- 1			
1	Michael Freund (SBN 99687) Ryan Hoffman (SBN 283297)		
2	Law Office of Michael Freund		
3	1919 Addison Street, Suite 105 Berkeley, CA 94704	EMA OCT = 8 2013  KIM TURNER Coun executive Officer	
4	Telephone: (510) 540-1992 Facsimile: (510) 540-5543	MARIN COUNTY SUPTRIOR COURT  By: R. Smith. Deputy	
5	freund1@aol.com		
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CENTER		
7	WHILLIAM E TAR ANTENIO (BARNO 016242)		
8	WILLIAM F. TARANTINO (BAR NO. 215343) WTarantino@mofo.com		
9	SUE LANDSITTEL (BAR NO. 275735) SLandsittel@mofo.com		
10	MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP 425 Market Street		
11	San Francisco, California 94105-2482 Telephone: 415.268.7000		
12	Facsimile: 415.268.7522		
13	Attorneys for Defendant NU SKIN ENTERPRISES, INC.		
14	OURTHON COLUMN OF THE STATE OF CALLEDNIA		
15	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
16	COUNTY OF MARIN		
17	ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CENTER, a		
		1 CASE NO CIV 1202744	
18	California non-profit corporation,	CASE NO. CIV 1202744	
18 19	California non-profit corporation,  Plaintiff,	CASE NO. CIV 1202744  [PROPOSED] STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT; [PROPOSED] ORDER	
		[PROPOSED] STIPULATED CONSENT	
19	Plaintiff, v. NU SKIN ENTERPRISES, INC.; and DOES 1-	[PROPOSED] STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT; [PROPOSED] ORDER  Health & Safety Code § 25249.5 et seq.  ACTION FILED: June 6, 2012	
19 20	Plaintiff, v. NU SKIN ENTERPRISES, INC.; and DOES 1-100;	[PROPOSED] STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT; [PROPOSED] ORDER  Health & Safety Code § 25249.5 et seq.	
19 20 21	Plaintiff, v. NU SKIN ENTERPRISES, INC.; and DOES 1-	[PROPOSED] STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT; [PROPOSED] ORDER  Health & Safety Code § 25249.5 et seq.  ACTION FILED: June 6, 2012	
19 20 21 22	Plaintiff, v. NU SKIN ENTERPRISES, INC.; and DOES 1-100;	[PROPOSED] STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT; [PROPOSED] ORDER  Health & Safety Code § 25249.5 et seq.  ACTION FILED: June 6, 2012	
19 20 21 22 23	Plaintiff, v. NU SKIN ENTERPRISES, INC.; and DOES 1-100;	[PROPOSED] STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT; [PROPOSED] ORDER  Health & Safety Code § 25249.5 et seq.  ACTION FILED: June 6, 2012	
19 20 21 22 23 24	Plaintiff, v. NU SKIN ENTERPRISES, INC.; and DOES 1-100;	[PROPOSED] STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT; [PROPOSED] ORDER  Health & Safety Code § 25249.5 et seq.  ACTION FILED: June 6, 2012	
19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Plaintiff, v. NU SKIN ENTERPRISES, INC.; and DOES 1-100;	[PROPOSED] STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT; [PROPOSED] ORDER  Health & Safety Code § 25249.5 et seq.  ACTION FILED: June 6, 2012	
19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	Plaintiff, v.  NU SKIN ENTERPRISES, INC.; and DOES 1- 100;  Defendants.	[PROPOSED] STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT; [PROPOSED] ORDER  Health & Safety Code § 25249.5 et seq.  ACTION FILED: June 6, 2012 TRIAL DATE: None Set	
19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	Plaintiff, v.  NU SKIN ENTERPRISES, INC.; and DOES 1- 100;  Defendants.	[PROPOSED] STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT; [PROPOSED] ORDER  Health & Safety Code § 25249.5 et seq.  ACTION FILED: June 6, 2012	

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 On June 6, 2012, Plaintiff Environmental Research Center ("ERC" or "Plaintiff"), a non-profit corporation, as a private enforcer, and in the public interest, filed a legal action (the "Complaint") pursuant to the provisions of Cal. Health & Safety Code Section 25249.5 et seq. ("Proposition 65") against Nu Skin Enterprises, Inc. ("Nu Skin" or "Defendant"). ERC claims that the products manufactured and distributed by Nu Skin, as more fully described in Section 1.3, contain lead, a chemical listed under Proposition 65 as a carcinogen and reproductive toxin, and that such products expose consumers at a level requiring a Proposition 65 warning. ERC and Nu Skin shall sometimes be referred to individually as a "Party" or collectively as the "Parties."
- 1.2 ERC is a California non-profit corporation dedicated to, among other causes, helping safeguard the public from health hazards by bringing about a reduction in the use and misuse of hazardous and toxic chemicals, facilitating a safe environment for consumers and employees and encouraging corporate responsibility. ERC has diligently prosecuted this matter and is settling this case in the public interest.
- 1.3 Nu Skin is a business entity that employs ten or more persons. Nu Skin arranges the manufacture, distribution and/or sale the following dietary supplements in the State of California, including without limitation Pharmanex Cartilage Formula; Pharmanex G3; Pharmanex ReishiMax GLp, Pharmanex My Victory! DuoLean; Pharmanex Digestive Formula and Pharmanex Cortitrol Cortisol Management (the "Covered Products").
- 1.4 The Complaint is based on allegations contained in Notices of Violation dated June 29, 2010, September 24, 2010 and January 14, 2011 served on the California Attorney General, other public enforcers and Nu Skin. A true and correct copy of these Notices of Violation is attached hereto as Exhibit A. On October 8, 2010, ERC withdrew the June 29, 2010 Notice to the extent it referenced Nu Skin Pharmanex Standard Teagreen 97 Cell Protection; Nu Skin Pharmanex Standard Cordymax CS-4 Stamina Boost; and Nu Skin Pharmanex Standard Bioginko 27/7 Mental Sharpness. More than 60 days have passed since these Notices were mailed and no public enforcement entity has filed a complaint against Nu Skin with regard to the Covered Products or the alleged violations.

- 1.5 Nu Skin denies all material allegations contained in the Complaint and specifically denies that the Covered Products, as defined herein, require a Proposition 65 warning or otherwise cause harm to any person. Defendant contends that any lead present in the Covered Products is the result of naturally occurring lead levels, as provided for in California Code of Regulations, Title 27, Section 25501(a). Defendant additionally maintains that all of the Covered Products are in full compliance with applicable U.S. Federal Standards, as well as standards established by the World Health Organization (WHO), Health Canada, the European Union, and the Food & Agricultural Organization (FAO).
- 1.6 Subsequent to receiving ERC's Notices, Nu Skin engaged in an effort to reformulate the Covered Products and, based on test batches carried out in small scale testing, has reduced the lead levels to less than 0.5 micrograms of lead per day in the following products: Nu Skin Pharmanex Cartilage Formula; Nu Skin Pharmanex G3; and Nu Skin Pharmanex ReishiMax GLp.
- 1.7 The Parties have entered into this Consent Judgment in order to settle, compromise and resolve disputed claims and thus avoid prolonged and costly litigation. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall constitute or be construed as an admission by any of the Parties, or by any of their respective officers, directors, shareholders, employees, agents, parent companies, subsidiaries, divisions, affiliates, franchisors, franchisees, licensors, licensees, customers, distributors, wholesalers, or retailers, of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, violation of law, fault, wrongdoing, or liability, including without limitation, any admission concerning any alleged violation of Proposition 65, nor shall this Consent Judgment be offered or admitted as evidence in any administrative or judicial proceeding or litigation in any court, agency, or forum, except with respect to an action seeking to enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment.
- 1.8 Except as expressly set forth herein, nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive or impair any right, remedy, argument, or defense the Parties may have in any other or future legal proceeding unrelated to these proceedings.
- 1.9 The Effective Date of this Consent Judgment shall be the date on which it is entered as a judgment by this Court.

# 2. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Complaint and personal jurisdiction over Nu Skin as to the acts alleged in the Complaint, that venue is proper in Marin County, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment as a full and final resolution of all claims which were or could have been asserted in this action based on the facts alleged in the Notices or the Complaint.

