		•	
1			
2		ENDORSED FILED	
3		ALAMEDA COUNTY	
4		JAN 1 3 2012	
5		CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT	
6		By GOMZALEZ Deputy	
7			
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE S	STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
9	FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA		
10	UNLIMITED JURISDICTION		
11			
12	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH,) Case No. RG 10-545680	
13	Plaintiff,		
14	VS.) [PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT) AS TO KNITWORK PRODUCTION II,	
15	TWO'S COMPANY, INC., et al,) LLC AND WINDSOR FASHIONS,) INC.	
16	Defendants.		
17			
18		}	
19			
20	1. INTRODUCTION		
21	1.1 This Consent Judgment is entered into by the Center For Environmental Health, a		
22	California non-profit corporation ("CEH"), and defendants Knitwork Production II, LLC		
23	("Knitwork") and Windsor Fashions, Inc. ("Windsor") (collectively, "Defendants"), to settle		
24	certain claims asserted by CEH against Defendants as set forth in the operative complaint in the		
25	matter entitled Center for Environmental Health v. Two's Company, Inc., Alameda County		
26	Superior Court Case No. RG 10-545680 (the "Action").		
27	On February 4, 2011 as to Windsor and March 30, 2011 as to Knitwork, CEH		
28	provided a "Notice of Violation of Proposition 65" to the California Attorney General, the District		
DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER	-1-		

Attorneys of every county in California, the City Attorneys of every California city with a population greater than 750,000, and to Defendants regarding the presence of lead in jewelry manufactured, distributed or sold by Defendants.

- 1.3 On May 25, 2011, CEH amended the operative complaint in the Action to name Windsor, and on July 20, 2011, CEH amended the operative complaint in the Action to name Knitwork.
- 1.4 Defendants are corporations that employ 10 or more persons, and which manufacture, distribute and/or sell Covered Products in the State of California.
- 1.5 For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, CEH and Defendants (the "Parties") stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Complaint and personal jurisdiction over Defendants as to the acts alleged in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of Alameda, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment as a full and final resolution of all claims which were or could have been raised in the Complaint based on the facts alleged therein with respect to Covered Products manufactured, distributed, and/or sold by Defendants.
- 1.6 CEH and Defendants enter into this Consent Judgment as a full and final settlement of all claims that were raised in the Complaint, or which could have been raised in the Complaint, arising out of the facts or conduct related to Defendants alleged therein. By execution of this Consent Judgment and agreeing to comply with its terms, the Parties do not admit any facts or conclusions of law including, but not limited to, any facts or conclusions of law suggesting or demonstrating any violations of Proposition 65 or any other statutory, common law or equitable requirements relating to lead in jewelry. Nothing in this Consent Judgment is or shall be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law or violation of law, nor shall compliance with the Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law. Defendants deny the material, factual and legal allegations in CEH's Complaint and expressly deny any wrong doing whatsoever. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive or impair any right, remedy, argument or defense the Parties may have in this or any other pending or future legal

proceedings. This Consent Judgment is the product of negotiation and compromise and is accepted by the Parties solely for purposes of settling, compromising, and resolving issues disputed in this action.

2. **DEFINITIONS**

- 2.1 The term "Covered Product" means (a) the following ornaments worn by a person: an anklet, arm cuff, bracelet, charm, brooch, chain, crown, cuff link, decorated hair accessories, earring, necklace, pin, ring and body piercing jewelry; or (b) any bead, chain, link, pendant, or other component of such an ornament.
 - 2.2 The term "Effective Date" means the date of entry of this Consent Judgment.

3. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

- 3.1 **Reformulation of Covered Products.** After the Effective Date, Defendants shall not manufacture, ship, sell or offer for sale any Covered Product in California or anywhere else in the United States that contains:
- 3.1.1 Any component not covered under Section 3.1.2, or that is made of any material not covered under Section 3.1.2, that is more than 0.02 percent (200 parts per million ("ppm")) Lead by weight; or
- 3.1.2 Any Paint or Surface Coating that is more than 0.009 percent Lead by weight (90 ppm). For purposes of this Consent Judgment, "Paint or Surface Coating" shall carry the same meaning as "Paint or other similar surface coating" under 16 C.F.R. §1303.2(b)(1) ("Paint and other similar surface-coating materials means a fluid, semi-fluid, or other material, with or without a suspension of finely divided coloring matter, which changes to a solid film when a thin layer is applied to a metal, wood, stone, paper, leather, cloth, plastic, or other surface. This term does not include printing inks or those materials which actually become a part of the substrate, such as the pigment in a plastic article, or those materials which are actually bonded to the substrate, such as by electroplating or ceramic glazing.").
- 3.2 Market Withdrawal of Covered Products. On or before the Effective Date,
 Defendants shall cease shipping the Rhinestone Elephant Necklace, SKU No. 4-07002-01526, as
 identified in CEH's pre-suit Notices of Violation to Defendants (the "Recall Covered Products"),

Products from the market in California, and, at a minimum, send instructions to any of its stores and/or customers that offer the Recall Covered Products for sale in California to cease offering such Recall Covered Products for sale and to either return all Recall Covered Products to Defendants for destruction, or to directly destroy the Recall Covered Products. Any destruction of the Recall Covered Products shall be in compliance with all applicable laws. Defendants shall keep and make available to CEH for inspection and copying records and correspondence regarding the market withdrawal and destruction of the Recall Covered Products. If there is a dispute over the corrective action, the Parties shall meet and confer before seeking any remedy in court

4. ENFORCEMENT

4.1 Enforcement Procedures. Prior to bringing any motion or order to show cause to enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment, a Party seeking to enforce shall meet and confer with the other Party in an effort to try to reach agreement on an appropriate cure for the alleged violation. After such meet and confer effort, the Party seeking to enforce may, by new action, motion or order to show cause before the Superior Court of Alameda, seek to enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment.

5. PAYMENTS

- Judgment, Defendants shall jointly pay the total sum of \$85,000 as a settlement payment. Any failure by Defendants to comply with the payment terms herein shall be subject to a stipulated late fee in the amount of \$100 for each day after the due date the payment is received. The late fees required under this section shall be recoverable together with reasonable attorneys' fees and costs in any action or proceeding arising out of this Consent Judgment.
- 5.2 Allocation of Payments. The total settlement amount for Defendants shall be paid in three separate checks delivered to the offices of the Lexington Law Group (Attn: Eric Somers), 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, California 94117, and made payable and allocated as follows:

DOCUMENT PREPARED

5.2.1 Defendants shall pay the sum of \$11,150 as a penalty pursuant to Health & Safety Code §25249.7(b), such money to be apportioned by CEH in accordance with Health & Safety Code §25249.12. The penalty check shall be made payable to the Center For Environmental Health.

- 5.2.2 Defendants shall pay the sum of \$17,100 as payment to CEH in lieu of penalty pursuant to Health & Safety Code \$25249.7(b), and California Code of Regulations, Title 11, \$3202(b). CEH will use such funds to continue its work educating and protecting people from exposures to toxic chemicals, including heavy metals. CEH may also use a portion of such funds to monitor compliance with the reformulation requirements of this and other similar Consent Judgments and to purchase and test Covered Products to confirm compliance with such reformulation requirements. In addition, as part of its Community Environmental Action and Justice Fund, CEH will use four percent of such funds to award grants to grassroots environmental justice groups working to educate and protect people from exposures to toxic chemicals. The method of selection of such groups can be found at the CEH web site at http://www.ceh.org/what-we-do/supporting-communities/the-justice-fund. The payment in lieu of penalty check shall be made payable to the Center For Environmental Health.
- 5.2.3 Defendants shall pay the sum of \$56,750 as reimbursement of reasonable attorneys' fees and costs. The attorneys' fees and cost reimbursement check shall be made payable to the Lexington Law Group.

6. MODIFICATION AND DISPUTE RESOLUTION

- 6.1 **Modification.** This Consent Judgment may be modified from time to time by express written agreement of the Parties, with the approval of the Court, or by an order of this Court upon motion and in accordance with law.
- 6.2 **Notice; Meet and Confer.** Any Party seeking to modify this Consent Judgment shall attempt in good faith to meet and confer with all affected Parties prior to filing a motion to modify the Consent Judgment.

7. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASE

7.1 This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between CEH and

sister companies and their successors and assigns ("Defendant Releasees"), and all entities other than those listed on Exhibit A of this Consent Judgment to whom they distribute or sell Covered Products including, but not limited to, distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, franchisees, cooperative members, and licensees ("Downstream Defendant Releasees"), of any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law claims that have been or could have been asserted in the public interest against Defendants, Defendant Releasees, and Downstream Defendant Releasees, regarding the failure to warn about exposure to lead arising in connection with Covered Products manufactured, distributed, or sold by Defendants prior to the Effective Date.

Defendants and Defendants' parents, shareholders, divisions, subdivisions, subsidiaries, partners,

- 7.2 CEH, for itself and acting on behalf of the public interest pursuant to Health & Safety Code §25249.7(d), releases, waives, and forever discharges any and all claims against Defendants, Defendant Releasees, and Downstream Defendant Releasees arising from any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law claims that have been or could have been asserted in the public interest regarding the failure to warn about exposure to lead arising in connection with Covered Products manufactured, distributed or sold by Defendants prior to the Effective Date.
- 7.3 Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment by Defendants and the Defendant Releasees shall constitute compliance with Proposition 65 by Defendants, the Defendant Releasees and their Downstream Defendant Releasees with respect to any alleged failure to warn about lead in Covered Products manufactured, distributed or sold by Defendants after the Effective Date.

8. PROVISION OF NOTICE

- 8.1 When any Party is entitled to receive any notice under this Consent Judgment, the notice shall be sent by first class or electronic mail as follows:
- 8.1.1 **Notices to Knitwork.** The person for Knitwork to receive Notices pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be:

1	Kathryn S. Marshall Wasserman, Comden, Casselman & Essensten, L.Cl.P.		
2	5567 Reseda Blvd., Suite 330		
3	Tarzana, CA 91356 kmarshall@wccelaw.com		
4	8.1.2 Notices to Windsor. The persons for Windsor to receive Notices		
5	pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be:		
6			
7	Dennis Kass Alex Caraveo		
8	Manning & Kass Ellrod, Ramirez Trester LLP		
9	801 S. Figueroa Street, 15 th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90017		
10	dbk@manningllp.com ayc@manningllp.com		
11			
12	8.1.3 Notices to Plaintiff. The person for CEH to receive Notices pursuant to		
13	this Consent Judgment shall be:		
14	Eric Somers Lexington Law Group		
15	503 Divisadero Street San Francisco, CA 94117		
16	esomers@lexlawgroup.com		
17	8.2 Any Party may modify the person and address to whom the notice is to be sent by		
18	sending the other Party notice by first class or electronic mail.		
19	9. COURT APPROVAL		
20	9.1 This Consent Judgment shall become effective on the Effective Date, provided		
21	however, that CEH shall prepare and file a Motion for Approval of this Consent Judgment and		
22	Defendants shall support approval of such Motion.		
23	9.2 If this Consent Judgment is not entered by the Court, it shall be of no force or effect		
24	and shall not be introduced into evidence or otherwise used in any proceeding for any purpose.		
25	10. GOVERNING LAW AND CONSTRUCTION		
26	10.1 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of		
27	California.		
28			
DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER	-7-		

CONSENT JUDGMENT-KNITWORK PRODUCTION AND WINDSOR FASHIONS-CASE NO. RG10-545680

11. ATTORNEYS' FEES

3 4 5

1

2

6

7

8 9 10

12 13

11

14

15

16 17

18 19

20

21 22

23 24

25

26

27

28

A Party who unsuccessfully brings or contests an action arising out of this Consent Judgment shall be required to pay the prevailing Party's reasonable attorneys' fees and costs unless the unsuccessful Party has acted with substantial justification. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term substantial justification shall carry the same meaning as used in the Civil Discovery Act of 1986, Code of Civil Procedure §§2016.010, et seq.

