1 2 3	16422 Avenida de la Carlota, Suite 400 Laguna Hills, California 92653 Tel: (949) 452-1234		
4			
5	Environmental Research Center		
6	δ		
7	SUPERIOR COURT O	OF CALIFORNIA	
8	COUNTY OF ORANGE, CENTRAL JUSTICE CENTER		
9			
10			
11	ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH (CENTER, a California non-profit)	e No.: 30-2013-00673734-CU-MC-CJC	
12	corneration NO	TICE OF ENTRY OF JUDGMENT	
13	Plaintiff,		
14	vs.		
15	EROM, INC. and DOES 1-50, Inclusive,		
16	Defendants.		
17	7		
18	$\left\ TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR ATTORNEYS C \right\ $	OF RECORD:	
19	NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the Court has entered Judgment and approved the		
20	settlement by Stipulated Consent Judgment in the above-entitled matter. A true and correct copy		
21	of the Stipulated Consent Judgment and Order is attached hereto as Exhibit 1.		
22	Dated: November 13, 2014 WRAIT	'H LAW	
23	s ·		
24	By:	LLIAM F. WRAITH	
25			
26	S Att	orney for Plaintiff	
27	7		
28	3		
	-1-		

EXHIBIT 1

10/03/2014 at 04:47:43 PM Clerk of the Superior Court Deborah Macias Deputy Clerk WILLIAM F. WRAITH, SBN 185927 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF ORANGE CENTRAL JUSTICE CENTER 1 WRAITH LAW 16485 Laguna Canyon Rd., Suite 250 2 Irvine, California 92618 NOV 05 2014 Tel: (949) 251-9977 Fax: (949) 251-9978 3 ALAM CARLSON, Clerk of the Court 4 Attorneys for Plaintiff BY G. HERNANDEZ. Environmental Research Center 5 6 YOUNGSOO LEE, SBN 247383 LEE ANAV CHUŃG WHITE & KIM LLP 520 S. Grand Ave. Suite 1070 Los Angeles, CA 90071 8 Tel: (213) 341-1602 Fax: (213) 785-3205 9 Attorneys for Defendant 10 EROM, INC. 11 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA 12 COUNTY OF ORANGE, CENTRAL JUSTICE CENTER 13 14 15 ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH Case No.: 30-2013-00673734-CU-MC-CJC CENTER, a California non-profit 16 corporation, Judge: William MXXXXXXXX 17 Plaintiff. PROPOSED STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT; [Proposed] ORDER 18 VS. [Health & Safety Code § 25249.5, et seq.] 19 EROM, INC. and DOES 1-50, Inclusive, 20 Defendants. 21 1. INTRODUCTION 22 This Action arises out of the alleged violations of California's Safe Drinking 23 Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health and Safety Code Section 25249.5 24 et seq. (also known as and herein after referred to as "Proposition 65") regarding the following 25 products (hereinafter collectively the "Covered Products" or "Covered Product" to refer to a 26 single product): 27 (1).Erom Inc. Juvo Slim Natural Raw Meal 28

ELECTRONICALLY RECEIVED Superior Court of California, County of Crange

- (2). Erom Inc. Juvo Natural Raw Meal Whole Food
- (3). Erom Inc. Juvo YogaFood
- (4). Erom Inc. Juvo Raw Green Protein Organic Blend
- 1.2. ERC is a California non-profit corporation acting as a private enforcer of Proposition 65 that is dedicated to, among other causes, helping safeguard the public from health hazards by reducing the use and misuse of hazardous and toxic chemicals, facilitating a safe environment for consumers and employees, and encouraging corporate responsibility. ERC brings this Action in the public interest pursuant to California Health and Safety Code Section 25249.7.
- 1.3. EROM, INC. is a California Corporation that is a person within the meaning of H&S Code §25249.11(a) and is sometimes referred to herein as "EROM." EROM manufactures, distributes and sells the Covered Products.
- 1.4. ERC and EROM are hereinafter sometimes referred to individually as a "Party" or collectively as the "Parties."
- 1.5. On April 15, 2011 and January 18, 2013, pursuant to California Health and Safety Code Section 25249.7(d)(1), ERC served Notices of Violations of Proposition 65 ("Notices of Violations") on the California Attorney General, other public enforcers, and EROM. A true and correct copy of the April 15, 2011 Notice of Violations is attached hereto as Exhibit "A." A true and correct copy of the January 18, 2013 Notice of Violations is attached hereto as Exhibit "B."
- 1.6. After more than sixty (60) days passed since service of the Notices of Violations, and no designated governmental agency filed a complaint against EROM with regard to the Covered Products or the alleged violations, on September 5, 2013, ERC filed the Complaint in this Action (the "Complaint") for injunctive relief and civil penalties. The Complaint is based on the allegations in the Notices of Violations.
- 1.7. The Complaint and the Notices of Violations each allege that EROM manufactured, distributed, and/or sold in California the Covered Products, which contain lead, a chemical listed under Proposition 65 as a carcinogen and reproductive toxin, and expose consumers at a level requiring a Proposition 65 warning. They further allege that use of the

10 11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26 27

28

Covered Products exposes persons in California to lead without first providing clear and reasonable warnings, in violation of California Health and Safety Code Section 25249.6. EROM denies all material allegations of the Notices of Violations and the Complaint, asserts numerous affirmative defenses, and specifically denies that the Covered Products require a Proposition 65 warning or otherwise cause harm to any person.

- The Parties enter into this Consent Judgment in order to settle, compromise and 1.8. resolve disputed claims and avoid prolonged and costly litigation. Nothing in this Consent Judgment, nor compliance with its terms, shall constitute or be construed as an admission by any of the Parties, or by any of their respective officers, directors, shareholders, employees, agents, parent companies, subsidiaries, divisions, affiliates, suppliers, franchisees, licensees, distributors, wholesalers, or retailers, of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, violation of law, fault, wrongdoing, or liability, including without limitation, any admission concerning any alleged violation of Proposition 65. Except as expressly set forth herein, nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive, or impair any right, remedy, argument, or defense the Parties may have in any other or future legal proceeding unrelated to these proceedings. However, nothing in this Section shall affect the enforceability of this Consent Judgment.
- The "Effective Date" of this Consent Judgment shall be the date this Consent 1.9. Judgment is entered by the Court.

2. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this Action and personal jurisdiction over the Parties, that venue is proper in this Court, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment pursuant to the terms set forth herein.

3. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF, REFORMULATION, TESTING, AND WARNINGS

Beginning on the Effective Date, EROM shall be permanently enjoined from 3.1 manufacturing for sale in California, directly selling to a consumer in California or "Distributing into California" any of the Covered Products for which the maximum daily dose recommended on the label contains more than 0.5 micrograms of lead, unless such Covered Product complies

24

25

26

27

28

with the warning requirements in Section 3.3 or qualifies a "Reformulated Covered Product" pursuant to Section 3.4, or unless EROM can show that the excess exposure is caused solely by "naturally occurring" lead at the "lowest level currently feasible," as set forth in California Code of Regulations, Title 27, section 25501, subdivision(a). "Distributing into California" means to directly ship any of the Covered Products into California for sale or to sell any of the Covered Products to a distributor that EROM knows or has reason to know will sell the Covered Product in California.