# 3. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF, WARNINGS AND TESTING

3.1 Any Covered Product manufactured on or after the Effective Date that Nu Skin thereafter sells in California, or markets or distributes for sale in California, or offers for sale to a third party for retail sale in California must either (1) qualify as a "Reformulated Product" under Section 3.3 or (2) meet the warning requirements set out in Section 3.2. Covered Products manufactured before the Effective Date are therefore not subject to the obligations imposed by Section 3 regardless of when they are distributed or sold.

# 3.2 Warning

If a warning is required pursuant to Section 3.1, then Nu Skin shall provide the following warning:

WARNING: This product contains [lead,] a chemical known to the State of California to cause [cancer and] birth defects or other reproductive harm.

The words "cancer and" shall be included in the warning only if the maximum daily dose recommended on the label contains more than 15 micrograms ("mcg") of lead as determined by the quality control methodology set forth in Sections 3.4.2 and 3.3.1.

3.3 Inclusion of the word "lead" is optional. The warning shall be securely affixed to or printed upon the container or label of the Covered Product. The warning shall be displayed with such conspicuousness, as compared with other words, statements, or design of the label or container, as applicable, to render the warning likely to be read and understood by an ordinary

individual under customary conditions of purchase or use. The warning appearing on the label or container shall be at least the same size as the largest of any other health or safety warnings appearing on the label or container, as applicable, of such Covered Product, and the word "WARNING" shall be in all capital letters and in bold print.

A Reformulated Product is one for which the maximum recommended daily serving on the label contains no more than 0.5 micrograms of lead per day as determined by the quality control methodology described in Sections 3.4.2 and 3.3.1.

- 3.3.1 For purposes of this Consent Judgment, daily lead exposures levels shall be measured in micrograms, and shall be calculated using the following formula: micrograms of lead per gram of product, multiplied by grams of product per serving of the product (using the largest serving size appearing on the product label), multiplied by servings of the product per day (using the largest number of servings in a recommended dosage appearing on the product label), which equals micrograms of lead exposure per day.
- 3.3.2 As used in this Consent Judgment, "no more than 0.5 mcg of lead per day" means that the samples tested by Nu Skin under Section 3.4 collectively yield an average daily exposure of no more than 0.5 mcg of lead (with daily exposure calculated pursuant to Section 3.3.1 of this Consent Judgment).

#### 3.4 Testing

3.4.1 Before Nu Skin's first distribution or sale of a Covered Product in California manufactured after the Effective Date, Nu Skin shall arrange for the lead testing of at least five (5) randomly selected samples of each Covered Product (in the form intended for sale to the end-user) to be distributed or sold to California. Before Nu Skin's first distribution or sale of a Covered Product manufactured after the Effective Date, and continuing for at least three (3) years thereafter, on an annual basis, Nu Skin shall test the Covered Products for lead content in the manner provided for in this Consent Judgment for those Covered Products to be distributed or sold in California. The testing requirements of Section 3.4 do not apply to a Covered Product for which Nu Skin provides the warning specified in Section 3.2.

 3.4.2 All testing pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be performed using a laboratory method that complies with the performance and quality control factors appropriate for the method used (including limit of detection, limit of quantification, accuracy, and precision) and that meets the following criteria: Closed-vessel, microwave-assisted acid digestion employing high-purity reagents, followed by Inductively Coupled Plasma-Mass Spectrometry (ICP-MS), achieving a limit of quantification of ≤ 0.010 mg/kg, or any other testing method agreed upon in writing by the Parties.

- 3.4.3 All testing pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be performed by a laboratory that is approved by, accredited by, or registered with the United States Food & Drug Administration for the analysis of heavy metals. Upon written request by ERC, Nu Skin shall provide to ERC any test results and documentation of testing undertaken by Nu Skin pursuant to Section 3.4 within thirty days of receipt by Nu Skin of ERC's request. Nu Skin may perform this testing itself only if it provides, in an attachment to the test results Nu Skin provides to ERC, proof that its laboratory meets the requirements in Section 3.4.2 and Section 3.4.3. Nu Skin shall retain all test results and documentation for a period of four years from the date of the test.
- 3.4.4 If testing conducted pursuant to this Consent Judgment demonstrates that no warning is required for a Covered Product during each of three consecutive years, then the testing requirements of this Section 3.4 are no longer required as to that Covered Product. However, if after the three year period Nu Skin changes ingredient suppliers for any Covered Products and/or reformulates any of the Covered Products, Nu Skin shall test that Covered Product at least once after such change is made.

#### 4. SETTLEMENT PAYMENT

4.1 In full satisfaction of all potential civil penalties, payment in lieu of civil penalties, attorneys' fees and costs (which includes, but is not limited to, filing fees and costs of attorneys, experts and testing nutritional health supplements), Nu Skin shall make a total payment of \$200,000 (Two Hundred Thousand Dollars) within five (5) business days of receipt of Notice of Entry of Judgment.

4.1.1 A total of \$38,000 shall be payable as civil penalties pursuant to Health & Safety Code Section 25249.7(b)(1), with the first check of 75% [\$28,500] payable to the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment, and the second check of 25% [\$9,500] payable to Environmental Research Center. ERC's counsel shall be responsible to forward the civil penalty payment to OEHHA along with a copy of the transmittal to Nu Skin.

- 4.1.2 A total of \$114,102 shall be payable to Environmental Research Center in lieu of further civil penalties, for (A) activities such as (1) analysis, researching and testing consumer products that may contain Proposition 65 listed chemicals; (2) awarding a grant or donation to California non-profit foundations/entities dedicated to public health; (3) funding ERC's RxY Program to assist various medical personnel to provide testing assistance to independent distributors of various products; (4) funding ERC's Got Lead? Program to assist consumers in testing products for lead; (5) post-settlement monitoring of past consent judgments; and (6) the continuing enforcement of Proposition 65; and 7) \$5,705 to be donated by ERC to the Center for Environmental Health for the reduction of toxic chemical exposures; (B) a total of \$24,116 shall be payable to ERC as reimbursement to ERC for reasonable work costs associated with the enforcement of Proposition 65 and other costs incurred as a result of investigating, bringing this matter to Nu Skin's attention, litigating and negotiating this settlement in the public interest.
- 4.1.3 A total of \$20,782 shall be payable to Michael Freund as reimbursement of ERC's attorneys' fees. A total of 3,000 shall be payable to Karen Evans as reimbursement of ERC's attorneys' fees.
- 4.2 Nu Skin's payments shall be checks mailed or delivered to the Law Office of Michael Freund.

## 5. MODIFICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

- 5.1 This Consent Judgment may be modified only by (i) written agreement and stipulation of the Parties, followed by entry of a modified consent judgment by the Court, or (ii) as provided in Sections 5.2 or 5.3.
- 5.2 In the event that Nu Skin modifies the manner in which it distributes the Covered Products, which results in a change in the way the end user receives any of the Covered Products,

Nu Skin may seek to modify the terms of Section 3 subject to the procedures in Section 5.1 and Section 5.4 so long as the proposed warning method is consistent with the manner in which Nu Skin sells or distributes the Covered Products and with the provisions of 27 Cal. Code Regs. Section 25601.

