2 3 4 5 6 7 8		MAR 1 2 2012  KIM TURNER Court Executive Officer COURT By: A. Garcia, Deputy  TOF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA  OUNTY OF MARIN
10	UNLIMIT	TED CIVIL JURISDICTION
11	ANTHONY E. HELD, PH.D., P.E.,	) Case No.: CIV-1104673
12	Plaintiff,	)   <del>[PROPOSE</del> D] JUDGMENT PURSUANT
13	v.	TO TERMS OF PROPOSITION 65 SETTLEMENT AND [PROPOSED]
14	Y & S HANDBAGS, INC.; et al.,	CONSENT JUDGMENT
15	Defendants.	Date: March 23, 2012 Time: 8:30 a.m.
16		) Dept.: E Judge: Hon. Faye D'Opal
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22 23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

1	In the above-entitled action, Plaintiff ANTHONY E. HELD, PH.D., P.E. and Defendant		
2	Y & S HANDBAGS, INC. having agreed that a judgment be entered pursuant to the terms of the		
3	Consent Judgment entered into by the parties, and following the issuance of an order approving this		
4	Proposition 65 settlement agreement on December, 2011.		
5	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that pursuant to Health & Safety		
6	Code § 25249.7(f)(4) and Code of Civil Procedure § 664.6, judgment is hereby entered in accordance		
7	with the terms of the Consent Judgment attached hereto as Exhibit 1. By stipulation of the parties,		
8	the Court will retain jurisdiction to enforce the settlement under Code of Civil Procedure § 664.6.		
9	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
10	FAYE D'OPAL		
11	Dated: MAR 1 2 2012  JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT		
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

1	Josh Voorhees, State Bar No. 241436 Troy C. Bailey, State Bar No. 277424				
2	THE CHANLER GROUP 2560 Ninth Street				
3	Parker Plaza, Suite 214				
4	Berkeley, CA 94710-2565 Telephone: (510) 848-8880 Facsimile: (510) 848-8118				
5	Attorneys for Plaintiff				
6	ANTHONY E. HELD, PH.D., P.E.				
7	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA				
8	FOR THE COUNTY OF MARIN				
9	UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION				
10	OIVERVITED CI	VIL JURISDICTION			
11	ANTHONY E. HELD, PH.D., P.E.,	) Case No. CIV1104673			
12	Plaintiff,	) ) )			
13	v.	) [PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT )			
14	Y & S HANDBAGS, INC.; and DOES 1-150,	Dept:			
15	inclusive,	Judge: Date: None set			
16	Defendants.	) ) Complaint Filed: September 20, 2011			
17		Complaint Fried. September 20, 2011			
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					
		_			

[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT

#### 1. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>

### 1.1 Anthony E. Held, Ph.D., P.E., and Y&S Handbags, Inc.

This Consent Judgment is entered into by and between Anthony E. Held, Ph.D., P.E., ("Held" or "Plaintiff") and Y&S Handbags, Inc. ("Y&S" or "Defendant"), with Plaintiff and Defendant collectively referred to as the "Parties".

#### 1.2 Anthony E. Held, Ph.D., P.E.

Held is an individual residing in the State of California who seeks to promote awareness of exposure to toxic chemicals and to improve human health by reducing or eliminating hazardous substances contained in consumer and commercial products.

#### 1.3 Y&S Handbags, Inc.

Held alleges that Y&S employs ten or more persons and is a person in the course of doing business for purposes of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health & Safety Code § 25249.6, et seq. ("Proposition 65").

### 1.4 General Allegations

Held alleges that Y&S has manufactured, imported, distributed and/or sold handbags that contain di(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate ("DEHP"), without the requisite Proposition 65 warnings. DEHP is on the Proposition 65 list as known to cause birth defects and other reproductive harm.

### 1.5 Product Description

The products that are covered by this Consent Judgment are defined as follows: handbags containing DEHP including, but not limited to, *Magid Handbag*, *M500* (#7 88389 15746 0) manufactured, imported, distributed and/or sold by Y&S, hereinafter the "Products".

#### 1.6 Notice of Violation

On or about March 17, 2011, Held served Y&S and various public enforcement agencies, with a document entitled "60-Day Notice of Violation" ("Notice") that provided the recipients with notice of alleged violations of Proposition 65 based on Y&S' alleged failure to warn consumers that the Products exposed users in California to DEHP. To the best of the Parties' knowledge, no public enforcer has prosecuted the allegations set forth in the Notice.

#### 1.7 <u>Complaint</u>

On September 20, 2011, Held filed a complaint in the Superior Court in and for the County of Marin against Y&S Handbags, Inc. and Does 1 through 150, Held v. Y&S Handbags, Inc., et al., Case No. CIV1104673 (the "Complaint" or "Action"), alleging violations of Proposition 65, based on the alleged exposures to DEHP contained in certain handbags sold by Y&S.

