Michael Freund (SBN 99687) 1 Law Office of Michael Freund ENDORSED 1919 Addison Street, Suite 105 2 FILED Berkeley, CA 94704 ALAMEDA COUNTY Telephone: (510) 540-1992 Facsimile: (510) 540-5543 3 NOV 28 2012 4 freund1@aol.com 5 Attorneys for Plaintiff K. McCoy, Exec. Off./Clerk ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CENTER 6 Arnold & Porter LLP 7 Trenton Norris (SBN 164781) Sarah Esmaili (SBN 206053) Three Embarcadero Center, 7th Floor 8 San Francisco, CA 94111 Telephone: (415) 471-3283 Facsimile: (415) 471-3400 10 trent.norris@aporter.com sarah.esmaili@aporter.com Attorneys for Defendant 12 THE HIMALAYA DRUG COMPANY 13 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 14 COUNTY OF ALAMEDA 15 16 ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CENTER, CASE NO. RG10545713 17 a California non-profit corporation, PROPOSEDI TIPULATED CONSENT 18 JUDGMENT; [PROPOSED] ORDER Plaintiff, 19 Health & Safety Code § 25249.5 et seq. 20 THE HIMALAYA DRUG COMPANY; and ACTION FILED: November 9, 2010 DOES 1-100; TRIAL DATE: None Set 21 Defendants. 22 23 INTRODUCTION 1. 1.1 On November 9, 2010, Plaintiff Environmental Research Center ("ERC" or 24 "Plaintiff"), a non-profit corporation, as a private enforcer, and in the public interest, filed a legal 25 action pursuant to the provisions of Cal. Health & Safety Code Section 25249.5 et seq. 26 ("Proposition 65") against Ayurvedic Concepts, Ltd. In this action, ERC filed a First Amended 27 Complaint on February 1, 2011 and a Second Amended Complaint on March 4, 2011, both of which 28

[PROPOSED] STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT; [PROPOSED] ORDER

2.7

28

name The Himalaya Drug Company ("Himalaya" or "Defendant") as the proper defendant in the case. The First Amended Complaint and Second Amended Complaint are collectively referred to herein as the "Complaint." On February 15, 2011, the action against Ayurvedic Concepts Ltd. was dismissed. In this action, ERC claims that the products manufactured and distributed by Himalaya, as more fully described in Section 1.3, contain lead, a chemical listed under Proposition 65 as a carcinogen and reproductive toxin, and that such products expose consumers at a level requiring a Proposition 65 warning. ERC and Himalaya shall sometimes be referred to individually as a "Party" or collectively as the "Parties."

- 1.2 ERC is a California non-profit corporation dedicated to, among other causes, helping safeguard the public from health hazards by bringing about a reduction in the use and misuse of hazardous and toxic chemicals, facilitating a safe environment for consumers and employees and encouraging corporate responsibility. ERC has diligently prosecuted this matter and is settling this case in the public interest.
- Himalaya is a business entity that employs ten or more persons. Himalaya arranges 1.3 the manufacture, distribution and/or sale of Himalaya Herbal Healthcare Organic Chyavanprash with Honey Paste; Himalaya Herbal Healthcare Mind Care Jr. Capsules; Himalaya Herbal Healthcare HeartCare Capsules; The Himalaya Drug Company Himalaya Herbal Healthcare -LeanCare Capsules; The Himalaya Drug Company Himalaya Pure Herbs Guggul - Cholesterol Support Vegetarian Capsules; The Himalaya Drug Company Himalaya Herbal Healthcare GlucoCare for Natural Blood Glucose Health Vegetarian Capsules; The Himalaya Drug Company Himalaya Herbal Healthcare Veincare - Vegetarian Capsules; The Himalaya Drug Company LeanCare Vegetarian Capsules; The Himalaya Drug Company CoughCare Liquid; The Himalaya Drug Company Gotu Kola Caplets; The Himalaya Drug Company Bitter Melon Caplets; The Himalaya Drug Company Triphala Caplets; The Himalaya Drug Company Gokshura Caplets; The Himalaya Drug Company Bacopa Caplets; The Himalaya Drug Company Arjuna Caplets; The Himalaya Drug Company Garcinia Caplets; The Himalaya Drug Company MenoCare Vegetarian Capsules; The Himalaya Drug Company HemoCare Vegetarian Capsules; The Himalaya Drug Company OsteoCare Vegetarian Capsules; The Himalaya Drug Company Andrographis Caplets;