- Calculation of Lead Levels: As used in this Consent Judgment, lead levels are 3.2 calculated pursuant to the testing protocol described in Section 3.5. For purposes of measuring the lead, the highest lead detection result of the 5 randomly selected samples of the Covered Products will be controlling.
- 3.3 Clear and Reasonable Warning: For those Covered Products that are subject to the warning requirement of Section 3.1, EROM shall provide either of the following warning statements ("Warning") as specified below:

"WARNING: This product contains [lead,] a chemical known to the State of California to cause [cancer and] birth defects or other reproductive harm."

"WARNING: This product contains chemicals known to the State of California to cause [cancer and] birth defects or other reproductive harm."

The text in brackets in the warnings above is optional, except that the term "cancer" must be included only if the maximum daily dose recommended on the label contains more than 15 micrograms of lead.

The Warning shall be permanently affixed to or printed on (at the point of manufacture, prior to shipment to California, or prior to distribution within California) the outside packaging or container of each unit of the Covered Product. The Warning shall be displayed with such conspicuousness, as compared with other words, statements designs or devices on the packaging or labeling, as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual prior to

purchase. If the Warning is displayed on the product container or labeling, the Warning shall be at least the same size as the largest of any other health or safety warnings on the product container or labeling, and the word "WARNING" shall be in all capital letters and in bold print. If printed on the labeling itself, the Warning shall be contained in the same section of the labeling that states other safety warnings concerning the use of the Covered Product. No other statements regarding Proposition 65 or lead will accompany the Warning.

- 3.4 Reformulated Covered Products: A Reformulated Covered Product is one for which the maximum recommended daily serving on the label contains no more than 0.5 micrograms of lead per day.
- 3.5 Testing and Quality Control Methodology: Beginning within one year of the Effective Date, EROM shall test five (5) randomly selected samples of each of the Covered Products (in the form intended for sale to the end-user) for lead content. The testing requirement does not apply to any of the Covered Products for which EROM has provided the Warning specified in Section 3.3.
- (a) Testing for lead shall be performed using Inductively Coupled Plasma-Mass Spectrometry ("ICP-MS") or any other testing method subsequently agreed to in writing by the Parties.
- (b) All testing pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be performed by an independent third-party laboratory certified by the California Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program or a laboratory that is registered with the United States Food & Drug Administration.
- (c) EROM shall retain all test results and documentation for a period of four (4) years from the date of the test. EROM shall provide copies of the test results to ERC within 10 days of EROM's receipt of the test results.
- (d) EROM shall test each of the Covered Products at least once a year for a minimum of four (4) consecutive years by testing five (5) randomly selected samples of each Covered Product which EROM intends to sell or is manufacturing for sale in California, directly selling to a consumer in California, or Distributing into California. If tests conducted pursuant to

 this Section demonstrate that no warning is required for a Covered Product during each of four (4) consecutive years, then the testing requirements of this Section will no longer be required as to that Covered Product.

- (e) For purposes of this Consent Judgment, daily lead exposure levels shall be measured in micrograms, and shall be calculated using the following formula: Micrograms of lead per gram of product, multiplied by grams per serving of the product (using the largest serving size appearing on the product label), multiplied by servings of the product per day (using the largest number of servings in the recommended dosage appearing on the product label), which equals micrograms of lead exposure per day.
- (f) The daily lead exposure levels shall be calculated excluding the following amounts of naturally occurring lead in the ingredients listed below in Table 1A:

TABLE 1A

<u>INGREDIENT</u>	NATURALLY OCCURRING AMOUNT OF	
	<u>LEAD</u>	
<u>Calcium</u> <u>Ferrous Fumarate</u>	.8 mcg (per 1000 milligrams)	
Ferrous Fumarate	.4 mcg/g	
Zinc Oxide	8.0 mcg/g	
Magnesium Oxide	.4 mcg/g	
Magnesium Carbonate	.332 mcg/g	
Magnesium Hydroxide	.4 mcg/g	
Zinc Gluconate	.8 mcg/g	
<u>Potassium Chloride</u>	1.1 mcg/g	

For any Covered Product for which the warning in Section 3.3 has not been provided, should EROM exclude from its calculation of overall lead content any quantity which is "naturally occurring," and should EROM seek to exclude naturally occurring lead in its calculation of overall lead content for any Covered Product pursuant to any modification incorporating Alternative Lead Standards, EROM will provide separate documentation to ERC

to include a complete list of all ingredients in the Covered Product and the corresponding percentage of each ingredient and quantity in grams of each ingredient within each product, including lab test results that independently confirm the percentage of the ingredients and quantity in grams of the ingredients being used in each Covered Product, and other data that independently supports EROM's contention that the lead it seeks to exclude is naturally occurring. If such information is confidential, EROM will label it "Confidential" and ERC will keep such information in confidence.

4. SETTLEMENT PAYMENT

4.1 EROM shall make a total payment of \$70,000.00 ("Total Settlement Amount") to ERC. The Total Settlement Amount shall be paid in 6 payments as follows:

Payment	Amount	Due Date
Number 1	\$20,000.00	Within 10 days of the Effective Date
Number 2	\$10,000.00	Within 40 days of the Effective Date
Number 3	\$10,000.00	Within 70 days of the Effective Date
Number 4	\$10,000.00	Within 100 days of the Effective Date
Number 5	\$10,000.00	Within 130 days of the Effective Date
Number 6	\$10,000.00	Within 160 days of the Effective Date

- 4.1 The Total Settlement Amount shall be for the following:
- (a) As a portion of the Total Settlement Amount, \$6,980.00 shall be considered a civil penalty pursuant to California Health and Safety Code §25249.7(b)(1). ERC shall remit 75% (\$5,235.00) of the civil penalty to the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA") for deposit in the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Fund in accordance with California Health and Safety Code §25249.12(c). ERC will retain the remaining 25% (\$1,745.00) of the civil penalty.
- (b) As a portion of the Total Settlement Amount, \$20,943.00 shall be considered a payment to ERC in lieu of further civil penalties for activities such as (1) funding the investigating, researching, and testing of consumer products that may contain Proposition 65 listed chemicals; (2) funding grants to California non-profit foundations/entities dedicated to

public health; (3) funding ERC's Got Lead? Program to assist consumers in testing products for lead content; (4) funding post-settlement monitoring of past consent judgments; (5) funding to maintain ERC's database of lead-free products, Proposition 65-compliant products, and contaminated products; (6) funding to track and catalog Proposition 65 complaints and contamination-free sources of ingredients used in the products ERC tests; and (7) funding the continued enforcement of Proposition 65 matters which address contaminated ingestible products, similar to the subject matter of this Action.

