ingestion, but may have also occurred through inhalation and/or dermal contact. Proposition 65 requires that a clear and reasonable warning be provided prior to exposure to the identified chemicals. The method of warning should be a warning that appears on the product's label. Isagenix International, LLC violated Proposition 65 because the Company has failed to provide an appropriate warning to persons using these products that they are being exposed to the identified chemical.

Pursuant to Section 25249.7(d) of the statute, ERC intends to file a citizen enforcement action sixty days after effective service of this Notice unless Isagenix International, LLC agrees in an enforceable written instrument to: (1) reformulate the listed products so as to eliminate further exposures to the identified chemicals; and (2) pay an appropriate civil penalty. Consistent with the public interest goals of Proposition 65 and ERC 's objectives in pursuing this Notice, ERC is interested in seeking a constructive resolution to this matter. Such resolution will avoid both further unwarned consumer exposures to the identified chemicals and expensive and time consuming litigation.

Please direct all questions concerning this notice to ERC's attorney, Michael Freund, address: 1915 Addison Street, Berkley, California, 94704-1101, telephone no.: 510-540-1992, e-mail: Freund1@aol.com.

Sincerely,

Chris Heptinstall
Executive Director

Environmental Research Center

cc: Karen Evans

Attachments

Certificate of Merit Certificate of Service

OEHHA Summary (to Isagenix International, LLC and its Registered Agent for Service of Process only) Additional Supporting Information for Certificate of Merit (to AG only)

CERTIFICATE OF MERIT

Re: Environmental Research Center's Notice of Proposition 65 Violations by Isagenix International, LLC

I, Michael Freund, declare:

- 1. This Certificate of Merit accompanies the attached sixty-day Notice in which it is alleged the party identified in the Notice violated California Health & Safety Code Section 25249.6 by failing to provide clear and reasonable warnings.
- 2. I am an attorney for the noticing party.
- 3. I have consulted with one or more persons with relevant and appropriate experience or expertise who have reviewed facts, studies, or other data regarding the exposure to the listed chemical that is the subject of the Notice.
- 4. Based on the information obtained through those consultants, and on other information in my possession, I believe there is a reasonable and meritorious case for the private action. I understand that "reasonable and meritorious case for the private action" means that the information provides a credible basis that all elements of the plaintiff's case can be established and that the information did not prove that the alleged violator will be able to establish any of the affirmative defenses set forth in the statute.
- 5. Along with the copy of this Certificate of Merit served on the Attorney General is attached additional factual information sufficient to establish the basis for this Certificate, including the information identified in California Health & Safety Code §25249.7(h)(2), i.e., (1) the identity of the persons consulted with and relied on by the certifier, and (2) the facts, studies, or other data reviewed by those persons.

Dated: December 23, 2010

Michael Freund

Attorney for Environmental Research Center

while Freund

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, the undersigned, declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the following is true and correct:

I am a citizen of the United States, over the age of 18 years of age, and am not a party to the within entitled action. My business address is 306 Joy Street, Fort Oglethorpe, Georgia 30742

On December 23, 2010, I served the following documents:

NOTICE OF VIOLATIONS OF CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5 *ET SEQ.*; CERTIFICATE OF MERIT; "THE SAFE DRINKING WATER AND TOXIC ENFORCEMENT ACT OF 1986 (PROPOSITION 65): A SUMMARY"

On the following parties by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope, addressed to the party listed below and depositing it in a US Postal Service Office for delivery by Certified Mail:

Current President or CEO Isagenix International, LLC 2225 S Price Rd Chandler, AZ 85248 CT Corporation System (Isagenix International, LLC's Registered Agent for Service of Process) 2394 E. Camelback Road Phoenix, AZ 85016

On December 23, 2010, I served the following documents: NOTICE OF VIOLATION, CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5 ET SEQ.; CERTIFICATE OF MERIT; ADDITIONAL SUPPORTING INFORMATION FOR CERTIFICATE OF MERIT AS REQUIRED BY CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.7(d)(1) on the following parties by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope, addressed to the party listed below and depositing it in a US Postal Service Office for delivery by Certified Mail:

Office of the California Attorney General Prop 65 Enforcement Reporting 1515 Clay Street, Suite 2000 Post Office Box 70550 Oakland, CA 94612-0550

On December 23, 2010, I served the following documents: **NOTICE OF VIOLATION, CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5** *ET SEQ.*; **CERTIFICATE OF MERIT** on each of the parties on the Service List attached hereto by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope, addressed to each of the parties on the Service List attached hereto, and depositing it with the U.S. Postal Service for delivery by Priority Mail.

Executed on December 23, 2010, in Fort Oglethorpe, Georgia.

Chris Heptinstall

Service List

District Attorney, Alameda County 1225 Fallon Street, Room 900 Oakland, CA 94612

District Attorney, Alpine County P.O. Box 248 Markleeville, CA 96120

District Attorney, Amador County 708 Court Street, #202 Jackson, CA 95642

District Attorney, Butte County 25 County Center Drive Oroville, CA 95965

District Attorney, Calaveras County 891 Mountain Ranch Road San Andreas, CA 95249

District Attorney, Colusa County 547 Market Street Colusa, CA 95932

District Attorney, Contra Costa County 900 Ward Street Martinez, CA 94553

District Attorney, Del Norte County 450 H Street, Ste. 171 Crescent City, CA 95531

District Attorney, El Dorado County 515 Main Street Placerville, CA 95667

District Attorney, Fresno County 2220 Tulare Street, #1000 Fresno, CA 93721

District Attorney, Glenn County Post Office Box 430 Willows, CA 95988

District Attorney, Humboldt County 825 5th Street Eureka, CA 95501

District Attorney, Imperial County 939 West Main Street, Ste 102 El Centro, CA 92243