#### 1.8 No Admission

Y&S denies the material, factual and legal allegations contained in Held's Notice and Complaint and maintains that all products that it has sold, manufactured, imported and/or distributed in California, including the Products, have been and are in compliance with all laws. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by Y&S of any fact, finding, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with this Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by Y&S of any fact, finding, conclusion, issue of law, or violation of law. However, this section shall not diminish or otherwise affect Y&S' obligations, responsibilities, and duties under this Consent Judgment.

### 1.9 Consent to Jurisdiction

For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over Y&S as to the allegations contained in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of Marin and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter and enforce the provisions of this Consent Judgment.

### 1.10 Effective Date

For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term "Effective Date" shall mean November 28, 2011.

# 2. <u>INJUNCTIVE RELIEF: REFORMULATION</u>

## 2.1 Reformulation Standard

"Reformulated Products" are defined as those Products containing DEHP in concentrations less than 0.1 percent (1,000 parts per million ("ppm")) in each accessible component when

analyzed pursuant to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency testing methodologies 3580A and 8270C or any other methodology utilized by federal or state agencies for the purpose of determining the DEHP content in a solid substance ("Reformulation Standard").

# 2.2 Reformulated Products Specification Compliance Date

No more than 30 days after the Effective Date, Y&S shall have provided the Reformulation Standard to its then-current Vendors of Products that will be sold or offered for sale to California citizens and shall instruct each Vendor to use reasonable efforts to provide Products that comply with the Reformulation Standard expeditiously.

# 2.3 <u>Reformulation Commitment</u>

As of the September 1, 2012, all Products manufactured, imported, distributed, sold or offered for sale in the state of California by Y&S shall be Products that qualify as Reformulated Products as defined in Section 2.1 above.

# 3. MONETARY PAYMENTS

# 3.1 Civil Penalty Payment Pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b)

Y&S shall make a payment of \$3,500 to be apportioned in accordance with Health & Safety Code section 25249.12, subdivisions (c)(1) and (d), with 75% of these funds earmarked for the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA") and the remaining 25% of these penalty monies earmarked for Held. This penalty reflects a credit of \$7,000 based on Y&S's commitment to reformulate the Products pursuant to Section 2.1 above.

# 3.2 Reimbursement of Held's Fees and Costs

The Parties acknowledge that Held and his counsel offered to resolve this dispute without reaching terms on the amount of fees and costs to be reimbursed to them, thereby leaving this fee issue to be resolved after the material terms of the agreement had been settled. Y&S then expressed a desire to resolve the fee and cost issue shortly after the other settlement terms had been finalized. The Parties then attempted to (and did) reach an accord on the compensation due to Held and his counsel under general contract principles and the private attorney general doctrine codified at California Code of Civil Procedure section 1021.5, for all work performed, in this matter, except fees that may be incurred on appeal. Under these legal principles, Y&S shall pay the amount of

1	\$30,000 for fees	s and	costs in	curred including investigating
2	addition to attor	ney's	fees to	be incurred in seeking judicia
3	including, but n	ot lim	iited to,	preparing and filing the appre
4	65 reporting req	uiren	nents, a	nd preparing for and attending
5	approval of this	Cons	ent Jud	gment.
6	3.3 I	Paymo	ent Pro	ocedures
7	3	3.3.1	Funds	s Held In Trust: All paymen
8	delivered on or	before	e Nove	mber 28, 2011 to The Chanler
9	the Court's appr	roval	of this (	Consent Judgment.
10	F	Payme	ents deli	ivered to The Chanler Group
11			(a)	One check made payable to
12				OEHHA" in the amount of S
13			(b)	One check made payable to
14				Anthony E. Held, Ph.D., P.I
15			(c)	One check made payable to
16				amount of \$30,000.
17	3	3.3.2	Issuai	nce of 1099 Forms. After the
18	Y&S shall issue	three	separa	te 1099 forms, as follows:
19			(a)	The first 1099 shall be issue
20				Hazard Assessment, P.O. Bo
21				68-0284486) in the amount
22			(b)	The second 1099 shall be iss
23				the amount of \$875, whose a
24				shall be furnished upon requ
25			(c)	The third 1099 shall be issue
26				3171522) in the amount of \$
27				
28				

g, noticing, and litigating this matter, in al approval of this Consent Judgment oval papers, complying with Proposition g any and all hearings related to the

ats required by Sections 3.1 and 3.2 shall r Group and shall be held in trust pending

shall be made payable, as follows:

- "The Chanler Group in Trust for \$2,625;
- "The Chanler Group in Trust for E." in the amount of \$875; and
- "The Chanler Group in Trust" in the
- Consent Judgment has been approved
  - ed to the Office of Environmental Health ox 4010, Sacramento, CA 95814 (EIN: of \$2,625;
  - sued to Anthony E. Held, Ph.D., P.E. in address and tax identification number iest; and
  - ed to The Chanler Group (EIN: 94-30,000

**3.3.3 Payment Address:** All payments to the Chanler Group shall be delivered to the following payment address:

The Chanler Group Attn: Proposition 65 Controller 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710

### 4. RELEASE OF ALL CLAIMS

## 4.1 Full, Final and Binding Resolution of Proposition 65 Allegations

This Consent Judgment is a full, final and binding resolution between Held, on behalf of himself and the public, and Y&S, of any violation of Proposition 65 that was or could have been asserted by Held against Y&S, its parents, subsidiaries, affiliated entities that are under common ownership, directors, officers, employees, attorneys, and each entity to whom Y&S directly or indirectly distributes or sells Products, including but not limited to downstream distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, franchisees, cooperative members, licensors, and licensees ("Releasees"), based on their failure to warn about alleged exposures to DEHP contained in the Products that were sold by Y&S.