- (c) As a portion of the Total Settlement Amount, \$20,449.00 shall be considered a reimbursement to ERC for its reasonable work, analysis, and testing costs associated with the enforcement of Proposition 65 and other expenses and costs incurred as a result of gathering information, bringing this matter to EROM's attention, and negotiating a settlement in the public interest.
- (d) As a portion of the Total Settlement Amount, \$20,615.00 shall be considered payment to William Wraith as reimbursement of ERC's attorney's fees, and \$1,013.00 shall be considered payment to Karen Evans as reimbursement of ERC's attorney's fees.
- (e) On or prior to each due date identified in Section 4.1, EROM shall make each payment by check, payable to "The Wraith Law Client Trust Account," sent by first-class registered or certified mail, or overnight delivery, and delivered to William F. Wraith, Esq., Wraith Law, 16485 Laguna Canyon Road, Suite 250, Irvine, CA 92618.
- (f) In the event of EROM's failure to make a timely payment pursuant to this Section, ERC may provide notice to EROM in whatever form (including but not limited to by email, telephone, or in writing) of EROM's failure to make timely payment. EROM shall "Cure," which means EROM shall have 3 business days from the transmission of said notice to deliver payment. EROM's failure on any occasion to (1) make any payment such that it is received within 14 days of the date due, (2) timely Cure, as set forth in this Section, or (3) on two or more occasions make timely payment pursuant to this Section, shall be deemed a material breach of this Agreement. The requirements of Notice in Section 10, shall not apply to this subsection

5. MODIFICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

This Consent Judgment may be modified only by: (i) Written agreement and stipulation of the Parties and (ii) upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court. ERC is entitled to reimbursement of all reasonable attorneys' fees and costs regarding any modification requested or initiated by EROM.

6. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION, ENFORCEMENT OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

- **6.1** This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to enforce, modify or terminate this Consent Judgment.
- 6.2 Any Party may, by motion or application for an order to show cause filed with this Court, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment. The prevailing party in any such motion or application may request that the Court award its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs associated with such motion or application.
- 6.3 Notwithstanding Section 6.2, No motion to enforce this Judgment or application to show cause may be filed by ERC, unless ERC notifies EROM of the specific acts alleged to breach this Consent Judgment at least thirty (30) days before filing and serving any such motion or application. Any notice to EROM must contain (1) the name of the product; (2) the lead content of the product with a copy of the analytical results and description of the testing methodology; (3) specific dates when the product was sold in California; (4) the store or other place at which the product was purchased; and (5) any other evidence or other support for the allegations in the notice. Should the Parties be unable to resolve the dispute, any Party may seek relief under Section 6.2 of this Consent Judgment.

7. APPLICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

This Consent Judgment shall apply to, be binding upon, and benefit the Parties and their respective officers, directors, shareholders, employees, agents, parent companies, subsidiaries, divisions, affiliates, franchisees, licensees, customers (excluding private labelers), distributors, wholesalers, retailers, predecessors, successors, and assigns.

8. BINDING EFFECT, CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED

26

27

28

- This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between ERC, on 8.1 behalf of itself and in the public interest, and EROM, of any alleged violation of Proposition 65 or its implementing regulations and fully resolves all claims that have been or could have been asserted in this Action up to and including the Effective Date for failure to provide Proposition 65 warnings of exposure to lead from the handling, use or consumption of the Covered Products. ERC, on behalf of itself and in the public interest, hereby releases and forever discharges EROM, JUVO, Lucky Vitamin Corporation, GNC Corporation, GNC Parent Corporation, General Nutrition Centers, Inc., GNC, Inc., GNC Holdings, Inc., and GNC Parent, LLC and their respective officers, directors, shareholders, employees, agents, parent companies, subsidiaries, divisions, affiliates, suppliers, franchisees, licensees, customers (not including private label customers of EROM), distributors, wholesalers, retailers, and all other downstream entities in the distribution chain of any Covered Product, and the predecessors, successors and assigns of any of them (collectively, "Released Parties"), from all claims for violations of Proposition 65 up through the Effective Date based on exposure to lead from the Covered Products as set forth in the Notices of Violations and the Complaint.
- 8.2 ERC, on behalf of itself only, hereby releases and discharges the Released Parties from all known and unknown claims for alleged violations of Proposition 65 arising from or relating to alleged exposures to lead in the Covered Products as set forth in the Notices of Violations and the Complaint.
- 8.3 It is possible that other claims not known to the Parties arising out of the facts alleged in the Notices of Violations or the Complaint and relating to lead in the Covered Products that were manufactured before the Effective Date will develop or be discovered. ERC, on behalf of itself only, acknowledges in this Consent Judgment that the claims released herein may include unknown claims, and nevertheless waives California Civil Code Section 1542 as to any such unknown claims. California Civil Code Section 1542 reads as follows:

"A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR."

ERC, on behalf of itself only, acknowledges and understands the significance and consequences of this specific waiver of California Civil Code section 1542.

- 8.4 Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment shall constitute compliance by the Released Parties with Proposition 65 with respect to alleged exposures to lead from the Covered Products as set forth in the Notices of Violations.
- 8.5 ERC, on one hand, and the Released Parties, on the other hand, each release and waive all claims they may have against each other for any statements or actions made or undertaken by them in connection with the Notices of Violations or the Complaint. However, this shall not affect or limit any Party's right to seek to enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment.

9. CONSTRUCTION AND SEVERABILITY

- 9.1 The terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment have been reviewed by the respective counsel for the Parties prior to its signing, and each Party has had an opportunity to fully discuss the terms and conditions with its counsel. In any subsequent interpretation or construction of this Consent Judgment, the terms and conditions shall not be construed against any Party.
- 9.2 In the event that any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment is held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the remaining enforceable provisions shall not be adversely affected.
- 9.3 The terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by and construed in accordance with the laws of the State of California.

10. PROVISION OF NOTICE

All notices required to be given to either Party to this Consent Judgment by the other shall be in writing and sent to the following agents listed below by: (a) first-class, registered, (b) certified mail, (b) overnight courier, or (c) personal delivery to the following

For Environmental Research Center:

Chris Heptinstall Executive Director Environmental Research Center

1	3111 Camino Del Rio North, Suite 400 San Diego, CA 92108
2	With a copy to:
3	William F. Wraith, Esq.
4	Wraith Law 16485 Laguna Canyon Road, Suite 250
5	Irvine, CA 92618
6	and
7	Karen Evans, Esq. Environmental Research Center
8	4218 Biona Place San Diego, CA 92116
9	
10	For EROM
11	Mihoun Park
12	Erom, Inc. 14630 Industry Cir.
13	La Mirada, CÁ 90638
14	With a copy to:
15	Youngsoo Lee, Esq. Lee Anav Chung White & Kim LLP
16	520 S. Grand Ave., Suite 1070 Los Angeles, CA 90071
17	Los Aligores, CA 900/1
18	11. CHANGE IN THE LAW/ COMPLIANCE
19	
20	Toposition of on should OEATHA promulgate
21	regulations that establish a Maximum Allowable Dose Level that is more or less stringent than
22	the current 0.5 micrograms per day, this Consent Judgment shall be deemed modified on the date
	the amendment becomes final or the regulations become effective to incorporate that new
23	standard into Section 3.
24	11.2 This Consent Judgment shall have no application or effect on EROM for sales of
25	the Covered Products or other products EROM sells only to consumers outside the State of
26	California. Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed to constitute
27	compliance regarding alleged exposures to lead in the Covered Products as set forth in the
28	Notices of Violations.

