ENDORSED 1 Jennifer Henry, State Bar No. 208221 FILED ALAMEDA COUNTY Josh Voorhees, State Bar No. 241436 2 THE CHANLER GROUP 2560 Ninth Street OCT 1 0 2012 3 Parker Plaza, Suite 214 CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT Berkeley, CA 94710-2565 Telephone:(510) 848-8880 4 Facsimile: (510) 848-8118 5 Attorneys for Plaintiff 6 ANTHÔNY E. HELD, PhD., P.E. 7 8 9 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 10 ALAMEDA COUNTY 11 UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION 12 13 ANTHONY E. HELD, PhD., P.E., Case No. RG12616508 14 Plaintiff, 15 [PROPOSED] JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO **TERMS OF PROPOSITION 65** 16 SETTLEMENT AND CONSENT v. **JUDGMENT** 17 L.C. INDUSTRIES, INC.; and DOES 1-150, September 26, 2012 Date: inclusive, 18 Time: 3:00 p.m. Dept.: 18 Defendants. 19 Judge: Hon. Marshall Whitley 20 Reservation No.: 1304086 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO TERMS OF PROPOSITION 65 SETTLEMENT AND CONSENT JUDGMENT

Plaintiff, Anthony Held, and defendant, L.C. Industries, Inc., having agreed through their respective counsel that Judgment be entered pursuant to the terms of their settlement agreement in the form of a Consent Judgment, and following this Court's issuance of an Order approving this Proposition 65 settlement and Consent Judgment,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that, pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(f)(4) and Code of Civil Procedure § 664.6, judgment is hereby entered in accordance with the terms of the Consent Judgment attached hereto as Exhibit 1. By stipulation of the parties, the Court will retain jurisdiction to enforce the settlement under Code of Civil Procedure § 664.6.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 10 10 12

JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Josh Voorhees, State Bar No. 241436 Jennifer Henry, State Bar No. 208221 THE CHANLER GROUP 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710-2565 Telephone: (510) 848-8880 Facsimile: (510) 848-8118 Attorneys for Plaintiff ANTHONY E. HELD, PhD., P.E.	
9	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
10	COUNTY OF ALAMEDA	
11	UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION	
12		
13		
14	ANTHONY E. HELD, PhD., P.E., Case No. RG12616508	
15	Plaintiff, [PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT	
16	v.	
17	L.C. INDUSTRIES, INC.; et al., (Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 et seq.)	
18	Defendants.	
19	Descridants.	
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

1. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>

1.1 Parties

This Consent Judgment is entered into by and between plaintiff, Anthony E. Held, PhD., P.E. ("Held"), and defendant, L.C. Industries, Inc. ("L.C. Industries"), with Held and L.C. Industries collectively referred to as the "Parties."

1.2 Plaintiff

Held is an individual residing in California who seeks to promote awareness of exposures to toxic chemicals and improve human health by reducing or eliminating hazardous substances contained in consumer products.

1.3 Defendant

L.C. Industries employs ten or more persons and is a person in the course of doing business for purposes of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 et seq. ("Proposition 65").

1.4 General Allegations

Held alleges that L.C. Industries sold toiletry cases/bags containing di(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate ("DEHP") without first providing the clear and reasonable warning required by Proposition 65.

DEHP is listed pursuant to Proposition 65 as a chemical known to the state of California to cause birth defects or other reproductive harm.

1.5 Product Description

The products covered by this Consent Judgment are toiletry cases/bags manufactured, distributed, sold, or offered for sale in California by L.C. Industries, including, but not limited to, the Lewis N Clark Gear Up And Go Pill Organizer Pouches, #700R (#0 29275 00051 5) (hereinafter the "Products").

1.6 Notice of Violation

On or about May 4, 2011, Held served L.C. Industries and various public enforcement agencies with a "60-Day Notice of Violation" ("Notice"), a document that informed the recipients of Held's allegation that L.C. Industries was in violation of Proposition 65 for failing to warn its customers and consumers in California that the Products expose users to DEHP.

1.7 Complaint

On February 9, 2012, Held filed the instant action against L.C. Industries ("Complaint") for the violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 alleged in the Notice.

1.8 No Admission

L.C. Industries denies the material, factual, and legal allegations contained in the Notice and Complaint, and maintains that all of the products that it has sold in California, including the Products, have been, and are, in compliance with all laws. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission of any fact, finding, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law; nor shall compliance with this Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission of any fact, finding, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, the same being specifically denied by L.C. Industries. This section shall not, however, diminish or otherwise affect L.C. Industries' obligations, responsibilities, and duties under this Consent Judgment.