- 5.3 Should ERC, or the California Attorney General, reach a settlement of a Proposition 65 claim regarding the same ingredient(s) as contained in a Covered Product that establishes allowances for naturally occurring lead that results in less stringent lead standards ("Alternative Lead Standard") than those specified in Section 3.3, then Nu Skin shall be entitled to seek to modify the Consent Judgment to adopt such Alternative Lead Standard as to such Covered Product, subject to the procedures in Sections 5.1 and 5.4. Should there be an amendment to Proposition 65 or should OEHHA promulgate regulations that establish a Maximum Allowable Dose Level that is more or less stringent than 0.5 micrograms per day, Nu Skin or ERC shall be entitled to seek to modify the Consent Judgment to adopt the new Maximum Allowable Dose Level as to such Covered Product, subject to the procedures in Sections 5.1 and 5.4.
- 5.3.1 Should Nu Skin seek to exclude naturally occurring lead in its calculation of overall lead content for any Covered Product, Nu Skin will provide separate documentation to ERC to include a complete list of all ingredients, including the corresponding percentages of each ingredient within each Covered Product, and other data that independently supports Nu Skin's contention that the lead it seeks to exclude is naturally occurring. Nu Skin may update such information from time to time. Nu Skin is entitled to submit to ERC documentation pursuant to Section 5.3.1 which shall be held in confidence and kept confidential by ERC.
- 5.4 If Nu Skin seeks to modify the Consent Judgment under Section 5.2 or 5.3, then Nu Skin shall provide written notice to ERC of its intent and include the settlement containing the alternative warnings or Alternative Lead Standard ("Notice of Intent"). If ERC seeks to meet and confer regarding the proposed modification in the Notice of Intent, then ERC shall provide written notice to Nu Skin within thirty (30) days of receiving the Notice of Intent. If ERC notifies Nu Skin in a timely manner of ERC's intent to meet and confer, then the Parties shall meet in confer in good faith as required in this Section 5.4. The Parties shall meet in person within thirty (30) days of

ERC's notification of its intent to meet and confer. Within thirty (30) days of such meeting, if ERC disputes the proposed modification, ERC shall provide to Nu Skin a written factual basis for its position. The Parties shall continue to meet and confer for an additional thirty (30) days in an effort to resolve any remaining disputes. The Parties may agree in writing to different deadlines for the meet and confer period herein.

- 5.5 In the event of a modification under Section 5.2 or 5.3, Nu Skin shall reimburse ERC its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs in filing and arguing a joint motion or application in support of a modification of the Consent Judgment; provided however, that those fees and costs shall not exceed \$7,000 (seven thousand dollars) total without the prior written consent of Nu Skin.
- 6. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION, ENFORCEMENT OF CONSENT JUDGMENT
- 6.1 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to enforce, modify or terminate this Consent Judgment.
- 6.2 Any Party may, by motion or application for an order to show cause filed with this Court, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment provided that it first undertakes a good faith effort to resolve the dispute informally as required under Section 13. The prevailing Party may request that the Court award its reasonable attorney's fees and costs associated with such motion or application.
- 6.3 In the event that ERC alleges that any Covered Product fails to qualify as a Reformulated Product (and for which ERC alleges that no warning has been provided pursuant to Section 3.2), ERC shall inform Nu Skin in a reasonably prompt manner of its test results, including information sufficient to permit Defendant to identify the Products at issue. Nu Skin shall, within thirty (30) days following such notice, provide ERC with testing information demonstrating Nu Skin's compliance with Section 3.3 if warranted. The Parties shall first attempt to resolve the matter prior to ERC taking any further legal action pursuant to Paragraph 13.

#### 7. APPLICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

7.1 This Consent Judgment shall apply to, be binding upon and benefit the Parties, and respective subsidiaries and divisions and the successors and assigns of any of them.

# 8. BINDING EFFECT, CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED

- This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between ERC, on 8.1 behalf of itself, and in the public interest, and Nu Skin, of any alleged violation of Proposition 65 or its implementing regulations for failure to provide Proposition 65 warnings of exposure to lead from the handling, use or consumption of the Covered Products. ERC, on behalf of itself, its agents, officers, representatives, attorneys, successors and/or assignees, and in the public interest, hereby releases and discharges: (a) Nu Skin and its parent companies, subsidiaries, affiliates, and divisions: (b) each of their respective licensors, licensees, franchisors, franchisees, joint venturers, partners, vendors, manufacturers, packagers, contractors, and finished product and ingredient suppliers; (c) each of the distributors, wholesalers, retailers, users, packagers, customers, and all other entities in the distribution chain down to the consumer, of the persons and entities described in (a) and (b) above; and (d) each of the respective officers, directors, shareholders, employees, and agents of the persons and entities described in (a) through (c), above (the persons and entities identified in (a), (b), (c), and (d), above, including the predecessors, successors and assigns of any of them, are collectively referred to as the "Released Parties"), from any and all claims, actions, causes of action, suits, demands, liabilities, damages, penalties, fees (including but not limited to investigation fees, attorney's fees and expert fees), costs and expenses (collectively, "Claims") as to any alleged violation of Proposition 65 arising from or related to the failure to provide Proposition 65 warnings for lead in the Covered Products manufactured before the Effective Date. The provisions in this section do not apply to private label customers of Nu Skin. Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed to constitute compliance with Proposition 65 regarding alleged exposures to lead in the Covered Products.
- 8.2 ERC, on behalf of itself, its agents, representatives, attorneys, successors and/or assignees, and not on behalf of the general public, hereby releases and discharges the Released Parties from any and all known and unknown Claims for any violations of Proposition 65 or based on any other statutory or common law, which have been alleged or could have been alleged, arising from or relating to any exposures or failure to warn concerning lead or lead compounds in the Covered Products. It is possible that other Claims not known to the Parties arising from or relating

to any exposures or failure to warn concerning lead or lead compounds in the Covered Products will develop or be discovered. ERC, on behalf of itself only, acknowledges that this Consent Judgment is expressly intended to cover and include all such Claims, including all rights of action therefor. ERC has full knowledge of the contents of California Civil Code section 1542. ERC, on behalf of itself only, acknowledges that the Claims released in Sections 8.1 and 8.2 may include unknown Claims, and nevertheless waives California Civil Code section 1542 as to any such unknown Claims. California Civil Code section 1542 reads as follows:

"A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR."

ERC, on behalf of itself only, acknowledges and understands the significance and consequences of this specific waiver of California Civil Code section 1542. This release shall be effective as a full and final accord and satisfaction as to, and as a bar to, the Claims released in this Section 8.2. ERC, on behalf of itself, its agents, representatives, attorneys, successors and/or assignees, in its individual capacity, further agrees that compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed to constitute compliance with Proposition 65 regarding any alleged exposures or failure to warn concerning lead or lead compounds in any of the Covered Products manufactured before the Effective Date.

8.3 ERC, on one hand, and Nu Skin, on the other hand, release and waive all Claims they may have against each other for any statements of actions made or undertaken by them in connection with the Notices or the Complaint. Provided however, nothing in this Section 8 shall affect or limit any Party's right to seek to enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment.

#### 9. SEVERABILITY OF UNENFORCEABLE PROVISIONS

9.1 In the event that any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment are held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the enforceable provisions shall not be adversely affected.

# 10. GOVERNING LAW

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

The terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by and construed in accordance with the laws of the State of California.

#### 11. PROVISION OF NOTICES

All notices required to be given to either Party to this Consent Judgment by the other shall be in writing and sent to the following agents listed below by (a) first-class mail, (b) overnight courier, or (c) personal delivery:

#### FOR ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CENTER:

Chris Heptinstall, Executive Director Environmental Research Center 3111 Camino Del Rio North, Suite 400 San Diego, CA 92108

Michael Bruce Freund Law Offices of Michael Freund 1919 Addison Street, Suite 105 Berkeley, CA 94704 Telephone: (510) 540-1992 Facsimile: (510) 540-5543

# FOR NU SKIN ENTERPRISES, INC.

17 Tyler Whitehead, Esq.
18 Nu Skin Enterprises, Inc.
75 West Center Street
19 Provo, UT 84601
Telephone: (801) 345-3853

With a copy to:

William Tarantino
Morrison & Foerster LLP
425 Market Street, 35th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94105
Telephone: (415) 268-6358
Facsimile: (415) 268-7522

## 12. DRAFTING

The terms of this Consent Judgment have been reviewed by the respective counsel for the Parties to this Consent Judgment prior to its signing, and each Party has had an opportunity to fully

discuss the terms with counsel. The Parties agree that, in any subsequent interpretation and construction of this Consent Judgment entered thereon, the terms and provisions shall not be construed against either Party.