The Himalaya Drug Company StressCare Vegetarian Capsules; The Himalaya Drug Company MenstriCare Vegetarian Capsules; The Himalaya Drug Company MindCare Vegetarian Capsules; and The Himalaya Drug Company Dermacare Vegetarian Capsules (the "Covered Products").

- 1.4 The Complaint is based on allegations contained in the Notices of Violation dated November 23, 2010 and December 23, 2010 (collectively referred to as the "Notices") served on the California Attorney General, other public enforcers and Himalaya. A true and correct copy of the Notices is attached hereto as Exhibit A. More than 60 days have passed since these Notices were mailed and no public enforcement entity has filed a complaint against Himalaya with regard to the Covered Products or the alleged violations.
- 1.5 On August 24, 2012, ERC issued a Proposition 65 60-day Notice of Violation, which ERC served on the California Attorney General, other public enforcers and Himalaya (referred to as the "Supplemental Notice"). A true and correct copy of the Supplemental Notice is attached hereto as Exhibit B. The Supplemental Notice identifies additional dietary supplement products, which ERC claims require warnings under Cal. Health & Safety Code Section 25249.6 for alleged exposures to lead. The nutritional products listed in Exhibit C are referred to herein as the "Additional Products." Additional Products are not Covered Products, but are subject to certain terms of this Consent Judgment, including its injunctive terms. The Covered Products and Additional Products are collectively referred to in this Consent Judgment as "Products."
- persons in California to lead from the Covered Products without first providing clear and reasonable warnings, in violation of Cal. Health & Safety Code Section 25249.6. Himalaya denies and disputes the claims asserted in the Notices, the Supplemental Notice, and the Complaint. Furthermore, Defendant contends that any lead present in the Products is the result of naturally occurring lead levels, as provided for in California Code of Regulations, Title 27, Section 25501(a). Defendant additionally maintains that all of the products at issue are in full compliance with applicable U.S. Federal Standards, as well as standards established by the World Health Organization (WHO), Health Canada, the European Union, and the Food & Agricultural Organization (FAO).

- 1.8 The Parties have entered into this Consent Judgment in order to settle, compromise and resolve disputed claims and thus avoid prolonged and costly litigation. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall constitute or be construed as an admission by any of the Parties, or by any of their respective officers, directors, shareholders, employees, agents, parent companies, subsidiaries, divisions, affiliates, franchisors, franchisees, licensors, licensees, customers, distributors, wholesalers, or retailers, of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, violation of law, fault, wrongdoing, or liability, including without limitation, any admission concerning any alleged violation of Proposition 65, nor shall this Consent Judgment be offered or admitted as evidence in any administrative or judicial proceeding or litigation in any court, agency, or forum, except with respect to an action seeking to enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment.
- 1.9 Except as expressly set forth herein, nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive or impair any right, remedy, argument, or defense the Parties may have in any other or future legal proceeding unrelated to these proceedings.
- 1.10 The Effective Date of this Consent Judgment shall be the date on which it is entered as a judgment by this Court. As used herein, the term "Compliance Deadline" is the date that is six months after the Effective Date.

### 2. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Complaint and personal jurisdiction over Himalaya as to the acts alleged in the Complaint, that venue is proper in Alameda County, and

that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment as a full and final resolution of all claims which were or could have been asserted in this action based on the facts alleged in the Notices or the Complaint.

## 3. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF, WARNINGS AND TESTING

3.1 Any Products manufactured on or after the Compliance Deadline that Himalaya thereafter sells in California, markets or distributes for sale in California, or offers for sale to a third party for retail sale to California must either (1) qualify as a "Reformulated Product" under Section 3.3 or (2) meet the warning requirements set out in Section 3.2. Products manufactured before the Compliance Deadline are therefore not subject to the obligations imposed by Section 3 irrespective of when they are distributed or sold. The final lot numbers of Products manufactured before the Compliance Deadline will be provided to ERC no more than 10 days after the Compliance Deadline.