12. COURT APPROVAL

- 11.1 Upon execution of this Consent Judgment by the Parties, ERC shall file a Motion for Court Approval. The Parties shall use their best efforts to support entry of this Consent Judgment.
- 11.2 If the California Attorney General objects to any term in this Consent Judgment, the Parties shall use their best efforts to resolve the concern in a timely manner, and if possible prior to the hearing on the motion.
- 11.3 If the Court, despite the Parties' best efforts, does not approve this Stipulated Consent Judgment it shall be null and void and have no force or effect.

13. EXECUTION AND COUNTERPARTS

This Stipulated Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts, which taken together shall be deemed one document. A facsimile or .pdf signature shall be construed as valid and as the original signature.

14. ENTIRE AGREEMENT, AUTHORIZATION

- 14.1 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter herein, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments and understandings related hereto. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those contained herein have been made by any Party. No other agreements, oral or otherwise, unless specifically referred to herein, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any Party.
- 14.2 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the Party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment. Except as explicitly provided herein, each Party shall bear its own fees and costs.

15. REQUEST FOR FINDINGS AND FOR APPROVAL

- 15.1 This Consent Judgment has come before the Court upon the request of the Parties. The Parties request the Court to fully review this Consent Judgment and, being fully informed regarding the matters which are the subject of this Action, to:
 - (a) Find that the terms and provisions of this Consent Judgment represent a good

1	faith settlement of all matters raised by the allegations of the Complaint, that the matter has been						
2	diligently prosecuted, and that the public interest is served by such settlement; and						
3	(b) Make the findings pursuant to California Health and Safety Code Section						
4	1}						
5							
6	6 IT IS SO STIPULATED:						
7	7 ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CENTER						
8							
9		120/2013					
10	IId. HTS Heathastall Executive Girector						
11							
12	2 EROM, INC.						
13	3						
14	4 Dated:						
15	5						
16	6 APPROVED AS TO FORM:						
17	7						
18	8 WRAITH LAW						
19							
20	Dated:	and the same of th					
21							
22	2						
23	3						
24	LEE ANAV CHUNG WHITE & KIM LLP						
25	; 🖟						
26	Youngsoo Lee Dated:						
27	10						
28							
	-14-						
		774 787					
	[PROPOSED] STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMEN	T					

	I faith settlement of all matters referred to the	
	or an matters raised by the allegations of the Complaint, that the matter has been	
	and that the public interest is served by such settlement; and	
	(b) Make the findings pursuant to California Health and Safety Code Section	
	4 25249.7(1)(4), and approve this settlement and this Consent Judgment.	
	5	
	6 IT IS SO STIPULATED:	
	ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CENTER	
	·-·	
	Chris Heptinställ, Executive Director	
10		
11	PROW SHO	
12		
13		
14	Jong Il Hong, President Dated: 11/19/2013	
15	, and the same of	
16	APPROVED AS TO FORM:	
17	- 1	-
18	WRAITH LAW	
19		
20	William F. Wraith	
21	Counsel for Environmental Research Center	
22		
23		
24	LEE ANAY CHUNG WHITE & KIM LLP	
25		
26	Youngsoo Lee Dated:	
27	Counsel for EROM, Inc.	
28		
	-14-	
	[PROPOSED] STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT	
li	A CONTRACTOR COLOCULTION OF THE	

1	faith settlement of all matters raised by the allegations of the Complaint, that the matter has been		
2	diligently prosecuted, and that the public interest is served by such settlement; and		
3	(b) Make the findings pursuant to California Health and Safety Code Section		
4	25249.7(f)(4), and approve this settlement and this Consent Judgment.		
5			
6	IT IS SO STIPULATED:		
7	ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CENTER		
8			
9	Obsis III at a Dated:		
10	Chris Heptinstall, Executive Director		
11			
12	EROM, INC.		
13			
14	Dated:		
15			
16	APPROVED AS TO FORM:		
17			
18	WRAITH LAW		
19	Mile Alliantes Dated: 11/23/2012		
20	William F. Wraith Dated: 11/23/2013		
21	Counsel for Environmental Research Center		
22			
23			
24	LEE ANAV CHUNG WHITE & KIM LLP		
25			
26	Youngsoo Lee Dated:		
27	Counsel for EROM, Inc.		
28			
	-14-		
li	~ <u> </u>		

[PROPOSED] STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT

1	faith settlement of all matters raised by the allegations of the Complaint, that the matter has been				
2	diligently prosecuted, and that the public interest is served by such settlement; and				
3	(b) Make the findings pursuant to California Health and Safety Code Section				
4	25249.7(f)(4), and approve this settlement and this Consent Judgment.				
5					
6	IT IS SO STIPULATED:				
7	ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CENTER				
8					
9	Chris Heptinstall, Executive Director				
10	Circo Tropulistan, Executive Director				
11	EROM, INC.				
12	EROWI, INC.				
13					
14	Jong Il Hong, President Dated:				
15					
16	APPROVED AS TO FORM;				
17					
18	WRAITH LAW				
19					
20	William F. Wraith				
21	Counsel for Environmental Research Center				
22					
23	LEE ANAV CHUNG WHITE & KIM LLP				
24	A STATES OF THIS CONTRACTOR OF THE STATES OF				
25	Dated: 11/25/2013				
26	Youngsoo Lee Counsel for EROM, Inc.				
27 28					
20					
	-14-				

[PROPOSED] STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT

ORDER AND JUDGMENT

Based u	pon the Parties'	Stipulation, ar	nd good cause	appearing the	nerefor, 1	this Conser	ıt
Judgment is app	proved and judg	ment is hereby	entered acco	ording to its te	erms.		

IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED.