# 13. GOOD FAITH ATTEMPT TO RESOLVE DISPUTES

In the event a dispute arises with respect to either Party's compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment entered by the Court, the Parties shall meet either in person or by telephone and endeavor to resolve the dispute in an amicable manner. No action or motion may be filed in the absence of such a good faith attempt to resolve the dispute beforehand. In the event an action or motion is filed, however, the prevailing Party may seek to recover costs and reasonable attorneys' fees. As used in the preceding sentence, the term "prevailing party" means a party who is successful in obtaining relief more favorable to it than the relief that the other party was amenable to providing during the Parties' good faith attempt to resolve the dispute that is the subject of such enforcement action.

# 14. ENTIRE AGREEMENT, AUTHORIZATION, COUNTERPARTS

- 14.1 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments and understandings related hereto. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those contained herein have been made by any Party hereto. No other agreements not specifically referred to herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties.
- 14.2 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the Party he or she represents to stipulate to the Consent Judgment.
- 14.3 The stipulations to this Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by means of facsimile or portable document format (pdf), which taken together shall be deemed to constitute one document.

# REQUEST FOR FINDINGS, APPROVAL OF SETTLEMENT AND ENTRY OF CONSENT JUDGMENT 1 2 This settlement has come before the Court upon the request of the Parties. The 3 Parties request the Court to fully review this settlement and, being fully informed regarding the 4 matters which are the subject of this action, to: 5 (1)Find that the terms and provisions of this Consent Judgment represent a fair 6 and equitable settlement of all matters raised by the allegations of the Complaint, that the matter has 7 been diligently prosecuted, and that the public interest is served by such settlement; and 8 (2)Make the findings pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7 (f) (4), 9 approve the settlement and approve this Consent Judgment. 10 IT IS SO STIPULATED: NU SKIN ENTERPRISES, INC. 11 12 13 Dated: , 2013 14 15 ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CENTER 16 17 18 Dated: 19 20 21 APPROVED AS TO FORM: 22 23 MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP 24 25 Dated: 26 William F. Tarantino Attorney for Nu Skin Enterprises, Inc. 27 28 - 14 -[PROPOSED] STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT; [PROPOSED] ORDER

15.

(1) Find that the terms and provisions of this Consent Judgment represent a fair				
and equitable settlement of all matter	rs raised by the allegations of the Complaint, that the matter has			
	he public interest is served by such settlement; and			
(2) Make the find				
ω.	ove the settlement and approve this Consent Judgment.			
IT IS SO STIPULATED:	NU SKIN ENTERPRISES, INC.			
Dated:, 2013	D. Maulte Dong			
2.	ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CENTER			
Dated:, 2013	Chris Hepstinstall, Executive Director			
APPROVED AS TO FORM:				
	MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP			
Dated: July 3 , 2013	William F. Tarantino Attorney for Nu Skin Enterprises, Inc.			
	LAW OFFICE OF MICHAEL FREUND			
Dated: July 12, 2013	Michael Freund Attorney for Environmental Research Center			

# ORDER AND JUDGMENT

Based upon the Parties' stipulation, and good cause appearing therefor, this Consent Judgment is approved and judgment is hereby entered according to its terms.

Dated: /0/6, 2013

LYNN DURYEE

Judge, Superior Court of the State of California

- 15 -

2
 3
 4

# EXHIBIT A (Sixty-Day Notices)

#### MICHAEL FREUND

ATTORNEY AT LAW

1915 ADDISON STREET

BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA 94704-1101

TEL 510/540-1992

FAX 510/540-5543

EMAIL FREUNDI@AOL.COM

June 29, 2010

Re: Notice of Violation Against Nu Skin Enterprises, Inc. for Violation of California Health & Safety Code Section 25249.6

Dear Prosecutors:

I represent the Environmental Research Center ("ERC"), a non-profit California corporation whose mission is to safeguard the public from health hazards that impact families, workers and the environment. ERC is dedicated to reducing the use and misuse of hazardous and toxic chemicals, facilitating a safe environment for consumers and employees and encouraging corporate responsibility. ERC is located at 5694 Mission Center Road, # 199, San Diego, CA 92108. Through this Notice of Violation, ERC seeks to reduce exposure to the public from lead that is contained in the named products manufactured and distributed by Nu Skin Enterprises, Inc.

This letter constitutes notification that Nu Skin Enterprises, Inc., located at,75 West Center Street, Provo, UT 84601 has violated the warning requirement of Proposition 65, the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act (commencing with section 25249.5 of the Health and Safety Code).

In particular, this company has manufactured and distributed products which have exposed and continue to expose numerous individuals within California to lead. Lead was listed pursuant to Proposition 65 as a chemical known to cause developmental toxicity, and male and female reproductive toxicity on February 27, 1987. Lead was listed pursuant to Proposition 65 as a carcinogen on October 1, 1992. The time period of these violations commenced one year after the listed dates above. The primary route of exposure has been oral through ingestion.

Nu Skin Enterprises, Inc. is exposing people to lead from the following products: Nu Skin Pharmanex Standard Teagreen 97 Cell Protection; Nu Skin Pharmanex Standard Cordymax CS-4 Stamina Boost; Nu Skin Pharmanex Standard Cortitrol Cortisol Management; Nu Skin Pharmanex Standard Bioginko 27/7 Mental Sharpness; and Nu Skin Pharmanex Standard Digestive Formula.

Proposition 65 requires that a clear and reasonable warning be provided prior to exposure to certain listed chemicals. Nu Skin Enterprises, Inc. is in violation of Proposition 65 because the company failed to provide a warning to persons using their products that they are being exposed to lead. (22 C.C.R. section 12601.) While in the course of doing business, the company is knowingly and intentionally exposing people to lead, without first providing clear and reasonable warning. (Health and Safety Code section 25249.6.) The method of warning should be a warning that appears on the product's label. 22 C.C.R. section 12601 (b)(1) (A).

Proposition 65 requires that notice and intent to sue be given to a violator 60-days before the suit is filed. With this letter, ERC gives notice of the alleged violation to the noticed party and the appropriate governmental authorities. This Notice covers all violations of Proposition 65 that are currently known to ERC from information now available. ERC may continue to investigate other products that may reveal further violations. A summary of Proposition 65, prepared by the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment, and referenced as Appendix A, has been provided to the noticed party.

Based on the allegations set forth in this Notice, ERC intends to file a citizen enforcement action against Nu Skin Enterprises, Inc. unless the company agrees in an enforceable written instrument to: (1) reformulate these products so as to eliminate further lead exposures; and (2) pay an appropriate civil penalty. Consistent with the public interest goals of Proposition 65 and my client's objectives in pursuing this Notice, ERC will focus its efforts in seeking a constructive resolution of this matter. Such resolution will avoid both further unwarned consumer exposures to lead and expensive and time-consuming litigation.

If you have any questions, please contact my office at your earliest convenience.

Sincerely,

Michael Freund

cc: Chris Heptinstall, ERC Karen Evans, Esq. ERC

# **CERTIFICATE OF MERIT**

# Health and Safety Code Section 25249.7 (d)

- I, Michael Freund hereby declare:
- 1. This Certificate of Merit accompanies the Notice of Violation in which it is alleged that the party identified in the Notice has violated Health and Safety Code Section 25249.6 by failing to provide clear and reasonable warnings.
- 2. I am the attorney for the noticing party Environmental Research Center ("ERC"). ERC is dedicated to reducing the use and misuse of hazardous and toxic chemicals, facilitating a safe environment for consumers and employees and encouraging corporate responsibility. The Notice of Violation alleges that the party identified has exposed persons in California to lead from products that it manufactures and distributes. Please refer to the Notice of Violation for additional details regarding the alleged violations.
- 3. I have consulted with one or more persons with relevant and appropriate experience or expertise who has reviewed facts, studies, or other data regarding the alleged exposure to the listed chemical that is the subject of the action. In particular, I have consulted with the laboratory that conducted the testing to determine the concentration of lead in the products identified in the Notice of Violation and I have relied on the testing results. The testing was conducted by a reputable testing laboratory with substantial experience in testing for lead. These facts, studies or other data derived through this investigation overwhelmingly demonstrate that the party identified in the Notice of Violation exposes persons to lead through oral exposure (ingestion).
- 4. Based on my consultation with the laboratory, the results of the laboratory testing, as well as published studies on lead, it is clear that there is sufficient evidence that human

exposures exist from exposure to the products from the noticed party. Furthermore, as a result of the above, I have concluded that there is a reasonable and meritorious case for the private action. I understand that "reasonable and meritorious case for the private action" means that the information provides a credible basis that all elements of the plaintiff's case can be established and the information did not prove that the alleged violator will be able to establish any of the affirmative defenses set forth in the statute.

