

NOV 0 1 2011

KIM TURNER, Court Executive Officer
MARIN COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT

By: D. Taylor, Deputy

# SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA FOR THE COUNTY OF MARIN UNLIMITED JURISDICTION

CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH,

Case No. CIV-1104003

Plaintiff,

\_ \_\_\_\_

VS.

ADAMS USA, INC., et al.,

Defendants.

[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT

# 1. INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 This Consent Judgment is entered into by the Center For Environmental Health, a California non-profit corporation ("CEH"), and Rawlings Sporting Goods Company, Inc. ("Defendant"), to settle certain claims asserted by CEH against Defendant as set forth in the operative complaint in the matter entitled *Center for Environmental Health v. Adams USA, Inc.*, Marin County Superior Court Case No. CIV-1104003 (the "Action").
- 1.2 On March 30, 2011, CEH provided a "Notice of Violation of Proposition 65" ("60-Day Notice") to the California Attorney General, the District Attorneys of every county in California, the City Attorneys of every California city with a population greater than 750,000, and to Defendant regarding the presence of lead in baseball or other sports belts used in athletic

ONFRMENT PREPARER ON RECYCLED PAPER uniforms ("Covered Products").

- 1.3 On August 10, 2011, CEH filed the complaint against Defendant in the Action.
- 1.4 Defendant is a corporation that employs 10 or more persons, and which manufactures, distributes and/or sells Covered Products in the State of California.
- 1.5 Promptly following receipt of CEH's 60-Day Notice, all sales of the Rawlings Youth Baseball Belt Red, SKU No. 0-83321-13047-2, RN No. 11510, which was identified as an example in CEH's 60-Day Notice (the "Exemplar Covered Product"), were suspended in California by Defendant's customers. Defendant also promptly performed lead testing on the Exemplar Covered Product to evaluate CEH's allegations relative to test results it had previously obtained.
- 1.6 For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, CEH and Defendant (the "Parties") stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Complaint and personal jurisdiction over Defendant as to the acts alleged in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of Marin, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment as a full and final resolution of all claims which were or could have been raised in the Complaint based on the facts alleged therein with respect to Covered Products manufactured, distributed, and/or sold by Defendant.
- settlement of all claims that were raised in the Complaint, or which could have been raised in the Complaint, arising out of the facts or conduct related to Defendant alleged therein. By execution of this Consent Judgment and agreeing to comply with its terms, the Parties do not admit any facts or conclusions of law including, but not limited to, any facts or conclusions of law suggesting or demonstrating any violations of Proposition 65 or any other statutory, common law or equitable requirements. Nothing in this Consent Judgment is or shall be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law or violation of law, nor shall compliance with the Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law. Defendant denies the material,

factual and legal allegations in CEH's Complaint and expressly denies any wrong doing whatsoever. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive or impair any right, remedy, argument or defense the Parties may have in this or any other pending or future legal proceedings. This Consent Judgment is the product of negotiation and compromise and is accepted by the Parties solely for purposes of settling, compromising, and resolving issues disputed in this action.

#### 2. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

- 2.1 Reformulation of Covered Products. As of the date of entry of this Consent Judgment (the "Effective Date"), Defendant shall not manufacture, ship, sell or offer for sale any Covered Product unless such Covered Product complies with the following Lead Limits:
- 2.1.1 "Paint or other Surface Coatings" as that term is defined in 16 C.F.R. § 1303.2(b): no more than 0.009 percent Lead by weight (90 parts per million ("ppm").
  - 2.1.2 All other materials: no more than .01 percent Lead by weight (100 ppm).

#### 3. **ENFORCEMENT**

3.1 **Enforcement Procedures.** Prior to bringing any motion or order to show cause to enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment, a Party seeking to enforce shall provide the violating party thirty (30) days advanced written notice of the alleged violation. The Parties shall meet and confer during such thirty (30) day period in an effort to try to reach agreement on an appropriate cure for the alleged violation. After such thirty (30) day period, the Party seeking to enforce may, by new action, motion or order to show cause before the Superior Court of Marin, seek to enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment.

#### **PAYMENTS**

- 4.1 Payments From Defendant. Within five (5) days of the entry of this Consent Judgment, Defendant shall pay the total sum of \$40,000 as a settlement payment.
- 4.2 Allocation of Payments. The total settlement amount for Defendant shall be paid in three separate checks delivered to the offices of the Lexington Law Group (Attn: Howard Hirsch), 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, California 94117 and made payable and allocated



as follows:

- 4.2.1 Defendant shall pay the sum of \$4,000 as a penalty pursuant to Health & Safety Code §25249.7(b), such money to be apportioned by CEH in accordance with Health & Safety Code §25249.12. The penalty check shall be made payable to the Center For Environmental Health.
- 4.2.2 Defendant shall pay the sum of \$11,500 as payment to CEH in lieu of penalty pursuant to Health & Safety Code §25249.7(b), and California Code of Regulations, Title 11, §3202(b). CEH will use such funds to continue its work educating and protecting people from exposures to toxic chemicals, including heavy metals. CEH may also use a portion of such funds to monitor compliance with the reformulation requirements of this and other similar Consent Judgments and to purchase and test Covered Products to confirm compliance with such reformulation requirements. In addition, as part of its Community Environmental Action and Justice Fund, CEH will use four percent of such funds to award grants to grassroots environmental justice groups working to educate and protect people from exposures to toxic chemicals. The method of selection of such groups can be found at the CEH web site at <a href="https://www.ceh.org/justicefund">www.ceh.org/justicefund</a>. The payment in lieu of penalty check shall be made payable to the Center for Environmental Health.
- 4.2.3 Defendant shall pay the sum of \$24,500 as reimbursement of reasonable attorneys' fees and costs. The attorneys' fees and cost reimbursement check shall be made payable to the Lexington Law Group.