Dated: 11-5-14

Judge, Superior Court of the State of California Judge William D. Claster

-15-



5694 Mission Center Road #199 San Diego, CA 92108 619.309.4194

April 15, 2011

VIA CERTIFIED MAIL

Current CEO or President Erom, Inc. 14630 Industry Circle La Mirada, CA 90638

Changwon Choi (Erom, Inc.'s Registered Agent for Service of Process) 14630 Industry Circle La Mirada, CA 90638

Current CEO or President JUVO 14630 Industry Circle La Mirada, CA 90638

Office of the California Attorney General Prop 65 Enforcement Reporting 1515 Clay Street, Suite 2000 P.O. Box 70550 Oakland, CA 94612-0550

Re: Notice of Violation against Erom, Inc. and JUVO for Violation of California Health & Safety Code Section 25249.6

Dear Prosecutors:

The Environmental Research Center ("ERC"), the noticing entity is a California corporation whose mission is to safeguard the public from health hazards that impact families, workers and the environment. ERC is dedicated to reducing the use and misuse of hazardous and toxic chemicals, facilitating a safe environment for consumers and

employees and encouraging corporate responsibility. ERC is located at 5694 Mission Center Road, # 199, San Diego, CA 92108. Tel. (619) 309-4194, Executive Director: Chris Heptinstall. Through this Notice of Violation, ERC seeks to reduce exposure to the public from lead that is contained in the named products manufactured and distributed by Erom, Inc. and JUVO

This letter constitutes notification that Erom, Inc. and JUVO located at 14630 Industry Circle La Mirada, CA 90638, have violated the warning requirement of Proposition 65, the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act (commencing with section 25249.5 of the Health and Safety Code).

In particular, these Companies have manufactured and distributed products that have exposed and continue to expose numerous individuals within California to lead. Lead was listed pursuant to Proposition 65 as a chemical known to cause developmental toxicity, and male and female reproductive toxicity on February 27, 1987. Lead was listed pursuant to Proposition 65 as a carcinogen on October 1, 1992. The time period of these violations commenced one year after the listed dates above, at least since April 15, 2008, as well as every day since the products were introduced in the California marketplace, and will continue every day until clear and reasonable warnings are provided to purchasers and users.

The consumer exposures that are the subject of this notice result from the purchase, acquisition, handling and recommended use of these products by consumers. Accordingly, the primary route of exposure for consumers has been oral through ingestion, but may also occur through the inhalation and/or dermal contact route of exposure.

Erom, Inc. and JUVO are exposing people to lead from the following products:

Erom Inc. Juvo Slim Natural Raw Meal (600g) Erom Inc. Juvo Natural Raw Meal Whole Food (600g) Erom Inc. Juvo YogaFood (600g)

Proposition 65 requires that a clear and reasonable warning be provided prior to exposure to certain listed chemicals. Erom, Inc. and JUVO are in violation of Proposition 65 because the Companies failed to provide a warning to persons using their products that they are being exposed to lead. (22 C.C.R. section 12601.) While in the course of doing business, the companies are knowingly and intentionally exposing people to lead without first providing clear and reasonable warning. (Health and Safety Code section 25249.6.) The method of warning should be a warning that appears on the product's label. 22 C.C.R. section 12601 (b)(1) (A).

Proposition 65 requires that notice and intent to sue be given to a violator 60-days before the suit is filed. With this letter, ERC gives notice of the alleged violation to the noticed party and the appropriate governmental authorities. This notice covers all violations of Proposition 65 that are currently known to ERC from information now available. ERC may continue to investigate other products that may reveal further violations. A summary of Proposition 65, prepared by the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment, and referenced as Appendix A, has been provided to the noticed party.

Based on the allegations set forth in this Notice, ERC intends to file a citizen enforcement action against Erom, Inc. and JUVO unless they agree in an enforceable written instrument to: instrument to: (1) recall or reformulate the listed products so as to eliminate further unwarned exposures to the identified chemicals; and (2) pay an appropriate civil penalty. Consistent with the public interest goals of Proposition 65, ERC is interested in

seeking a constructive resolution to this matter. Such resolution will avoid both further unwarned consumer exposures to the identified chemicals and expensive and time consuming litigation.

Please direct all questions concerning this notice to ERC's attorney, Gideon Kracov, 801 S. Grand Ave., 11th Fl., Los Angeles, CA 90017, 213-629-2071, gk@gideonlaw.net.

Sincerely,

Chris Heptinstall
Executive Director
Environmental Research Center

cc: Karen A. Evans

Attachments

Certificate of Merit
Certificate of Service
OEHHA Summary (to Erom, Inc.; its Registered Agent for Service of Process and JUVO only)
Additional Supporting Information for Certificate of Merit (to AG only)

CERTIFICATE OF MERIT

Re: Environmental Research Center's Notice of Proposition 65 Violations by Erom, Inc. and JUVO

I, Gideon Kracov, declare:

- 1. This Certificate of Merit accompanies the attached sixty-day notice in which it is alleged the party identified in the notice violated California Health & Safety Code Section 25249.6 by failing to provide clear and reasonable warnings.
- 2. I am an attorney for the noticing party.
- 3. I have consulted with one or more persons with relevant and appropriate experience or expertise who have reviewed facts, studies, or other data regarding the exposure to the listed chemical that is the subject of the notice.
- 4. Based on the information obtained through those consultants, and on other information in my possession, I believe there is a reasonable and meritorious case for the private action. I understand that "reasonable and meritorious case for the private action" means that the information provides a credible basis that all elements of the plaintiff's case can be established and that the information did not prove that the alleged violator will be able to establish any of the affirmative defenses set forth in the statute.
- 5. Along with the copy of this Certificate of Merit served on the Attorney General is attached additional factual information sufficient to establish the basis for this certificate, including the information identified in California Health & Safety Code §25249.7(h)(2), i.e., (1) the identity of the persons consulted with and relied on by the certifier, and (2) the facts, studies, or other data reviewed by those persons.

Dated: April 15, 2011

Gideon Kracov, Attorney At Law

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, the undersigned, declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the following is true and correct:

I am a citizen of the United States, over the age of 18 years of age, and am not a party to the within entitled action. My business address is 306 Joy Street, Fort Oglethorpe, Georgia 30742

On April 15, 2011, I served the following documents: **NOTICE OF VIOLATIONS OF CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5** ET SEQ.; **CERTIFICATE OF MERIT**; "THE SAFE DRINKING WATER AND TOXIC ENFORCEMENT ACT OF 1986 (PROPOSITION 65): A SUMMARY" on the following parties by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope, addressed to the party listed below and depositing it in a US Postal Service Office for delivery by Certified Mail:

Current CEO or President Erom, Inc. 14630 Industry Circle La Mirada, CA 90638 Current CEO or President JUVO 14630 Industry Circle La Mirada, CA 90638

Changwon Choi (Erom, Inc.'s Registered Agent for Service of Process) 14630 Industry Circle La Mirada, CA 90638

On April 15, 2011, I served the following documents: NOTICE OF VIOLATION, CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5 ET SEQ.; CERTIFICATE OF MERIT; ADDITIONAL SUPPORTING INFORMATION FOR CERTIFICATE OF MERIT AS REQUIRED BY CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.7(d)(1) on the following parties by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope, addressed to the party listed below and depositing it in a US Postal Service Office for delivery by Certified Mail:

Office of the California Attorney General Prop 65 Enforcement Reporting 1515 Clay Street, Suite 2000 Post Office Box 70550 Oakland, CA 94612-0550

On April 15, 2011, I served the following documents: **NOTICE OF VIOLATION, CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE** §25249.5 ET SEQ.; CERTIFICATE OF MERIT on each of the parties on the Service List attached hereto by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope, addressed to each of the parties on the Service List attached hereto, and depositing it with the U.S. Postal Service for delivery by Priority Mail.