### 3.2 Warnings

If Himalaya provides a warning pursuant to Section 3.1, the warning shall comply with the requirements of either Section 3.2.1 or 3.2.2.

3.2.1 Himalaya shall provide the following warning:

WARNING: This product contains lead, a chemical known to the State of California to cause birth defects or other reproductive harm.

The term "cancer" shall be included in the warning only if the maximum daily dose recommended on the label contains more than 15 micrograms ("mcg") of lead as determined by the quality control methodology set forth in Section 3.4.2.

The warning shall be securely affixed to or printed upon the container or label of the Product. The warning shall be displayed with such conspicuousness, as compared with other words, statements, or design of the label or container, as applicable, to render the warning likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual under customary conditions of purchase or use. The warning appearing on the label or container shall be at least the same size as the largest of any other health or safety warnings correspondingly appearing on the label or container, as applicable, of such product, and the word "warning" shall be in all capital letters and in bold print.

13

14 15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22 23

24 25

26

27 28

3.2.2 In the alternative to Section 3.2.1, Himalaya shall provide the warning in accordance with Section 2.2 of the consent judgment attached as Exhibit D hereto, which was entered in People v. 21st Century Healthcare, Inc., et al., Alameda County Superior Court No. RG08-426937.

#### 3.3 Reformulated Products

A Reformulated Product is one for which the maximum recommended daily serving on the label contains no more than 0.5 micrograms of lead per day as determined by the quality control methodology described in Section 3.4.2.

- 3.3.1 For purposes of this Consent Judgment, daily lead exposures levels shall be measured in micrograms, and shall be calculated using the following formula: micrograms of lead per gram of product, multiplied by grams of product per serving of the product (using the largest serving size appearing on the product label), multiplied by servings of the product per day (using the largest number of servings in a recommended dosage appearing on the product label), which equals micrograms of lead exposure per day.
- As used in this Consent Judgment, "no more than 0.5 mcg of lead per day" means that the samples tested by Himalaya under Section 3.4 collectively yield an average daily exposure of no more than 0.5 mcg of lead (with daily exposure calculated pursuant to Section 3.3.1 of this Consent Judgment).

#### 3.4 Testing

3.4.1 Before Himalaya's first distribution or sale of a Product in California manufactured after the Compliance Deadline, Himalaya shall arrange for the lead testing of at least five (5) randomly selected samples of each Product (in the form intended for sale to the end-user) to be distributed or sold to California. Before Himalaya's first distribution or sale of a Product manufactured after the Compliance Deadline, and continuing for at least four (4) years thereafter, at least once every year, Himalaya shall test the Products for lead content in the manner provided for in this Consent Judgment for those Products to be distributed or sold in California. Himalaya shall continue to arrange for lead testing, at a minimum, once a year, of at least five (5) randomly selected samples of each Product to be distributed or sold to California. The testing requirements of

Section 3.4 do not apply to a Product for which Himalaya has provided the warning specified in Section 3.2 since the Compliance Deadline or during the preceding year.

- 3.4.2 All testing pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be performed using a laboratory method that complies with the performance and quality control factors appropriate for the method used (including limit of detection, limit of quantification, accuracy, and precision) and that meets the following criteria: Closed-vessel, microwave-assisted acid digestion employing high-purity reagents, followed by Inductively Coupled Plasma-Mass Spectrometry (ICP-MS), achieving a limit of quantification of ≤ 0.010 mg/kg, or any other testing method agreed upon in writing by the Parties.
- 3.4.3 All testing pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be performed by a laboratory that is approved by, accredited by, or registered with the United States Food & Drug Administration for the analysis of heavy metals. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall limit Himalaya's ability to conduct, or require that others conduct, additional testing of any Products used in their manufacture.
- 3.4.4 Upon written request by ERC, Himalaya shall provide to ERC any test results and documentation of testing undertaken by Himalaya pursuant to Section 3.4 within ten working days of receipt by Himalaya of ERC's request. Himalaya shall retain all test results and documentation for a period of four years from the date of the test.
- 3.4.5 If testing conducted pursuant to this Consent Judgment demonstrates that no warning is required for a Product during each of four consecutive years, then the testing requirements of this Section 3.4 are no longer required as to that Product. However, if after the four-year period Himalaya changes ingredient suppliers for any Products and/or reformulates any of the Products, Himalaya shall test that Product at least once after such test is made.