5. The copy of this Certificate of Merit served on the California Attorney General attaches to it factual information sufficient to establish the basis for this certificate, including the information identified in Health & Safety Code Section 25249.7 (h) (2), i.e., (1) the identity of the persons consulted with and relied on by the certifier, and (2) the facts, studies or other data reviewed by those persons.

Dated: June 27, 2010.

Michael Freund

Attorney for Environmental Research Center

# CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I am a citizen of the United States and a resident of the County of Alameda. I am over the age of eighteen years and not a party to the within entitled action; my business address is 1915 Addison Street, Berkeley, California 94704. On June 29, 2010 I served the within:

Notice of Violation and Certificate of Merit (Supporting documentation pursuant to 11 CCR section 3102 sent to Attorney General only)

on the parties in said action, by placing a true copy thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid, in the United States Post Office mail box in Oakland, California to said parties addressed as follows:

See Attached Service List

I, Michael Freund, declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on June 29, 2010 at Berkeley, California.

Michael Freund

District Attorney of Alameda County 1225 Fallon Street, Room 900 Oakland, CA 94612 District Attorney of Glenn County PO Box 430 Willows, CA 95988 District Attorney of Marin County 3501 Civic Center Dr., Room 130 San Rafael, CA 94903

District Attorney of Colusa County 547 Market Street Colusa, CA 95932 District Attorney of Kings County 1400 West Lacey Hanford, CA 93239 District Attorney of Mono County PO Box 617 Bridgeport, CA 93517

District Attorney of Contra Costa County 627 Ferry Street Martinez, CA 94553

District Attorney of Lake County 255 N. Forbes Street Lakeport, CA 95453

District Attorney of Mariposa County PO Box 730 Mariposa, CA 95338

District Attorney of Alpine County PO Box 248 Markleeville, CA 96120 District Attorney of Humboldt County 825 5<sup>th</sup> Street Eureka, CA 95501 District Attorney of Monterey County 230 Church Street, Bdg. 2 Salinas, CA 93901

District Attorney of Del Norte County 450 H Street, Ste 171 Crescent City, CA 95531 District Attorney of Imperial County 939 Main Street El Centro, CA 92243 District Attorney of Mendocino County PO Box 1000 Ukiah, CA 95482

District Attorney of Amador County 708 Court Street, # 202 Jackson, CA 95642 District Attorney of Lassen County 220 S. Lassen St., Ste 8 Susanville, CA 96130 District Attorney of Napa County 931 Parkway Mall Napa, CA 94559

District Attorney of Butte County 25 County Center Drive Oroville, CA 95965 District Attorney of Inyo County PO Drawer D Independence, CA 93526

District Attorney of Merced County 2222 "M" Street Merced, CA 95340

District Attorney of El Dorado County 515 Main Street Placerville, CA 95667 District Attorney of Los Angeles County 210 W. Temple Street, Room 345 Los Angeles, CA 90012 District Attorney of Nevada County 110 Union Street Nevada City, CA 95959-2503

District Attorney of Calaveras County 891 Mountain Ranch Road San Andreas, CA 95249

District Attorney of Madera County 209 West Yosemite Ave. Madera, CA 93637 District Attorney of Orange County 401 Civic Center Drive West Santa Ana, CA 92701

District Attorney of Fresno County 2220 Tulare Street, # 1000 Fresno, CA 93721 District Attorney of Kern County 1215 Truxtun Ave. Bakersfield, CA 93301 District Attorney of Modoc County 204 S. Court Street Alturas, CA 96101-4020

	and the second of the second	* x*   x*
District Attorney of Placer County	District Attorney of San Mateo County	District Attorney of Sutter County
2501 North Lake Blvd.	400 County Ctr., 3rd Floor	446 Second Street
Tahoe City, CA 96145	Redwood City, CA 94063	Yuba City, CA 95991
District Attorney of San Bernardino Cty	District Attorney of Sierra County	District Attorney of Ventura County
316 N. Mountain View Ave.	Courthouse, PO Box 457	800 South Victoria Ave.
San Bernardino, CA 92415	Donieville, CA 95936	Ventura, CA 93009
Gair Demardino, OA 324 10	Domevine, OA 33330	Ventera, OA 30003
District Attorney of Plumas County	District Attorney of Santa Barbara	District Attorney of Tehama County
520 Main Street, Room 404	County	PO Box 519
	1105 Santa Barbara Street	
Quincy, CA 95971	Santa Barbara, 93101	Red Bluff, CA 96080
District Attornay of San Diogo County	District Attorney of Sickiyou County	Dietrict Attorney of Vola County
District Attorney of San Diego County 330 West Broadway, Suite 1320	District Attorney of Siskiyou County PO Box 986	District Attorney of Yolo County 301 Second Street
San Diego, 92101	Yreka, CA 96097	Woodland, CA 95695
July 21090, 02101	1101.4, 0710007	1100010110, 01100000
District Attorney of Riverside County	District Attorney of Solano County	District Attorney of Trinity County
4075 Main Street	675 Texas Street, Suite 4500	PO Box 310
Riverside, CA 92501	Fairfield, CA 94533	11 Court Street
		Weaverville, CA 96093
District Attorney of San Francisco	District Attorney of Santa Clara County	District Attorney of Yuba County
County	70 West Hedding Street, West Wing	215 Fifth Street
850 Bryant Street, Room 325	San Jose, CA 95110	Marysville, CA 95901
San Francisco, CA 94103		
		District Attorney of Tylers Count.
District Attorney of Sacramento County	District Attorney of Santa Cruz County	District Attorney of Tulare County
901 "G" Street	701 Ocean Street, Room 200	221 S. Mooney Ave., Room 224
Sacramento, CA 95814	Santa Cruz, CA 95060	Visalia, CA 93291
District Atternay of San Jacquin Caunty	District Attorney of Sonoma County	District Attorney of Tuolumne County
District Attorney of San Joaquin County PO Box 990	600 Administration Drive, Room 212J	423 No. Washington Street
Stockton, CA 95201	Santa Rosa, CA 95403	Sonora, CA 95370
GLOSKION, OA 3020 I	Same Hood, St. Sono	
District attorney of San Luis Obispo	District Attorney of Shasta County	San Jose City Attorney's Office
County	1525 Court Street, Third Floor	200 East Santa Clara Street
1050 Monterey St., Room 450	Redding, CA 96001-1632	San Jose, CA 95113
San Luis Obispo, CA 93408	. 100011131 01 ( 0000	

District Attorney of Stanislaus County 800 11<sup>th</sup> Street, Room 200 District Attorney of San Benito County 419 Fourth Street, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor PO Box 442 Modesto, CA 95353

Los Angeles City Attorney's Office

800 City Hall East

200 N. Main Street

Los Angeles, CA 90012

Hollister, CA 95023



# **Environmental Research Center**

5694 Mission Center Road #199 San Diego, CA 92108 619.309.4194

September 24, 2010

# **VIA CERTIFIED MAIL**

Current CEO or President Nu Skin Enterprises, Inc. 75 West Center Street Orovo, UT 84601

CT Corporation System (Nu Skin Enterprises, Inc.'s Registered Agent for Service of Process) 136 East South Temple, Suite 2100 Salt Lake City, UT 84111

# VIA PRIORITY MAIL

District Attorneys of All California Counties and Select City Attorneys (See Attached Certificate of Service)

Re: Notice of Violations of California Health & Safety Code Section 25249.5 et seq.

# Dear Addressees:

I am the Executive Director of the Environmental Research Center ("ERC") in connection with this Notice of Violations of California's Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, which is codified at California Health & Safety Code Section 25249.5 et seq. and also referred to as Proposition 65.