Executed on April 15, 2011, in Fort Oglethorpe, Georgia.

Chris Heptinstall

Defferming.

Service List

District Attorney, Alameda County 1225 Fallon Street, Room 900 Oakland, CA 94612

District Attorney, Alpine County P.O. Box 248 Markleeville, CA 96120

District Attorney, Amador County 708 Court Street, #202 Jackson, CA 95642

District Attorney, Butte County 25 County Center Drive Oroville, CA 95965

District Attorney, Calaveras County 891 Mountain Ranch Road San Andreas, CA 95249

District Attorney, Colusa County 547 Market Street Colusa, CA 95932

District Attorney, Contra Costa County 900 Ward Street Martinez, CA 94553

District Attorney, Del Norte County 450 H Street, Ste. 171 Crescent City, CA 95531

District Attorney, El Dorado County 515 Main Street Placerville, CA 95667

District Attorney, Fresno County 2220 Tulare Street, #1000 Fresno, CA 93721

District Attorney, Glenn County Post Office Box 430 Willows, CA 95988

District Attorney, Humboldt County 825 5th Street Eureka, CA 95501

District Attorney, Imperial County 939 West Main Street, Ste 102 El Centro, CA 92243

District Attorney, Inyo County 230 W. Line Street Bishop, CA 93514

District Attorney, Kern County 1215 Truxtun Avenue Bakersfield, CA 93301 District Attorney, Kings County 1400 West Lacey Boulevard Hanford, CA 93230

District Attorney, Lake County 255 N. Forbes Street Lakeport, CA 95453

District Attorney, Lassen County 220 South Lassen Street, Ste. 8 Susanville, CA 96130

District Attorney, Los Angeles County 210 West Temple Street, Rm 345 Los Angeles, CA 90012

District Attorney, Madera County 209 West Yosemite Avenue Madera, CA 93637

District Attorney, Marin County 3501 Civic Center, Room 130 San Rafael, CA 94903

District Attorney, Mariposa County Post Office Box 730 Mariposa, CA 95338

District Attorney, Mendocino County Post Office Box 1000 Ukiah, CA 95482

District Attorney, Merced County 2222 M Street Merced, CA 95340

District Attorney, Modoc County 204 S Court Street, Room 202 Alturas, CA 96101-4020

District Attorney, Mono County Post Office Box 617 Bridgeport, CA 93517

District Attorney, Monterey County 230 Church Street, Bldg 2 Salinas, CA 93901

District Attorney, Napa County 931 Parkway Mall Napa, CA 94559

District Attorney, Nevada County 110 Union Street Nevada City, CA 95959

District Attorney, Orange County 401 Civic Center Drive West Santa Ana, CA 92701

District Attorney, Placer County 10810 Justice Center Drive, Ste 240 Roseville, CA 95678

District Attorney, Plumas County 520 Main Street, Room 404 Quincy, CA 95971

District Attorney, Riverside County 4075 Main Street, 1st Floor Riverside, CA 92501

District Attorney, Sacramento County 901 "G" Street Sacramento, CA 9581

District Attorney, San Benito County 419 Fourth Street, 2nd Floor Hollister, CA 95023

District Attorney, San Bernardino County 316 N. Mountain View Avenue San Bernardino, CA 92415-0004

District Attorney, San Diego County 330 West Broadway, Room 1300 San Diego, CA 92101

District Attorney, San Francisco County 850 Bryant Street, Room 325 San Francsico, CA 94103

District Attorney, San Joaquin County Post Office Box 990 Stockton, CA 95201

District Attorney, San Luis Obispo County 1050 Monterey Street, Room 450 San Luis Obispo, CA 93408

District Attorney, San Mateo County 400 County Ctr., 3rd Floor Redwood City, CA 94063

District Attorney, Santa Barbara County 1105 Santa Barbara Street Santa Barbara, CA 93101

District Attorney, Santa Clara County 70 West Hedding Street San Jose, CA 95110

District Attorney, Santa Cruz County 701 Ocean Street, Room 200 Santa Cruz, CA 95060

District Attorney, Shasta County 1525 Court Street, Third Floor Redding, CA 96001-1632

District Attorney, Sierra County PO Box 457 Downieville, CA 95936 District Attorney, Siskiyou County Post Office Box 986 Yreka, CA 96097

District Attorney, Solano County 675 Texas Street, Ste 4500 Fairfield, CA 94533

District Attorney, Sonoma County 600 Administration Drive, Room 212J Santa Rosa, CA 95403

District Attorney, Stanislaus County 832 12th Street, Ste 300 Modesto, CA 95353

District Attorney, Sutter County 446 Second Street Yuba City, CA 95991

District Attorney, Tehama County Post Office Box 519 Red Bluff, CA 96080

District Attorney, Trinity County Post Office Box 310 Weaverville, CA 96093

District Attorney, Tulare County 221 S. Mooney Avenue, Room 224 Visalia, CA 93291

District Attorney, Tuolumne County 423 N. Washington Street Sonora, CA 95370

District Attorney, Ventura County 800 South Victoria Avenue Ventura, CA 93009

District Attorney, Yolo County 301 2nd Street Woodland, CA 95695

District Attorney, Yuba County 215 Fifth Street Marysville, CA 95901

Los Angeles City Attorney's Office City Hall East 200 N. Main Street, Rm 800 Los Angeles, CA 90012

San Diego City Attorney's Office 1200 3rd Avenue, Ste 1620 San Diego, CA 92101

San Francisco City Attorney's Office City Hall, Room 234 1 Drive Carlton B Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

San Jose City Attorney's Office 200 East Santa Clara Street San Jose, CA 95113

WRAITH LAW

16485 LAGUNA CANYON ROAD SUITE 250 IRVINE, CALIFORNIA 92618 Tel (949) 251-9977 Fax (949) 251-9978

December 10, 2012

NOTICE OF VIOLATIONS OF CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE SECTION 25249.5 *ET SEQ.* (PROPOSITION 65)

Dear Alleged Violators and the Appropriate Public Enforcement Agencies:

I represent Environmental Research Center ("ERC"), 3111 Camino Del Rio North, San Diego, CA 92108; Tel. (619) 500-3090. ERC's Executive Director is Chris Heptinstall. ERC is a California non-profit corporation dedicated to, among other causes, helping safeguard the public from health hazards by bringing about a reduction in the use and misuse of hazardous and toxic chemicals, facilitating a safe environment for consumers and employees, and encouraging corporate responsibility.