# 4.2 Held's Public Release of Proposition 65 Claims

In further consideration of the promises and agreements herein contained, Held on behalf of himself, his past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and/or assignees, and in the interest of the general public, hereby waives all rights to institute or participate in, directly or indirectly, any form of legal action and releases all claims, including, without limitation, all actions, and causes of action, in law or in equity, suits, liabilities, demands, obligations, damages, costs, fines, penalties, losses, or expenses -- including, but not limited to, investigation fees, expert fees, and attorneys' fees, but exclusive of fees and costs on appeal -- limited to and arising under Proposition 65 with respect to DEHP in the Products sold by Y&S (collectively "Claims"), against Y&S and Releasees.

### 4.3 Held's Individual Release of Claims

Held also, in his individual capacity only and *not* in his representative capacity, provides a release herein which shall be effective as a full and final accord and satisfaction, as a bar to all actions, causes of action, obligations, costs, expenses, attorneys' fees, damages, losses, Claims, liabilities and demands of Held of any nature, character or kind, whether known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, against Y&S and Releasees, limited to and arising out of alleged or actual exposures to DEHP in the Products manufactured, distributed or sold by Y&S.

### 4.4 Y&S' Release of Held

Y&S on behalf of itself, its past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and/or assignees, hereby waives any and all Claims against Held, his attorneys and other representatives, for any and all actions taken or statements made (or those that could have been taken or made) by Held and his attorneys and other representatives, whether in the course of investigating Claims or otherwise seeking to enforce Proposition 65 against it in this matter with respect to the Products.

### 5. COURT APPROVAL

This Consent Judgment is not effective until it is approved and entered by the Court and shall be null and void if, for any reason, it is not approved and entered by the Court within one year after it has been fully executed by all parties.

#### 6. <u>SEVERABILITY</u>

If, subsequent to the execution of this Consent Judgment, any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment are held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the enforceable provisions remaining shall not be adversely affected.

# 7. **GOVERNING LAW**

The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California and apply within the State of California. In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed, preempted or is otherwise rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally, or if any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment are rendered inapplicable or no longer require as a result of any such repeal or

preemption or rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally as to the Products, then Y&S shall notify Held and have no further obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment with respect to, and to the extent that, the Products are so affected.

#### 8. NOTICES

Unless specified herein, all correspondence and notices required to be provided pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be in writing and personally delivered or sent by: (i) first-class, (registered or certified mail) return receipt requested; or (ii) overnight courier on any Party by the other Party at the following addresses:

To Y&S:	To Held:
---------	----------

Pincus Rand, CEO	Proposition 65 Coordinator
Y&S Handbag, Inc.	The Chanler Group
320 5 <sup>th</sup> Avenue, #7	2560 Ninth Street
New York, NY 10001-3102	Parker Plaza, Suite 214
2.0 2011, 2 2000 200	Berkeley, CA 94710-2565

Any Party, from time to time, may specify in writing to the other Party a change of address to which all notices and other communications shall be sent.

# 9. COUNTERPARTS; FACSIMILE SIGNATURES

This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by facsimile or pdf signature, each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute one and the same document. A facsimile or pdf signature shall be as valid as the original.

# 10. COMPLIANCE WITH HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 25249.7(f)

Held and his attorneys agree to comply with the reporting form requirements referenced in California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(f).

# 11. ADDITIONAL POST EXECUTION ACTIVITIES

Held and Y&S agree to mutually employ their best efforts to support the entry of this agreement as a Consent Judgment and obtain approval of the Consent Judgment by the Court in a timely manner. The Parties acknowledge that, pursuant to California Health & Safety Code \$ 25249.7, a noticed motion is required to obtain judicial approval of this Consent Judgment, which Held shall draft and file, and Y&S shall join. If any third party objection to the noticed

motion is filed, Held and Y&S shall work together to file a joint reply and appear at any hearing before the Court. This provision is a material component of the Consent Judgment and shall be treated as such in the event of a breach.

### 12. MODIFICATION

This Consent Judgment may be modified only: (1) by written agreement of the Parties and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court thereon; or (2) upon a successful motion of any Party and entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court.

### 13. AUTHORIZATION

The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their respective Parties and have read, understood, and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment.

AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:
APPROVED By Tony at 10:44 pm, Dec 06, 2011 Date:	Date:
By: anthony & Kell	By:
Plaintiff, Anthony E. Held, Ph.D., P.E.	Defendant, Y& Handbags, Inc.