### 4. SETTLEMENT PAYMENT

4.1 In full satisfaction of all potential civil penalties, payment in lieu of civil penalties, attorneys' fees and costs (which includes, but is not limited to, filing fees and costs of attorneys, experts and investigators and testing nutritional health supplements), Himalaya shall make a total payment of \$275,000 (Two Hundred Seventy-Five Thousand Dollars). Such total payment shall be

made in three separate installments. A first installment of \$100,000 shall be paid by a check payable to the Environmental Research Center within ten (10) business days of receiving the Notice of Entry of Judgment (the "Notice of Entry Date") in this action. A second installment of \$100,000 shall be paid by a check payable to the Environmental Research Center within thirty (30) days after the Notice of Entry Date. A third and final installment of \$75,000 shall be paid by a check payable to the Environmental Research Center within sixty (60) days after the Notice of Entry Date. The total payment of \$275,000 shall be allocated by ERC as follows in Sections 4.1.1 through 4.1.3:

- 4.1.1 A total of \$46,000 shall be payable as civil penalties pursuant to Health & Safety Code Section 25249.7(b)(1). This civil penalty payment shall be apportioned by ERC in accordance with Cal. Health & Safety Code Section 25249.12 (25% to ERC and 75% to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment). ERC's counsel shall be responsible to forward the civil penalty payment to OEHHA along with a copy of the transmittal to Himalaya.
- 4.1.2 A total of \$138,142 shall be payable to Environmental Research Center in lieu of further civil penalties, for (A) activities such as (1) analysis, researching and testing consumer products that may contain Proposition 65 listed chemicals; (2) awarding grants to California non-profit foundations/entities dedicated to public health; (3) funding the ERC Eco Scholarship Fund for high school students in California interested in pursuing an education in the field of environmental sciences; (4) funding ERC's Voluntary Compliance Program to work with companies not subject to Proposition 65 to reformulate their products to reduce potential consumer exposures; (5) funding ERC's Rxy Program to assist various medical personnel to provide testing assistance to independent distributors of various products; (6) funding ERC's Got Lead? Program to assist consumers in testing products for lead; (7) funding the ERC Cancer Scholarship Fund to provide scholarships to college students in California who have previously been diagnosed with a form of cancer; (8) aiding various cancer research centers and organizations in their ongoing efforts to assist families and children in cancer treatment facilities; (9) maintaining, supporting and increasing ERC's Database of lead-free and Proposition 65 complaint products; (10) increasing ERC's tracking and cataloging of contamination-free sources for specific ingredients used in the

ERC's attorneys' fees.

types of products ERC test, and sharing this information with companies to try and reduce lead	
levels in their products; (11) post-settlement monitoring of past consent judgments; and (12) the	
continuing enforcement of Proposition 65; and (B) a total of \$27,569 shall be payable to ERC as	
reimbursement to ERC for reasonable investigation costs associated with the enforcement of	
Proposition 65 and other costs incurred as a result of investigating, bringing this matter to	
Himalaya's attention, litigating and negotiating this settlement in the public interest.  of civil penaltries will be used solely for projects and activities related to the enforcement of Prop. 65 in C.  4.1.3 A total of \$44,588 shall be payable to Michael Freund as reimbursement of	, lièn aliforni
ERC's attorneys' fees. A total of \$14,746 shall be payable to Karen Evans as reimbursement	

4.2 Himalaya's payments shall be mailed or delivered to the Law Office of Michael Freund.

ERC's attorneys' fees. A total of \$2,485 shall be payable to Richard Drury as reimbursement of