1.9 Consent to Jurisdiction

For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over L.C. Industries as to the allegations in the Complaint, that venue is proper in Alameda County, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter and enforce the provisions of this Consent Judgment.

1.10 Effective Date

For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term "Effective Date" shall mean May 31, 2012.

2. <u>INJUNCTIVE RELIEF: REFORMULATION</u>

2.1 Reformulated Products

L.C. Industries asserts that prior to the Effective Date, it began selling or distributing Products in California that were Reformulated Products as defined in this section. Commencing on the Effective Date and continuing thereafter, L.C. Industries hereby commits to continue to only import, manufacture, sell or distribute for sale in California, Products that contain a maximum of 1,000 parts per million (0.1%) DEHP content by weight in any accessible component (i.e., any component that can be handled or touched during reasonably foresecable use) when analyzed pursuant to EPA testing methodologies 3580A and 8270C, or equivalent methodologies utilized by state or federal agencies

for the purpose of determining DEHP content in a solid substance ("Reformulated Products").

3. MONETARY PAYMENTS

3.1 Civil Penalty Payment Pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b)

Pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b), L.C. Industries shall pay a civil penalty of \$4,000. This amount reflects a credit of \$8,000 agreed to by Held in response to L.C. Industries' commitment to Proposition 65 compliance, including reformulating the Products to comply with the DEHP content standard established by section 2.

The penalty payment will be allocated according to Health & Safety Code § 25249.12 (c)(1) & (d), with seventy-five percent (75%) of the penalty amount earmarked for the California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA") and the remaining twenty-five (25%) of the penalty amount earmarked for Held.

3.2 Reimbursement of Held's Fees and Costs

The Parties acknowledge that Held and his counsel offered to resolve this dispute without reaching terms on the amount of fees and costs to be reimbursed to them, thereby leaving the issue to be resolved after the material terms of the agreement had been settled. Shortly after all other settlement terms had been finalized, L.C. Industries expressed a desire to resolve the fee and costs issue. The Parties then attempted to (and did) reach an accord on the compensation due Held and his counsel under general contract principles and the private attorney general doctrine codified at California Code of Civil Procedure § 1021.5 for all work performed in this matter exclusive of fees and costs that may be incurred on appeal, if any. Under these legal principles, L.C. Industries shall pay \$26,000 for fees and costs incurred investigating, litigating, and enforcing this matter, including the fees and costs incurred (and yet to be incurred) drafting, negotiating, and obtaining the Court's approval of this Consent Judgment in the public interest.

3.3 Payment Procedures

3.3.1 Payments Held in Trust

All payments made under this Consent Judgment shall be held in trust until the Court approves the settlement. The Parties acknowledge that Held's counsel gave L.C. Industries the option of depositing the funds into its attorney's trust account, but that L.C. Industries elected to

claims for violations of Proposition 65 up through the Effective Date based on exposures to DEHP

27

28

from the Products as set forth in the Notice. Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment constitutes compliance with Proposition 65 with respect to exposures to DEHP from the Products as set forth in the Notice.

4.2 Held's Individual Release of Claims

Held, in his individual capacity only and *not* in his representative capacity, also provides a release herein which shall be effective as a full and final accord and satisfaction, as a bar to all actions, causes of action, obligations, costs, expenses, attorneys' fees, damages, losses, claims, liabilities and demands of Held of any nature, character or kind, whether known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, arising out of alleged or actual exposures to DEHP in the Products imported, manufactured, sold or distributed for sale by L.C. Industries.

Dr. Held also, on behalf of himself and his agents, attorneys, representatives, successors and assigns, in his respective individual capacity only and *not* in his representative capacity, provides a general release of L.C. Industries which shall be effective as a full and final accord and satisfaction, as a bar to all actions, causes of action, obligations, costs, expenses, attorneys' fees, damages, losses, claims, liabilities and demands of Dr. Held of any nature, character or kind, known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, arising out of alleged violations of Proposition 65 with respect to the Products as set forth in the Notice. Dr. Held acknowledges that he is familiar with Section 1542 of the California Civil Code, which provides as follows:

A general release does not extend to claims which the creditor does not know or suspect to exist in his or her favor at the time of executing the release, which if known by him or her must have materially affected his or her settlement with the debtor.

4.3 L.C. Industries' Release of Held

L.C. Industries on behalf of itself, its past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and/or assignees, hereby waives any and all claims against Held, his attorneys and other representatives, for any and all actions taken or statements made (or those that could have been taken or made) by Held and his attorneys and other representatives, whether in the course of investigating claims, otherwise seeking to enforce Proposition 65 against it in this matter, or with

respect to the Products.