## 5. MODIFICATION AND DISPUTE RESOLUTION

- 5.1 Modification. This Consent Judgment may be modified from time to time by express written agreement of the Parties, with the approval of the Court, or by an order of this Court upon motion and in accordance with law.
- 5.2 **Notice; Meet and Confer.** Any Party seeking to modify this Consent Judgment shall attempt in good faith to meet and confer with the other Party prior to filing a motion to modify the Consent Judgment.



## 6. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASE

- Defendant and Defendant's parents, shareholders, divisions, subdivisions, subsidiaries, partners, sister companies and their successors and assigns ("Defendant Releasees"), and all to whom they distribute or sell Covered Products including, but not limited to, distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers (including but not limited to Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. and its affiliates and subsidiaries), franchisees, cooperative members, and licensees ("Downstream Defendant Releasees"), of any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law claims that have been or could have been asserted in the public interest against Defendant, Defendant Releasees, and Downstream Defendant Releasees, regarding the failure to warn about exposure to lead arising in connection with Covered Products manufactured, distributed, or sold by Defendant prior to the Effective Date.
- 6.2 CEH, for itself and acting on behalf of the public interest pursuant to Health & Safety Code §25249.7(d), releases, waives, and forever discharges any and all claims against Defendant, Defendant Releasees, and Downstream Defendant Releasees arising from any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law claims that have been or could have been asserted in the public interest regarding the failure to warn about exposure to lead arising in connection with Covered Products manufactured, distributed or sold by Defendant prior to the Effective Date.
- 6.3 Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment by Defendant and the Defendant Releasees shall constitute compliance with Proposition 65 by Defendant, the Defendant Releasees and their Downstream Defendant Releasees with respect to any alleged failure to warn about lead in Covered Products manufactured, distributed or sold by Defendant after the Effective Date.

## 7. PROVISION OF NOTICE

7.1 When any Party is entitled to receive any notice under this Consent Judgment, the notice shall be sent by first class and electronic mail as follows:



28

7.1.1 Notices to Defendant.	The person for Defendant to receive Notices
pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be:	

Marc P. Clements Jarden Corporation 2111 East 37th Street N Wichita KS 67219 MClements@jarden.com

With a copy to:

Robert L. Falk Morrison & Foerster LLP 425 Market Street San Francisco, CA 94105-2482 RFalk@mofo.com

7.1.2 **Notices to Plaintiff.** The person for CEH to receive Notices pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be:

Howard Hirsch Lexington Law Group 503 Divisadero Street San Francisco, CA 94117 hhirsch@lexlawgroup.com

7.2 Any Party may modify the person and address to whom the notice is to be sent by sending the other Party notice by first class and electronic mail.

# 8. COURT APPROVAL

- 8.1 This Consent Judgment shall become effective on the Effective Date, provided however, that CEH shall prepare and file a Motion for Approval of this Consent Judgment and Defendant shall support approval of such Motion.
- 8.2 If this Consent Judgment is not entered by the Court, it shall be of no force or effect and shall not be introduced into evidence or otherwise used in any proceeding for any purpose.

# 9. GOVERNING LAW AND CONSTRUCTION

9.1 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California.

#### 10. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

10.1 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments, or understandings related thereto, if any, are hereby merged herein and therein. There are no warranties, representations, or other agreements between the Parties except as expressly set forth herein. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those specifically referred to in this Consent Judgment have been made by any Party hereto. No other agreements not specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto. Any agreements specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto only to the extent that they are expressly incorporated herein. No supplementation, modification, waiver, or termination of this Consent Judgment shall be binding unless executed in writing by the Party to be bound thereby. No waiver of any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed or shall constitute a waiver of any of the other provisions hereof whether or not similar, nor shall such waiver constitute a continuing waiver.

#### 11. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

11.1 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement or modify the Consent Judgment.

# 12. AUTHORITY TO STIPULATE TO CONSENT JUDGMENT

12.1 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the Party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment and to enter into and execute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the Party represented and legally to bind that Party.

# 13. NO EFFECT ON OTHER SETTLEMENTS

13.1 Except as set forth in Section 6 above, nothing in this Consent Judgment shall preclude CEH from resolving any claim against another entity on terms that are different than those contained in this Consent Judgment.

# 14. EXECUTION IN COUNTERPARTS

14.1 The stipulations to this Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by means of facsimile, which taken together shall be deemed to constitute one document.

IT IS SO STIPULATED:

Dated: Aug 7-3, 2011	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH  CHARLIE PIZARRO  Printed Name
	Associate Dinzeron
Dated:, 2011	RAWLINGS SPORTING GOODS COMPANY, INC.
·	Printed Name
	Title
IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED	
Dated:	Judge of the Superior Court of the State of California
CAMPENT HIGHA	- 8 - ENT' - RAWLINGS - CASE NO. CIV-1104003

# 14. EXECUTION IN COUNTERPARTS

14.1 The stipulations to this Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by means of facsimile, which taken together shall be deemed to constitute one document.

IT IS SO STIPULATED:

Dated:, 2011	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH  Printed Name  Title
Dated: 25-AVO, 2011	RAWLINGS SPORTING GOODS COMPANY INC  B. D. Jose Petson Printed Name  CFO Title

IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED

Dated:

ON RECYCLED PAPER

NOV 0 1 2011

# LYNN DURYEE

Judge of the Superior Court of the State of California

- 8 -