District Attorney, Inyo County 230 W. Line Street Bishop, CA 93514

District Attorney, Kern County 1215 Truxtun Avenue Bakersfield, CA 93301 District Attorney, Kings County 1400 West Lacey Boulevard Hanford, CA 93230

District Attorney, Lake County 255 N. Forbes Street Lakeport, CA 95453

District Attorney, Lassen County 220 South Lassen Street, Ste. 8 Susanville, CA 96130

District Attorney, Los Angeles County 210 West Temple Street, Rm 345 Los Angeles, CA 90012

District Attorney, Madera County 209 West Yosemite Avenue Madera, CA 93637

District Attorney, Marin County 3501 Civic Center, Room 130 San Rafael, CA 94903

District Attorney, Mariposa County Post Office Box 730 Mariposa, CA 95338

District Attorney, Mendocino County Post Office Box 1000 Ukiah, CA 95482

District Attorney, Merced County 2222 M Street Merced, CA 95340

District Attorney, Modoc County 204 S Court Street, Room 202 Alturas, CA 96101-4020

District Attorney, Mono County Post Office Box 617 Bridgeport, CA 93517

District Attorney, Monterey County 230 Church Street, Bldg 2 Salinas, CA 93901

District Attorney, Napa County 931 Parkway Mall Napa, CA 94559

District Attorney, Nevada County 110 Union Street Nevada City, CA 95959

District Attorney, Orange County 401 Civic Center Drive West Santa Ana, CA 92701

District Attorney, Placer County 10810 Justice Center Drive, Ste 240 Roseville, CA 95678

District Attorney, Plumas County 520 Main Street, Room 404 Quincy, CA 95971

District Attorney, Riverside County 4075 Main Street, 1st Floor Riverside, CA 92501

District Attorney, Sacramento County 901 "G" Street Sacramento, CA 9581

District Attorney, San Benito County 419 Fourth Street, 2nd Floor Hollister, CA 95023

District Attorney, San Bernardino County 316 N. Mountain View Avenue San Bernardino, CA 92415-0004

District Attorney, San Diego County 330 West Broadway, Room 1300 San Diego, CA 92101

District Attorney, San Francisco County 850 Bryant Street, Room 325 San Francsico, CA 94103

District Attorney, San Joaquin County Post Office Box 990 Stockton, CA 95201

District Attorney, San Luis Obispo County 1050 Monterey Street, Room 450 San Luis Obispo, CA 93408

District Attorney, San Mateo County 400 County Ctr., 3rd Floor Redwood City, CA 94063

District Attorney, Santa Barbara County 1105 Santa Barbara Street Santa Barbara, CA 93101

District Attorney, Santa Clara County 70 West Hedding Street San Jose, CA 95110

District Attorney, Santa Cruz County 701 Ocean Street, Room 200 Santa Cruz, CA 95060

District Attorney, Shasta County 1525 Court Street, Third Floor Redding, CA 96001-1632

District Attorney, Sierra County PO Box 457 Downieville, CA 95936 District Attorney, Siskiyou County Post Office Box 986 Yreka, CA 96097

District Attorney, Solano County 675 Texas Street, Ste 4500 Fairfield, CA 94533

District Attorney, Sonoma County 600 Administration Drive, Room 212J Santa Rosa, CA 95403

District Attorney, Stanislaus County 832 12th Street, Ste 300 Modesto, CA 95353

District Attorney, Sutter County 446 Second Street Yuba City, CA 95991

District Attorney, Tehama County Post Office Box 519 Red Bluff, CA 96080

District Attorney, Trinity County Post Office Box 310 Weaverville, CA 96093

District Attorney, Tulare County 221 S. Mooney Avenue, Room 224 Visalia, CA 93291

District Attorney, Tuolumne County 423 N. Washington Street Sonora, CA 95370

District Attorney, Ventura County 800 South Victoria Avenue Ventura, CA 93009

District Attorney, Yolo County 301 2nd Street Woodland, CA 95695

District Attorney, Yuba County 215 Fifth Street Marysville, CA 95901

Los Angeles City Attorney's Office City Hall East 200 N. Main Street, Rm 800 Los Angeles, CA 90012

San Diego City Attorney's Office 1200 3rd Avenue, Ste 1620 San Diego, CA 92101

San Francisco City Attorney's Office City Hall, Room 234 1 Drive Carlton B Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

San Jose City Attorney's Office 200 East Santa Clara Street San Jose, CA 95113

EXHIBIT B

2

- 18 -



601S, 54th St, Suite 35 Chandler, AZ 85226, USA

Phone: 480-889-5777



Packing List#:

TEST0001

Associate:

Sarah Esmaili

Three Embarcadero Center, 7th Floc

Ship To:

Page 1 of 1

Sarah Esmaili

Three Embarcadero Center, 7th Floor

San Francisco

CA 94111

San Francisco

CA 94111

		Can I I and 1000		0.	0.1 0.1111		
Isagenix Id	Order Date	Invoice#.	Ship Method	Weight	Sales Ty	pe .	Internal#
	11-Jul-13	TEST0001	ONTRAC	1.0	TST		0003727887
	Order	100		Internal	Ship		1417
Location	° Qty	De	scription	Sku	Oty	: - In	ternal Use
11052F	1	IsaFruits Canister - :	30 serv *	351505	1		

* California Residents Proposition 65 WARNING: These products contain chemicals known to the State of California to cause birth defects or other reproductive harm. For more information, please see IsaProp65.com

Print Date:

Thursday, July 11, 2013

Pick Ticket # 0003727887

Wave Key: 0000065833



TEST0001

ONTRAC

Ship To:

Sarah Esmaili

Three Embarcadero Center, 7th Floor

San Francisco

CA 94111

Internal #0003727887

11-Jul-13