- Notwithstanding Section 11.1, a Party who prevails in a contested enforcement action brought pursuant to Section 4 may seek an award of attorneys' fees pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure §1021.5 against a Party that acted with substantial justification. The Party seeking such an award shall bear the burden of meeting all of the elements of §1021.5, and this provision shall not be construed as altering any procedural or substantive requirements for obtaining such an award.
- Nothing in this Section 11 shall preclude a Party from seeking an award of sanctions pursuant to law.

12. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding 12.1 of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments, or understandings related thereto, if any, are hereby merged herein and therein. There are no warranties, representations, or other agreements between the Parties except as expressly set forth herein. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those specifically referred to in this Consent Judgment have been made by any Party hereto. No other agreements not specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto. Any agreements specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto only to the extent that they are expressly incorporated herein. No supplementation, modification, waiver, or termination of this Consent Judgment shall be binding unless executed in writing by the Party to be bound thereby. No waiver of any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed or shall constitute a waiver of any of the other provisions hereof

-9-

CONSENT JUDGMENT - KNITWORK PRODUCTION AND WINDSOR FASHIONS - CASE NO. RG10-545680

whether or not similar, nor shall such waiver constitute a continuing waiver.

ł

DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER

1 2	Dated: October 4, 2011	KNITWORK PRODUCTION II, LLC	
3	42		
4	1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -	JX //CM	
5			
6		Yuval Navon	
7		Printed Name	
8			
9			
10			
11	Dated: October, 2011	WINDSOR FASHIONS, INC.	
12			
13			
14			
15			
16		Printed Name	
17	i ,		
18		Title	
19			
20			
21	IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED	harden Marie Co. 111	
22	Dated: 01/13/2012	Judge Wynne Carvill	
23	, ,	Honorable Robert B. Freedman Wyhne S. Carul Judge of the Superior Court of the State of California	
24		vadge of the superior court of the state of sufficient	
25. 26.			
26 27			
28			
DOCUMENT PREPARED		- 10 -	
ON RECYCLED PAPER	CONSENT JUDGMENT - KNITWORK PRODUCTION AND WINDSOR FASHIONS - CASE NO. RG10-545680		

İ		
1 2	Dated: October, 2011	KNITWORK PRODUCTION II, LLC
3 4 5 6		Printed Name
8		Title
10 11 12	Dated: Quality, 2011 November 3, 2011	WINDSOR FASHIONS, INC.
13 14 15		ISHAC ZEKARIA
16		Printed Name Vice-President
18 19 20		Title
21 22	IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED Dated:	<pri>prior page, 1 wsc></pri>
23 24 25	Dated.	Honorable Robert B. Freedman / Judge of the Superior Court of the State of California
26 27		
28 UMENT PREPARED RECYCLED PAPER		- 10 - duction and windsor fashions - case no. rg10-545680

EXHIBIT A

(LIST OF ENTITIES NOT SUBJECT TO DOWNSTREAM DEFENDANT RELEASE)

- 1. Albertson's LLC; Albertson's, Inc.; New Albertson's, Inc.
- 2. AZ3, Inc.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

- 3. Banana Republic, LLC
- 4. Barnes & Noble, Inc.
- 5. Big A Drug Stores, Inc.
- 6. Candela Sales Company, Inc.
- 7. Forum Novelties, Inc.
- 8. Georgiou Studio, Inc.
- 9. I Love Bracelets, Inc.
- 10. Ivorette-Texas, Inc. dba Upstart Crow Trading Company
- 11. Jacadi USA, Inc.
- 12. Legoland California LLC
- 13. Long Rap, Inc.
- 14. Marin Beauty Company
- 15. Max Rave, LLC
- 16. Rite Aid Corporation
- 17. Rubie's Costume Company, Inc.
- 18. Safeway, Inc.
- 19. Scünci International, Inc.
- 20. Sea World, Inc.
- 21. Shoe Pavilion Corporation; Shoe Pavilion, Inc.
- 22. Six Flags Theme Parks, Inc.
- 23. Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.