ERC is a California non-profit corporation dedicated to, among other causes, helping safeguard the public from health hazards by bringing about a reduction in the use and misuse of hazardous and toxic chemicals, facilitating a safe environment for consumers and employees, and encouraging corporate responsibility.

The name of the Company covered by this Notice that violated Proposition 65 is:

# Nu Skin Enterprises, Inc.

The products that are the subject of this Notice and the chemical in those products identified as exceeding allowable levels are:

NSE Products Inc. Cartilage Formula Pharmanex - Lead NSE Products Inc. Pharmanex G3 - Lead

On February 27, 1987, the State of California officially listed lead as a chemical known to cause developmental toxicity, and male and female reproductive toxicity. On October 1, 1992, the State of California officially listed lead as chemical known to cause cancer.

This letter is a Notice to Nu Skin Enterprises, Inc., and the appropriate governmental authorities of the Proposition 65 violations concerning the listed products. This Notice covers all violations of Proposition 65 involving Nu Skin Enterprises, Inc. currently known to ERC from the information now available. ERC may continue to investigate other products that may reveal further violations. A summary of Proposition 65, prepared by the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment, has been provided to the Noticed Company with a copy of this letter.

Nu Skin Enterprises, Inc. has manufactured, marketed, distributed, and/or sold the listed products, which have exposed and continue to expose numerous individuals within California to the identified chemicals. The primary route of exposure to these chemicals has been through ingestion, but may have also occurred through inhalation and/or dermal contact. Proposition 65 requires that a clear and reasonable warning be provided prior to exposure to the identified chemicals. The method of warning should be a warning that appears on the product's label. Nu Skin Enterprises, Inc. has violated Proposition 65 because the Company has failed to provide an appropriate warning to persons using these products that they are being exposed to the identified chemical.

Pursuant to Section 25249.7(d) of the statute, ERC intends to file a citizen enforcement action sixty days after effective service of this Notice unless Nu Skin Enterprises, Inc. agrees in an enforceable written instrument to: (1) reformulate the listed products so as to eliminate further exposures to the identified chemicals; and (2) pay an appropriate civil penalty. Consistent with the public interest goals of Proposition 65 and ERC 's objectives in pursuing this Notice, ERC is interested in seeking a constructive resolution to this matter. Such resolution will avoid both further unwarned consumer exposures to the identified chemicals and expensive and time consuming litigation.

Please direct all questions concerning this notice to ERC's attorney, Michael Freund, address: 1915 Addison Street, Berkley, California, 94704-1101, telephone no.: 510-540-1992, e-mail: Freund1@aol.com.

Sincerely,

Chris Heptinstall Executive Director

Environmental Research Center

cc: Karen Evans

Attachments

Certificate of Merit Certificate of Service

OEHHA Summary (to Nu Skin Enterprises, Inc. and its Registered Agent for Service of Process only) Additional Supporting Information for Certificate of Merit (to AG only)

Notice of Violations of California Health & Safety Code §25249.5 et seq. September 24, 2010 Page 3

### **CERTIFICATE OF MERIT**

Re: Environmental Research Center's Notice of Proposition 65 Violations by Nu Skin Enterprises, Inc.

# I, Michael Freund, declare:

- 1. This Certificate of Merit accompanies the attached sixty-day Notice in which it is alleged the party identified in the Notice violated California Health & Safety Code Section 25249.6 by failing to provide clear and reasonable warnings.
- 2. I am an attorney for the noticing party.
- 3. I have consulted with one or more persons with relevant and appropriate experience or expertise who have reviewed facts, studies, or other data regarding the exposure to the listed chemical that is the subject of the Notice.
- 4. Based on the information obtained through those consultants, and on other information in my possession, I believe there is a reasonable and meritorious case for the private action. I understand that "reasonable and meritorious case for the private action" means that the information provides a credible basis that all elements of the plaintiff's case can be established and that the information did not prove that the alleged violator will be able to establish any of the affirmative defenses set forth in the statute.
- 5. Along with the copy of this Certificate of Merit served on the Attorney General is attached additional factual information sufficient to establish the basis for this Certificate, including the information identified in California Health & Safety Code §25249.7(h)(2), i.e., (1) the identity of the persons consulted with and relied on by the certifier, and (2) the facts, studies, or other data reviewed by those persons.

Dated: September 24, 2010

Michael Freund
Attorney for Environmental Research Center

Il French

# **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I, the undersigned, declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the following is true and correct:

I am a citizen of the United States, over the age of 18 years of age, and am not a party to the within entitled action. My business address is 306 Joy Street, Fort Oglethorpe, Georgia 30742

On September 24, 2010, I served the following documents:

NOTICE OF VIOLATIONS OF CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5 ET SEQ.; CERTIFICATE OF MERIT; "THE SAFE DRINKING WATER AND TOXIC ENFORCEMENT ACT OF 1986 (PROPOSITION 65): A SUMMARY"

On the following parties by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope, addressed to the party listed below and depositing it in a US Postal Service Office for delivery by Certified Mail:

Current CEO or President Nu Skin Enterprises, Inc. 75 West Center Street Orovo, UT 84601 CT Corporation System (Nu Skin Enterprises, Inc.'s Registered Agent for Service of Process) 136 East South Temple, Suite 2100 Salt Lake City, UT 84111

On September 24, 2010, I served the following documents: NOTICE OF VIOLATION, CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5 ET SEQ.; CERTIFICATE OF MERIT; ADDITIONAL SUPPORTING INFORMATION FOR CERTIFICATE OF MERIT AS REQUIRED BY CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.7(d)(1) on the following parties by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope, addressed to the party listed below and depositing it in a US Postal Service Office for delivery by Certified Mail:

Office of the California Attorney General Prop 65 Enforcement Reporting 1515 Clay Street, Suite 2000 Post Office Box 70550 Oakland, CA 94612-0550

On September 24, 2010, I served the following documents: NOTICE OF VIOLATION, CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5 ET SEQ.; CERTIFICATE OF MERIT on each of the parties on the Service List attached hereto by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope, addressed to each of the parties on the Service List attached hereto, and depositing it with the U.S. Postal Service for delivery by Priority Mail.

Executed on September 24, 2010, in Fort Oglethorpe, Georgia.

Soft Mills

Chris Heptinstall

#### Service List

District Attorney, Alameda County 1225 Fallon Street, Room 900 Oakland, CA 94612

District Attorney, Alpine County P.O. Box 248 Markleeville, CA 96120

District Attorney, Amador County 708 Court Street, #202 Jackson, CA 95642

District Attorney, Butte County 25 County Center Drive Oroville, CA 95965

District Attorney, Calaveras County 891 Mountain Ranch Road San Andreas, CA 95249

District Attorney, Colusa County 547 Market Street Colusa, CA 95932

District Attorney, Contra Costa County 900 Ward Street Martinez, CA 94553

District Attorney, Del Norte County 450 H Street, Ste. 171 Crescent City, CA 95531

District Attorney, El Dorado County 515 Main Street Placerville, CA 95667

District Attorney, Fresno County 2220 Tulare Street, #1000 Fresno, CA 93721

District Attorney, Glenn County Post Office Box 430 Willows, CA 95988

District Attorney, Humboldt County 825 5th Street Eureka, CA 95501

District Attorney, Imperial County 939 West Main Street, Ste 102 El Centro, CA 92243

District Attorney, Inyo County 230 W. Line Street Bishop, CA 93514

District Attorney, Kern County 1215 Truxtun Avenue Bakersfield, CA 93301 District Attorney, Kings County 1400 West Lacey Boulevard Hanford, CA 93230

District Attorney, Lake County 255 N. Forbes Street Lakeport, CA 95453

District Attorney, Lassen County 220 South Lassen Street, Ste. 8 Susanville, CA 96130

District Attorney, Los Angeles County 210 West Temple Street, Rm 345 Los Angeles, CA 90012

District Attorney, Madera County 209 West Yosemite Avenue Madera, CA 93637

District Attorney, Marin County 3501 Civic Center, Room 130 San Rafael, CA 94903

District Attorney, Mariposa County Post Office Box 730 Mariposa, CA 95338

District Attorney, Mendocino County Post Office Box 1000 Ukiah, CA 95482

District Attorney, Merced County 2222 M Street Merced, CA 95340

District Attorney, Modoc County 204 S Court Street, Room 202 Alturas, CA 96101-4020