ERC's attorneys' fees. A total of \$1,470 shall be payable to Ryan Hoffman as reimbursement of

## 5. MODIFICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

- 5.1 This Consent Judgment may be modified only by (i) written agreement and stipulation of the Parties, followed by entry of a modified consent judgment by the Court, or (ii) as provided in Sections 5.2 or 5.3.
- 5.2 In the event that Himalaya modifies the manner in which it distributes the Products, which results in a change in the way the end user receives any of the Products, Himalaya may seek to modify the terms of Section 3 subject to the procedures in Section 5.1 and Section 5.4 so long as the proposed warning method is consistent with the manner in which Himalaya sells or distributes the Products and with the provisions of 27 Cal. Code Regs. Section 25601.
- 5.3 Should ERC, or the California Attorney General, reach a settlement of a Proposition 65 claim regarding the same ingredient(s) as contained in a Product that establishes allowances for naturally occurring lead that results in less stringent lead standards ("Alternative Lead Standard") than those specified in Section 3.3, then Himalaya shall be entitled to seek to modify the Consent Judgment to adopt such Alternative Lead Standard as to such Product, subject to the procedures in Sections 5.1 and 5.4.

- 5.3.1 Before the effective date of any modification, Himalaya shall additionally provide to ERC test results or other data that independently confirm the percentage of such ingredient being used in each Product(s). Himalaya may update such information from time to time. Should Himalaya seek to exclude naturally occurring lead in its calculation of overall lead content for any Product, Himalaya will provide separate documentation to ERC to include a complete list of all ingredients, including the corresponding percentages of each ingredient within each product, and other data that independently supports Himalaya's contention that the lead it seeks to exclude is naturally occurring. Himalaya is entitled to submit to ERC documentation pursuant to Section 5.3.1 which shall be held in confidence and kept confidential by ERC.
- Himalaya shall provide written notice to ERC of its intent and include the settlement containing the alternative warnings or Alternative Lead Standard ("Notice of Intent"). If ERC seeks to meet and confer regarding the proposed modification in the Notice of Intent, then ERC shall provide written notice to Himalaya within thirty (30) days of receiving the Notice of Intent. If ERC notifies Himalaya in a timely manner of ERC's intent to meet and confer, then the Parties shall meet in confer in good faith as required in this Section 5.4. The Parties shall meet in person within thirty (30) days of ERC's notification of its intent to meet and confer. Within thirty (30) days of such meeting, if ERC disputes the proposed modification, ERC shall provide to Himalaya a written factual basis for its position. The Parties shall continue to meet and confer for an additional thirty (30) days in an effort to resolve any remaining disputes. The Parties may agree in writing to different deadlines for the meet and confer period herein.
- 5.5 In the event of a modification under Section 5.2 or 5.3, Himalaya shall reimburse ERC its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs in filing and arguing a joint motion or application in support of a modification of the Consent Judgment; provided however, that those fees and costs shall not exceed \$8,000 (eight thousand dollars) total without the prior written consent of Himalaya.
- 6. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION, ENFORCEMENT OF CONSENT JUDGMENT
- 6.1 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to enforce, modify or terminate this Consent Judgment.

11 12

14

15

13

16

17

18 19

20 21 22

24 25

23

26 27

28

- Any Party may, by motion or application for an order to show cause filed with this 6.2 Court, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment provided that it first undertakes a good faith effort to resolve the dispute informally as required under Section 13. The prevailing Party may request that the Court award its reasonable attorney's fees and costs associated with such motion or application.
- In the event that ERC alleges that any Product fails to qualify as a Reformulated 6.3 Product (and for which ERC alleges that no warning has been provided pursuant to Section 3.2), ERC shall inform Himalaya in a reasonably prompt manner of its test results, including information sufficient to permit Defendant to identify the Products at issue. Himalaya shall, within thirty (30) days following such notice, provide ERC with testing information demonstrating Himalaya's compliance with Section 3.3 if warranted. The Parties shall first attempt to resolve the matter prior to ERC taking any further legal action pursuant to Paragraph 13.