ERC has identified violations of California's Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 ("Proposition 65"), which is codified at California Health & Safety Code §25249.5 et seq., with respect to the products identified below. These violations have occurred and continue to occur because the alleged Violators identified below failed to provide required clear and reasonable warnings with these products. This letter serves as a notice of these violations to the alleged Violators and the appropriate public enforcement agencies. Pursuant to Section 25249.7(d) of the statute, ERC intends to file a private enforcement action in the public interest 60 days after effective service of this notice unless the public enforcement agencies have commenced and are diligently prosecuting an action to rectify these violations.

General Information about Proposition 65. A copy of a summary of Proposition 65, prepared by the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment, is an attachment with the copy of this letter served to the alleged Violators identified below.

<u>Alleged Violators</u>. The names of the companies covered by this notice that violated Proposition 65 (hereinafter "the Violators") are:

Erom, Inc.
LuckyVitamin Corporation
GNC, Inc.,
GNC Holdings, Inc.
GNC Parent, LLC

Consumer Products and Listed Chemicals. The products that are the subject of this notice and the chemical in those products identified as exceeding allowable levels are:

Erom Inc. Juvo Raw Green Protein Organic Blend - Lead

On February 27, 1987, the State of California officially listed lead as a chemical known to cause developmental toxicity, and male and female reproductive toxicity. On October 1, 1992, the State of California officially listed lead and lead compounds as chemicals known to cause cancer.

It should be noted that ERC may continue to investigate other products that may reveal further violations and result in subsequent notices of violations.

Route of Exposure. The consumer exposures that are the subject of this notice result from the purchase, acquisition, handling and recommended use of these products. Consequently, the primary route of exposure to these chemicals has been and continues to be through ingestion, but may have also occurred and may continue to occur through inhalation and/or dermal contact.

Approximate Time Period of Violations. Ongoing violations have occurred every day since at least December 10, 2009, as well as every day since the products were introduced into the California marketplace, and will continue every day until clear and reasonable warnings are provided to product purchasers and users or until these known toxic chemicals are either removed from or reduced to allowable levels in the products. Proposition 65 requires that a clear and reasonable warning be provided prior to exposure to the identified chemicals. The method of warning should be a warning that appears on the product label. The Violators violated Proposition 65 because it failed to provide persons handling and/or using these products with appropriate warnings that they are being exposed to these chemicals.

Consistent with the public interest goals of Proposition 65 and a desire to have these ongoing violations of California law quickly rectified, ERC is interested in seeking a constructive resolution of this matter that includes an enforceable written agreement by the Violators to: (1) reformulate the identified products so as to eliminate further exposures to the identified chemicals, or provide appropriate warnings on the labels of these products; and (2) pay an appropriate civil penalty. Such a resolution will prevent further unwarned consumer exposures to the identified chemicals, as well as an expensive and time consuming litigation.

ERC has retained me as legal counsel in connection with this matter. Please direct all communications regarding this Notice of Violations to my attention at the law office address and telephone number indicated on the letterhead.

Sincerely

William Fulaith

William F. Wraith

Attachments

Certificate of Merit Certificate of Service

OEHHA Summary (to Erom, Inc., LuckyVitamin Corporation, GNC, Inc., GNC Holdings, Inc., and GNC Parent, LLC and each Registered Agent for Service of Process only)
Additional Supporting Information for Certificate of Merit (to AG only)

CERTIFICATE OF MERIT

Re: Environmental Research Center's Notice of Proposition 65 Violations by Erom, Inc., LuckyVitamin Corporation GNC, Inc., GNC Holdings, Inc., and GNC Parent, LLC.

I, William F. Wraith, declare:

- 1. This Certificate of Merit accompanies the attached 60-day notice in which it is alleged the parties identified in the notice violated California Health & Safety Code Section 25249.6 by failing to provide clear and reasonable warnings.
 - 2. I am an attorney for the noticing party.
- 3. I have consulted with one or more persons with relevant and appropriate experience or expertise who have reviewed facts, studies, or other data regarding the exposure to the listed chemicals that are the subject of the notice.
- 4. Based on the information obtained through those consultants, and on other information in my possession, I believe there is a reasonable and meritorious case for the private action. I understand that "reasonable and meritorious case for the private action" means that the information provides a credible basis that all elements of the plaintiff's case can be established and that the information did not prove that the alleged Violators will be able to establish any of the affirmative defenses set forth in the statute.
- 5. Along with the copy of this Certificate of Merit served on the Attorney General is attached additional factual information sufficient to establish the basis for this certificate, including the information identified in California Health & Safety Code §25249.7(h)(2), i.e., (1) the identity of the persons consulted with and relied on by the certifier, and (2) the facts, studies, or other data reviewed by those persons.

Dated: December 10, 2012

William F. Wreith

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, the undersigned, declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the following is true and correct:

I am a citizen of the United States, over the age of 18 years of age, and am not a party to the within entitled action. My business address is 306 Joy Street, Fort Oglethorpe, Georgia 30742. I am a resident or employed in the county where the mailing occurred. The envelope or package was placed in the mail at Fort Oglethorpe, Georgia.

On December 10, 2012, I served the following documents: NOTICE OF VIOLATIONS OF CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5 ET SEQ.; CERTIFICATE OF MERIT; "THE SAFE DRINKING WATER AND TOXIC ENFORCEMENT ACT OF 1986 (PROPOSITION 65): A SUMMARY" on the following parties by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope, addressed to the party listed below and depositing it in a US Postal Service Office with the postage fully prepaid for delivery by Certified Mail:

Current CEO or President Erom, Inc. 14630 Industry Circle La Mirada, CA 90638	Seungwoo Ahn (Erom, Inc.'s Registered Agent for Service of Process) 14630 Industry Circle La Mirada, CA 90638	LuckyVitamin Corporation 18 W Mount Kirk Ave Norristown, PA 19403- 1540
Registered Agent of GNC, Inc. 5907 Penn Mall, Room 210 Pittsburgh, PA 15206	Joseph Fortunato President of LuckyVitamin Corporation 300 6 th Ave Pittsburgh, PA 15222	Joseph Fortunato, Member GNC Parent LLC 300 6th Avenue Pittsburgh, PA 15222
Joseph Fortunato, President GNC, Inc. 300 6th Avenue Pittsburgh, PA 15222	National Registered Agents, Inc. (Registered Agent of GNC Parent LLC) 160 Greentree Drive, Suite 101 Dover, DE 19904	Beth Kaplan, President GNC Holdings, Inc. 300 6th Avenue Pittsburgh, PA 15222
GNC Parent LLC 300 6th Avenue Pittsburgh, PA 15222	The Corporation Trust Company (Registered Agent of GNC Holdings Inc.) Corporation Trust Center 1209 Orange Street Wilmington, DE 19801	GNC Parent LLC 300 6th Avenue Pittsburgh, PA 15222