District Attorney, Mono County Post Office Box 617 Bridgeport, CA 93517

District Attorney, Monterey County 230 Church Street, Bldg 2 Salinas, CA 93901

District Attorney, Napa County 931 Parkway Mall Napa, CA 94559

District Attorney, Nevada County 110 Union Street Nevada City, CA 95959

District Attorney, Orange County 401 Civic Center Drive West Santa Ana, CA 92701

# Notice of Violations of California Health & Safety Code §25249.5 et seq. September 24, 2010 Page 6

District Attorney, Placer County 10810 Justice Center Drive, Ste 240 Roseville, CA 95678

District Attorney, Plumas County 520 Main Street, Room 404 Quincy, CA 95971

District Attorney, Riverside County 4075 Main Street, 1st Floor Riverside, CA 92501

District Attorney, Sacramento County 901 "G" Street Sacramento, CA 9581

District Attorney, San Benito County 419 Fourth Street, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor Hollister, CA 95023

District Attorney, San Bernardino County 316 N. Mountain View Avenue San Bernardino, CA 92415-0004

District Attorney, San Diego County 330 West Broadway, Room 1300 San Diego, CA 92101

District Attorney, San Francisco County 850 Bryant Street, Room 325 San Francsico, CA 94103

District Attorney, San Joaquin County Post Office Box 990 Stockton, CA 95201

District Attorney, San Luis Obispo County 1050 Monterey Street, Room 450 San Luis Obispo, CA 93408

District Attorney, San Mateo County 400 County Ctr., 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor Redwood City, CA 94063

District Attorney, Santa Barbara County 1105 Santa Barbara Street Santa Barbara, CA 93101

District Attorney, Santa Clara County 70 West Hedding Street San Jose, CA 95110

District Attorney, Santa Cruz County 701 Ocean Street, Room 200 Santa Cruz, CA-95060

District Attorney, Shasta County 1525 Court Street, Third Floor Redding, CA 96001-1632

District Attorney, Sierra County PO Box 457 Downieville, CA 95936 District Attorney, Siskiyou County Post Office Box 986 Yreka, CA 96097

District Attorney, Solano County 675 Texas Street, Ste 4500 Fairfield, CA 94533

District Attorney, Sonoma County 600 Administration Drive, Room 212J Santa Rosa, CA 95403

District Attorney, Stanislaus County 832 12th Street, Ste 300 Modesto, CA 95353

District Attorney, Sutter County 446 Second Street Yuba City, CA 95991

District Attorney, Tehama County Post Office Box 519 Red Bluff, CA 96080

District Attorney, Trinity County Post Office Box 310 Weaverville, CA 96093

District Attorney, Tulare County 221 S. Mooney Avenue, Room 224 Visalia, CA 93291

District Attorney, Tuolumne County 423 N. Washington Street Sonora, CA 95370

District Attorney, Ventura County 800 South Victoria Avenue Ventura, CA 93009

District Attorney, Yolo County 301 2<sup>nd</sup> Street Woodland, CA 95695

District Attorney, Yuba County 215 Fifth Street Marysville, CA 95901

Los Angeles City Attorney's Office City Hall East 200 N. Main Street, Rm 800 Los Angeles, CA 90012

San Diego City Attorney's Office 1200 3rd Avenue, Ste 1620 San Diego, CA 92101

San Francisco City Attorney's Office City Hall, Room 234 1 Drive Carlton B Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

San Jose City Attorney's Office 200 East Santa Clara Street San Jose, CA 95113



# **Environmental Research Center**

5694 Mission Center Road #199 San Diego, CA 92108 619.309.4194

January 14, 2011

# VIA CERTIFIED MAIL

Current CEO or President Nu Skin Enterprises, Inc. 75 West Center Street Provo, UT 84601

CT Corporation System (Nu Skin Enterprises, Inc.'s Registered Agent for Service of Process) 136 East South Temple, Suite 2100 Salt Lake City, UT 84111

# VIA PRIORITY MAIL

District Attorneys of All California Counties and Select City Attorneys (See Attached Certificate of Service)

Re: Notice of Violations of California Health & Safety Code Section 25249.5 et seq.

#### Dear Addressees:

I am the Executive Director of the Environmental Research Center ("ERC") in connection with this Notice of Violations of California's Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, which is codified at California Health & Safety Code Section 25249.5 et seq. and also referred to as Proposition 65.

ERC is a California non-profit corporation dedicated to, among other causes, helping safeguard the public from health hazards by bringing about a reduction in the use and misuse of hazardous and toxic chemicals, facilitating a safe environment for consumers and employees, and encouraging corporate responsibility.

The name of the Company covered by this Notice that violated Proposition 65 is:

#### Nu Skin Enterprises, Inc.

The products that are the subject of this Notice and the chemical in those products identified as exceeding allowable levels are:

Nu Skin Enterprises Pharmanax Standard ReishiMax GLp 60 Capsules - Lead Nu Skin Enterprises Pharmanex My Victory DuoLean 90 Capsules - Lead

On February 27, 1987, the State of California officially listed lead as a chemical known to cause developmental toxicity, and male and female reproductive toxicity. On October 1, 1992, the State of California officially listed lead as chemical known to cause cancer.

This letter is a Notice to Nu Skin Enterprises, Inc., and the appropriate governmental authorities of the Proposition 65 violations concerning the listed products. This Notice covers all violations of Proposition 65 involving Nu Skin Enterprises, Inc. currently known to ERC from the information now available. ERC may continue to investigate other products that may reveal further violations. A summary of Proposition 65, prepared by the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment, has been provided to the Noticed Company with a copy of this letter.

Nu Skin Enterprises, Inc. has manufactured, marketed, distributed, and/or sold the listed products, which have exposed and continue to expose numerous individuals within California to the identified chemicals. The primary route of exposure to these chemicals has been through ingestion, but may have also occurred through inhalation and/or dermal contact. Proposition 65 requires that a clear and reasonable warning be provided prior to exposure to the identified chemicals. The method of warning should be a warning that appears on the product's label. Nu Skin Enterprises, Inc. has violated Proposition 65 because the Company has failed to provide an appropriate warning to persons using these products that they are being exposed to the identified chemical.

Pursuant to Section 25249.7(d) of the statute, ERC intends to file a citizen enforcement action sixty days after effective service of this Notice unless Nu Skin Enterprises, Inc. agrees in an enforceable written instrument to: (1) reformulate the listed products so as to eliminate further exposures to the identified chemicals; and (2) pay an appropriate civil penalty. Consistent with the public interest goals of Proposition 65 and ERC 's objectives in pursuing this Notice, ERC is interested in seeking a constructive resolution to this matter. Such resolution will avoid both further unwarned consumer exposures to the identified chemicals and expensive and time consuming litigation.

Please direct all questions concerning this notice to ERC's attorney, Michael Freund, address: 1915 Addison Street, Berkley, California, 94704-1101, telephone no.: 510-540-1992, e-mail: Freund1@aol.com.

Sincerely,

Chris Heptinstall Executive Director

Environmental Research Center

cc: Karen Evans

Attachments

Certificate of Merit Certificate of Service

OEHHA Summary (to Nu Skin Enterprises, Inc. and its Registered Agent for Service of Process only) Additional Supporting Information for Certificate of Merit (to AG only)

### **CERTIFICATE OF MERIT**

Re: Environmental Research Center's Notice of Proposition 65 Violations by Nu Skin Enterprises, Inc.