L.C. Industries also, on behalf of itself and its agents, attorneys, representatives, successors and assigns, provides a general release of Dr. Held herein which shall be effective as a full and final accord and satisfaction, as a bar to all actions, causes of action, obligations, costs, expenses, attorneys' fees, damages, losses, claims, liabilities and demands of L.C. Industries of any nature, character or kind, known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, relating to alleged violations of Proposition 65 with respect to the Products as set forth in the Notice. L.C. Industries acknowledges that it is familiar with Section 1542 of the California Civil Code, which provides as follows:

A general release does not extend to claims which the creditor does not know or suspect to exist in his or her favor at the time of executing the release, which if known by him or her must have materially affected his or her settlement with the debtor.

5. COURT APPROVAL

This Consent Judgment is not effective until it is approved and entered by the Court and shall be null and void if, for any reason, it is not approved and entered by the Court within one year after it has been fully executed by all Parties.

6. **SEVERABILITY**

If, subsequent to the execution of this Consent Judgment, any provision is held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the remaining provisions shall not be adversely affected.

7. GOVERNING LAW

The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the state of California and apply within the state of California. In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed, preempted, or is otherwise rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally, or as to the Products, then L.C. Industries may provide written notice to Held of any asserted change in the law, and shall have no further obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment with respect to, and to the extent that, the Products are so affected. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be interpreted to relieve L.C. Industries from any obligation to comply with any pertinent state or federal toxics control laws.

8. NOTICES

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Unless specified herein, all correspondence and notices required by this Consent Judgment shall be in writing and sent by: (i) personal delivery; (ii) first-class, registered, or certified mail, return receipt requested; or (iii) a recognized overnight courier to the following addresses:

For L.C. Industries:

Michael Smerling, President L.C. Industries, Inc. 401 North Western Avenue, 4th Floor Chicago, IL 60612

with a copy to:

Kerry Shea, Esq. Aaron Colby, Esq. Davis Wright Tremaine LLP 505 Montgomery St., Suite 800 San Francisco, CA 94111

For Held:

Proposition 65 Coordinator The Chanler Group 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710

Any party, from time to time, may specify in writing to the other party a change of address to which all notices and other communications shall be sent.

9. <u>COUNTERPARTS; FACSIMILE SIGNATURES</u>

This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by facsimile or portable document format (PDF) signature, each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute one and the same document.

10. POST EXECUTION ACTIVITIES

Held agrees to comply with the reporting form requirements referenced in California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(f). The Parties further acknowledge that, pursuant to California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(f)(4), a noticed motion is required to obtain judicial approval of the settlement. In furtherance of obtaining such approval, Held and L.C. Industries and their respective counsel agree to mutually employ their best efforts to support the entry of this agreement as a

Consent Judgment and to obtain judicial approval of the same in a timely manner. For purposes of this section, "best efforts" shall include, at a minimum, cooperating on the drafting and filing of the moving papers and supporting the motion for judicial approval.

MODIFICATION 11.

This Consent Judgment may be modified only by: (i) a written agreement of the Parties and upon entry of a modified consent judgment by the Court thereon; or (ii) upon a successful motion or application of any Party and the entry of a modified consent judgment by the Court.

AUTHORIZATION

The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment and have read, understood, and agree to all of the terms and conditions contained herein.

AGREED TO:

12	APPROVED	
13	Date: By Anthony Held at 1:33 pm, Jun 05, 2012	Date:
14	By anthony & XOI	
15	ANTHONY E HELD, PhD., P.E.	By: Michael Smerling, President
		L.C. INDUSTRIES INC

1	Consent Judgment and to obtain judicial approval of the same in a timely manner. For purposes of		
2	this section, "best efforts" shall include, at a minimum, cooperating on the drafting and filing of the		
3	moving papers and supporting the motion for judicial approval.		
4	11. MODIFICATION		
5	This Consent Judgment may be m	odified only by: (i) a written agreement of the Parties and	
6	upon entry of a modified consent judgment by the Court thereon; or (ii) upon a successful motion or		
7	application of any Party and the entry of a modified consent judgment by the Court.		
8	12. <u>AUTHORIZATION</u>		
9	The undersigned are authorized to	execute this Consent Judgment and have read, understood,	
0	and agree to all of the terms and conditions contained herein.		
l 1	AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:	
12		_	
13	Date:	Date: June 13, 2012	
4	n	Ву:	
15	By:ANTHONY E. HELD, PhD., P.E.	Michael Smerling, President	
16		L.C. INDUSTRIES, INC.	
7			
18			
9			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
6			
.7			
8			
11		Q	