#### 7. APPLICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

This Consent Judgment shall apply to, be binding upon and benefit the Parties, and 7.1 respective subsidiaries and divisions and the successors and assigns of any of them.

#### BINDING EFFECT, CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED 8.

This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between ERC, on 8.1 behalf of itself, and in the public interest, and Himalaya, of any alleged violation of Proposition 65 or its implementing regulations for failure to provide Proposition 65 warnings of exposure to lead from the handling, use or consumption of the Covered Products. ERC, on behalf of itself, its agents, officers, representatives, attorneys, successors and/or assignees, and in the public interest, hereby releases and discharges: (a) Himalaya and its parent companies, subsidiaries, affiliates, and divisions; (b) each of their respective licensors, licensees, franchisors, franchisees, joint venturers, partners, vendors, manufacturers, packagers, contractors, and finished product and ingredient suppliers; (c) each of the distributors, wholesalers, retailers, users, packagers, customers, and all other entities in the distribution chain down to the consumer, of the persons and entities described in (a) and (b) above; and (d) each of the respective officers, directors, shareholders, employees, and agents of the persons and entities described in (a) through (c), above (the persons and entities

regarding alleged exposures to lead in the Covered Products.

8.2 ERC, on behalf of itself, its agents, representatives, attorneys, successors and/or assignees, and not on behalf of the general public, hereby releases and discharges the Released Parties from any and all known and unknown Claims for any violations of Proposition 65 or based on any other statutory or common law, which have been alleged or could have been alleged, arising from or relating to any exposures or failure to warn concerning lead or lead compounds in the Covered Products and the Additional Products. It is possible that other Claims not known to the Parties arising from or relating to any exposures or failure to warn concerning lead or lead compounds in the Covered Products and the Additional Products will develop or be discovered. ERC, on behalf of itself only, acknowledges that this Consent Judgment is expressly intended to cover and include all such Claims, including all rights of action therefor. ERC has full knowledge of the contents of California Civil Code section 1542. ERC, on behalf of itself only, acknowledges that the Claims released in Sections 8.1 and 8.2 may include unknown Claims, and nevertheless waives California Civil Code section 1542 as to any such unknown Claims. California Civil Code section 1542 reads as follows:

"A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR."

24 25

23

26

27 28

ERC, on behalf of itself only, acknowledges and understands the significance and consequences of this specific waiver of California Civil Code section 1542. This release shall be effective as a full and final accord and satisfaction as to, and as a bar to, the Claims released in this Section 8.2. ERC, on behalf of itself, its agents, representatives, attorneys, successors and/or assignees, in its individual capacity, further agrees that compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed to constitute compliance with Proposition 65, or any statutory or common law, regarding any alleged exposures or failure to warn concerning lead or lead compounds in any of the Products. 8.3

ERC, on one hand, and Himalaya, on the other hand, release and waive all Claims they may have against each other for any statements of actions made or undertaken by them in connection with the Notices or the Complaint. Provided however, nothing in this Section 8 shall affect or limit any Party's right to seek to enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment.

### SEVERABILITY OF UNENFORCEABLE PROVISIONS 9.

In the event that any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment are held by a court 9.1 to be unenforceable, the validity of the enforceable provisions shall not be adversely affected.

#### 10. GOVERNING LAW

The terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by and construed in accordance with the laws of the state of California.

#### PROVISION OF NOTICES 11.

All notices required to be given to either Party to this Consent Judgment by the other shall be in writing and sent to the following agents listed below by (a) first-class mail, (b) overnight courier, or (c) personal delivery:

## FOR ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CENTER:

Chris Heptinstall, Executive Director **Environmental Research Center** 3111 Camino del Rio North, Suite 400 San Diego, CA 2108

Michael Bruce Freund Law Offices of Michael Freund 1919 Addison Street, Suite 105 Berkeley, CA 94704

28

Telephone: (510) 540-1992 Facsimile: (510) 540-5543

Karen Evans Coordinating Counsel Environmental Research Center 4218 Biona Place San Diego, CA 92116 Telephone: (619) 640-8100