On December 10, 2012, I served the following documents: NOTICE OF VIOLATION, CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5 ET SEQ.; CERTIFICATE OF MERIT; ADDITIONAL SUPPORTING INFORMATION FOR CERTIFICATE OF MERIT AS REQUIRED BY CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.7(d)(1) on the following parties by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope, addressed to the party listed below and depositing it in a US Postal Service Office with the postage fully prepaid for delivery by Certified Mail:

Office of the California Attorney General Prop 65 Enforcement Reporting

> 1515 Clay Street, Suite 2000 Post Office Box 70550 Oakland, CA 94612-0550

On December 10, 2012, I served the following documents: NOTICE OF VIOLATION, CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5 ET SEQ.; CERTIFICATE OF MERIT on each of the parties on the Service List attached hereto by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope, addressed to each of the parties on the Service List attached hereto, and depositing it with the U.S. Postal Service with the postage fully prepaid for delivery by Priority Mail.

Executed on December 10, 2012, in Fort Oglethorpe, Georgia.

Amber Schaub

Notice of Violations of California Health & Safety Code §25249.5 et seq. December 10, 2012

Page 6

Service List

District Attorney, Alameda County
1225 Fallon Street, Suite 900
Oakland, CA 94612

District Attorney, Alpine County P.O. Box 248 Markleeville, CA 96120

District Attorney, Amador County 708 Court Street, Suite 202 Jackson, CA 95642

District Attorney, Butte County 25 County Center Drive, Suite 245 Oroville, CA 95965

District Attorney, Calaveras County 891 Mountain Ranch Road San Andreas, CA 95249

District Attorney, Colusa County 346 Fifth Street Suite 101 Colusa, CA 95932

District Attorney, Contra Costa County 900 Ward Street Martinez, CA 94553

District Attorney, Del Norte County 450 H Street, Room 171 Crescent City, CA 95531

District Attorney, El Dorado County 515 Main Street Placerville, CA 95667

District Attorney, Fresno County 2220 Tulare Street, Suite 1000 Fresno, CA 93721

District Attorney, Glenn County Post Office Box 430 Willows, CA 95988

District Attorney, Humboldt County 825 5th Street 4th Floor Bureka, CA 95501

District Attorney, Imperial County 940 West Main Street, Ste 102 El Centro, CA 92243

District Attorney, Inyo County 230 W. Line Street Bishop, CA 93514

District Attorney, Kern County 1215 Truxtun Avenue Bakersfield, CA 93301

District Attorney, Kings County 1400 West Laccy Boulevard Hanford, CA 93230

District Attorney, Lake County 255 N. Forbes Street Lakeport, CA 95453

District Attorney, Lassen County 220 South Lassen Street, Ste. 8 Susanville, CA 96130 District Attorney, Los Angeles County 210 West Temple Street, Suite 18000 Los Angeles, CA 90012

District Attorney, Madera County 209 West Yosemite Avenue Madera, CA 93637

District Attorney, Marin County 3501 Civic Center Drive, Room 130 San Rafael, CA 94903

District Attorney, Mariposa County Post Office Box 730 Mariposa, CA 95338

District Attorney, Mendocino County Post Office Box 1000 Ukiah, CA 95482

District Attorney, Merced County 550 W. Main Street Merced, CA 95340

District Attorney, Modoc County 204 S Court Street, Room 202 Alturas, CA 96101-4020

District Attorney, Mono County Post Office Box 617 Bridgeport, CA 93517

District Attorney, Monterey County Post Office Box 1131 Salinas, CA 93902

District Attorney, Napa County 931 Parkway Mall Napa, CA 94559

District Attorney, Nevada County 110 Union Street Nevada City, CA 95959

District Attorney, Orange County 401 West Civic Center Drive Santa Ana, CA 92701

District Attorney, Placer County 10810 Justice Center Drive, Ste 240 Roseville, CA 95678

District Attorney, Plumas County 520 Main Street, Room 404 Quincy, CA 95971

District Attorney, Riverside County 3960 Orange Street Riverside, CA 92501

District Attorney, Sacramento County 901 "G" Street Sacramento, CA 95814

District Attorney, San Benito County 419 Fourth Street, 2nd Floor Hollister, CA 95023

District Attorney, San Bernardino County 316 N. Mountain View Avenue San Bernardino, CA 92415-0004 District Attorney, San Diego County 330 West Broadway, Suite 1300 San Diego, CA 92101

District Attorney, San Francisco County 850 Bryant Street, Suite 322 San Francsico, CA 94103

District Attorney, San Joaquin County 222 E. Weber Ave. Rm. 202 Stockton, CA 95202

District Attorney, San Luis Obispo County 1035 Palm St, Room 450 San Luis Obispo, CA 93408

District Attorney, San Mateo County 400 County Ctr., 3rd Floor Redwood City, CA 94063

District Attorney, Santa Barbara County 1112 Santa Barbara Street Santa Barbara, CA 93101

District Attorney, Santa Clara County 70 West Hedding Street San Jose, CA 95110

District Attorney, Santa Cruz County 701 Ocean Street, Room 200 Santa Cruz, CA 95060

District Attorney, Shasta County 1355 West Street Redding, CA 96001

District Attorney, Sierra County PO Box 457 Downieville, CA 95936

District Attorney, Siskiyou County Post Office Box 986 Yreka, CA 96097

District Attorney, Solano County 675 Texas Street, Sto 4500 Fairfield, CA 94533

District Attorney, Sonoma County 600 Administration Drive, Room 212J Santa Rosa, CA 95403

District Attorney, Stanislaus County 832 12th Street, Ste 300 Modesto, CA 95354

District Attorney, Sutter County 446 Second Street Yuba City, CA 95991

District Attorney, Tehama County Post Office Box 519 Red Bluff, CA 96080

District Attorney, Trinity County Post Office Box 310 Weaverville, CA 96093

District Attorney, Tulare County 221 S. Mooney Blvd., Room 224 Visalia, CA 93291 District Attorney, Tuolumne County 423 N. Washington Street Sonora, CA 95370

District Attorney, Ventura County 800 South Victoria Ave, Suite 314 Ventura, CA 93009

District Attorney, Yolo County 301 2nd Street Woodland, CA 95695

District Attorney, Yuba County 215 Fifth Street, Suite 152 Marysville, CA 95901

Los Angeles City Attorney's Office City Hall East 200 N. Main Street, Suite 800 Los Angeles, CA 90012

San Diego City Attorney's Office 1200 3rd Avenue, Ste 1620 San Diego, CA 92101

San Francisco, City Attorney City Hall, Room 234 1 Dr Carlton B Goodlett PL San Francisco, CA 94102

San Jose City Attorney's Office 200 East Santa Clara Street, 16th Floor San Jose, CA 95113