# I, Michael Freund, declare:

- 1. This Certificate of Merit accompanies the attached sixty-day Notice in which it is alleged the party identified in the Notice violated California Health & Safety Code Section 25249.6 by failing to provide clear and reasonable warnings.
- 2. I am an attorney for the noticing party.
- 3. I have consulted with one or more persons with relevant and appropriate experience or expertise who have reviewed facts, studies, or other data regarding the exposure to the listed chemical that is the subject of the Notice.
- 4. Based on the information obtained through those consultants, and on other information in my possession, I believe there is a reasonable and meritorious case for the private action. I understand that "reasonable and meritorious case for the private action" means that the information provides a credible basis that all elements of the plaintiff's case can be established and that the information did not prove that the alleged violator will be able to establish any of the affirmative defenses set forth in the statute.
- 5. Along with the copy of this Certificate of Merit served on the Attorney General is attached additional factual information sufficient to establish the basis for this Certificate, including the information identified in California Health & Safety Code §25249.7(h)(2), i.e., (1) the identity of the persons consulted with and relied on by the certifier, and (2) the facts, studies, or other data reviewed by those persons.

Dated: January 14, 2011

Michael Freund
Attorney for Environmental Research Center

#### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, the undersigned, declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the following is true and correct:

I am a citizen of the United States, over the age of 18 years of age, and am not a party to the within entitled action. My business address is 306 Joy Street, Fort Oglethorpe, Georgia 30742

On January 14, 2011, I served the following documents: NOTICE OF VIOLATIONS OF CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5 ET SEQ.; CERTIFICATE OF MERIT; "THE SAFE DRINKING WATER AND TOXIC ENFORCEMENT ACT OF 1986 (PROPOSITION 65): A SUMMARY"

On the following parties by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope, addressed to the party listed below and depositing it in a US Postal Service Office for delivery by Certified Mail:

Current CEO or President Nu Skin Enterprises, Inc. 75 West Center Street Provo, UT 84601 CT Corporation System (Nu Skin Enterprises, Inc.'s Registered Agent for Service of Process) 136 East South Temple, Suite 2100 Salt Lake City, UT 84111

On January 14, 2011, I served the following documents: NOTICE OF VIOLATION, CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5 ET SEQ.; CERTIFICATE OF MERIT; ADDITIONAL SUPPORTING INFORMATION FOR CERTIFICATE OF MERIT AS REQUIRED BY CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.7(d)(1)

On the following parties by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope, addressed to the party listed below and depositing it in a US Postal Service Office for delivery by Certified Mail:

Office of the California Attorney General Prop 65 Enforcement Reporting 1515 Clay Street, Suite 2000 Post Office Box 70550 Oakland, CA 94612-0550

On January 14, 2011, I served the following documents: NOTICE OF VIOLATION, CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5 ET SEQ.; CERTIFICATE OF MERIT

On each of the parties on the Service List attached hereto by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope, addressed to each of the parties on the Service List attached hereto, and depositing it with the U.S. Postal Service for delivery by Priority Mail.

Executed on January 14, 2011, in Fort Oglethorpe, Georgia.

Shiff the state of the state of

Chris Heptinstall

#### Service List

District Attorney, Alameda County 1225 Fallon Street, Room 900 Oakland, CA 94612

District Attorney, Alpine County P.O. Box 248 Markleeville, CA 96120

District Attorney, Amador County 708 Court Street, #202 Jackson, CA 95642

District Attorney, Butte County 25 County Center Drive Oroville, CA 95965

District Attorney, Calaveras County 891 Mountain Ranch Road San Andreas, CA 95249

District Attorney, Colusa County 547 Market Street Colusa, CA 95932

District Attorney, Contra Costa County 900 Ward Street Martinez, CA 94553

District Attorney, Del Norte County 450 H Street, Ste. 171 Crescent City, CA 95531

District Attorney, El Dorado County 515 Main Street Placerville, CA 95667

District Attorney, Fresno County 2220 Tulare Street, #1000 Fresno, CA 93721

District Attorney, Glenn County Post Office Box 430 Willows, CA 95988

District Attorney, Humboldt County 825 5th Street Eureka, CA 95501

District Attorney, Imperial County 939 West Main Street, Ste 102 El Centro, CA 92243

District Attorney, Inyo County 230 W. Line Street Bishop, CA 93514

District Attorney, Kern County 1215 Truxtun Avenue Bakersfield, CA 93301 District Attorney, Kings County 1400 West Lacey Boulevard Hanford, CA 93230

District Attorney, Lake County 255 N. Forbes Street Lakeport, CA 95453

District Attorney, Lassen County 220 South Lassen Street, Ste. 8 Susanville, CA 96130

District Attorney, Los Angeles County 210 West Temple Street, Rm 345 Los Angeles, CA 90012

District Attorney, Madera County 209 West Yosemite Avenue Madera, CA 93637

District Attorney, Marin County 3501 Civic Center, Room 130 San Rafael, CA 94903

District Attorney, Mariposa County Post Office Box 730 Mariposa, CA 95338

District Attorney, Mendocino County Post Office Box 1000 Ukiah, CA 95482

District Attorney, Merced County 2222 M Street Merced, CA 95340

District Attorney, Modoc County 204 S Court Street, Room 202 Alturas, CA 96101-4020

District Attorney, Mono County Post Office Box 617 Bridgeport, CA 93517

District Attorney, Monterey County 230 Church Street, Bldg 2 Salinas, CA 93901

District Attorney, Napa County 931 Parkway Mall Napa, CA 94559

District Attorney, Nevada County 110 Union Street Nevada City, CA 95959

District Attorney, Orange County 401 Civic Center Drive West Santa Ana, CA 92701

> District Attorney, Placer County 10810 Justice Center Drive, Ste 240 Roseville, CA 95678

District Attorney, Plumas County 520 Main Street, Room 404 Quincy, CA 95971

District Attorney, Riverside County 4075 Main Street, 1st Floor Riverside, CA 92501

District Attorney, Sacramento County 901 "G" Street Sacramento, CA 9581

District Attorney, San Benito County 419 Fourth Street, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor Hollister, CA 95023

District Attorney, San Bernardino County 316 N. Mountain View Avenue San Bernardino, CA 92415-0004

District Attorney, San Diego County 330 West Broadway, Room 1300 San Diego, CA 92101

District Attorney, San Francisco County 850 Bryant Street, Room 325 San Francsico, CA 94103

District Attorney, San Joaquin County Post Office Box 990 Stockton, CA 95201

District Attorney, San Luis Obispo County 1050 Monterey Street, Room 450 San Luis Obispo, CA 93408

District Attorney, San Mateo County 400 County Ctr., 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor Redwood City, CA 94063

District Attorney, Santa Barbara County 1105 Santa Barbara Street Santa Barbara, CA 93101

District Attorney, Santa Clara County 70 West Hedding Street San Jose, CA 95110

District Attorney, Santa Cruz County 701 Ocean Street, Room 200 Santa Cruz, CA 95060

District Attorney, Shasta County 1525 Court Street, Third Floor Redding, CA 96001-1632

District Attorney, Sierra County PO Box 457 Downieville, CA 95936 District Attorney, Siskiyou County Post Office Box 986 Yreka, CA 96097

District Attorney, Solano County 675 Texas Street, Ste 4500 Fairfield, CA 94533

District Attorney, Sonoma County 600 Administration Drive, Room 212J Santa Rosa, CA 95403

District Attorney, Stanislaus County 832 12<sup>th</sup> Street, Ste 300 Modesto, CA 95353

District Attorney, Sutter County 446 Second Street Yuba City, CA 95991

District Attorney, Tehama County Post Office Box 519 Red Bluff, CA 96080

District Attorney, Trinity County Post Office Box 310 Weaverville, CA 96093

District Attorney, Tulare County 221 S. Mooney Avenue, Room 224 Visalia, CA 93291

District Attorney, Tuolumne County 423 N. Washington Street Sonora, CA 95370

District Attorney, Ventura County 800 South Victoria Avenue Ventura, CA 93009

District Attorney, Yolo County 301 2<sup>nd</sup> Street Woodland, CA 95695

District Attorney, Yuba County 215 Fifth Street Marysville, CA 95901

Los Angeles City Attorney's Office City Hall East 200 N. Main Street, Rm 800 Los Angeles, CA 90012

San Diego City Attorney's Office 1200 3rd Avenue, Ste 1620 San Diego, CA 92101

San Francisco City Attorney's Office City Hall, Room 234 1 Drive Carlton B Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

San Jose City Attorney's Office 200 East Santa Clara Street San Jose, CA 95113