## FOR THE HIMALAYA DRUG COMPANY

Nabeel Manal CEO The Himalaya Drug Company 1101 Gillingham Lane Sugar Land, Texas 77478 Facsimile: (713) 863-1686

With a copy to:

Arnold & Porter, LLP
Trenton Norris
Sarah Esmaili
Three Embarcadero Center, 7th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94111
Telephone: (415) 471-3283
Facsimile: (415) 471-3400

### 12. DRAFTING

The terms of this Consent Judgment have been reviewed by the respective counsel for the Parties to this Consent Judgment prior to its signing, and each Party has had an opportunity to fully discuss the terms with counsel. The Parties agree that, in any subsequent interpretation and construction of this Consent Judgment entered thereon, the terms and provisions shall not be construed against either Party.

## 13. GOOD FAITH ATTEMPT TO RESOLVE DISPUTES

In the event a dispute arises with respect to either Party's compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment entered by the Court, the Parties shall meet either in person or by telephone and endeavor to resolve the dispute in an amicable manner. No action or motion may be filed in the absence of such a good faith attempt to resolve the dispute beforehand. In the event an action or motion is filed, however, the prevailing Party may seek to recover costs and reasonable attorneys' fees. As used in the preceding sentence, the term "prevailing party" means a party who is successful in obtaining relief more favorable to it than the relief that the other party was amenable

to providing during the parties' good faith attempt to resolve the dispute that is the subject of such enforcement action.

## 14. ENTIRE AGREEMENT, AUTHORIZATION, COUNTERPARTS

- 14.1 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments and understandings related hereto. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those contained herein have been made by any party hereto. No other agreements not specifically referred to herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties.
- 14.2 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the Party he or she represents to stipulate to the Consent Judgment.
- 14.3 The stipulations to this Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by means of facsimile or portable document format (pdf), which taken together shall be deemed to constitute one document.

# 15. REQUEST FOR FINDINGS, APPROVAL OF SETTLEMENT AND ENTRY OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

- 15.1 This settlement has come before the Court upon the request of the Parties. The Parties request the Court to fully review this settlement and, being fully informed regarding the matters which are the subject of this action, to:
- (1) Find that the terms and provisions of this Consent Judgment represent a fair and equitable settlement of all matters raised by the allegations of the Complaint, that the matter has been diligently prosecuted, and that the public interest is served by such settlement; and

	1 (2)	Make the finding	S Dursuant to Health & C. C		
	2 approve the settlem	(2) Make the findings pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7 (f) (4), approve the settlement and approve this Consent Judgment.			
:	3 IT IS SO STIPUL				
4	4 Durati O a ia	2	THE HIMALAYA DRUG COMPANY		
5	Dated: <u>Остовых</u>	_3, 2012	NABEEL MANAL		
6	5				
7		,	ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CAVIER		
8	11 ' '	, 2012	Chris Hapsthistall, Executive Director		
9	APPROVED AS TO	) <b>ፑ</b> ቦው <b>ለ</b> .	The state of the s		
10			C		
11	Dated. 5 - 1.5 day	<u>3</u> 2012	Sarah Esmaili		
13	11		Attorney for The Himalaya Drug Company		
14	.	,	LAW OFFICE OF MICHAEL FREUND		
15	Dated: 10/4/	/ , 2012	$M_F$		
16			Michael Freund Attorney for Environmental Research Center		
17					
18	Based upon the	ORDER AND JUDGMENT  Based upon the Parties' stipulation, and good cause appearing therefor, this Consent			
19	Judgment is approved	and judgment is here	by entered according to its terms.		
20	ii		by smooth according to its terms.		
21	Dated: <b>Nov.</b> 28	2012	Tudge Superior Court Sil Si		
22			Judge, Superior Court of the State of California		
23					
24			•		
25					
26					
27					
28					
]	[PROPOSED]	STIPULATED CON	- 16 - SENT JUDGMENT; [PROPOSED] ORDER		
}	ľ				